I	I	ı	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		LG N. 7000	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7202	
13	SABRINA TAYLOR DAVIS 522 Catalina Blvd.		
14	San Diego, CA 92106	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 132864	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16			
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	1. On or about November 18, 2021, Complainant Anne Sodergren, in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
22	filed Accusation No. 7202 against Sabrina Taylor Davis (Respondent) before the Board of		
23	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
24	2. On or about June 6, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 132864 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 7202		
27	and will expire on June 30, 2023, unless renewed.		
28			
	(SARRINA TAYLOR DA	VIS) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 7202	

- 3. On or about December 7, 2021, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 7202, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is 522 Catalina Blvd., San Diego, CA 92106.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Board takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 7202.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 7202, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7202, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. The Board finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$3,028.75 as of January 3, 2022.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Sabrina Taylor Davis has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 132864 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under code sections 490 and 4301, subdivisions (h), (k), and (l), in that Respondent was convicted in the San Diego Superior Court of driving under the influence of alcohol on or about April 12, 2019 and on November 17, 2020, under case numbers M257593 and M266470. Respondent has further subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under code section 4301, subdivision (a) and (f), in that Respondent misrepresented her criminal conviction history in the license renewal applications she submitted to the Board in 2019 and 2021, wherein she represented that she had not been convicted of a crime since her prior renewal.

///

17 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18 ///

19 ///

20 | ///

///

///

22 | ///

21

23

24 | ///

25 ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

28

ORDER 1 2 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 132864, issued to Respondent Sabrina Taylor Davis, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 5 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 7 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 9, 2022 at 5.m. 8 It is so ORDERED on February 7, 2022. 9 FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 By 14 Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. 15 83204331.DOCX **Board President** SD2021801998 16 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Symposising Deputy Attorney Consul			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL M. KARIMI			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 260906 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
5				
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9607			
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant			
8				
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA		
12		G . W . 5000		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7202		
14	SABRINA TAYLOR DAVIS 522 Catalina Blvd.	ACCUSATION		
15	San Diego, CA 92106			
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 132864			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19 20	DA DTIES			
20 21	PARTIES 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.			
23	2. On or about June 6, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration			
24	Number TCH 132864 to Sabrina Taylor Davis (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician			
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and			
26	will expire on June 30, 2023, unless renewed.			
27	///			
28	///			
		1		

26

27

28

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (c), states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, the board will consider whether the licensee made a showing of rehabilitation and is presently fit for a license, if the licensee completed the criminal sentence at issue without a violation of parole or probation. In making this determination, the board will consider the criteria in subdivisions (b)(1)(A) through (E). If the licensee has not completed the criminal sentence at issue without a violation of parole or probation or the board determines that the licensee did not make the showing of rehabilitation based on the criteria in subdivisions (b)(1)(A) through (E), the board will apply the following criteria in evaluating the licensee's rehabilitation:

- (1) Nature and gravity of the act(s) or offenses.
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offenses.
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) The criteria in subdivisions (b)(1)(A) through (E), as applicable.
- (6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee, including as provided in the board's Disciplinary Guidelines, identified in section 1760.
- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
- (a) For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the

summary probation for three years, with standard alcohol conditions, and ordered to complete a three-month First Offender DUI program, the Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) program, and seven days of labor in the Public Service Program. Respondent was also ordered to pay court fines and to install an ignition interlock device in any vehicle she operates for three months.

14. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on March 1, 2019, officers with the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) responded to a reported traffic collision involving two vehicles. Upon their arrival, SDPD officers made contact with Respondent and an injured party who was being treated for whiplash. While speaking with Respondent, an officer detected the odor of alcohol emitting from her person and noticed that Respondent had an unsteady gait, droopy eyes, and slurred speech. Respondent appeared incoherent and had trouble understanding basic questions. Respondent admitted to consuming an alcoholic beverage prior to driving. Respondent refused to participate in field sobriety testing (FST) and a preliminary alcohol screening. Respondent was arrested for DUI (Veh. Code section 23152, subd. (a)). During processing, Respondent submitted to a breath test and provided two samples which registered her BAC at .177 and .187 percent, respectively.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(November 17, 2020 Criminal Conviction for DUI on October 24, 2019)

15. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (*l*), because she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. On or about November 17, 2020, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Sabrina Taylor Davis*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M266470, Respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of DUI while having a BAC of 0.08 percent or more – prior DUI within 10 years (Veh. Code section 23152, subd. (b)), a misdemeanor. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to 90 days in the custody of the San Diego County Sheriff's Department. The custody was stayed, however, and Respondent was ordered to complete 10 days in CPAC. Respondent was granted summary probation for five years, with standard alcohol conditions, and was ordered to complete a

Multiple Offender DUI program, 80 hours of community service and to pay court fines and fees.

16. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on October 24, 2019, at about 12:20 a.m., SDPD officers responded to a reported traffic collision. Upon their arrival, officers made contact with Respondent while she was still in her vehicle and they observed that she had collided with two parked vehicles. While speaking with Respondent, an officer detected the odor of alcohol on Respondent's breath and noticed that she had bloodshot/watery eyes. A records check revealed that Respondent was on probation for a prior DUI. During the DUI investigation, Respondent did not perform the FSTs as directed and she refused the preliminary alcohol screening even after she was informed that it was a condition of her probation. Respondent was arrested for DUI (Veh. Code section 23152, subd. (a)). While in the police vehicle, Respondent began kicking the door of the vehicle and yelling at officers. Respondent's conduct escalated despite the officer's repeated orders to stop kicking. Officers were required to use pepper spray to subdue Respondent. During processing, Respondent submitted to a blood test, which measured her BAC at 0.234 percent.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Alcohol-Related Criminal Convictions)

17. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k), for unprofessional conduct, because on April 12, 2019, and November 17, 2020, as described above in paragraphs 13 through 16, and incorporated here by this reference, Respondent was convicted of multiple misdemeanors involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

18. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for unprofessional conduct, because on March 1, 2019, and October 24, 2019, as described above in paragraphs 13 through 16, and incorporated here by this reference, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and the public when she operated a motor vehicle while

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Procurement of a License by Misrepresentation)

- 19. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct, because Respondent misrepresented information in her 2019 and 2021 renewal applications in order to procure her pharmacy technician registration. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. In Respondent's renewal application signed under the penalty of perjury and dated May 6, 2019, Respondent marked "No" when asked if she has had any disciplinary action against a license or had been convicted of a crime as defined by Code section 490 since her last renewal. Respondent failed to disclose her April 12, 2019, DUI conviction.
- b. In Respondent's renewal application signed under the penalty of perjury and dated May 6, 2021, Respondent again marked "No" when asked if she has had any disciplinary action against a license or had been convicted of a crime as defined by Code section 490 since her last renewal. Respondent failed to disclose both her April 12, 2019, and November 17, 2020, DUI convictions.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest Acts)

20. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for unprofessional conduct, because on or about May 6, 2019, and May 6, 2021, as described above in paragraph 19, and incorporated here by this reference, Respondent committed dishonest acts by providing false information under the penalty of perjury in her license renewal applications.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 132864, issued to Respondent Sabrina Taylor Davis;

1	2.	2. Ordering Respondent Sabrina Taylor Davis to pay the Board of Pharmacy the		
2	reasonable	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and		
3	Profession	Professions Code section 125.3; and,		
4	3.	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
5				
6				
7	DATED:	11/18/2021	Signature on File	
8			ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer	
9			Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
10			State of California Complainant	
11				
12	g= -0-1001			
13	SD2021801998 83093911.docx			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			9	