BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:

CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., dba DESERT RX PHARMACY; VIRGINIA CASELLA, CEO/OWNER Permit No. PHY 56893;

KIMBERLEIGH MARIE INGRAM Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 66393;

and

ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN, Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 55413;

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 7333

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby

adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this

matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 20, 2024.

It is so ORDERED on October 21, 2024.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

| 1 | ROB BONTA | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California ERIN M. SUNSERI | | | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHANNON M. BRUBAKER | | | | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 234517 | | | | | |
| 5 | 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 | | | | | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 | | | | | |
| 7 | Telephone: (619) 738-9078 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | | | | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | | | |
| 9 | BEFORE T | | | | | |
| 10 | BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON | | | | | |
| 11 | STATE OF CAL | IFORNIA | | | | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 7333 | | | | |
| 13 | CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY; | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | Palm Desert, CA 92260 | PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN ONLY | | | | |
| 16 | Permit No. PHY 56893, | [Bus. & Prof. Code § 495] | | | | |
| 17 | KIMBERLEIGH MARIE INGRAM 6252 Woodpine Avenue | | | | | |
| 18 | San Bernardino, CA 92407 | | | | | |
| 19 | Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 66393 | | | | | |
| 20 | and | | | | | |
| 21 | ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN 1032 Jonabell Wy | | | | | |
| 22 | Beaumont, CA 92223 | | | | | |
| 23 | Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 55413 | | | | | |
| 24 | Respondents. | | | | | |
| 25 | |] | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |

| 1 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Complainant and Rosanna | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pamela Holtzhausen that the following matters are true: | | | | |
| 3 | PARTIES | | | | |
| 4 | 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy | | | | |
| 5 | (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by | | | | |
| 6 | Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Shannon M. Brubaker, Deputy | | | | |
| 7 | Attorney General. | | | | |
| 8 | 2. Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding | | | | |
| 9 | and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel. | | | | |
| 10 | JURISDICTION | | | | |
| 11 | 3. On or about June 22, 2004, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License Number | | | | |
| 12 | 55413 to Respondent. The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times | | | | |
| 13 | relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation Number 7333 and will expire on | | | | |
| 14 | July 31, 2026, unless renewed. | | | | |
| 15 | 4. First Amended Accusation Number 7333 was filed before the Board and is currently | | | | |
| 16 | pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required | | | | |
| 17 | documents were properly served on Respondent on February 14, 2024. Respondent timely filed | | | | |
| 18 | her Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation. A copy of First Amended | | | | |
| 19 | Accusation Number 7333 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. | | | | |
| 20 | ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS | | | | |
| 21 | 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in First | | | | |
| 22 | Amended Accusation Number 7333. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the | | | | |
| 23 | effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. | | | | |
| 24 | 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a | | | | |
| 25 | hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be | | | | |
| 26 | represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses | | | | |
| 27 | against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance | | | | |
| 28 | of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | STID SETTLEMENT & DISC ODDED FOD DUDLIC DEDDOVAL DESDONDENT HOLTZHAUSEN (7222) | | | | |

| 1 | reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. | | | | | |
| 3 | 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and | | | | | |
| 4 | every right set forth above. | | | | | |
| 5 | <u>CULPABILITY</u> | | | | | |
| 6 | 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in First | | | | | |
| 7 | Amended Accusation Number 7333. | | | | | |
| 8 | 9. Respondent agrees that her Registered Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and | | | | | |
| 9 | she agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below. | | | | | |
| 10 | <u>CONTINGENCY</u> | | | | | |
| 11 | 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands | | | | | |
| 12 | and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly | | | | | |
| 13 | with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by | | | | | |
| 14 | Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not | | | | | |
| 15 | withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers | | | | | |
| 16 | and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the | | | | | |
| 17 | Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, | | | | | |
| 18 | except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the | | | | | |
| 19 | Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter. | | | | | |
| 20 | 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile | | | | | |
| 21 | copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF | | | | | |
| 22 | and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals. | | | | | |
| 23 | 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by | | | | | |
| 24 | the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment | | | | | |
| 25 | of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, | | | | | |
| 26 | understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated | | | | | |
| 27 | Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | | |

supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative
 of each of the parties.

3 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
4 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
5 Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

6

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Registered Pharmacist License Number 55413 issued to
8 Respondent Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy
9 under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of First Amended Accusation
10 Number 7333, attached as Exhibit A.

Ethics Coursework. Within six (6) months of the effective date of this Decision, 11 Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondents' expense, approved in advance by 12 the Board or its designee that complies with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 13 14 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment and, within five (5) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copies of the certificates of completion to the board or its designee. 15 Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, within six (6) months of the effective date, 16 to successfully complete program, within two (2) years of the effective date, or to timely submit 17 proof of completion to the board or its designee, will result in further action by the Board. 18

Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities. For five (5) years
from the effective date of the Decision, Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be
the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other
compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the Board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption
of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall result in further action by the Board.

Cost Recovery. No later than two years from the effective date of the Decision,
Respondent shall pay \$8,392.08 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and
enforcement of this matter pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 125.3. Respondent
shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board. If Respondent
///

| 1 | fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew her Registered | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pharmacist License until Respondent pays costs in full. | | | | |
| 3 | Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in First Amended Accusation Number | | | | |
| 4 | 7333, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all | | | | |
| 5 | conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to | | | | |
| 6 | comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Registered | | | | |
| 7 | Pharmacist License Number 55413. | | | | |
| 8 | ACCEPTANCE | | | | |
| 9 | I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. | | | | |
| 10 | I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Pharmacist License. I | | | | |
| 11 | enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, | | | | |
| 12 | knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of | | | | |
| 13 | Pharmacy. | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | DATED: | | | | |
| 16 | ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN Respondent | | | | |
| 17 | ENDORSEMENT | | | | |
| 18 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby | | | | |
| 19 | respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of | | | | |
| 20 | Consumer Affairs. | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | DATED: Respectfully submitted, | | | | |
| 23 | ROB BONTA Attorney Concept of Colifornia | | | | |
| 24 | Attorney General of California ERIN M. SUNSERI | | | | |
| 25 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | | | |
| 26 | SHANNON M. BRUBAKER | | | | |
| 27 | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant | | | | |
| 28 | SD2022801673 / 84690962.docx | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| | STIP SETTLEMENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL – RESPONDENT HOLTZHAUSEN (7333) | | | | |

| 1 | fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew her Registered | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pharmacist License until Respondent pays costs in full. | | | | |
| 3 | Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in First Amended Accusation Number | | | | |
| 4 | 7333, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all | | | | |
| 5 | conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to | | | | |
| 6 | comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Registered | | | | |
| 7 | Pharmacist License Number 55413. | | | | |
| 8 | ACCEPTANCE | | | | |
| 9 | I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. | | | | |
| 10 | I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Pharmacist License. I | | | | |
| 11 | enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, | | | | |
| 12 | knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of | | | | |
| 13 | Pharmacy. | | | | |
| 14 | 8/28/2024 Photoshanser | | | | |
| 15 | DATED: | | | | |
| 16 | ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN <i>Respondent</i> | | | | |
| 17 | ENDORSEMENT | | | | |
| 18 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby | | | | |
| 19 | respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of | | | | |
| 20 | Consumer Affairs. | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | DATED: September 6, 2024 Respectfully submitted, | | | | |
| 23 | ROB BONTA Attorney General of California | | | | |
| 24 | ERIN M. SUNSERI Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | SHANNON M. BRUBAKER Deputy Attorney General | | | | |
| 27 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | | |
| 28 | SD2022801673 / 84690962.docx | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| | STIP SETTLEMENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL – RESPONDENT HOLTZHAUSEN (7333) | | | | |

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 7333

| 1 | ROB BONTA | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California ERIN M. SUNSERI | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHANNON M. BRUBAKER | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 234517 | |
| 5 | 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 | |
| 7 | Telephone: (619) 738-9078 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 9 | BEFORE TH | |
| 10 | BOARD OF PHAR DEPARTMENT OF CONSU | _ |
| 11 | STATE OF CALIF | ORNIA |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 7333 |
| 13 | CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., | FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION |
| 14 | DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY; VIRGINIA CASELLA, CEO/OWNER | |
| 15 | 73-091 Country Club Drive, Ste. A4 Palm Desert, CA 92260 | |
| 16 | Permit No. PHY 56893, | |
| 17 | KIMBERLEIGH MARIE INGRAM | |
| 18 | 6252 Woodpine Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92407 | |
| 19 | Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 66393, | |
| 20 | and | |
| 21 | ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN | |
| 22 | 1032 Jonabell Wy Beaumont, CA 92223 | |
| 23 | Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 55413 | |
| 24 | Respondents. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 1 | |
| | | IA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) |
| | | FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION |

| 1 | PARTIES | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her | | | | |
| 3 | official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of | | | | |
| 4 | Consumer Affairs. | | | | |
| 5 | 2. On or about October 15, 2018, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY | | | | |
| 6 | 56893 to Casella California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy (Respondent Pharmacy). The | | | | |
| 7 | Pharmacy Permit expired on June 1, 2021, and has not been renewed. Virginia Casella | | | | |
| 8 | (Respondent Casella) was the Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, and | | | | |
| 9 | President of Respondent Pharmacy from October 14, 2018 to June 1, 2021. | | | | |
| 10 | 3. On or about October 21, 2011, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License | | | | |
| 11 | Number RPH 66393 to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram (Respondent Ingram). The Registered | | | | |
| 12 | Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein | | | | |
| 13 | and will expire on June 30, 2025, unless renewed. Respondent Ingram was the Pharmacist-in- | | | | |
| 14 | Charge (PIC) of Respondent Pharmacy from October 28, 2019 to February 11, 2021. | | | | |
| 15 | 4. On or about June 22, 2004, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License Number | | | | |
| 16 | 55413 to Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen (Respondent Holtzhausen). The Registered Pharmacist | | | | |
| 17 | License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will | | | | |
| 18 | expire on July 31, 2024, unless renewed. Respondent Holtzhausen was the Pharmacist-in-Charge | | | | |
| 19 | (PIC) of Respondent Pharmacy from November 7, 2018 to June 4, 2019 and from February 11, | | | | |
| 20 | 2021 to June 1, 2021. | | | | |
| 21 | JURISDICTION | | | | |
| 22 | 5. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the | | | | |
| 23 | following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless | | | | |
| 24 | otherwise indicated. | | | | |
| 25 | 6. Section 4300 of the Code states: | | | | |
| 26 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. | | | | |
| 27 28 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: | | | | |
| | 2 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | |

| 1 | (1) Suspending judgment. | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. | | | | | |
| 3 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year. | | | | | |
| 4 | (4) Revoking his or her license. | | | | | |
| 5 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in | | | | | |
| 6 | its discretion may deem proper. | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The | | | | | |
| 9 | action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure. | | | | | |
| 10 11 | 7. Section 4300.1 of the Code states: | | | | | |
| 12 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license | | | | | |
| 13 | by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a | | | | | |
| 14 | licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render | | | | | |
| 15 | a decision suspending or revoking the license. | | | | | |
| 16 | 8. Section 4307 of the Code states: | | | | | |
| 17 | (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it | | | | | |
| 18 | was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control | | | | | |
| 19 | of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on | | | | | |
| 20 | probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had | | | | | |
| 21 22 | knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in | | | | | |
| 22 | any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows: | | | | | |
| 24 | (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five | | | | | |
| 25 | years. | | | | | |
| 26 | (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated. | | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | | |

| 1 | (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, |
|----------|---|
| 2 | partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee. |
| 3 | (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed |
| 4 | pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a |
| 5 6 | person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of |
| 7 | required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other |
| 8 | provision of law. |
| 9 | (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years. |
| 10 | (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until |
| 11 | the license is issued or reinstated. |
| 12 | (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner," as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any |
| 13 | other person who serves in that capacity in or for a licensee. |
| 14 | (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of |
| 15 16 | the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of |
| 17 18 | the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law. |
| 19 | STATUTORY PROVISIONS |
| 20 | 9. Section 4040 of the Code states: |
| 21 | (a) "Prescription" means an oral, written, or electronic transmission order that is |
| 22 | both of the following: |
| 23 | (1) Given individually for the person or persons for whom ordered that includes all of the following: |
| 24 | (A) The name or names and address of the patient or patients. |
| 25 | (B) The name and quantity of the drug or device prescribed and the directions for use. |
| 26 | (C) The date of issue. |
| 27 | /// |
| 28 | /// |
| | 4 |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et FIRST AMENDED ACCUSAT |

1 (D) Either rubber stamped, typed, or printed by hand or typeset, the name, address, and telephone number of the prescriber, his or her license classification, and 2 his or her federal registry number, if a controlled substance is prescribed. 3 (E) A legible, clear notice of the condition or purpose for which the drug is being prescribed, if requested by the patient or patients. 4 (F) If in writing, signed by the prescriber issuing the order, or the certified 5 nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3640.5. respectively, or the pharmacist who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 4052.1, 6 4052.2, or 4052.6. 7 (2) Issued by a physician, dentist, optometrist, podiatrist, veterinarian, or 8 naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7 or, if a drug order is issued pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3460.5, by a certified nurse-midwife, nurse 9 practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor licensed in this state, or pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6 by a pharmacist licensed in this state. 10 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), a written order of the prescriber for a 11 dangerous drug, except for any Schedule II controlled substance, that contains at least the name and signature of the prescriber, the name and address of the patient in a 12 manner consistent with paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 11164 of the Health and Safety Code, the name and quantity of the drug prescribed, directions for 13 use, and the date of issue may be treated as a prescription by the dispensing pharmacist as long as any additional information required by subdivision (a) is readily 14 retrievable in the pharmacy. In the event of a conflict between this subdivision and Section 11164 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 11164 of the Health and 15 Safety Code shall prevail. 16 (c) "Electronic transmission prescription" includes both image and data prescriptions. "Electronic image transmission prescription" means any prescription 17 order for which a facsimile of the order is received by a pharmacy from a licensed prescriber. "Electronic data transmission prescription" means any prescription order, 18 other than an electronic image transmission prescription, that is electronically transmitted from a licensed prescriber to a pharmacy. 19 (d) The use of commonly used abbreviations shall not invalidate an otherwise 20 valid prescription. 21 (e) Nothing in the amendments made to this section (formerly Section 4036) at the 1969 Regular Session of the Legislature shall be construed as expanding or 22 limiting the right that a chiropractor, while acting within the scope of his or her license, may have to prescribe a device. 23 10. Section 4059.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part: 24 25 (e) A dangerous drug or dangerous device shall not be transferred, sold, or delivered to a person outside this state, whether foreign or domestic, unless the 26 transferor, seller, or deliverer does so in compliance with the laws of this state and of the United States and of the state or country to which the dangerous drugs or 27 dangerous devices are to be transferred, sold, or delivered. Compliance with the laws of this state and the United States and of the state or country to which the dangerous 28 drugs or dangerous devices are to be delivered shall include, but not be limited to, 5 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

| 1 | determining that the recipient of the dangerous drugs or dangerous devices is authorized by law to receive the dangerous drugs or dangerous devices. | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | authorized by law to receive the dangerous drugs of dangerous devices. | | | | | |
| 3 | 11. Section 4113 of the Code states: | | | | | |
| 4 | (a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that | | | | | |
| 5 | pharmacist and the date he or she was designated. | | | | | |
| 6 | (b) The proposed pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the | | | | | |
| 7 | board. The board shall not issue or renew a pharmacy license without identification of an approved pharmacist-in-charge for the pharmacy. | | | | | |
| 8 | (c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy. | | | | | |
| 9 | with an state and rederar laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy. | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | 12. Section 4156 of the Code states: | | | | | |
| 12 | A pharmacy corporation shall not do, or fail to do, any act where doing or failing to do the act would constitute unprofessional conduct under any statute or | | | | | |
| 13 | regulation. In the conduct of its practice, a pharmacy corporation shall observe and be bound by the laws and regulations that apply to a person licensed under this | | | | | |
| 14 | chapter. | | | | | |
| 15 | 13. Section 4301 of the Code states: | | | | | |
| 16 17 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, any of the following: | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, | | | | | |
| 20 | deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not. | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter | | | | | |
| 23 | or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal | | | | | |
| 24 | regulatory agency. | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | | |

| 1 | REGULATORY PROVISIONS | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 provides: | | | | |
| 3 | (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains | | | | |
| 4 | any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription. | | | | |
| 5 6 7 | (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate | | | | |
| 8 | medical purpose. COST RECOVERY | | | | |
| 9 | 15. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the | | | | |
| 10 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of | | | | |
| 11 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and | | | | |
| 12 | enforcement of the case. | | | | |
| 13 | DEFINITIONS | | | | |
| 14 | 16. Betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code | | | | |
| 15 | section 4022, indicated for the treatment of dermatoses. | | | | |
| 16 | 17. Calcipotriene 0.005% cream (brand name: Dovonex) is a dangerous drug pursuant to | | | | |
| 17 | Code section 4022, indicated for the treatment of psoriasis. | | | | |
| 18 | 18. Desoximetasone 0.05% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, | | | | |
| 19 | indicated for the treatment of dermatoses and psoriasis. | | | | |
| 20 | 19. Lidocaine 2.5% – prilocaine 2.5% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code | | | | |
| 21 | section 4022, indicated for the treatment of pain. | | | | |
| 22 | 20. Lidocaine ointment is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, indicated for | | | | |
| 23 | the treatment of pain. | | | | |
| 24 | 21. Fluocinonide cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, indicated for | | | | |
| 25 | the treatment of dermatoses and psoriasis. | | | | |
| 26 | 22. Omega-3 ethyl esters (brand name: Lovaza) is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code | | | | |
| 27 | section 4022, indicated for the treatment of high triglycerides. | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | |

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2019 Inspection

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

23. On or about November 8, 2019, Board Inspectors AB and ED performed an inspection of Respondent Pharmacy, which was located in a large shopping plaza in Palm Desert, California. Respondent Ingram, who had started as the PIC approximately two weeks earlier, was present and assisted with the inspection. Respondent Ingram was hired by "the corporate office" in Florida.

24. The majority of Respondent Pharmacy's business in November 2019 came from mail 8 9 order prescriptions for creams, diabetic supplies such as test trips, lancets, and alcohol pads, which were delivered via UPS to patients throughout California. Respondent Pharmacy received 10 electronic prescriptions from the Florida corporate office. Staff members in Florida entered the 11 prescriptions into the PioneerRx software system and electronically transmitted them to 12 Respondent Pharmacy. Respondent Ingram then verified the prescriptions with MP3 recordings 13 14 in WellNet (a healthcare website). If Respondent Ingram had questions about the prescriptions, she sent a message to the Florida corporate office for further verification. 15

25. On June 8, 2021, the Board issued Citation Number CI 2018 84335 to Respondent 16 Pharmacy, Citation Number CI 2020 91375 to Respondent Ingram, and Citation Number CI 2020 17 91374 to Respondent Holtzhausen for unprofessional conduct and violation of Pharmacy law 18 based on the above-described inspection. Specifically, Respondent Pharmacy processed 19 prescriptions, including audio MP3 message files, for patients using WellNet staff located in 20Florida. Respondent Pharmacy allowed the WellNet staff members to perform tasks that would 21 normally be completed within a licensed pharmacy outside of a licensed pharmacy in violation of 22 Code section 4301, subdivision (o), California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1717.1, 23 24 subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1793.3, subdivision (a). **Investigation of Medicaid Health Plan Complaint** 25 26. On September 24, 2020, the Board received an online complaint from a pharmacist at 26

- 27 the Medicaid Health Plan in San Mateo County (HPSM) stating that Respondent Pharmacy had
- 28

| 1 | billed for large quantities of creams and alcohol pads based on prescriptions that were not | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | legitimate. | legitimate. | | | | |
| 3 | 27. Th | e Board opened an | investigation and | d obtained claims | data from HPSM, as well as | |
| 4 | the correspond | ing prescription re | cords and dispens | sing data from Res | spondent Pharmacy. The | |
| 5 | Board inspecto | or also contacted th | e providers ident | ified in Responder | nt Pharmacy's records to | |
| 6 | determine whe | ther the prescription | ons identified by] | HPSM were legitin | mate. | |
| 7 | 28. Ba | sed on the informa | tion developed d | uring the investiga | ation, the inspector | |
| 8 | determined the | following prescrip | ptions were "unce | ertain," pursuant to | Code section 4040, | |
| 9 | subdivision (c) | , and California Co | ode of Regulatior | ns, title 16, section | 1761, subdivision (a): | |
| 10 | | NAT 10 40 | | | | |
| 11 | Rx Number | Medication | Prescriber's Initials | Pharmacist for Initial Fill | Uncertain Prescription Analysis | |
| 12 | (Date Initially | | | | | |
| 13 | Dispensed) 51483 | Calcipotriene | NK | RH | The document was a fax | |
| 14 | $(11/15/18^1)$ | 0.005% cream | | | prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription and pa | |
| 15 16 | | | | | the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 | 51484 (11/15/18 ²) | Fluocinonide 0.1% cream | NK | RH | The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. Prescriber NK's signature looked different from a different (legitimate) prescription by NK. | |
| 23 24 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | $\frac{1}{1}$ Rx Nu | umber 51483 was 1 | refilled by Respon | ndent Pharmacy of | n June 26, 2019, and | |
| 27 | | umber 51484 was i | refilled by Respon | ndent Pharmacy of | n January 29, 2019; June 26, | |
| 28 | 2019; and Sept | tember 24, 2019. | | | | |
| | | 9 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | | | | |
| | | | | | IRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | |

| 1 | 53574 (6/20/19 ³) | Calcipotriene 0.005% cream | HL | АН | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax | |
|----------|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 2 3 | | | | | number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription | |
| 4 | | | | | with the prescriber. | |
| 5 | 62487 (6/26/19 ⁴) | Desoximetasone 0.05% cream | HL | АН | The header on this fax prescription did not match | |
| 6 | | | | | the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist | |
| 7 8 | | | | | verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| | 62489 | Lidocaine | HL | AH | The header on this fax | |
| 9 10 | (6/26/19 ⁵) | 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | | | prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no | |
| 11 | | | | | indication the pharmacist verified the prescription | |
| 12 | | | | | with the prescriber. | |
| 13 | 77282 (9/16/20 ⁶) | Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax | |
| 14 15 | | 2.570 cream | | | number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 16 | | | | | - | |
| 17 | 77284 (9/16/20 ⁷) | Desoximetasone 0.05% cream | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax | |
| 18 19 | | | | | number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription | |
| 20 | | | | | with the prescriber. | |
| 21 | L | 1 | | 1 |] | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | ³ Rx Ni | umber 53574 was re | efilled by Respon | Ident Pharmacy of | n September 24, 2019; | |
| 25 | December 19, | 2019; March 20, 20 | 20; and June 16, | 2020. | | |
| 26 | ⁴ Rx Number 62487 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on September 24, 2019; December 19, 2019; March 20, 2020; and June 16, 2020. ⁵ Rx Number 62489 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 26, 2019; September | | | | | |
| 27 | 24, 2019; December 19, 2019; March 20, 2020; and June 16, 2020. ⁶ Rx Number 77282 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on December 14, 2020. ⁷ Rx Number 77284 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on December 14, 2020. | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | 10 | | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | | |

| 77285 ⁸ (9/16/20) | Calcipotriene 0.005% cream | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 62490 (6/26/19 ⁹) | Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
| 70773 (11/26/19 ¹⁰) | Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | HL | Respondent Ingram | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
| 70774 (11/26/19 ¹¹) | Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box | HL | Respondent Ingram | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
| 79726 (11/10/20) | Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
| 79727 (11/10/20) | Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box | HL | AH | The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. |
| ⁹ Rx Nu December 19, | umber 62490 was r 2019; March 20, 20 | efilled by Res 020; and June | spondent Pharmacy 16, 2020. | on December 14, 2020. on September 24, 2019; on February 18, 2020: May |
| ¹⁰ Rx Number 70773 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on February 18, 2020; May 18, 2020; and on August 12, 2020. ¹¹ Rx Number 70774 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on February 18, 2020; May 18, 2020; and on August 12, 2020. 11 | | | | |

| 73086 (6/18/20 ¹²) | Calcipotriene 0.005% cream | AS | Respondent Ingram | Prescription document did not have prescriber's fax header and did not have | |
|--|--|--------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | prescriber's signature. There was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 73087 (6/5/20 ¹³) | Lidocaine 5% ointment | AS | Respondent Ingram | Prescription document did not have prescriber's fax header and did not have prescriber's signature. There was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 69513 (10/23/19 ¹⁴) | Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | AY | Respondent Ingram | The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 69514 (10/23/19 ¹⁵) | Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box | AY | Respondent Ingram | The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| 93225 (1/21/21) | Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream | AY | AH | The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. | |
| ¹² Bx 7 | 3086 was refilled b | v Respondent | t Pharmacy on Senta | ember 25, 2020 and January | |
| 15, 2021. | | | | ember 15, 2020 and December | |
| 14, 2020. | | | | | |
| ¹⁴ Rx 69513 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on January 13, 2020; April 13, 2020; July 13, 2020; and October 12, 2020. ¹⁵ Rx 69514 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on January 13, 2020, and April 13, 2020; July 13, 2020; and October 12, 2020. | | | | | |
| | | | 12 | | |

| 1 72628 Calcipotrienc AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription and no indication of the source of the prescription 3 76630 Lidocaine AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription 4 72630 Lidocaine AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription 6 2.2.5% cream AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription 7 8 72629 Sterile alcohol AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription. 10 72814 Omega 3 acid AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription wates on letterhead for "MIHS, inc." which entity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the leader did not match the prescripter's listed fax number. 12 72814 Omega 3 acid AA Respondent This fax prescription wate on exist according to the California Secretary. The fax number | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 72630 Lidocaine AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist vorified the prescription 7 7 Sterile alcohol AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with the prescription 8 7 7 Sterile alcohol AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with the prescription with the prescription 10 10 Dobax AA Respondent The document was a fax prescription with no indication the pharmacist vorified the prescription with no indication the pharmacist vorified the prescription 11 100/box AA Respondent This fax prescription was on indication the pharmacist vorified the prescription 12 72814 Omega 3 acid AA Respondent This fax prescription was on letterhead for 'MIHS, with carry of State business search. The fax number in the header carsule 1g 14 15 Omega 4 acid AA Respondent ingram This fax prescription was on letterhead for 'MIHS, wourset's instead for 'MIHS, wourset's instead for 'MIHS, wourset's isteed fax number.'' which antity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header doe's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed 19 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbur | 2 3 | | | AA | | prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription | |
| 6 2.5% cream Indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescription 8 72629 Sterile alcohol prep pads 100 box 10 100 box AA Respondent Ingram The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription with the prescription withe prescription with the prescription with the prescripti | | | | AA | | | |
| 7 8 verified the prescription with the prescription was on letterhead for "MIHS, Inc." which entity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescripter's listed fax number. 18 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 25 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications september 24, 2020. 13 13 14 13 15 13 16 Investigation of NOrth Coast Family Medical Group Complaint fr | | (2/24/20) | | | Ingram | indication of the source of the prescription, and no | |
| 9 72629 Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box AA Respondent Ingram The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication of the prescription with the prescription with the prescription was on letterhead for "MHS, Inc." which entity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax number. 19 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications for medications references and received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications for medication for the for the state the prescription for the coast for medications for medication for the for the prescription for the state prescription for the coast for medications for medications for medications for medicatication for the for the prescription for the received an online complaint for Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. | - | | | | | verified the prescription | |
| 10 100/box 100/box 100/box 11 100/box 100/box 100/box 12 12 12 12 13 12 12 12 14 13 13 13 15 13 13 14 14 14 19 100/box 15 19 100/box 10 16 10 11 11 17 14 11 11 18 11 11 11 19 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total 20 The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total 21 by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 23 \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint | | | | AA | | | |
| 11 12 Verified the prescription with the prescription with the prescription with the prescription with the prescription was on letterhead for "MIHS, Inc." which entity does not exist according to the capsule 1g 13 (3/5/20 ¹⁶) Omega 3 acid ethyl ester capsule 1g AA 14 (3/5/20 ¹⁶) Omega 3 acid ethyl ester capsule 1g This fax prescription was on letterhead for "MIHS, Inc." which entity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax number. 18 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total 21 reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 26 Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications 27 ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 13 | | (2/24/20) | | | Ingram | indication of the source of the prescription, and no | |
| 13 72814 (3/5/2016) Omega 3 acid ethyl ester capsule 1g AA Respondent Ingram This fax prescription was on letterhead for "MIHS, Inc." which entity does not exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax number. 18 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications regimes for medications 27 16 Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 13 | | | | | | verified the prescription | |
| 14 Inc." which of numbers of exist according to the cash of exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax number. 18 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 25 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications september 24, 2020. 26 13 27 16 Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 | | 72814 | | AA | | | |
| 15 State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax number. 16 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications complete the september 24, 2020. 27 16 Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 13 | | (3/5/2010) | | | Ingram | Inc." which entity does not exist according to the | |
| 18 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and 20 alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed 21 by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total 22 reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was 23 \$25,083.30. 24 Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 25 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast 26 Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications 27 16 Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and 28 13 29 13 | 16 | | | | | State business search. The fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax | |
| 29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. <u>13</u> | | | | | | number. | |
| alcohol pads. According to the Board inspector's financial analysis, the total amount reimbursed by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 | | 29. Th | ne medications refer | enced above are | highly reimbursat | ble creams, ointments, and | |
| by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was \$25,083.30. Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 | 20 | | | | | | |
| \$25,083.30. Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 | 21 | by insurance f | or the initial fill of t | he uncertain pres | criptions was \$8, | 844.71, and the total | |
| Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 22 | reimbursemen | t amount based on t | he number of ref | ills for the uncerta | ain prescriptions was | |
| 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 23 | \$25,083.30. | | | | | |
| Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. ¹³ (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 24 | Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint | | | | | |
| 27 28 ¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 25 | 30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast | | | | | |
| 28 16 Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020. 13 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 26 | Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications | | | | | |
| 28 September 24, 2020. 13 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 27 | | | | | | |
| (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | 28 | September 24, 2020. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 1 | – on March 7, 2019 and December 29, 2020 – from Respondent Pharmacy that were purportedly | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | prescribed by Dr. JH to patient ETA. NCFMG had no record of the medications being prescribed | | | | | |
| 3 | to patient ETA. In addition, Dr. JH retired in June 2020, before the second prescription request | | | | | |
| 4 | was faxed. NCFMG contacted patient ETA, who confirmed that she did not use those | | | | | |
| 5 | medications and had no knowledge of Respondent Pharmacy. NCFMG did not authorize the | | | | | |
| 6 | prescriptions. | | | | | |
| 7 | 31. NCFMG provided the Board with a copy of the second prescription request from | | | | | |
| 8 | Respondent Pharmacy. The request contained prescription forms for calcipotriene 0.005% cream | | | | | |
| 9 | and betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% topical cream with the patient's information, medication | | | | | |
| 10 | name, strength, directions, and prescriber's name pre-printed on them. | | | | | |
| 11 | 32. The pre-populated prescription forms sent to NCFMG for patient ETA appeared | | | | | |
| 12 | similar to the pre-populated prescription forms sent to Dr. HL for Prescription Numbers 53574, | | | | | |
| 13 | 62487, 62489, 62490, 77282, 77284, 77285, 70773, 70774, 79726, and 79727; Dr. AY for | | | | | |
| 14 | Prescription Numbers 69513, 69514, and 93225; and to Dr. AA for Prescription Numbers 72814, | | | | | |
| 15 | 72628, 72629, and 72630, referenced above. | | | | | |
| 16 | 33. On February 16, 2021, Respondent Ingram called Board Inspector AB and advised | | | | | |
| 17 | that she was no longer the PIC for Respondent Pharmacy as of February 12, 2021. Respondent | | | | | |
| 18 | Ingram was told that "there was another investigation" and she had "had enough." Respondent | | | | | |
| 19 | Ingram said Respondent Pharmacy's business practice remained the same as it was during the | | | | | |
| 20 | 2019 inspection (described above) and that nothing had changed. | | | | | |
| 21 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | | |
| 22 | (Dispensing Uncertain Prescriptions against Respondent Pharmacy and | | | | | |
| 23 | Respondent Ingram) | | | | | |
| 24 | 34. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Ingram are subject to disciplinary action | | | | | |
| 25 | under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, | | | | | |
| 26 | section 1761, subdivision (a), and Code section 4040, subdivision (c), in that Respondent | | | | | |
| 27 | Pharmacy and Respondent Ingram dispensed uncertain prescriptions, as described in paragraphs | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | 14 | | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | | |

| 1 | 23 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference. Respondent Pharmacy | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | reimbursed for the uncertain prescriptions in the total sum of \$25,083.20. | | | | |
| 3 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 4 | (Failure to Ensure Compliance with Pharmacy Law against Respondent Ingram) | | | | |
| 5 | 35. Respondent Ingram is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4113, | | | | |
| 6 | subdivision (c), for failing to ensure Respondent Pharmacy's compliance with California Code of | | | | |
| 7 | Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), and Code section 4040, subdivision (c). | | | | |
| 8 | Specifically, while serving as pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy from October 28, | | | | |
| 9 | 2019, to February 11, 2021, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed uncertain prescriptions, as | | | | |
| 10 | described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference. | | | | |
| 11 | THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 12 | (Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit against Respondent Pharmacy) | | | | |
| 13 | 36. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, | | | | |
| 14 | subdivision (f), for the commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, | | | | |
| 15 | deceit, or corruption. The circumstances are described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which | | | | |
| 16 | are incorporated here by reference, and as follows: | | | | |
| 17 | 37. From June 23, 2020, to December 1, 2020, Respondent Pharmacy filled six | | | | |
| 18 | prescriptions that the patients did not request and without the patients' consent. | | | | |
| 19 | 38. On or about December 29, 2020, Respondent Pharmacy sent fraudulent prescription | | | | |
| 20 | requests to Dr. JH for patient ETA. | | | | |
| 21 | FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 22 | (Failure to Ensure Compliance with Pharmacy Law against Respondent Ingram) | | | | |
| 23 | 39. Respondent Ingram is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4113, | | | | |
| 24 | subdivision (c), for failing to ensure Respondent Pharmacy's compliance with the laws pertaining | | | | |
| 25 | to the practice of pharmacy. During the time Respondent Ingram was PIC, Respondent Pharmacy | | | | |
| 26 | violated Code section 4301, subdivision (f), when Respondent Pharmacy filled six prescriptions | | | | |
| 27 | that the patients did not request and without the patients' consent, and Respondent Pharmacy sent | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | |
| | 15 | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | |

| 1 | fraudulent prescription requests to Dr. JH for patient ETA, as described in paragraphs 23 through | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference. | | | | |
| 3 | FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 4 | (Shipping to States Not Authorized to Receive Dangerous Drugs/Devices) | | | | |
| 5 | 40. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Ingram, and Respondent Holtzhausen are subject | | | | |
| 6 | to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating Code section | | | | |
| 7 | 4059.5, subdivision (e), in that Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Ingram, and Respondent | | | | |
| 8 | Holtzhausen shipped dangerous drugs to patients in states in violation of those states' laws. The | | | | |
| 9 | circumstances are described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which are incorporated here by | | | | |
| 10 | reference, and as follows: | | | | |
| 11 | 41. Each of the following states requires an out-of-state/nonresident pharmacy shipping | | | | |
| 12 | prescription drugs to their state to be registered or licensed with, or hold a valid permit issued by, | | | | |
| 13 | their respective state agency charged with enforcing the laws governing pharmacy: | | | | |
| 14 | • Arkansas (Ark. Code Ann. § 17-92-401) | | | | |
| 15 | • Arizona (Ariz. Admin. Code R4-23-607) | | | | |
| 16 | • Colorado (Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 12-280-133) | | | | |
| 17 | • Idaho (Idaho Code § 54-1729) | | | | |
| 18 | • Illinois (Ill. Admin. Code tit. 68, § 1330.550) | | | | |
| 19 | • Indiana (Ind. Code Ann. § 25-26-17-2) | | | | |
| 20 | • Kentucky (Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 315.0351) | | | | |
| 21 | Michigan (Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 333.17748) | | | | |
| 22 | • Missouri (Mo. Code Regs. tit. 20 § 2220-2.025) | | | | |
| 23 | • Minnesota (Minn. R. 6800.0300) | | | | |
| 24 | • Montana (Mont.Admin.R. 24.174.1001) | | | | |
| 25 | • North Carolina (21 N.C. Admin. Code 46.1607) | | | | |
| 26 | • New Hampshire (N.H. Rev. Stat. § 318:37) | | | | |
| 27 | • New Jersey (N.J. Admin. Code 13:39-4.20) | | | | |
| 28 | • Nevada (Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 639.2328) | | | | |
| | 16 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | |

| 1 | • New York (N.Y. Educ. Law § 6808-b) | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | • Ohio (Ohio Admin. Code 4729:5-8-01) | | | |
| 3 | • Oklahoma (OK ADC 535:15-3-9 ¹⁷) | | | |
| 4 | • Oregon (OAR 855-041-1060) | | | |
| 5 | • Pennsylvania (63 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 390-4.1) | | | |
| 6 | • Tennessee (Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1140-0108(3)) | | | |
| 7 | • Texas (22 Tex. Admin. Code § 291.104) | | | |
| 8 | • Virginia (Va. Code Ann. § 54.1-3434.1) | | | |
| 9 | • Washington (Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 18.64.360) | | | |
| 10 | • Wisconsin (Wis. Stat. Ann. § 450.065) | | | |
| 11 | • Wyoming (Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-24-152) | | | |
| 12 | 42. In 2018, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 486 prescriptions to patients in | | | |
| 13 | Arkansas, Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, North | | | |
| 14 | Carolina, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, | | | |
| 15 | Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was | | | |
| 16 | not registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of | | | |
| 17 | pharmacy. | | | |
| 18 | 43. In 2018, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 214 prescriptions | | | |
| 19 | shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Arkansas, Arizona, Idaho, Michigan, Missouri, | | | |
| 20 | Montana, Nevada, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, and | | | |
| 21 | Wyoming. | | | |
| 22 | 44. In 2019, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 105 prescriptions to patients in | | | |
| 23 | Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, North Carolina, Nevada, Ohio, | | | |
| 24 | Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, and Washington. At the time of the shipments, Respondent | | | |
| 25 | Pharmacy was not registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the | | | |
| 26 | states' boards of pharmacy. | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | ¹⁷ Oklahoma Administrative Code section 535:15-3-9 was withdrawn effective May 5, 2023. | | | |
| | 17 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) | | | |
| | FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | |

45. In 2019, Respondent Ingram was the verifying pharmacist for 2 prescriptions shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Idaho.

1

2

19

46. In 2019, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 78 prescriptions
shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Idaho, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana,
North Carolina, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, and Washington.

6 47. In 2020, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 82 prescriptions to patients in
7 Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York,
8 Ohio, Oregon, and Washington. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was not
9 registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of
10 pharmacy.

48. In 2020, Respondent Ingram was the verifying pharmacist for 27 of prescriptions
shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Indiana, Kentucky, New Hampshire, New York,
and Washington.

14 49. In 2021, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 6 prescriptions to patients in New
15 York and Indiana. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was not registered or
16 licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of pharmacy.

17 50. In 2021, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 2 prescriptions
18 shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Indiana.

OTHER MATTERS

Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 issued to Casella 51. 20 California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy is suspended, revoked or placed on probation, 21 Respondent Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, 22 member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if 23 24 Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is reinstated if the permit is revoked. 25 52. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 26 issued to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram is suspended, revoked, or placed on probation, Respondent 27 Ingram shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, 28

| 1 | director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if Registered Pharmacist | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | License Number RPH 66393 is placed on probation, or until Registered Pharmacist License | | | | |
| 3 | Number RPH 66393 is reinstated if the license is revoked. | | | | |
| 4 | 53. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Registered Pharmacist License Number 55413 issued to | | | | |
| 5 | Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen is suspended, revoked, or placed on probation, Respondent | | | | |
| 6 | Holtzhausen shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, | | | | |
| 7 | officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if Registered | | | | |
| 8 | Pharmacist License Number 55413 is placed on probation, or until Registered Pharmacist License | | | | |
| 9 | Number 55413 is reinstated if the license is revoked. | | | | |
| 10 | PRAYER | | | | |
| 11 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | | | | |
| 12 | and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: | | | | |
| 13 | 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893, issued to Casella | | | | |
| 14 | California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy; | | | | |
| 15 | 2. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393, issued | | | | |
| 16 | to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram; | | | | |
| 17 | 3. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 55413, issued | | | | |
| 18 | to Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen; | | | | |
| 19 | 4. Prohibiting Casella California Inc. from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, | | | | |
| 20 | member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if | | | | |
| 21 | Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacy Permit Number | | | | |
| 22 | PHY 56893 is reinstated if the permit is revoked; | | | | |
| 23 | 5. Prohibiting Kimberleigh Marie Ingram from serving as a manager, administrator, | | | | |
| 24 | owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if | | | | |
| 25 | Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 is placed on probation, or until Registered | | | | |
| 26 | Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 is reinstated if the license is revoked; | | | | |
| 27 | 6. Prohibiting Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen from serving as a manager, administrator, | | | | |
| 28 | owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | |

| 1 | Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 55413 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacist | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | License Number RPH 55413 is reinstated if the license is revoked; | | | | | |
| 3 | 7. | Ordering Casella Cal | ifornia Inc | ., dba Desert Rx | Pharmacy, Kimberleigh Marie | |
| 4 | Ingram, an | d Rosanna Pamela Ho | ltzhausen t | o pay the Board | of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of | |
| 5 | the investig | gation and enforcemen | nt of this ca | se, pursuant to B | usiness and Professions Code section | |
| 6 | 125.3; and, | , | | | | |
| 7 | 8. | Taking such other an | d further a | ction as deemed 1 | necessary and proper. | |
| 8 | | | | Sodergren, | Digitally signed by Sodergren, | |
| 9 | DATED: | 2/2/2024 | | Anne@DCA ANNE SODER | Anne@DCA Date: 2024.02.02 22:12:35 -08'00' | |
| 10 | | | | Executive Offic Board of Pharm | er | |
| 11 | | | | Department of C State of Californ | Consumer Affairs | |
| 12 | | | | Complainant | IIIa | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | SD20228016 | | | | | |
| 15 | 84230105.do | DCX | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | 20 | | |
| | (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | | | | |