# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

# JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS, Respondent

**Pharmacy Technician Applicant** 

Agency Case No. 6867

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 26, 2020.

It is so ORDERED on February 25, 2020.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ay n Ligge

Ву

Greg Lippe
Board President

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General STANTON W. LEE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203563 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	I d M a Cd Ca a Cl	C N 6067	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6867	
13	JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL	
15	Pharmacy Technician Applicant.	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the	Interim Executive Officer of the Board of	
22	Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely	in her official capacity and is represented in	
23	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Stanton W. Lee,		
24	Deputy Attorney General.		
25	2. Joseph Ensslin Vargas, also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas (Respondent) has choser		
26	to represent himself in this proceeding.		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board received an application for a pharmacy technician license from Respondent. On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on October 9, 2019.
- 4. Statement of Issues No. 6867 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of
  Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
  December 27, 2019. A copy of Statement of Issues No. 6867 is attached as exhibit A and
  incorporated herein by reference.

# **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 6867. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

# **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 6867, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for denial of his application for a Pharmacist License.
  - 9. For the purpose of resolving the Statement of Issues without the expense and

uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the causes for denial in the Statement of Issues, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.

10. Respondent agrees that his application for a Pharmacy Technician License is subject to denial, and he agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

# **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

///

28 | /

1	14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that		
2	the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following		
3	Disciplinary Order:		
4	DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Application for Licensure of Respondent Joseph		
6	Ensslin Vargas, also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas is hereby granted. Upon satisfaction of all		
7	statutory and regulatory requirements for issuance of a pharmacy technician license, a pharmacy		
8	technician license shall be issued to Respondent. Said license shall immediately be publicly		
9	reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in		
10	resolution of Statement of Issues No. 6867, attached as exhibit A.		
11	ACCEPTANCE		
12	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public		
13	Reproval. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my application for a		
14	Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for		
15	Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision		
16	and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
17	16602		
18	DATED: 20191230  JOSEPH ENSSLIN VARGAS AK		
19	JOSEPH ENSSLINVARGAS		
20	Respondent		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Λ		

# **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 1/10/2020

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
KENT D/HARRIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

A V

STANDON W. Lite Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SA2019106206 14324768.docx

# Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 6867

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
	KENT D. HARRIS	
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STANTON W. LEE	
3	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203563	
4	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921	
6	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		DE THE
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6867
12	JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant.	
15	Respondent.	
16		
16 17	Anne Sodergren (Complainant) alleges:	
		<u>TIES</u>
17	PAR	TIES  f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18	PAR	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18 19	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement o  Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18 19 20	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement o  Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
17 18 19 20 21	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas
17 18 19 20 21 22	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, a	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations.	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and represent the application on October 9, 2019.	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PAR  1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm  2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and represent the application on October 9, 2019.  ///	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.  I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the

(JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS) STATEMENT OF ISSUES

# 3. hearing to appeal the denial. 4. 5. 6.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code) section 485(b), on or about October 9, 2019, Respondent's application was denied and he was notified of the right to a hearing to appeal the denial.
- 4. On or about November 19, 2019, the Board received Respondent's request for a hearing to appeal the denial of his application.

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(3) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Section 4852.01 and following of the Penal Code or that he has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- 6. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:

1	(1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.	
2	(2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.	
3	(3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.	
4	(4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.	
5	(5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.	
6	(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.	
7	(7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.	
8		
9	7. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
10 11	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
12		
13	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be	
14 15	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.	
16		
17	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,	
18	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this	
19	states code regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall	
20	be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to	
21	fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense	
22	substances of dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of	
23		
24	conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or	
27	dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.	
۱ ۵		

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Conviction of a Crime)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician. More specifically, on or about July 10, 2019, in the case of People v. Joseph M. Ensslin Vargas (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, Case No. CR-2019-0006916), Respondent was convicted by the Court of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol with a Blood Alcohol Level above 0.08%), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about March 23, 2019 at 0224 hours, an officer with the California Highway Patrol responded to a report regarding a traffic collision on southbound Highway 99, north of Jack Tone Road. Upon arrival at the scene and investigation of the collision, the Officer determined that Respondent was driving while intoxicated and made an unsafe turning movement resulting in his collision with the highway's center divide wall. Although Respondent denied driving at the time of the collision, an abrasion on the shoulder of Respondent was consistent with a driver-side seat belt injury while the passenger seat belt, which was locked in the fully retracted position, was consistent with the passenger of the vehicle not wearing a seat belt and the passenger having no abrasions consistent with wearing a seatbelt. This was also consistent with the vehicle passenger's statement that Respondent was driving at the time of the accident. The Officer observed Respondent to have such heavily slurred speech that the officer could not understand Respondent's statement. Respondent also had an extremely strong odor of alcohol on his breath and person, red and watery eyes. Respondent failed field sobriety tests, was unable to stand without assistance and was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. His tested blood alcohol content at the time was recorded to be 0.21% and 0.19%. /// /// /// ///