1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	BEFOR	r thr	
8 9	BOARD OF P	PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7480	
13	ALDRIN D. ESPINA OAH No. 2023100128	OAH No. 2023100128	
14	225 1/2 S. Hoover Street Los Angeles, CA 90004	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119665	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Respondent.	[307. 2046, §11320]	
17			
18	<u>FINDINGS</u>		
19	1. On May 8, 2023, Complainant Anne S	Sodergren, in her official capacity as the	
20	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Dep	artment of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation	
21	No. 7480 against Aldrin D. Espina (Respondent) l	before the Board of Pharmacy (Board).	
22	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
23	2. On September 11, 2012, the Board iss	sued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.	
24	TCH 119665 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Tech	nician Registration was in full force and effect	
25	at all times relevant to the charges brought in Acc	usation No. 7480 and will expire on February	
26	29, 2024, unless renewed.		
27	3. On June 19, 2023, Complainant served Respondent by Certified and First Class Mail		
28	with copies of the Accusation No. 7480, Statemen	nt to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
		1	

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 1 2 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Aldrin D. Espina has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119665 to discipline. 3 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 4 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician 5 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported 6 by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case: 7 Conviction of a substantially related crime (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301, subd. (1)); 8 a. 9 b. General unprofessional conduct (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301); Acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty, deceit, or corruption ((Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301, 10 subd. (f)); and 11 d. Violations of the Pharmacy Law ((Bus. & Prof. Code §4301, subd. (o)). 12 **ORDER** 13 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119665, issued to 14 Respondent Aldrin D. Espina, is revoked. 15 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 16 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 17 seven days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate 18 the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 19 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 20,2023. 20 It is so ORDERED on November 20, 2023. 21 22 23 Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. 24 FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY 25 84206139.DOCX DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS DOJ Matter ID:SD2023801140 26

2728

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California ERIN M. SUNSERI		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General AGUSTIN LOPEZ		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 218717		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9461 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	E-mail: Agustin.Lopez@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7480	
14	ALDRIN D. ESPINA		
15	225 1/2 S. Hoover Street Los Angeles, CA 90004	ACCUSATION	
1617	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119665		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	PART	<u> TIES</u>	
21	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	y (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On September 11, 2012, the Board iss	ued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number	
24	TCH 119665 to Aldrin D. Espina (Respondent).	The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in	
25	full force and effect at all times relevant to the cha	arges brought herein and will expire on February	
26	29, 2024, unless renewed.		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

24

25

26

27

28

States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
- (a) For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the practice, profession, or occupation that may be performed under the license type sought or held if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of an applicant or licensee to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) The nature and gravity of the offense;
 - (2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense; and
- (3) The nature and duties of the practice, profession, or occupation that may be performed under the license type sought or held.
- (c) For purposes of subdivision (a), substantially related crimes, professional misconduct, or acts shall include, but are not limited to, those which:
- (1) Violate or attempt to violate, directly or indirectly, or to aid, abet or conspire to violate, any provision of law of this state, or any other jurisdiction, governing the practice of pharmacy.

1		SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(General Unprofessional Conduct)		
3	14.	Complainant incorporates paragraphs 10-11 by reference as if set forth in full herein.	
4	15.	Respondent is subject to discipline under Section 4301 because Respondent engaged	
5	in general	unprofessional conduct by committing second degree felony burglary against BV.	
6		THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
7		(Acts of Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Deceit, or Corruption)	
8	16.	Complainant incorporates paragraphs 10-11 by reference as if set forth in full herein.	
9	17.	Respondent is subject to discipline under Section 4301, subdivision (f), because	
10	Responder	nt committed acts involving moral turpitude, deceit, or corruption by committing	
11	second degree felony burglary against BV.		
12		FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
13		(Violations of the Pharmacy Law)	
14	18.	Complainant incorporates paragraphs 10-11 by reference as if set forth in full herein.	
15	19.	Respondent is subject to discipline under Section 4301, subdivision (o), because	
16	Responder	nt violated provisions of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4000 et seq.), when	
17	he violated	Section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (l), and engaged in general unprofessional conduct.	
18		<u>PRAYER</u>	
19	WH	EREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
20	and that fo	llowing the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
21	1.	Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 119665,	
22	issued to A	Aldrin D. Espina;	
23	2.	Ordering Aldrin D. Espina to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the	
24	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
25	125.3; and	,	
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

1	3. Taking such other and	d further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2		
3		Sodergren, Digitally signed by Sodergren,
4	DATED: <u>5/8/2023</u>	Anne@DCA Anne@DCA Date: 2023.05.08 07:56:26 -07'00'
5		ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer
6		Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7		State of California Complainant
8		
9	SD2023801140	
10	83921475.docx	
11		
12		
13		
14		
5		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
3		
24		
.5		
26		
27		
28		
		6

(ALDRIN D. ESPINA) ACCUSATION