BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

CYNTHIA ARLENE ESPARZA, Respondent

Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant

Agency Case No. 6894

OAH No. 2020020787

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 27, 2020.

It is so ORDERED on April 27, 2020.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Ay n Lippe

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Greg Lippe Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California DAVID E. BRICE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATELYN E. DOCHERTY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 322028 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255			
4				
5				
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6277			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant			
8	REFOR			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 6894		
13	Against:	OAH No. 2020020787		
14	CYNTHIA ARLENE ESPARZA	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL		
16	Respondent.	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]		
17				
18				
19 20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
22	DA DÆHEC			
23	PARTIES 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy			
24	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by			
25	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Katelyn E. Docherty, Deputy			
26	Attorney General.			
27	2. Cynthia Arlene Esparza (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and			
28	has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.			
	1			

JURISDICTION

- On or about June 6, 2019, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy
 Technician Registration from Respondent. The Board denied the application on November 1,
 Respondent timely requested a hearing with respect to the denial.
- 4. Statement of Issues No. 6894 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of
 Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
 February 11, 2020. A copy of Statement of Issues No. 6894 is attached as exhibit A and
 incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in
 Statement of Issues No. 6894. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues No. 6894.
- 9. Respondent agrees that her application as a Pharmacy Technician is subject to denial, and she agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

///

///

///

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

1	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Application for Pharmacy Technician Registration of	
3	Respondent Cynthia Arlene Esparza is hereby granted. Upon successful completion of all	
4	licensing requirements, a registration shall be issued to Respondent. Said license shall be	
5	publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in	
6	resolution of Statement of Issues No. 6894, attached as exhibit A.	
7	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
8	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.	
9	I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration.	
10	I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily,	
11	knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of	
12	Pharmacy.	
13		
14	DATED:	
15	CYNTHIA ARLENE ESPARZA Respondent	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4	

STIP SETTLEMENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL (6894)

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Application for Pharmacy Technician Registration of Respondent Cynthia Arlene Esparza is hereby granted. Upon successful completion of all licensing requirements, a registration shall be issued to Respondent. Said license shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of Statement of Issues No. 6894, attached as exhibit A.

19.

IIII

111.

III

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.

I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration.

I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 3 10 2020 CYNTHIA ARLENE ESPARZA
Respondent

4 :

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: 3/10/2020 Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California DAVID É. BRICE Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SA2020100136 14528535.docx

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 6894

1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
	DAVID É. BRICE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KATELYN E. DOCHERTY Deputy Attorney General		
3			
4	State Bar No. 322028 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 210-6277 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 6894	
13	Against:		
14	CYNTHIA ARLENE ESPARZA	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
16	Applicant		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On or about June 6, 2019, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration from Cynthia Arlene Esparza (Respondent). On or about May 29, 2019,		
25	Cynthia Arlene Esparza certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on November		
26			
27	1, 2019.		
28			
	1		

JURISDICTION

 This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.
- 5. Code section 492 states, in pertinent part:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest . . .

6. Code section 4202(c) states:

The board shall conduct a criminal background check of the applicant to determine if an applicant has committed acts that would constitute grounds for denial of licensure, pursuant to this chapter or Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 480) of Division 1.5.

7. Code section 4300(c) states, in pertinent part:

The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. . . .

8. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, any of the following:

...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

26 ///

27 | //

28 | /

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Criminal Convictions)

- 9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(1), 4300 subdivision (c), and 4301 subdivision (l) in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee, as follows:
- On or about March 16, 2016, in the case of *People v. Cynthia Arlene Esparza*, a. (Super. Ct. Merced County, 2016, Case No. 15CR-04893), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her guilty plea of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(b) (driving a vehicle with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about February 1, 2015, an officer from the Merced Police Department conducted a traffic stop on a vehicle for making a wide turn into the bicycle lane and drifting between lanes in Merced. Upon contact with the driver of the vehicle, identified as Respondent, the officer noticed a smell of alcohol emitting from Respondent's vehicle and that Respondent had slurred speech. Respondent failed to properly complete the Field Sobriety Tests ("FST"), and refused to submit to a preliminary alcohol screening test. As a result of Respondent's performance on the FSTs, Respondent was arrested and taken to Merced County Jail. Respondent's blood alcohol measured 0.20% and 0.19%.
- b. On or about April 28, 2016, in the case of *People v. Cynthia Esparza*, (Super. Ct. Merced County, 2016, Case No. 15-CR-04906), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of no contest of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(b) (driving a vehicle with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher) and 14601.5(a) (driving on a suspended license), both misdemeanors. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about May 28, 2015, an officer with the California Highway Patrol conducted a traffic stop on a vehicle being operated without a front license plate in Merced. Upon contact with the driver of the vehicle, identified as Respondent, the officer noticed a smell of alcohol emitting from the vehicle. Respondent performed poorly on the FSTs, and refused to submit to a preliminary alcohol screening test. As a result of Respondent's performance on the FSTs Respondent was arrested and taken to Merced County Jail. Respondent's blood alcohol measured 0.15%, 0.22%, and 0.22%.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 1 (Dangerous Use of Alcohol) 2 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, subdivision 3 (a)(3)(A), 4300 subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent used alcoholic 4 5 beverages in a manner as to be dangerous to herself, other persons, or the public, as set forth more particularly in paragraph 9. 6 THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 7 (Multiple Convictions Involving Alcohol) 8 9 11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), 4300 subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent was convicted of 10 more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of alcohol, as 11 set forth more particularly in paragraph 9. 12 **PRAYER** 13 14 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 15 Denying the application of Cynthia Arlene Esparza for a Pharmacy Technician 1. 16 Registration; 17 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 18 19 February 4, 2020 20 DATED: ANNE SODERGREN 21 **Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy** 22 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 23 Complainant 24 25 SA2020100136 14367533.docx 26 27 28