# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

PRANAV R. BHAKTA,
Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 83641

Respondent.

Agency Case No. 7413

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 18, 2023.

It is so ORDERED on September 18, 2023

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Вν

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6483 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORMA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7413	
13	PRANAV R. BHAKTA	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	19609 Christina Way Cerritos, CA 90703	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL	
15 16	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 83641	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	PARTIES		
23	Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	
24	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by		
25	Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael Yi, Deputy Attorney General.		
26	2. Respondent Pranav R. Bhakta is represented in this proceeding by attorney Peter		
27	Gregorovic, whose address is: 9090 Irvine Center Drive, Irvine, CA 92618.		
28			
		1	

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. On November 6, 2020, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License number RPH 83641 to Pranav R. Bhakta (Respondent). The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation number 7413 and will expire on March 31, 2024, unless renewed.
- 4. Accusation number 7413 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 31, 2023. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation number 7413 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation number 7413. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation number 7413.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his Registered Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

## 3 4

5

#### 6 7

#### 8 9

#### 10 11

## 12

#### 13 14

## 15

### 16

#### 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

////

////

//// ////

## 

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 83641 issued to Respondent Pranav R. Bhakta shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of Accusation number 7413, attached as exhibit A.

Coursework. No later than sixty (60) days from the effective date of the public reproval, Respondent, at his own expense, shall enroll in a course in ethics, approved in advance by the Board or its designee that complies with Title 16 California Code of Regulations section 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course and successfully complete it within two (2) years, or failure to timely submit proof of completion to the Board or its designee within two (2) years, shall be considered a violation of this Order.

Cost Recovery. Respondent shall pay \$9,875.50 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 125.3. Starting one (1) month from the effective date of the public reproval, Respondent shall pay \$822.95 per month for eleven (11) consecutive months. Respondent shall then pay the final twelfth month payment in the amount of \$823.05. If Respondent fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew his Registered Pharmacist License until the costs are paid in full. In addition, the Board may enforce this order for payment of its costs in any appropriate court, in addition to any other rights the Board may have. Failure to timely submit payment of costs to the Board shall be considered a violation of this Order.

**Full Compliance**. As a resolution of the charges in Accusation number 7413, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 83641.

1	<b>ACCEPTANCE</b>		
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public		
3	Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter Gregorovic. I understand the		
4	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Pharmacist License. I enter into this		
5	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and		
6	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
7			
8	DATED:		
9	PRANAV R. BHAKTA Respondent		
10			
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pranav R. Bhakta the terms and conditions		
12	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public		
13	Reproval. I approve its form and content.		
14			
15	DATED:		
16	PETER GREGOROVIC  Attorney for Respondent		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIP SETTI EMENT & DISC OPPER FOR BURL IS DEPROVAL (7412)		
	STIP SETTLEMENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL (7413)		

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter Gregorovic. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 8/17/23

PRANAV R. BHAKTA

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pranav R. Bhakta the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 8/17/2023

Attorney for Respondent

#### **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2022603899 66162995.docx

#### **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: August 24, 2023 Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2022603899 66162995.docx

#### Exhibit A

Accusation No. 7413

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2 3	KIM KASRELIOVICH Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6483		
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	ase No. 7413	
13	PRANAV R. BHAKTA		
14	19609 Christina Way Cerritos, CA 90703 A	CCUSATION	
15	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH		
16	83641		
17	Respondent.		
18	D. DOLLA	a.	
19	PARTIE	a as	
20		is Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22		2. On November 6, 2020, the Board of Pharmacy issued Registered Pharmacist License	
23	Number RPH 83641 to Pranav R. Bhakta (Respondent). The Registered Pharmacist License was		
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will		
25	expire on March 31, 2024, unless renewed.		
26	////		
27			
28	////		
	1		

(PRANAV R. BHAKTA ACCUSATION

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4011 provides that the Board shall administer and enforce the Pharmacy Law (Bus. Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.) and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.).
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.

#### 6. Section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

#### 7. Section 123 states:

It is a misdemeanor for any person to engage in any conduct which subverts or attempts to subvert any licensing examination or the administration of an examination, including, but not limited to:

- (a) Conduct which violates the security of the examination materials; removing from the examination room any examination materials without authorization; the unauthorized reproduction by any means of any portion of the actual licensing examination; aiding by any means the unauthorized reproduction of any portion of the actual licensing examination; paying or using professional or paid examination-takers for the purpose of reconstructing any portion of the licensing examination; obtaining examination questions or other examination material, except by specific authorization either before, during, or after an examination; or using or purporting to use any examination questions or materials which were improperly removed or taken from any examination for the purpose of instructing or preparing any applicant for examination; or selling, distributing, buying, receiving, or having unauthorized possession of any portion of a future, current, or previously administered licensing examination.
- (b) Communicating with any other examinee during the administration of a licensing examination; copying answers from another examinee or permitting one's answers to be copied by another examinee; having in ones possession during the administration of the licensing examination any books, equipment, notes, written or printed materials, or data of any kind, other than the examination materials

1 2	distributed, or otherwise authorized to be in one's possession during the examination; or impersonating any examinee or having an impersonator take the licensing examination on one's behalf.	
3	Nothing in this section shall preclude prosecution under the authority provided	
4	for in any other provision of law.	
5	117	
6	8. Section 496 states:	
7	A board may deny, suspend, revoke, or otherwise restrict a license on the	
8	ground that an applicant or licensee has violated Section 123 pertaining to subversion of licensing examinations.	
9	9. Section 584 states:	
10	No person shall violate the security of any examination, as defined in	
11	subdivision (a) of Section 123, or impersonate, attempt to impersonate, or solicit the impersonation of, another in any examination for a license, certificate, or registration	
12	to practice as provided in this division, the Osteopathic Initiative Act, or the Chiropractic Initiative Act, or under any other law providing for the regulation of any other system or method of treating the sick or afflicted in this state.	
13	10. Section 4301 states:	
14	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of	
15	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
16 17	••••	
18	o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter	
19	or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal	
20	regulatory agency.	
21	p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.	
22		
23	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
24	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1723.1, states:	
25	Examination questions are confidential. Any applicant for any license issued by	
26	the board who removes all or part of any qualifying examination from the	
27	examination to any other person may be disqualified as a candidate for a license. The applicant shall not be approved to take the examination for three years from the date	
28	of the incident and shall surrender his or her intern license until again eligible to take the examination. The applicant may not be issued a pharmacy technician license until	

#### COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. The California Practice Standards and Jurisprudence Examination for Pharmacists (CPJE) is an examination that applicants must pass to become a licensed pharmacist in California. The CPJE assesses an applicant's competency to safely practice pharmacy. CPJE exam content is confidential, as the questions may be re-used. Applicants taking the CPJE are required to sign an acknowledgment that the questions are confidential and may not be shared with anyone.
- 14. In July 2019, the Board obtained information about the unauthorized disclosure and sharing of CPJE exam content. The Board investigated the disclosure of compromised exam questions and content. The Board's investigation revealed that Respondent possessed unauthorized CPJE content from a previously administered CPJE exam, and distributed or shared the content with other applicants.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Licensing Exam Subversion)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 496, for violating Business and Professions Code section 123. Respondent possessed CPJE content that the Board did not authorize for public release, and shared or distributed the content with three pharmacist applicants. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations in paragraphs 13-14, as though fully set forth here.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Violation of Examination Security)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 496, for violating Business and Professions Code section 584. Respondent violated the security of an examination by possessing CPJE content that the Board did not authorize for public

	$\Pi$	
1	release, and sharing or distributing the content with pharmacist applicants. Complainant refers to,	
2	and by this reference incorporates, the allegations in paragraphs 13-14, as though fully set forth	
3	here.	
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5	(Unprofessional Conduct)	
6	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code	
7	section 4301, subdivisions (o) and (p). Respondent possessed CPJE content that the Board did	
8	not authorize for public release, and shared or distributed the content with pharmacist applicants.	
9	Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations in paragraphs 13-14, as	
10	though fully set forth here.	
11	<u>PRAYER</u>	
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this	
13	Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
14	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 83641, issued	
15	to Pranav R. Bhakta;	
16	2. Ordering Pranav R. Bhakta to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the	
17	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
18	125.3; and,	
19	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
20		
21	Sodergren, Digitally signed by Sodergren, Anne@DCA	
22	DATED: 7/26/2023 Anne@DCA Date: 2023,07.26 06:57:45	
23	ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer	
24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
25	State of California  Complainant	
26		
27	LA2022603899	
28	65679365.docx	
- 1		