1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORI BOARD OF P	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CO	DNSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7333
12	CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC. DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER <u>AS</u> TO CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC. DBA
13	VIRGINIA CASELLA, CEO/OWNER, <i>et al.</i> 73-091 Country Club Drive, Ste. A4	DESERT RX PHARMACY ONLY
14	Palm Desert, CA 92260	[Gov. Code, § 11520]
15	Pharmacy License No. PHY 56893	
16	Respondent.	
17		1
18	FINDINGS	<u>OF FACT</u>
19	1. On or about February 2, 2024, Compla	ainant Anne Sodergren, in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	v (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs,
21	filed First Amended Accusation Number 7333 aga	inst Casella California Inc., dba Desert Rx
22	Pharmacy (Respondent), et al. (First Amended Ad	ccusation attached as Exhibit A.)
23	2. On or about October 15, 2018, the Boa	ard issued Pharmacy License Number PHY
24	56893 to Respondent. The Pharmacy License exp	ired on June 1, 2021, and has not been
25	renewed.	
26	3. On or about February 14, 2024, Respo	ndent was served by Certified and First Class
27	Mail copies of the First Amended Accusation Nun	nber 7333, Statement to Respondents, Notice of
28	Defense and Request for Discovery, and Discover	
		A CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 7333

1	11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and				
2	Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board.				
3	Respondent's address of record was and is: 73-091 Country Club Drive, Ste. A4, Palm Desert, CA				
4	92260.				
5	4. Service of the First Amended Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the				
6	provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business and Professions				
7	Code section 124.				
8	5. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states:				
9	The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all				
10	parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its				
11	discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.				
12	6. The Board takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to				
13	file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the First Amended Accusation,				
14	and therefore waived its right to a hearing on the merits of First Amended Accusation Number				
15	7333.				
16	7. California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states:				
17	If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions				
18	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent				
19					
20	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds				
21	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the				
22	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,				
23	finds that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation Number 7333, are separately				
24	and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.				
25	9. The Board finds that Respondent's proportional share of the actual costs for				
26	Investigation and Enforcement are \$22,743.16 as of March 14, 2024.				
27	///				
28	///				
	2 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY)				
	DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 7333				

DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 7333

1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Casella California Inc., dba
3	Desert Rx Pharmacy has subjected its Pharmacy License No. PHY 56893 to discipline.
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
5	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy License
6	based upon the following violations alleged in the First Amended Accusation, which are
7	supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this
8	case:
9	a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
10	subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision
11	(a), and Code section 4040, subdivision (c), in that Respondent dispensed uncertain prescriptions.
12	b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
13	subdivision (f), for the commission actions involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or
14	corruption. From June 3, 2020, to December 1, 2020, Respondent filled six prescriptions that the
15	patients did not request and without the patients' consent. In addition, on or about December 29,
16	2020, Respondent sent fraudulent prescription requests to a physician.
17	c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
18	subdivision (o), for violating Code section 4059.5, subdivision (e), in that Respondent shipped
19	dangerous drugs to patients in 26 states in violation of those states' laws.
20	4. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 issued to
21	Respondent is suspended, revoked, or placed on probation, then Respondent shall be prohibited
22	from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner
23	of a licensee until pharmacy license is reinstated.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	/// 2
	3 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY
	DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 733

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy License No. PHY 56893, issued to Respondent Casella	
3	California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy, is revoked.	
4	Pursuant to Code section 4307, Casella California Inc. shall be prohibited from serving as a	
5	manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee.	
6	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
7	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
8	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
9	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
10	This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 23, 2024.	
11	It is so ORDERED on April 23, 2024.	
12		
13	Jerry W. Ch. Phys. D	
14	Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President	
15	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	83989361.DOCX SD2022801673	
26	Attachment:	
27	Exhibit A: First Amended Accusation Number 7333	
28	4	
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY	
	DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 7333	1

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 7333

(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY)

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California ERIN M. SUNSERI	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHANNON M. BRUBAKER	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 234517	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9078 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE TH	
10	BOARD OF PHAR DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	-
11	STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7333
13	CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC.,	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION
14	DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY; VIRGINIA CASELLA, CEO/OWNER	
15	73-091 Country Club Drive, Ste. A4 Palm Desert, CA 92260	
16	Permit No. PHY 56893,	
17	KIMBERLEIGH MARIE INGRAM	
18	6252 Woodpine Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92407	
19	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 66393,	
20	and	
21	ROSANNA PAMELA HOLTZHAUSEN	
22	1032 Jonabell Wy Beaumont, CA 92223	
23	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 55413	
24	Respondents.	
25		I
26		
27		
28	1	
		IA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)
		FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	PARTIES
2	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her
3	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
4	Consumer Affairs.
5	2. On or about October 15, 2018, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY
6	56893 to Casella California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy (Respondent Pharmacy). The
7	Pharmacy Permit expired on June 1, 2021, and has not been renewed. Virginia Casella
8	(Respondent Casella) was the Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, and
9	President of Respondent Pharmacy from October 14, 2018 to June 1, 2021.
10	3. On or about October 21, 2011, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License
11	Number RPH 66393 to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram (Respondent Ingram). The Registered
12	Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein
13	and will expire on June 30, 2025, unless renewed. Respondent Ingram was the Pharmacist-in-
14	Charge (PIC) of Respondent Pharmacy from October 28, 2019 to February 11, 2021.
15	4. On or about June 22, 2004, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License Number
16	55413 to Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen (Respondent Holtzhausen). The Registered Pharmacist
17	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
18	expire on July 31, 2024, unless renewed. Respondent Holtzhausen was the Pharmacist-in-Charge
19	(PIC) of Respondent Pharmacy from November 7, 2018 to June 4, 2019 and from February 11,
20	2021 to June 1, 2021.
21	JURISDICTION
22	5. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the
23	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless
24	otherwise indicated.
25	6. Section 4300 of the Code states:
26	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
27	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,
28	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
	2
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	(1) Suspending judgment.
2	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
3	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
4	(4) Revoking his or her license.
5	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in
6	its discretion may deem proper.
7	
8	(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The
9	action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
10 11	7. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license
13	by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a
14	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render
15	a decision suspending or revoking the license.
16	8. Section 4307 of the Code states:
17	(a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been
18	revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control
19	of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on
20	probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had
21	knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving
22	as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
23	(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed
24	on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
25	(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until
26	the license is issued or reinstated.
27	
28	3
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)
	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1 2	(b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
3	(c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed
4	pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a
5	person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as
6 7	required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.
8	1
9	(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
10	(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until
11	the license is issued or reinstated.
12	(b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or
13	partner," as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in that capacity in or for a licensee.
14	(c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of
15 16	the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as
17 18	required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.
19	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
20	9. Section 4040 of the Code states:
21	(a) "Prescription" means an oral, written, or electronic transmission order that is both of the following:
22 23	(1) Given individually for the person or persons for whom ordered that includes all of the following:
24	(A) The name or names and address of the patient or patients.
25 26	(B) The name and quantity of the drug or device prescribed and the directions for use.
	(C) The date of issue.
27	
28	///
	4 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RA PHARMACT, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1 (D) Either rubber stamped, typed, or printed by hand or typeset, the name, address, and telephone number of the prescriber, his or her license classification, and 2 his or her federal registry number, if a controlled substance is prescribed. 3 (E) A legible, clear notice of the condition or purpose for which the drug is being prescribed, if requested by the patient or patients. 4 (F) If in writing, signed by the prescriber issuing the order, or the certified 5 nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3640.5, respectively, or the pharmacist who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 4052.1, 6 4052.2, or 4052.6. 7 (2) Issued by a physician, dentist, optometrist, podiatrist, veterinarian, or 8 naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7 or, if a drug order is issued pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3460.5, by a certified nurse-midwife, nurse 9 practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor licensed in this state, or pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6 by a pharmacist licensed in this state. 10 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), a written order of the prescriber for a 11 dangerous drug, except for any Schedule II controlled substance, that contains at least the name and signature of the prescriber, the name and address of the patient in a 12 manner consistent with paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 11164 of the Health and Safety Code, the name and quantity of the drug prescribed, directions for 13 use, and the date of issue may be treated as a prescription by the dispensing pharmacist as long as any additional information required by subdivision (a) is readily 14 retrievable in the pharmacy. In the event of a conflict between this subdivision and Section 11164 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 11164 of the Health and 15 Safety Code shall prevail. 16 (c) "Electronic transmission prescription" includes both image and data prescriptions. "Electronic image transmission prescription" means any prescription 17 order for which a facsimile of the order is received by a pharmacy from a licensed prescriber. "Electronic data transmission prescription" means any prescription order, 18 other than an electronic image transmission prescription, that is electronically transmitted from a licensed prescriber to a pharmacy. 19 (d) The use of commonly used abbreviations shall not invalidate an otherwise 20 valid prescription. 21 (e) Nothing in the amendments made to this section (formerly Section 4036) at the 1969 Regular Session of the Legislature shall be construed as expanding or 22 limiting the right that a chiropractor, while acting within the scope of his or her license, may have to prescribe a device. 23 10. Section 4059.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part: 24 25 (e) A dangerous drug or dangerous device shall not be transferred, sold, or delivered to a person outside this state, whether foreign or domestic, unless the 26 transferor, seller, or deliverer does so in compliance with the laws of this state and of the United States and of the state or country to which the dangerous drugs or 27 dangerous devices are to be transferred, sold, or delivered. Compliance with the laws of this state and the United States and of the state or country to which the dangerous 28 drugs or dangerous devices are to be delivered shall include, but not be limited to, 5 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	determining that the recipient of the dangerous drugs or dangerous devices is authorized by law to receive the dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.	
2	autionized by have to receive the dangerous drugs of dangerous devices.	
3	11. Section 4113 of the Code states:	
4	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that	
5	pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.	
6	(b) The proposed pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the	
7	board. The board shall not issue or renew a pharmacy license without identification of an approved pharmacist-in-charge for the pharmacy.	
8	(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
9	with an state and rederar laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
10		
11	12. Section 4156 of the Code states:	
12	A pharmacy corporation shall not do, or fail to do, any act where doing or failing to do the act would constitute upprofessional conduct under any statute or	
13	be bound by the laws and regulations that apply to a person licensed under this	
14	chapter.	
15	13. Section 4301 of the Code states:	
16 17	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
18		
19	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud,	
20	deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.	
21		
22	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter	
23	or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal	
24	regulatory agency.	
25		
26		
27	///	
28		
	6	
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS		
2	14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 provides:		
3	(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains		
4	any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.		
5 6	(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate		
7	medical purpose.		
8	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>		
9	15. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the		
10	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of		
11	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
12	enforcement of the case.		
13	DEFINITIONS		
14	16. Betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code		
15	section 4022, indicated for the treatment of dermatoses.		
16	17. Calcipotriene 0.005% cream (brand name: Dovonex) is a dangerous drug pursuant to		
17	Code section 4022, indicated for the treatment of psoriasis.		
18	18. Desoximetasone 0.05% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022,		
19	indicated for the treatment of dermatoses and psoriasis.		
20	19. Lidocaine 2.5% – prilocaine 2.5% cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code		
21	section 4022, indicated for the treatment of pain.		
22	20. Lidocaine ointment is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, indicated for		
23	the treatment of pain.		
24	21. Fluocinonide cream is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, indicated for		
25	the treatment of dermatoses and psoriasis.		
26	22. Omega-3 ethyl esters (brand name: Lovaza) is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code		
27	section 4022, indicated for the treatment of high triglycerides.		
28	///		
	7		
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2019 Inspection

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

23. On or about November 8, 2019, Board Inspectors AB and ED performed an inspection of Respondent Pharmacy, which was located in a large shopping plaza in Palm Desert, California. Respondent Ingram, who had started as the PIC approximately two weeks earlier, was present and assisted with the inspection. Respondent Ingram was hired by "the corporate office" in Florida.

24. The majority of Respondent Pharmacy's business in November 2019 came from mail 8 9 order prescriptions for creams, diabetic supplies such as test trips, lancets, and alcohol pads, which were delivered via UPS to patients throughout California. Respondent Pharmacy received 10 electronic prescriptions from the Florida corporate office. Staff members in Florida entered the 11 prescriptions into the PioneerRx software system and electronically transmitted them to 12 Respondent Pharmacy. Respondent Ingram then verified the prescriptions with MP3 recordings 13 14 in WellNet (a healthcare website). If Respondent Ingram had questions about the prescriptions, she sent a message to the Florida corporate office for further verification. 15

25. On June 8, 2021, the Board issued Citation Number CI 2018 84335 to Respondent 16 Pharmacy, Citation Number CI 2020 91375 to Respondent Ingram, and Citation Number CI 2020 17 91374 to Respondent Holtzhausen for unprofessional conduct and violation of Pharmacy law 18 based on the above-described inspection. Specifically, Respondent Pharmacy processed 19 prescriptions, including audio MP3 message files, for patients using WellNet staff located in 20Florida. Respondent Pharmacy allowed the WellNet staff members to perform tasks that would 21 normally be completed within a licensed pharmacy outside of a licensed pharmacy in violation of 22 Code section 4301, subdivision (o), California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1717.1, 23 24 subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1793.3, subdivision (a). **Investigation of Medicaid Health Plan Complaint** 25 26. On September 24, 2020, the Board received an online complaint from a pharmacist at 26

- 27 the Medicaid Health Plan in San Mateo County (HPSM) stating that Respondent Pharmacy had
- 28

1	billed for large quantities of creams and alcohol pads based on prescriptions that were not					
2	legitimate.					
3	27. Th	e Board opened an	investigation and	d obtained claims	data from HPSM, as well as	
4	the correspond	ing prescription re	cords and dispens	sing data from Res	spondent Pharmacy. The	
5	Board inspecto	or also contacted th	e providers ident	ified in Responder	nt Pharmacy's records to	
6	determine whe	ther the prescription	ons identified by]	HPSM were legiting	mate.	
7	28. Ba	sed on the informa	tion developed d	uring the investiga	ation, the inspector	
8	determined the	following prescrip	ptions were "unce	ertain," pursuant to	Code section 4040,	
9	subdivision (c)	, and California Co	ode of Regulatior	ns, title 16, section	1761, subdivision (a):	
10		 				
11	Rx Number	Medication	Prescriber's Initials	Pharmacist for Initial Fill	Uncertain Prescription Analysis	
12	(Date Initially					
13	Dispensed) 51483	Calcipotriene	NK	RH	The document was a fax	
14	$(11/15/18^1)$	0.005% cream			prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription and pa	
15 16					the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 	51484 (11/15/18 ²)	Fluocinonide 0.1% cream	NK	RH	The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber. Prescriber NK's signature looked different from a different (legitimate) prescription by NK.	
23 24 25						
26	$\frac{1}{1}$ Rx Nu	umber 51483 was 1	refilled by Respon	ndent Pharmacy of	n June 26, 2019, and	
27		umber 51484 was i	refilled by Respon	ndent Pharmacy of	n January 29, 2019; June 26,	
28	2019; and Sept	tember 24, 2019.				
	9 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)					
		FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION				

1 2	53574 (6/20/19 ³)	Calcipotriene 0.005% cream	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no	
3					indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.	
5	62487 (6/26/19 ⁴)	Desoximetasone 0.05% cream	HL	АН	The header on this fax prescription did not match	
6 7					the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist	
8					verified the prescription with the prescriber.	
9	62489 (6/26/19 ⁵)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine	HL	АН	The header on this fax prescription did not match	
10 11		2.5% cream			the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription	
12					with the prescriber.	
13	77282 (9/16/20 ⁶)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream	HL	АН	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax	
14 15 16					number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.	
17 17 18 19 20	77284 (9/16/20 ⁷)	Desoximetasone 0.05% cream	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.	
20						
22						
23						
24	$\frac{3}{3}$ Rx N	umber 53574 was r	efilled by Respor	ident Pharmacy of	n September 24, 2019;	
25	December 19, ⁴ Rx N	2019; March 20, 20 umber 62487 was r)20; and June 16, efilled by Respor	2020. Ident Pharmacy of	n September 24, 2019;	
26	December 19, ⁵ Rx N	2019; March 20, 20 umber 62489 was r	20; and June 16, efilled by Respon	2020. Ident Pharmacy of	n June 26, 2019; September	
27 28	 24, 2019; December 19, 2019; March 20, 2020; and June 16, 2020. ⁶ Rx Number 77282 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on December 14, 2020. ⁷ Rx Number 77284 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on December 14, 2020. 					
20	10					
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION					

77285 ⁸ (9/16/20)	Calcipotriene 0.005% cream	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
62490 (6/26/19 ⁹)	Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
70773 (11/26/19 ¹⁰)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream	HL	Respondent Ingram	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
70774 (11/26/19 ¹¹)	Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box	HL	Respondent Ingram	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
79726 (11/10/20)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
79727 (11/10/20)	Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box	HL	AH	The header on this fax prescription did not match the prescriber's fax number, and there was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
⁹ Rx Nu December 19, ¹⁰ Rx N	umber 62490 was r 2019; March 20, 20 umber 70773 was	efilled by Res)20; and June refilled by Re	pondent Pharmacy 16, 2020.	on December 14, 2020. on September 24, 2019; on February 18, 2020; May
18, 2020; and on August 12, 2020. ¹¹ Rx Number 70774 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on February 18, 2020; May 18, 2020; and on August 12, 2020. 11				

73086 (6/18/20 ¹²)	Calcipotriene 0.005% cream	AS	Respondent Ingram	Prescription document did not have prescriber's fax
				header and did not have prescriber's signature. There was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
73087 (6/5/20 ¹³)	Lidocaine 5% ointment	AS	Respondent Ingram	Prescription document did not have prescriber's fax header and did not have prescriber's signature. There was no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
69513 (10/23/19 ¹⁴)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream	AY	Respondent Ingram	The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
69514 (10/23/19 ¹⁵)	Sterile alcohol prep pads 100/box	AY	Respondent Ingram	The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
93225 (1/21/21)	Lidocaine 2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream	AY	AH	The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
15, 2021.				ember 25, 2020 and January
14, 2020.				ember 15, 2020 and Decembe
 ¹⁴ Rx 69513 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on January 13, 2020; April 13, 2020; July 13, 2020; and October 12, 2020. ¹⁵ Rx 69514 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on January 13, 2020, and April 13, 2020; July 13, 2020; and October 12, 2020. 				

1 2 3 4	72628 (2/24/20)	Calcipotriene 0.005% cream	AA	Respondent Ingram	The document was a fax prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
5	72630	Lidocaine	AA	Respondent	The document was a fax
5 6	(2/24/20)	2.5%/Prilocaine 2.5% cream		Ingram	prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no
7					indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
8	72629	Sterile alcohol	AA	Respondent	The document was a fax
9 10	(2/24/20)	prep pads 100/box		Ingram	prescription with no indication of the source of the prescription, and no
11					indication the pharmacist verified the prescription with the prescriber.
12	52014				-
13	$72814 (3/5/20^{16})$	Omega 3 acid ethyl ester capsule 1g	AA	Respondent Ingram	This fax prescription was on letterhead for "MIHS, Inc." which entity does not
14 15					exist according to the California Secretary of State business search. The
16					fax number in the header did not match the prescriber's listed fax
17					number.
18		-			<u> </u>]
19	29. The medications referenced above are highly reimbursable creams, ointments, and				
20	alcohol pads.	According to the B	oard inspector's f	inancial analysis,	the total amount reimbursed
21	by insurance for the initial fill of the uncertain prescriptions was \$8,844.71, and the total				
22	reimbursement amount based on the number of refills for the uncertain prescriptions was				
23	\$25,083.30.				
24	Investigation of North Coast Family Medical Group Complaint				
25	30. On January 4, 2021, the Board received an online complaint from LH at North Coast				
26	Family Medical Group (NCFMG) stating that NCFMG had received two requests for medications				
27					
28	¹⁶ Rx Number 72814 was refilled by Respondent Pharmacy on June 3, 2020 and September 24, 2020.				
	13 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)				
					RST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	– on March 7, 2019 and December 29, 2020 – from Respondent Pharmacy that were purportedly
2	prescribed by Dr. JH to patient ETA. NCFMG had no record of the medications being prescribed
3	to patient ETA. In addition, Dr. JH retired in June 2020, before the second prescription request
4	was faxed. NCFMG contacted patient ETA, who confirmed that she did not use those
5	medications and had no knowledge of Respondent Pharmacy. NCFMG did not authorize the
6	prescriptions.
7	31. NCFMG provided the Board with a copy of the second prescription request from
8	Respondent Pharmacy. The request contained prescription forms for calcipotriene 0.005% cream
9	and betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% topical cream with the patient's information, medication
10	name, strength, directions, and prescriber's name pre-printed on them.
11	32. The pre-populated prescription forms sent to NCFMG for patient ETA appeared
12	similar to the pre-populated prescription forms sent to Dr. HL for Prescription Numbers 53574,
13	62487, 62489, 62490, 77282, 77284, 77285, 70773, 70774, 79726, and 79727; Dr. AY for
14	Prescription Numbers 69513, 69514, and 93225; and to Dr. AA for Prescription Numbers 72814,
15	72628, 72629, and 72630, referenced above.
16	33. On February 16, 2021, Respondent Ingram called Board Inspector AB and advised
17	that she was no longer the PIC for Respondent Pharmacy as of February 12, 2021. Respondent
18	Ingram was told that "there was another investigation" and she had "had enough." Respondent
19	Ingram said Respondent Pharmacy's business practice remained the same as it was during the
20	2019 inspection (described above) and that nothing had changed.
21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Dispensing Uncertain Prescriptions against Respondent Pharmacy and
23	Respondent Ingram)
24	34. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Ingram are subject to disciplinary action
25	under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16,
26	section 1761, subdivision (a), and Code section 4040, subdivision (c), in that Respondent
27	Pharmacy and Respondent Ingram dispensed uncertain prescriptions, as described in paragraphs
28	
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	23 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference. Respondent Pharmacy					
2	reimbursed for the uncertain prescriptions in the total sum of \$25,083.20.					
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE					
4	(Failure to Ensure Compliance with Pharmacy Law against Respondent Ingram)					
5	35. Respondent Ingram is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4113,					
6	subdivision (c), for failing to ensure Respondent Pharmacy's compliance with California Code of					
7	Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), and Code section 4040, subdivision (c).					
8	Specifically, while serving as pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy from October 28,					
9	2019, to February 11, 2021, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed uncertain prescriptions, as					
10	described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.					
11	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE					
12	(Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit against Respondent Pharmacy)					
13	36. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,					
14	subdivision (f), for the commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud,					
15	deceit, or corruption. The circumstances are described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which					
16	are incorporated here by reference, and as follows:					
17	37. From June 23, 2020, to December 1, 2020, Respondent Pharmacy filled six					
18	prescriptions that the patients did not request and without the patients' consent.					
19	38. On or about December 29, 2020, Respondent Pharmacy sent fraudulent prescription					
20	requests to Dr. JH for patient ETA.					
21	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE					
22	(Failure to Ensure Compliance with Pharmacy Law against Respondent Ingram)					
23	39. Respondent Ingram is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4113,					
24	subdivision (c), for failing to ensure Respondent Pharmacy's compliance with the laws pertaining					
25	to the practice of pharmacy. During the time Respondent Ingram was PIC, Respondent Pharmacy					
26	violated Code section 4301, subdivision (f), when Respondent Pharmacy filled six prescriptions					
27	that the patients did not request and without the patients' consent, and Respondent Pharmacy sent					
28	///					
	15					
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION					

1	fraudulent prescription requests to Dr. JH for patient ETA, as described in paragraphs 23 throug				
2	33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.				
3	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE				
4	(Shipping to States Not Authorized to Receive Dangerous Drugs/Devices)				
5	40. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Ingram, and Respondent Holtzhausen are subject				
6	to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating Code section				
7	4059.5, subdivision (e), in that Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Ingram, and Respondent				
8	Holtzhausen shipped dangerous drugs to patients in states in violation of those states' laws. The				
9	circumstances are described in paragraphs 23 through 33 above, which are incorporated here by				
10	reference, and as follows:				
11	41. Each of the following states requires an out-of-state/nonresident pharmacy shipping				
12	prescription drugs to their state to be registered or licensed with, or hold a valid permit issued by,				
13	their respective state agency charged with enforcing the laws governing pharmacy:				
14	• Arkansas (Ark. Code Ann. § 17-92-401)				
15	• Arizona (Ariz. Admin. Code R4-23-607)				
16	• Colorado (Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 12-280-133)				
17	Idaho (Idaho Code § 54-1729)				
18	• Illinois (Ill. Admin. Code tit. 68, § 1330.550)				
19	• Indiana (Ind. Code Ann. § 25-26-17-2)				
20	• Kentucky (Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 315.0351)				
21	Michigan (Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 333.17748)				
22	• Missouri (Mo. Code Regs. tit. 20 § 2220-2.025)				
23	• Minnesota (Minn. R. 6800.0300)				
24	• Montana (Mont.Admin.R. 24.174.1001)				
25	• North Carolina (21 N.C. Admin. Code 46.1607)				
26	• New Hampshire (N.H. Rev. Stat. § 318:37)				
27	• New Jersey (N.J. Admin. Code 13:39-4.20)				
28	• Nevada (Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 639.2328)				
	16 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION				

1	• New York (N.Y. Educ. Law § 6808-b)		
2	• Ohio (Ohio Admin. Code 4729:5-8-01)		
3	• Oklahoma (OK ADC 535:15-3-9 ¹⁷)		
4	• Oregon (OAR 855-041-1060)		
5	• Pennsylvania (63 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 390-4.1)		
6	• Tennessee (Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1140-0108(3))		
7	• Texas (22 Tex. Admin. Code § 291.104)		
8	• Virginia (Va. Code Ann. § 54.1-3434.1)		
9	• Washington (Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 18.64.360)		
10	• Wisconsin (Wis. Stat. Ann. § 450.065)		
11	• Wyoming (Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-24-152)		
12	42. In 2018, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 486 prescriptions to patients in		
13	Arkansas, Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, North		
14	Carolina, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia,		
15	Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was		
16	not registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of		
17	pharmacy.		
18	43. In 2018, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 214 prescriptions		
19	shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Arkansas, Arizona, Idaho, Michigan, Missouri,		
20	Montana, Nevada, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, and		
21	Wyoming.		
22	44. In 2019, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 105 prescriptions to patients in		
23	Colorado, Idaho, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, North Carolina, Nevada, Ohio,		
24	Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, and Washington. At the time of the shipments, Respondent		
25	Pharmacy was not registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the		
26	states' boards of pharmacy.		
27			
28	¹⁷ Oklahoma Administrative Code section 535:15-3-9 was withdrawn effective May 5, 2023.		
	17 (CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.)		
	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		

45. In 2019, Respondent Ingram was the verifying pharmacist for 2 prescriptions shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Idaho.

1

2

19

46. In 2019, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 78 prescriptions
shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Idaho, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana,
North Carolina, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, and Washington.

6 47. In 2020, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 82 prescriptions to patients in
7 Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York,
8 Ohio, Oregon, and Washington. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was not
9 registered or licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of
10 pharmacy.

48. In 2020, Respondent Ingram was the verifying pharmacist for 27 of prescriptions
shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Indiana, Kentucky, New Hampshire, New York,
and Washington.

14 49. In 2021, Respondent Pharmacy shipped a total of 6 prescriptions to patients in New
15 York and Indiana. At the time of the shipments, Respondent Pharmacy was not registered or
16 licensed with, and did not hold a valid permit issued by, the states' boards of pharmacy.

17 50. In 2021, Respondent Holtzhausen was the verifying pharmacist for 2 prescriptions
18 shipped by Respondent Pharmacy to patients in Indiana.

OTHER MATTERS

Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 issued to Casella 51. 20 California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy is suspended, revoked or placed on probation, 21 Respondent Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, 22 member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if 23 24 Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is reinstated if the permit is revoked. 25 52. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 26 issued to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram is suspended, revoked, or placed on probation, Respondent 27 Ingram shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, 28

1	director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if Registered Pharmacist				
2	License Number RPH 66393 is placed on probation, or until Registered Pharmacist License				
3	Number RPH 66393 is reinstated if the license is revoked.				
4	53. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Registered Pharmacist License Number 55413 issued to				
5	Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen is suspended, revoked, or placed on probation, Respondent				
6	Holtzhausen shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member,				
7	officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if Registered				
8	Pharmacist License Number 55413 is placed on probation, or until Registered Pharmacist License				
9	Number 55413 is reinstated if the license is revoked.				
10	<u>PRAYER</u>				
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,				
12	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:				
13	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893, issued to Casella				
14	California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy;				
15	2. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393, issued				
16	to Kimberleigh Marie Ingram;				
17	3. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 55413, issued				
18	to Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen;				
19	4. Prohibiting Casella California Inc. from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,				
20	member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if				
21	Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 56893 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacy Permit Number				
22	PHY 56893 is reinstated if the permit is revoked;				
23	5. Prohibiting Kimberleigh Marie Ingram from serving as a manager, administrator,				
24	owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if				
25	Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 is placed on probation, or until Registered				
26	Pharmacist License Number RPH 66393 is reinstated if the license is revoked;				
27	6. Prohibiting Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen from serving as a manager, administrator,				
28	owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board for five years if				
	19				
	(CASELLA CALIFORNIA INC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION				

Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 55413 is placed on probation, or until Pharmacist				
License Number RPH 55413 is reinstated if the license is revoked;				
7.	7. Ordering Casella California Inc., dba Desert Rx Pharmacy, Kimberleigh Marie			
Ingram, and	d Rosanna Pamela Hol	tzhausen to pay the Board	d of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of	
the investig	gation and enforcement	t of this case, pursuant to	Business and Professions Code section	
125.3; and,				
8.	Taking such other and	d further action as deemed	d necessary and proper.	
		Sodergren,	Digitally signed by Sodergren,	
DATED:	2/2/2024	Anne@DCA	Anne@DCA Date: 2024.02.02 22:12:35 -08'00'	
		Executive Off	ĩcer	
		Department of	f Consumer Affairs	
		Complainant	onna	
84230105.do)CX			
		20		
			NC., DBA DESERT RX PHARMACY, et al.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
	License Nu 7. Ingram, an the investig 125.3; and 8. DATED: SD20228016	License Number RPH 55413 is re 7. Ordering Casella Cali Ingram, and Rosanna Pamela Hol the investigation and enforcement 125.3; and,	License Number RPH 55413 is reinstated if the license is r 7. Ordering Casella California Inc., dba Desert R Ingram, and Rosanna Pamela Holtzhausen to pay the Boar the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to 125.3; and, 8. Taking such other and further action as deemed DATED: 2/2/2024 Sodergren, Anne@DCA ANNE SODE Executive Off Board of Phar Department o State of Califi Complainant SD2022801673 84230105.docx	