BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

DUET PHARMACY, Respondent

Community Pharmacy Permit Applicant

Agency Case No. 6854

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 12, 2021.

It is so ORDERED on October 12, 2021.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Βv

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ASPASIA A. PAPAVASSILIOU					
4	Deputy Attorney General					
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550						
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0818					
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Aspasia.Papavassiliou@doj.ca.gov					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFOR	E THE				
10	BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CO					
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12						
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6854				
14	DUET PHARMACY	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC				
15	Community Pharmacy Permit Applicant	REPROVAL				
16	Respondent.	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]				
17						
18						
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-				
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:				
21	PART	TIES				
22	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy				
23	(Board). She brought this action solely in her offi	cial capacity and is represented in this matter by				
24	Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Aspasia A. Papavassiliou, Deputy					
25	Attorney General.					
26	2. Respondent Duet Pharmacy (Respond	lent), with Russell Alexander Zukin as				
27	Respondent's Chief Executive Officer/President a	and Jonathan Yoonhee Han as Respondent's				
28	Chief Operating Officer, is represented in this pro	ceeding by attorney Alissa Brice Castaneda				
		1				

(California State Bar No. 287249) whose address is: Quarles & Brady LLP, Renaissance One, Two North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391.

JURISDICTION

- 3. On or about January 14, 2019, the Board received an application for a community pharmacy permit from Respondent. The Board denied the application on October 8, 2019.

 Respondent timely requested a hearing with respect to the denial.
- 4. Statement of Issues No. 6854 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of
 Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
 September 10, 2020. A copy of Statement of Issues No. 6854 is attached as exhibit A and
 incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 6854. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. For the purpose of resolving the Statement of Issues without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could

establish a factual basis for the charges and allegations regarding an inspection revealing differences between the quantities of the identified non-controlled substances purchased and dispensed during the relevant time period, and that Respondent hereby gives up its right to contest the charges and allegations of the Statement of Issues in a hearing, and agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

9. The admissions made by Respondent in this stipulation are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified,

1	knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of
2	Pharmacy.
3	
4	DATED:
5	Jonathan Yoonhee Han, Chief Operating Officer for
6	DUET PHARMACY Respondent
7	
8	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Duet Pharmacy the terms and conditions
9	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public
10	Reproval. I approve its form and content.
11	
12	DATED: ALISSA BRICE CASTANEDA
13	Attorney for Respondent
14	
15	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
16	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby
17	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of
18	Consumer Affairs.
19	
20	DATED: Respectfully submitted,
21	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
22	DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General
23	
24	Agricul A. Dirivinggurov
25	ASPASIA A. PAPAVASSILIOU Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
26	OK2019900632 91390507.docx
27	OK2017700032 91390307.doca
28	
	5

1	knowingly, and intelligently, and agree	to be bound by th	e Decision and Order of the Board of
2	Pharmacy.		
3	DATED:	9-10-2021	Jonathan Han
5		3-10-2021	Jonathan Yoonhee Han,
6			Chief Operating Officer for DUET PHARMACY
7			Respondent
8	I have read and fully discussed w	ith Respondent D	uet Pharmacy the terms and conditions
9	and other matters contained in the abov	e Stipulated Settle	ement and Disciplinary Order for Public
10	Reproval. I approve its form and conte	nt.	
11		All-0.	Brie Castavedo
12	DATED:9/13/2021	, ,	,
13		ALISSA BRICE Attorney for Res	
14			
15		ENDORSEMEN	<u>T</u>
16	The foregoing Stipulated Settleme	ent and Disciplina	ry Order for Public Reproval is hereby
17	respectfully submitted for consideration	by the Board of I	Pharmacy of the Department of
18	Consumer Affairs.		
19			
20	DATED: September 16, 2021	Res	pectfully submitted,
21			B BONTA
22		Dia	orney General of California NN SOKOLOFF
23		Sup	pervising Deputy Attorney General
24		Any	retro
25			PASIA A. PAPAVASSILIOU outy Attorney General
26			orneys for Complainant
27	OK2019900632 91390507.docx		
28			

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 6854

1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY FROEHLE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279337			
4				
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0004			
6				
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Tim.Froehle@doj.ca.gov			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFOR	E THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF C.			
12				
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6854		
14	DUET PHARMACY			
15	Community Pharmacy Permit Applicant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES		
16				
17	Respondent.			
18				
19				
20				
21	PART	TIES .		
22	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about January 14, 2019, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer			
25	Affairs received an application for a Community Pharmacy Permit from Duet Pharmacy			
26	(Respondent). On or about January 11, 2019, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the			
27	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied			
28	the application on October 8, 2019.			
	1			

"The board may deny, suspend, or revoke any license where conditions exist in relation to any person holding 10 percent or more of the ownership interest or where conditions exist in relation to any officer, director, or other person with management or control of the license that would constitute grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee."

DEFINITIONS

- 8. Genvoya is the brand name for a drug containing a combination of cobicistat, elvitegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir. It is used to treat HIV and is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 9. Prograf is the brand name for tacrolimus, which is used to prevent rejection in organ transplant patients and is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 10. Sensipar is the brand name for cinacalcet, which treats hyperparathyroidism in dialysis and is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 11. Triumeq is the brand name for a drug containing a combination of abacavir, dolutegravir, and lamivudine. It is used to treat HIV and is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 12. Truvada is the brand name for a drug containing a combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumerate, and emtricitabine. It is used to treat HIV and is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Russell Alexander Zukin signed Respondent's application as Respondent's CEO/President/Treasurer/Secretary/Director and Jonathan Yoonhee Han signed as Respondent's Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Zukin and Mr. Han were the only listed officers for Respondent on its application.
- 14. Mr. Zukin is also currently listed in the Board's records as the CEO/President/Secretary/Treasurer/CFO/Director of Alphascript Inc., Original Permit No. PHY 56162. Mr. Han is also listed as COO of Alphascript Inc. Alphascript Inc. currently operates a pharmacy located at 420 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California.

///

15. Alphascript Inc. previously operated a pharmacy located at 1160 Industrial Road #17, San Carlos, California, under Original Permit Number PHY 50690, until that license was cancelled on or about September 22, 2018, due to change of ownership and location. At the time of cancellation, Mr. Zukin was listed in the Board's records as Alphascript Inc.'s CEO and 100-percent shareholder. Mr. Han was listed in the Board's records as COO and pharmacist-incharge.

- 16. Mr. Zukin is also currently listed in the Board's records as the CEO and 100-percent shareholder of Medical Plaza Pharmacy, Original Permit Number PHY 45166. Mr. Han is currently listed as the pharmacist-in-charge at Medical Plaza Pharmacy.
- 17. On March 25, 2019, the Board's inspector obtained records of dispensed prescriptions and purchases of certain medications between April 11, 2016, and March 25, 2019, for Alphascript Inc. and Medical Plaza Pharmacy. The Board's inspector conducted an audit to determine whether certain drug stock was properly maintained. The inspector compared the difference between quantities dispensed and quantities purchased. Under proper pharmacy operations, these amounts would be close to the same.
- 18. The Board's inspector analyzed purchase and dispense records of Alphascript (PHY 56162) between September 22, 2018 and March 25, 2019, and determined substantial discrepancies in the following drugs:

Drug	Amount Purchased	Amount Dispensed/Billed to Insurance	Total Cost to Pharmacy	Overage Billed to Insurance
Sensipar	14,670	24,786	\$1,005,052	\$410,195
Prograf (tacrolimus) ¹	357,150 mg	405,526 mg	\$2,637,143	>\$300,000

19. The Board's inspector analyzed purchase and dispense records of Medical Plaza Pharmacy between March 25, 2016, through March 25, 2019, and determined substantial discrepancies in the following drugs:

¹ Because Alphascript compounded preparations containing Prograf and the generic tacrolimus, the Board's inspector converted all quantities of this drug to milligrams to account for drugs dispensed as compounds but not purchased in that form.

Drug	Amount Purchased	Amount Dispensed/Billed to Insurance	Total Cost to Pharmacy	Overage Billed to Insurance
Genvoya	39,210	47,351	\$5,317,086	>\$900,000
Prograf (tacrolimus)	3,177,500 mg	4,005,166 mg	\$18,092,664	>\$3,800,000
Triumeq	35,790	45,844	\$4,862,296	>\$1,000,000
Truvada	24,690	39,989	\$2,480,508	>\$900,000
Sensipar	28,560	41,335	\$2,051,491	>\$600,000

20. At the March 25, 2018 inspection, the Board's inspector was informed that Alphascript Inc. frequently dispensed prescriptions using drugs from its inventory, but that those prescriptions were billed to insurance companies as coming from Medical Plaza Pharmacy. This practice could potentially cause an inventory shortage of the dispensed drugs for Alphascript Inc. and an overage for Medical Plaza Pharmacy, based upon purchase and dispensing records. The Board's inspector combined the purchase and dispense records between the two pharmacies and found that discrepancies persisted that are not explained by the practice of moving prescriptions between the two pharmacies.

21. The Board's inspector analyzed records of Alphascript Inc. (PHY 50690) from between September 22, 2018, and March 25, 2019, and compared purchases and dispersions in that time period with those of Medical Plaza Pharmacy from the same time period. The following discrepancies persisted:

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

Drug	Discrepancy - Positive # reflects overage	Total Cost to Pharmacy
Genvoya	(10,590)	(\$1,016,184)
Sensipar 30 mg	10,389	\$267,759
Sensipar 60 mg	4,740	\$244,141
Sensipar 90 mg	150	\$11,742
Triumeq	(1,132)	(\$103,287)
Truvada	(8,853)	(\$469,788)
Prograf/tacrolimus mg total	155,281	\$2,637,144

22. The Board's inspector further analyzed records of Alphascript (PHY 56162) from between April 11, 2016, and August 31, 2018, and compared purchases and dispersions in that time period to those of Medical Plaza Pharmacy from the same time period. The following discrepancies persisted:

Drug	Discrepancy - Positive # reflects overage	Total Cost
Genvoya	7,012	\$787,384
Sensipar 30 mg	3,258	\$102,317
Sensipar 60 mg	375	\$23,559
Sensipar 90 mg	1,489	\$140,602
Triumeq	2711	\$287,533
Truvada	10,445	\$647,901
Prograf/tacrolimus mg total	1,110,952	\$5,216,923

CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Fraudulent Act)

23. Respondent has subjected its application to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), in reference to Code sections 4301 and 4302, in that conditions exist in

1	relation to	Respondent's CEO/President/	Treasurer/Secretary/Director and COO that would
2	constitute §	grounds for disciplinary action	against them and related entities Alphascript Inc. and
3	Medical Pl	laza Pharmacy due to acts invo	olving fraud, deceit, or corruption and that such acts, if
4	done by a l	licentiate pharmacy would be	grounds for suspension or revocation of license. The
5	circumstan	nces are described above in par	ragraphs 13 through 22.
6			<u>PRAYER</u>
7	WHI	EREFORE, Complainant reque	ests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged, and
8	that follow	ving the hearing, the Board of I	Pharmacy issue a decision:
9	1.	Denying the application of D	Ouet Pharmacy for a Community Pharmacy Permit;
10	2.	Taking such other and furthe	er action as deemed necessary and proper.
11			Aura Sadarara
12	DATED:	9/3/2020	Anne Sodergren ANNE SODERGREN
13			Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
14			Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
15			Complainant
16			
17	OK20199006 91210137.do		
18	71210137.do	JCA .	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			