BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

GLOVE WORK, INC., DBA CORBIN PHARMACY,
SCOTT KATZ, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, 100% SHAREHOLDER,
DIRECTOR, SECRETARY AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 55733,

JANE HYUN HONG
Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 70481,

and

MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN
Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 40805

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 7076

OAH No. 2022020052

DECISION AND ORDER AS TO MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN ONLY
(CASE No. 7076)
PAGE 1

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 30, 2023.

It is so ORDERED on July 31, 2023.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VINODHINI RAMAGOPAL					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 240534					
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702					
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6270					
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	STATE OF C	ALITORITA				
11	In the Motter of the Assumption Assignt	Case No. 7076				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:					
13	GLOVE WORK, INC., DBA CORBIN PHARMACY	OAH No. 2022020052				
14	19664 Ventura Blvd. Tarzana, CA 91356	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO				
15		MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN ONLY				
16	SCOTT KATZ, Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, Secretary and					
17	Chief Financial Officer					
18	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 55733,					
19	JANE HYUN HONG					
20	4143 Via Dolce #221 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292					
21	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH					
22	70481					
23	And					
24	MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN					
25	10600 Holman Ave., Apt. 1 Los Angeles, CA 90024					
26	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH					
27	40805					
28	Respondents.					
		<u>.</u> 1				

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Vinodhini Ramagopal, Deputy Attorney General.
- Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Herbert L. Weinberg, Esq., whose address is: 1990 South Bundy Drive, Suite 777, Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 3. On or about March 19, 1987, the Board of Pharmacy issued Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 to Respondent. The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 7076 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 12, 2021. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 7076 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7076. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine

the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7076, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacist License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up its right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

///

///

28

- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 issued to Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years on the following terms and conditions:

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy- two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty, or nolo contendere, no contest, or similar, in any state or federal
- criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- the filing of a disciplinary pleading, issuance of a citation, or initiation of another

administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves

///

respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall timely cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation, including but not limited to: timely responses to requests for information by board staff; timely compliance with directives from board staff regarding requirements of any term or condition of probation; and timely completion of documentation pertaining to a term or condition of probation. Failure to timely cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Reporting of Employment and Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 7076 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within ten (10) days of undertaking any new employment, respondent shall report to the board in writing the name, physical address, and mailing address of each of her employer(s), and the name(s) and telephone number(s) of all of her direct supervisor(s), as well as any pharmacist(s)-in- charge, designated representative(s)-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor(s) and the work schedule, if known. Respondent shall also include the reason(s) for leaving the prior employment. Respondent shall sign and return to the board a written consent authorizing the board or its designee to communicate with all of respondent's employer(s) and supervisor(s), and authorizing those employer(s) or supervisor(s) to communicate with the board or its designee, concerning respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring. Failure to comply with the requirements or deadlines of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause (a) her direct supervisor, (b) her pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor, and (c) the owner or owner representative of her employer, to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 7076, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. If one person serves in more than one role described in (a), (b), or (c), the acknowledgment shall so state. It shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the board. In the event of a change in the person(s) serving the role(s) described in (a), (b), or (c) during the term of probation, respondent shall cause the person(s) taking over the role(s) to report to the board in

writing within fifteen (15) days of the change acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7076, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through an employment service, respondent must notify the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at every entity licensed by the board of the decision in case number 7076, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby in advance of respondent commencing work at such licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through an employment service, respondent shall cause the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at the employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or failure to cause the identified person(s) with that/those employer(s) to submit timely written acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision includes any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief, or employment/management service position as a Pharmacist, or any position for which a Pharmacist is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Notification of Change(s) in Name, Address(es), or Phone Number(s)

Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change in name, residence address, mailing address, e-mail address or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer, name, address, or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities –

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other

compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$20,000.00. Respondent shall make said payments as follows:

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than one (1) year prior to the end date of probation.

10. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current Pharmacist License with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current Pharmacist License shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's Pharmacist License expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

///

28 | /

12. License Surrender While on Probation

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may relinquish her Pharmacist License, including any indicia of licensure issued by the board, along with a request to surrender the license. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to accept the surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish Pharmacist License pocket and/or wall license, including any indicia of licensure not previously provided to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted if not already provided. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

13. Practice Requirement – Extension of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a Pharmacist in California for a minimum of eighty (80) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall extend the period of probation by one month. During any such period of insufficient employment, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation, unless respondent receives a waiver in writing from the board or its designee.

If respondent does not practice as a Pharmacist in California for the minimum number of hours in any calendar month, for any reason (including vacation), respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the conclusion of that calendar month. This notification shall include at least: the date(s), location(s), and hours of last practice; the reason(s) for the interruption or reduction in practice; and the anticipated date(s) on which respondent will resume

practice at the required level. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days following the next calendar month during which respondent practices as a Pharmacist in California for the minimum of hours. Any failure to timely provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to be extended pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months. The board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

14. **Violation of Probation**

If respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and the board shall provide notice to respondent that probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed. The board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, or the preparation of an accusation or petition to revoke probation is requested from the Office of the Attorney General, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided, and the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7076 shall be deemed true and correct.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

27 | ///

28 /

16. Remedial Education

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to the grounds for discipline. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least six (6) hours per year at respondent's own expense. Fifty percent (50%) of the remedial education hours must be in-person or live webinar. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes for pharmacists. Additionally, respondent must complete Board training during the first year of probation.

Failure to timely submit for approval or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at her own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If the respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination that course shall not count towards satisfaction of this term. Respondent shall take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

17. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee that complies with Title 16 California Code of Regulations section 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, to initiate the course during the first year of probation, to successfully complete it before the end of the second year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

18. Supervised Practice

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, the name of a Pharmacist by and not on probation with the board, to serve as respondent's practice supervisor. Respondent will be required to have supervised practice for the first two (2) years of probation only. As part of the documentation submitted, respondent shall cause the proposed practice supervisor to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7076, and is familiar with the terms and conditions imposed thereby, including the level of supervision required by the board or its designee. This level will be determined by the board or its designee, will be communicated to the respondent on or before the effective date of this decision and shall be one of the following:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Respondent may practice only under the required level of supervision by an approved practice supervisor. If, for any reason, including change of employment, respondent is no longer supervised at the required level by an approved practice supervisor, within ten (10) days of this change in supervision respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, the name of a Pharmacist by and not on probation with the board, to serve as respondent's replacement practice supervisor. As part of the documentation submitted, respondent shall cause the proposed replacement practice supervisor to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7076, and is familiar with the terms and conditions imposed thereby, including the level of supervision required.

Any of the following shall result in the automatic suspension of practice by a respondent and shall be considered a violation of probation:

27 | ///

28 | ///

Failure to nominate an initial practice supervisor, and to have that practice supervisor report to the board in writing acknowledging the decision, terms and conditions, and supervision level, within thirty (30) days;

Failure to nominate a replacement practice supervisor, and to have that practice supervisor report to the board in writing acknowledging the decision, terms and conditions, and supervision level, within ten (10) days;

Practicing in the absence of an approved practice supervisor beyond the initial or replacement nomination period; or

Any failure to adhere to the required level of supervision.

Respondent shall not resume practice until notified in writing by the board or its designee.

During any suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or any area where dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, distributing, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances.

During any suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment and/or licensure as a Pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy or of the manufacture, distribution, wholesaling, or retailing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances.

Failure to comply with any suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. No Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell

1	or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90)					
2	days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written					
3	proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide					
4	documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.					
5	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>					
6	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully					
7	discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Weinberg, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the					
8	effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and					
9	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the					
10	Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.					
11						
12	DATED:					
13	MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN Respondent					
14	I have read and fully discussed with Despandent Mahrnez Akhayan the tarms and					
15	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan the terms and					
16	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.					
17	r approve its form and content.					
18	DATED:					
19	HERBERT L. WEINBERG, ESQ.					
20	Attorney for Respondent					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	1.4					

Page: 3 of 3

or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) 1 days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written 2 proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide 3 4 documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation. 5 ACCEPTANCE 6 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 7 discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Weinberg, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the 8 effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and 9 Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the 10 Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. 11 12 13 14 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan the terms and 15 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. 16 I approve its form and content. 17 DATED: 4/27/2023 18 19 Attorney for Respondent 20 21 111 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 14

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN ONLY (7076)

1	ENDORSEMENT					
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlemen	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully				
3	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.					
4	DATED.	De one offully, submitted				
5	DATED:	Respectfully submitted,				
6 7		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
8		Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
9						
10		VINODHINI RAMAGOPAL Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant				
11		Attorneys for Complainant				
12						
13						
14	LA2020604104					
15	65906510.docx					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		15				

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. DATED: <u>April 27, 2023</u> Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK Supervising Deputy Attorney General VINODHINI RAMAGOPAL Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2020604104 65906510.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 7076

1	ROB BONTA						
2	Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VINODHINI RAMAGOPAL						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 240534						
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone (213) 260 (270)						
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6270 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126						
7	E-mail: Vinodhini.Ramagopal@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant						
8	DEEOD						
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7076					
13	GLOVE WORK, INC., DBA CORBIN PHARMACY						
14	19664 Ventura Blvd. Tarzana, CA 91356	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION					
15							
16 17	SCOTT KATZ, Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer						
18	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 55733,						
19	JANE HYUN HONG						
20	4143 Via Dolce #221 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292						
21	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH						
22	70481						
23	And						
24	MEHRNAZ AKHAVAN 10600 Holman Ave., Apt. 1						
25	Los Angeles, CA 90024						
26	Registered Pharmacist License No. RPH 40805						
27	Respondents.						
28							
		1					

PARTIES

- 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about August 29, 2017, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 to Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy (Respondent Pharmacy). Respondent Scott Katz (Respondent Katz) is and has been the Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer since August 29, 2017. Jane Hyun Hong was the Pharmacist-in-Charge from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on February 21, 2022.
- 3. On or about March 14, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy issued Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 to Respondent Jane Hyun Hong (Respondent Hong). The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2023, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 19, 1987, the Board of Pharmacy issued Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 to Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan (Respondent Akhavan). The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

28 | ///

///

///

7. Section 4307 of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
- (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.

///

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 8. Section 4070 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- (a) Except as provided in Section 4019 and subdivision (b), an oral or an electronic data transmission prescription as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 4040 shall as soon as practicable be reduced to writing by the pharmacist and shall be filled by, or under the direction of, the pharmacist. The pharmacist need not reduce to writing the address, telephone number, license classification, federal registry number of the prescriber or the address of the patient or patients if the information is readily retrievable in the pharmacy.
 - 9. Section 4081, subdivision (a) of the Code states:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, outsourcing facility, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, licensed correctional clinic, as defined in Section 4187, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
 - 10. Section 4113 of the Code states in relevant part:
- (a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.
- (b) The proposed pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. The board shall not issue or renew a pharmacy license without identification of an approved pharmacist-in-charge for the pharmacy.

28

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
- (m) The cash compromise of a charge of violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 14000) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code relating to the Medi-Cal program.
- (n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter that would be grounds for revocation, suspension, or other discipline under this chapter. Any disciplinary action taken by the board pursuant to this section shall be coterminous with action taken by another state, except that the term of any discipline taken by the board may exceed that of another state, consistent with the board's enforcement guidelines. The evidence of discipline by another state is conclusive proof of unprofessional conduct.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.
- (q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board.
- (r) The selling, trading, transferring, or furnishing of drugs obtained pursuant to Section 256b of Title 42 of the United States Code to any person a licensee knows or reasonably should have known, not to be a patient of a covered entity, as defined in paragraph (4) of subsection (a) of Section 256b of Title 42 of the United States Code.
- (s) The clearly excessive furnishing of dangerous drugs by a wholesaler to a pharmacy that primarily or solely dispenses prescription drugs to patients of long-

term care facilities. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of dangerous drugs is clearly excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of dangerous drugs furnished to a pharmacy that primarily or solely dispenses prescription drugs to patients of long-term care facilities, the previous ordering pattern of the pharmacy, and the general patient population to whom the pharmacy distributes the dangerous drugs. That a wholesaler has established, and employs, a tracking system that complies with the requirements of subdivision (b) of Section 4164 shall be considered in determining whether there has been a violation of this subdivision. This provision shall not be interpreted to require a wholesaler to obtain personal medical information or be authorized to permit a wholesaler to have access to personal medical information except as otherwise authorized by Section 56 and following of the Civil Code. For purposes of this section, long-term care facility shall have the same meaning given the term in Section 1418 of the Health and Safety Code.

13. Section 4306.5 of the Code states:

Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

- (a) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his or her education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, whether or not the act or omission arises in the course of the practice of pharmacy or the ownership, management, administration, or operation of a pharmacy or other entity licensed by the board.
- (b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services.
- (c) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to consult appropriate patient, prescription, and other records pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.
- (d) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to fully maintain and retain appropriate patient-specific information pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.

14. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

dispense in accordance with the provisions of section 1716 of this Division. Information maintained by each pharmacy shall at least include:

- (1) Identification of pharmacist(s) transferring information;
- (2) Name and identification code or address of the pharmacy from which the prescription was received or to which the prescription was transferred, as appropriate;
 - (3) Original date and last dispensing date;
 - (4) Number of refills and date originally authorized;
 - (5) Number of refills remaining but not dispensed;
 - (6) Number of refills transferred.
- (f) The pharmacy must have written procedures that identify each individual pharmacist responsible for the filling of a prescription and a corresponding entry of information into an automated data processing system, or a manual record system, and the pharmacist shall create in his/her handwriting or through hand-initializing a record of such filling, not later than the beginning of the pharmacy's next operating day. Such record shall be maintained for at least three years.
- 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, states in relevant part:

"Current Inventory" as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332.

- 20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states:
- (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

COST RECOVERY

21. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

BRAND NAME	GENERIC	DANGERO	CONTROLL	INDICATIO
	NAME	US DRUG	ED	NS FOR
		PER B&P	SUBSTANCE	USE
		4022	PER H&S	
Norco/Lortab	Hydrocodone/acetamino	Yes	Yes-Schedule	Pain
	phen (APAP)		II per H&S	
			11055(b)(1)(I)	
			(ii)	
			Yes-	
			Scheduled II	
			per Title CFR	
			1308.12	
Percolone/Roxicod	Oxycodone	Yes	Yes-	Pain
one			Scheduled II	
			per H&S	
			11055(b)(1)(
DI '41	D 41 : / 1 :	37	M)	C 1
Phenergan with	Promethazine/codeine	Yes	Yes-	Cough
Codeine Syrup	syrup		Scheduled V	
			per H&S	
Eliquis	Apixaban	Yes	11058(c)(1) No	Blood
Eliquis	Apixaoan	168	NO	clots/stroke
Pepcid	Famotidine	Yes	No	Acid Reflux
Ozempic	Semaglutide	Yes	No	Diabetes
Pennsaid	Diclofenac Sodium	Yes	No	Topical non-
1 Cillisaid	Diciolenae Soulum	103	110	steroidal anti-
				inflammatory
Farxiga	Dapagliflozin	Yes	No	Diabetes
Vascepa	Icosapent ethyl	Yes	No	High
r				Triglycerides
Zegerid	Omeprazole/	Yes	No	Heartburn
8	Bicarbonate			
Restasis	Cyclosporine	Yes	No	Dry Eye
Fluocinonide-E	Fluocinonide	Yes	No	Topical
				Steroid for
				Itch

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. At all times relevant herein, Scott Katz was the Chief Executive Officer, 100% Shareholder, Director, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer of Respondent Pharmacy – a

community pharmacy located in the city of Tarzana, CA. Respondent Hong was the Pharmacistin-Charge of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021. Respondent Akhavan was employed as a pharmacist with Respondent Pharmacy.

- The Board performed a CURES¹ review and found that numerous controlled substance prescriptions were dispensed by Respondent Pharmacy. Said prescriptions were known to have patterns of red flags of abuse and illegitimacy.
- 24. The Board's investigation involved reviewing Respondent Pharmacy records and original prescription documents from August 29, 2017 through June 16, 2020. This review found a number of prescriptions having been dispensed with deviations from the prescribers' orders.

MEDICATION ERRORS

25. 2/23/2018 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT KC

Patient KC was written a prescription for oxycodone 10/325 mg. Instead, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg. Additionally, on February 12, 2018 Respondent Pharmacy dispensed Patient KC 120 tablets of oxycodone 30 mg with directions to take one table every six hours, which would have been a 30-day supply. There was no documentation to justify dispensing another 90 tablets before the previous supply would have been exhausted. In response to the Board's investigation, Respondent Hong indicated that Patient KC was in hospice and the prescription was faxed in to be filled and the hard copy was received within 72 hours and that the pharmacist working at the time called the providers but did not transfer the information to the hard copy. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

///

///

28

¹ CURES is an acronym for "California Utilization Review and Evaluation System." It contains over 100 million entries of controlled substance drugs that were dispensed in California. Pharmacists and prescribers can register with the Department of Justice to obtain access to the CURES data through the California Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). Patient Activity Reports (PARs) are provided and reflect all controlled substances dispensed to an individual. CURES herein refers to CURES in general and PARs. Pharmacies are required to report to the California Department of Justice every schedule II, II and IV drug prescription under Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d).

26. 7/17/2019 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT SF

A. Patient SF was written a prescription for 120 tablets of oxycodone 30 mg to be taken every six hours. The notation on the prescription shows the prescriber approved the prescription to be changed to 240 tablets of oxycodone 15 mg. Respondent Pharmacy records indicate that 240 tablets of oxycodone 15 mg were dispensed, directing 1 tablet every 6 hours. Patient SF's dose was not lowered since the prescription was processed as a 30-day supply. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

27. 9/24/2019 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT AK

A. Patient AK was written a prescription for 3 and ½ tablets daily of oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy records indicate that the dispensing directions provided were for 1½ tablets daily. Previously, Patient AK has been dispensed the same medication to be taken four times per day. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed or to clarify the unusual dosing prescribed. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

28. 12/6/2019 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT LJ

A. Patient LJ was written a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg with directions for 1 tablet 4 times per day. Respondent Pharmacy records indicate directions dispensed as 1 tablet twice per day. In response to this investigation, Respondents Hong and Akhavan indicated there was a verbal order phone in by the prescriber and that the original prescription provided directions to be take twice daily.

29. 1/16/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT SY

A. Patient SY was written a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg with directions for 1 tablet every 6 hours. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed directions for 1 tablet every 8 hours. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed. In response to this investigation, Respondent Hong stated this

///

prescription was mistyped. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

30. 2/6/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT LJ

A. Patient LJ was written a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg with directions for 1 tablet 3 times per day. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed directions for 1 tablet twice per day. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed. In response to this investigation, Respondent Hong stated that this was a hospice patient for which the prescriber transmitted an oral prescription, which differed from the written prescription. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

31. 2/13/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT FH

A. Patient FH was written a prescription for Oxycontin 30 mg but Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the drug prescribed. In response to this investigation, Respondents Hong and Akhavan provided a letter from the prescriber that stated the prescription should have been written for oxycodone instead of Oxycontin. Prior prescriber consent of the change of drug was not documented before dispensing nor did Respondent Pharmacy records identify the dispensing pharmacist.

32. 3/12/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT AA

A. Patient AA was written a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg with directions for 1 tablet 3 times per day. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg with inaccurate directions for 1 tablet 4 times per day. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed. In response to this investigation, Respondent Hong stated that this was a hospice patient for which the prescriber transmitted an oral prescription, which differed from the written prescription. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

33. 3/12/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT FN

A. Patient FN was written a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg with directions for 1 tablet 3 times per day. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg with inaccurate directions for 1 tablet twice per day. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior prescriber consent to deviate from the directions prescribed. In response to this investigation, Respondent Hong stated that this was a hospice patient for which the prescriber transmitted an oral prescription, which differed from the written prescription. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

34. 3/20/2020 PRESCRIPTION ISSUED TO PATIENT BC

A. Patient BC was written a prescription for oxycodone 15 mg with directions for 4 tablets 4 times per day. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 15 mg with inaccurate directions for 1 tablet 3 times per day. Previously, this patient had been dispensed the same medication to be taken as one tablet (15 mg) 3 times per day. There was no notation on the prescription document of prior consent to deviate from the directions prescribed or to clarify the unusual dosage change. Respondent Akhavan's responses to this investigation did not address the deviation from the prescriber's orders.

CORRESPONDING RESPONSIBILITY

- 35. The Board's Inspector reviewed Respondent Pharmacy's dispensing data regarding both controlled and non-controlled prescriptions, a total of 117,745 prescriptions. The Board's Inspector found Respondent Pharmacy found oxycodone 30 mg to be in the top dozen most common drugs dispensed. The Board's Inspector reviewed Respondent Pharmacy's prescription records and determined the percentage of cash payments for controlled substances was over two to three times than non-controlled substances. In response, Respondent Hong stated that some insurances do not cover controlled substances and that the above issue could in part be due to billing a partial of the prescriptions as insurance and part as cash.
- 36. Regarding oxycodone prescriptions, the Board's Inspector found that Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 1,130 prescriptions of oxycodone 30 mg, a higher percentage (83.1%) compared to other lower strengths of oxycodone (5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg, and 20 mg).

- 37. The Board's Inspector reviewed the top ten prescribers who wrote prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and who accounted for the majority of oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Pharmacy. Of the top ten prescribers, the Board's Inspector evaluated patterns of prescribing for four of them.
- 38. Pejman Shirazi, MD (Dr. Shirazi) is licensed by the California Medical Board as a physician and surgeon. Respondent Pharmacy's prescription documents revealed that oxycodone 30 mg was the most common controlled substance prescribed by Dr. Shirazi and dispensed by Respondent Pharmacy. The Board's Inspector further found that almost 95% of said oxycodone prescriptions were for the highest strength available, uniformity of treatment for multiple patients receiving similar or identical controlled substances, at times receiving identical or similar prescriptions on the same days, and at least nine patients not tolerant to opioids started, or restarted, on high oxycodone doses.
- 39. John Korzelius, MD (Dr. Korzelius) is licensed by the California Medical Board as a physician and surgeon. On August 5, 2020, the U.S. Department of Justice announced the arrest of Dr. Korzelius alleging his involvement in a narcotics trafficking ring that sold illegal opioid prescriptions for cash through a series of sham medical clinics. The Board's Inspector reviewed dispensing records provided by Respondent Pharmacy, which revealed 50 controlled substances dispensed pursuant to prescriptions from Dr. Korzelius. Of said 50 prescriptions, 96% were for cash and for oxycodone 30 mg. The Board's Inspector further found that almost 92% of oxycodone prescriptions were for the highest strength, uniformity of treatment for multiple patients receiving similar or identical controlled substances, at times receiving identical or similar prescriptions on the same days, and at least eleven patients not tolerant to opioids started, or restarted, on high oxycodone doses.
- 40. Jennifer M. Edwards, PA (PA Edwards) was licensed by the California Physician Assistant Board as a physician assistant. PA Edwards surrendered her Physician Assistant license with the Physician Assistant Board effective 08/23/2022 following a felony conviction after pleading guilty to one count of conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute oxycodone on or about 06/01/2021. The Board's Inspector reviewed dispensing records and

prescription documents provided by Respondent Pharmacy. Regarding controlled substances dispensed, per prescriptions from PA Edwards, the Board's Inspector found high cash payment percentage for controlled substances, promethazine/codeine was the most common controlled substance dispensed, uniformity of treatment for some patients receiving similar or identical controlled substances, and at least three patients not tolerant to opioids started, or restarted, on high oxycodone doses.

41. Fariba Javaherian, MD (Dr. Javaherian) is licensed by the California Medical Board as a physician and surgeon. The Board's Inspector reviewed dispensing records and prescription documents provided by Respondent Pharmacy. Dr. Javaherian's primary areas of practice are listed as dermatology and internal medicine. The top controlled substance and most common drug, prescribed by Dr. Javaherian and dispensed by Respondent Pharmacy was oxycodone 30 mg. Regarding controlled substances dispensed, per prescriptions from Dr. Javaherian, the Board's Inspector found that almost all oxycodone prescriptions were for the highest strength available, uniformity of treatment for multiple patients receiving similar or identical controlled substances, sometimes receiving identical or similar prescriptions on the same days, very few prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP, which is one of the most common drugs dispensed in the country and a common starting point for pain patients, and at least two patients not tolerant to opioids started, or restarted, on high oxycodone doses.

HIGH STARTING DOSES OF OXYCODONE

42. The Board's Inspector reviewed original prescriptions and found 38 instances in which Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg to 35 different patients at dosages which were at least twice the recommended dose and without any documentation of effective intervention by a pharmacist.

BOARD'S AUDIT FROM JANUARY 1, 2020 THROUGH JANUARY 24, 2022

- 43. The Board received information from Prime Therapeutics indicating that Respondent Pharmacy had submitted prescription claims indicative of fraud, waste, and abuse.
- 44. The Board conducted an audit of Respondent Pharmacy regarding seven dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 based on information provided by

Dece

47. During the course of its investigation, the Board learned that Respondent Pharmacy had filed a discontinuation of business on February 21, 2022, closed, and transferred all records to Walgreens. Also, Respondent Hong had left the company and ceased being the Pharmacist-In-Charge ("PIC") on December 3, 2021. Respondent Pharmacy did not have a designated PIC from December 3, 2021 to February 21, 2022.

THE BOARD'S INVESTIGATION (2022)

48. On or about June 21, 2022, the Board received information from Qlarant, a Medicare drug integrity contractor, related to possible fraud, waste, and abuse claims at Respondent Pharmacy. Qlarant had conducted audits on behalf of several insurance companies, including CVS Caremark and found that Respondent Pharmacy had failed to collect copayments for prescriptions, processed prescriptions with incorrect day supply causing prescription to be refilled too soon, and had medication shortages.

49. The Board received information from Qlarant based on the audits conducted. Specifically on or about January 27, 2020, CVS Caremark sent Respondent Pharmacy a list of the prescription discrepancies found from their initial prescription audit, which was conducted on October 15, 2017. CVS Caremark had found that twenty-three prescriptions refilled too soon and three prescriptions with insufficient directions. The Board confirmed the information provided by Qlarant based on the prescription documents provided by Respondent Pharmacy. For the prescriptions refilled too soon, the prescriptions were entered with the incorrect days' supply considering the directions and package size. This caused future refills to be processed too soon. The prescriptions filled with insufficient direction for use were entered as "apply to affected area" without specifying the area size and amount needed. See Table A:

Table A: Prescription Discrepancies Found by		
CVS Caremark		
<u>Refill Too Soon</u>		
Prescription #	Data of Dofil	Dwg Nama
r rescription #	Date of Refill	Drug Name
605690	5/15/2018	Qvar Inh 80mcg
1		

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2

611369	9/6/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
613664	9/28/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
607688	8/2/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
615388	11/1/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
615902	12/28/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
614910	11/16/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
612369	10/3/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
616803	11/29/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
616792	11/27/2018	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
612497	10/9/2018	Qvar inh 80mcg
	12/4/2018	
619243	2/19/2019	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
	4/22/2019	
614910	1/15/2019	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
616792	2/4/2019	Symbicort Inh 160/4.5mcg
	4/9/2019	
617146	2/19/2019	Qvar inh 80mcg
	4/9/2019	
616638	2/19/2019	Qvar inh 80mcg
624300	4/23/2019	Qvar inh 80mcg
Insufficient Directions for		
<u>Use</u>		
619088	2/20/2019	Clobetasol oint 0.05%
	3/18/2019	
	4/11/2019	
	•	

50. Additionally, based on the information received from Qlarant, Respondent Pharmacy failed to provide proof of co-payment collections for twenty-three prescriptions. See Table B:

Table B: Co-Payment Not Collected		
Prescription #	Date of Refill	Drug Name
664618	2/5/2021	Doxepin 5% cream
664699	1/21/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
	2/19/2021	40mg/1680mg
665009	11/2/2020	Lidoderm 5% patch
666003	9/14/202	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	1/7/2021	suspension
668117	10/5/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	2/8/2021	suspension
668676	10/12/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension
669747	10/22/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension

670210	10/27/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension
673306	1/8/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension
674376	12/7/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	12/22/2020	suspension
674506	12/20/2020	Lidoderm 5% patch
676131	12/25/2020	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	1/26/2021	suspension
	2/24/2021	
678494	1/22/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	3/4/2021	suspension
	4/5/2021	
678576	1/22/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension
680487	2/12/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension
682580	3/5/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
		40mg/1680mg
683896	3/19/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
		40mg/1680mg
684443	3/30/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
	4/24/2021	40mg/1680mg
684502	3/25/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
	4/22/2021	suspension
	5/24/2021	
684855	5/13/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
		40mg/1680mg
686031	5/12/2021	Lidoderm 5% patch
689178	5/12/2021	Omeprazole/Bicarbonate
		40mg/1680mg
689561	5/14/2021	Famotidine 40mg/5ml
		suspension

- 51. An audit of Respondent Pharmacy between May 1, 2020 and May 31, 2020, by CVS Caremark of 50 drugs, resulted in 20 drug shortages. Respondent Pharmacy was terminated from the CVC Caremark network when they discontinued business on February 14, 2022.
- 52. The Board conducted an audit of Respondent Pharmacy regarding from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 based on information provided by Respondent Pharmacy, Walgreens (Respondent Pharmacy had transferred all records to Walgreens after closing), and the drug wholesalers. The audit resulted in overages of:
 - (a) An overage of 28,895 capsules of Vascepa 1gm;

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct- Failed to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility Requirements)

55. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct as defined in section 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) based on evidence reviewed by a Board Inspector, Respondent failed to meet its corresponding responsibility to assure legitimate prescriptions, in that Respondent ignored and/or failed to appropriately respond to numerous warning signs or red flags that should put a reasonable and prudent dispensing pharmacist on notice that prescriptions for patients may not have been legitimate. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Variation from Prescription)

56. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations section 1716, in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11167.5, based on evidence reviewed by a Board Inspector, Respondent dispensed prescriptions which deviated from prescribers' orders and failed to document verbal order prescriptions for hospice patients. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct Failed to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility Requirements)

57. Respondent Hong is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct as defined in section 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) based on evidence reviewed by a Board Inspector, Respondent failed to meet her corresponding responsibility to assure legitimate prescriptions, in that Respondent ignored and/or failed to appropriately respond to numerous warning signs or red flags that should put a reasonable and prudent dispensing pharmacist on notice that prescriptions for patients may not have been legitimate. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

///

<u>FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>

(Gross Negligence)

58. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action under section 4300 for unprofessional conduct as defined in section 4301, subdivision (c) in that Respondent Hong committed gross negligence in her practice as a pharmacist, her acts and/or omissions which were an extreme departure from the standard of care, which under similar circumstances, would have been ordinarily exercised by a competent pharmacist. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

59. Respondent Hong is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct as defined in section 4301, and section 4306.5, in that Respondent's acts or omissions involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, in that Respondent ignored and/or failed to appropriately respond to numerous warning signs or red flags that should put a reasonable and prudent dispensing pharmacist on notice that prescriptions for patients may not have been legitimate. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct Failed to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility Requirements)

60. Respondent Akhavan is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct as defined in section 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) based on evidence reviewed by a Board Inspector, Respondent failed to meet her corresponding responsibility to assure legitimate prescriptions, in that Respondent ignored and/or failed to appropriately respond to numerous warning signs or red flags that should put a reasonable and prudent dispensing pharmacist on notice that prescriptions for patients may not have been legitimate. The allegations of paragraphs 22 through 42 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Timely Notify the Board of a New Pharmacist-In-Charge)

61. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4113, subdivision (d) in that Respondent Pharmacy failed to report a new PIC to the Board within 30 days as required. Specifically, Respondent Hong ceased to act as the PIC on December 3, 2021 and Respondent Pharmacy did not designate a new PIC within 30 days. Respondent Pharmacy filed a discontinuation of business on February 21, 2022 and failed to designated a PIC from December 3, 2021 to February 21, 2022. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Accountability of Dangerous Drugs)

62. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 4081, subdivision (a), section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718 in that that Respondent Pharmacy failed to maintain complete accountability of all dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens, 580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased failing to purchase approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain, Secure, and Distribute Dangerous Drugs)

63. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b) in that Respondent Pharmacy failed to properly maintain, secure, and distribute dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens,

580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased failing to purchase approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty and Fraud)

64. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent Pharmacy processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased, which is indicative of dishonesty and fraud. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens, 580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased failing to purchase approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Accountability of Dangerous Drugs)

65. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 4081, subdivision (a), section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o), and section 4113, subdivision (c) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718 in that Respondent Hong, as the PIC of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021, failed to maintain complete accountability of all dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens, 580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased and failed to purchase

approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain, Secure, and Distribute Dangerous Drugs)

66. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o), and section 4113, subdivision (c) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b) in that Respondent Hong, as the PIC of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021, failed to properly maintain, secure, and distribute dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens, 580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased failing to purchase approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty and Fraud)

67. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f) and section 4113, subdivision (c) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent Hong, as the PIC of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021, processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased, which is indicative of dishonesty and fraud. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 547 Farxiga 10mg tablets, 11 Ozempic 1mg pens, 580 Eliquis 2.5mg tablets, 881 Pennsaid 2% pump (112gm) bottles, and 3,191 Famotidine 40mg/5ml 50ml bottles. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased failing to purchase approximately \$2,209,939.70 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 43 through 46 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Accountability and Records of Dangerous Drugs)

68. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code sections 4081, subdivision (a), section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718 in that that Respondent Pharmacy failed to maintain complete accountability of all dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 28,895 capsules of Vascepa 1gm; 26,400 grams of fluocinonide 0.1% cream; 16,260 vials of Restasis 0.4ml; 18 vials of Restasis 5.5ml multi-dose; and 10,470 packets of Omeprazole/Bicarbonate 40mg/1680mg. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased and failed to purchase approximately \$379,076.14 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 48 through 53 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain, Secure, and Distribute Dangerous Drugs)

69. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b) in that Respondent Pharmacy failed to distribute dangerous drugs.

Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 28,895 capsules of Vascepa 1gm; 26,400 grams of fluocinonide 0.1% cream; 16,260 vials of Restasis 0.4ml; 18 vials of Restasis 5.5ml multi-dose; and 10,470 packets of Omeprazole/Bicarbonate 40mg/1680mg. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased and failed to purchase approximately \$379,076.14 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 48 through 53 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty and Fraud)

70. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent Pharmacy processed prescriptions

///

///

27

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain, Secure, and Distribute Dangerous Drugs)

72. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j) and/or (o), and section 4113, subdivision (c) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b) in that Respondent Hong, as the PIC of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021, failed to properly distribute dangerous drugs. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 28,895 capsules of Vascepa 1gm; 26,400 grams of fluocinonide 0.1% cream; 16,260 vials of Restasis 0.4ml; 18 vials of Restasis 5.5ml multi-dose; and 10,470 packets of Omeprazole/Bicarbonate 40mg/1680mg. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased and failed to purchase approximately \$379,076.14 worth of inventory. The allegations of paragraphs 48 through 53 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty and Fraud)

73. Respondent Hong is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f) and section 4113, subdivision (c) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent Hong, as the PIC of Respondent Pharmacy from May 24, 2018 to December 3, 2021, failed to collect prescription copayments, process prescriptions with the correct day supply and sufficient directions, and processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased, which is indicative of dishonesty and fraud. Specifically, an audit of dangerous drugs from January 1, 2020 through January 24, 2022 revealed overages of 28,895 capsules of Vascepa 1gm; 26,400 grams of fluocinonide 0.1% cream; 16,260 vials of Restasis 0.4ml; 18 vials of Restasis 5.5ml multi-dose; and 10,470 packets of Omeprazole/Bicarbonate 40mg/1680mg. These overages indicated Respondent Pharmacy had processed prescriptions for more drug than they had purchased and failed to purchase approximately \$379,076.14 worth of inventory. Additionally, Respondent Pharmacy incorrectly processed prescriptions with the wrong day supply causing future refills to be too soon to fill and insufficient directions for use as summarized in Table A, paragraph 49.

Also, Respondent Pharmacy failed to provide proof of copayment collection for prescriptions as summarized in Table B, paragraph 50. The allegations of paragraphs 48 through 53 above are realleged as though fully set forth.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

74. To determine the degree of penalty to be imposed on Respondent(s), if any, Complainant makes the following additional allegations:

A. Prior Citation (Respondent Akhavan) - On or about January 25, 2017, Administrative Citation/Assessment of Fine No. **CI 2016 73680** was issued to Respondent Akhavan for violating Codes and Regulations as set forth below. The citation is now final.

Code/Regulation(s)	Offense
Violated	
CA Code of Regulations	Operational Standards and Security;
(CCR), title 16, § 1714 subd.	Pharmacist responsible for pharmacy security
(d)	

B. Prior Citation (Respondent Akhavan) - On or about October 1, 2019, Administrative Citation/Assessment of Fine No. **CI 2018 84712** was issued to Respondent Akhavan for violating Codes and Regulations as set forth below, resulting in the issuance of a \$3,500.00 fine. The citation is now final.

Code/Regulation(s) Violated	Offense	Amount of Fine
Health & Safety Code § 11164 subd. (a)/Health & Safety Code § 11162.1 subd. (a)(2)(10)(13)/11162.1(b)	Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1/Prescription forms for Controlled Substances; (2) A watermark "California Security Prescription" shall be printed on the backside of the prescription; (10) Check boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills ordered; (13) An identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the Department of Justice/(b) Each batch of controlled substance prescription forms shall have the lot number printed on the form	\$2,000

Bus. & Prof. Code § 4081 subd. (a)/Bus. & Prof. Code §	Records of Dangerous Drugs and Devices Kept Open for Inspection; Maintenance of Records,	\$500
4105 subd. (a) & (c)/ Bus. &	Current Inventory/Retaining Records of	
Prof. Code § 4169 subd.	Dangerous Drugs and Devices on Licensed	
(a)/Bus. & Prof. Code § 4333 subd. (a)	Premises; All records shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable	
	form/for a period of three years from the date	
	of making/Prohibited Acts; Purchase, trade, sell, or transfer dangerous drugs to unlicensed	
	person or entity/Maintaining prescriptions	
	on the premises for at least three years	
CA Code of Regulations	Information shall be maintained for each	\$1,000
(CCR), title 16, § 1717 subd.	prescription on file and readily retrievable	
(b)		

OTHER MATTERS

- 75. Section 4307 of the Code states:
- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
- 76. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 issued to Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy, then Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is revoked.
- 77. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 issued to Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy, if Respondent Katz had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, then Respondent Katz shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 78. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if disciplined is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 issued to Respondent Jane Hyun Hong, then she shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 79. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if disciplined is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 issued to Respondent Mehrnaz Akhavan, then she shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1.	Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733, issued to Glove
Work, Inc.,	dba Corbin Pharmacy:

- 2. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481, issued to Jane Hyun Hong;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805, issued to Mehrnaz Akhavan;
- 4. Prohibiting Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy from serving as a manager, Administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 issued to Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy is revoked;
- 5. Prohibiting Scott Katz from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 55733 issued to Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy is revoked;
- 6. Prohibiting Jane Hyun Hong from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 70481 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 7. Prohibiting Mehrnaz Akhavan from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 40805 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 8. Ordering Glove Work, Inc., dba Corbin Pharmacy, Scott Katz, Jane Hyun Hong and Mehrnaz Akhavan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

	Sodergren, Digitally signed by Sodergren,
DATED: 2/24/2023	Anne@DCA Date: 2023.02.24 13:33:04 -08'00
	ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer
	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
;	State of California Complainant
,	
LA2020604104	
65707451.docx	
;	
,	
,	
	36