BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Accusation Against:

PRESTIGE PHARMACY, INC., DBA ST. PAULS PHARMACY 2, TRAMANH NU TON,

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50331,

and

TRAMANH NU TON,

Pharmacist License No. RPH 59598

Respondents

Agency Case No. 6886

OAH Case No. 2021020641

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 29, 2021.

It is so ORDERED on August 30, 2021.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Seung W. Oh, Pharm D **Board President**

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General STEPHANIE J. LEE Deputy Attorney General			
3				
4				
	State Bar No. 279733 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6185			
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant			
7				
8	BEFOR BOARD OF I			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA		
11]		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6886		
13	PRESTIGE PHARMACY, INC., DBA ST. PAULS PHARMACY 2, TRAMANH NU	OAH No. 2021020641		
14	TON 8809 Whitter Blvd.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
15	Pico Rivera, CA 90660	DISCH ENVIRT ORDER		
16	Pharmacy Permit License No. PHY 50331,	As to Respondent Tramanh Nu Ton only		
17	and			
18	TRAMANH NU TON 12235 Beach Blvd. Ste. 104C Stanton, CA 90680			
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 59598			
20				
21	Respondents.			
22				
23	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settle	ement of this matter, consistent with the public		
24	interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pha	armacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs,		
25	the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulate	ed Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will		
26	be submitted to the Board for approval and adopt	ion as the final disposition of the Accusation		
27	solely with respect to Tramanh Nu Ton. It does n	not apply to Prestige Pharmacy, Inc. dba St.		
28	Pauls Pharmacy 2.			

PARTIES

- 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Stephanie J. Lee, Deputy Attorney General.
- Respondent Tramanh Nu Ton (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Herbert L. Weinberg, whose address is: Fenton Law Group, LLP, 1990 S. Bundy Drive Suite 777, Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 3. On or about June 6, 2007, the Board issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 59598 to Tramanh Nu Ton (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges and will expire on May 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 6886 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 29, 2020. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 6886 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6886. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other

rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6886, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon Respondent's Pharmacist License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up their right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or Respondent's counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

///

- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License Number RPH 59598 issued to Respondent Tramanh Nu Ton is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years on the following terms and conditions:

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy- two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty, or nolo contendere, no contest, or similar, in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- the filing of a disciplinary pleading, issuance of a citation, or initiation of another
 administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves
 Respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the
 manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug,
 device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall timely cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of Respondent's probation, including but not limited to: timely responses to requests for information by Board staff; timely compliance with directives from Board staff regarding requirements of any term or condition of probation; and timely completion of documentation pertaining to a term or condition of probation. Failure to timely cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board or its designee.

6. Reporting of Employment and Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 6886 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within ten (10) days of undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall report to the Board in writing the name, physical address, and mailing address of each of Respondent's employer(s), and the name(s) and telephone number(s) of all of Respondent's direct supervisor(s), as well as any pharmacist(s)-in-charge, designated representative(s)-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor(s) and the work schedule, if known. Respondent shall also include the reason(s) for leaving the prior employment. Respondent shall sign and return to the Board a written consent authorizing the Board or its designee to communicate with all of Respondent's employer(s) and supervisor(s), and authorizing those employer(s) or supervisor(s) to communicate with the Board or its designee, concerning Respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring. Failure to comply with the requirements or deadlines of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause (a) Respondent's direct supervisor, (b) Respondent's pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor, and (c) the owner or owner representative of Respondent's employer, to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 6886, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. If one person serves in more than one role described in (a), (b), or (c), the acknowledgment shall so state. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board. In the event of a change in the person(s) serving the role(s) described in (a), (b), or (c) during the term of probation, Respondent shall cause the person(s) taking over the role(s) to report to the Board in writing within fifteen (15)

days of the change acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 6886, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through an employment service, Respondent must notify the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at every entity licensed by the Board of the decision in case number 6886, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby in advance of Respondent commencing work at such licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment by or through an employment service, Respondent shall cause the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at the employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or failure to cause the identified person(s) with that/those employer(s) to submit timely written acknowledgments to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision includes any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief, or employment/management service position as a pharmacist, or any position for which a pharmacist is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the Respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Notification of Change(s) in Name, Address(es), or Phone Number(s)

Respondent shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change in name, residence address, mailing address, e-mail address or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer, name, address, or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities –

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other

compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the total amount of \$15,000.00. Respondent and Prestige Pharmacy, Inc. dba St. Pauls Pharmacy 2 shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of these costs.

Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than one (1) year prior to the end date of probation.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current Pharmacist License with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current Pharmacist License shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's Pharmacist License expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

///

2 3 4

5 6

7 8 9

10

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25 26

27

28

12. **License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension**

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may relinquish Respondent's license, including any indicia of licensure issued by the Board, along with a request to surrender the license. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to accept the surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish her pocket and/or wall license, including any indicia of licensure not previously provided to the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted if not already provided. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board, including any outstanding costs.

Practice Requirement – Extension of Probation 13.

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 80 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall extend the period of probation by one month. During any such period of insufficient employment, Respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation, unless Respondent receives a waiver in writing from the Board or its designee.

If Respondent does not practice as a pharmacist in California for the minimum number of hours in any calendar month, for any reason (including vacation), Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the conclusion of that calendar month. This notification shall include at least: the date(s), location(s), and hours of last practice; the reason(s) for the interruption or reduction in practice; and the anticipated date(s) on which Respondent will resume practice at the required level. Respondent shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days following the next calendar month during which Respondent practices as a pharmacist in California for the minimum of hours. Any failure to timely provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to be extended pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

14. **Violation of Probation**

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and the Board shall provide notice to Respondent that probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, or the preparation of an accusation or petition to revoke probation is requested from the Office of the Attorney General, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided, and the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6886 shall be deemed true and correct.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.

27 | ///

28 | /

16. **Diversion Training Program**

Within the first year of probation, Respondent shall enroll in and successfully complete the Board's diversion training program, "Prescription Drug Abuse and Diversion What a Pharmacist Needs to Know," at Respondent's expense. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within thirty (30) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in the program, to initiate the program during the first year of probation, to successfully complete it before the end of the first year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the Board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related the following areas: corresponding responsibility and/or prescription drug abuse. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least ten (10) hours per year, which shall be completed in each year of probation at Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes for pharmacists.

Failure to timely submit for approval or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require the Respondent, at Respondent's own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's knowledge of the course. If the Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination that course shall not count towards satisfaction of this term. Respondent shall take another course approved by the Board in the same subject area.

27 | ///

28 | /

18. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee that complies with Title 16 California Code of Regulations section 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, to initiate the course during the first year of probation, to successfully complete it before the end of the second year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the Board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. No Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within one hundred fifty (150) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

19 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 | ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 ///

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
3	discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it		
4	will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary		
5	Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order		
6	of the Board of Pharmacy.		
7			
8	DATED: TRAMANH NU TON		
9	Respondent		
10			
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Tramanh Nu Ton the terms and conditions		
12	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve		
13	its form and content.		
14			
15	DATED: HERBERT L. WEINBERG		
16	Attorney for Respondent		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
2324			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20	13		

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 7-21-202/
TRAMANH NU TO
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Tramanh Nu Ton the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. Lapprove its form and content.

DATED: 1/4/2021

HERBERT L. WEINBERG

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. 7/21/2021 Respectfully submitted, DATED: ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General STEPHANIE J. LEE Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2020500610 64345272_2

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6886

1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
4	STEPHANIE J. LEE Deputy Attorney General			
5	State Bar No. 279733 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6185			
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFOR			
10	BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CO			
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6886		
13	PRESTIGE PHARMACY, INC., DBA	- Cust 1101 0000		
14	ST. PAULS PHARMACY 2, TRAMANH	A CICUIC A TRION		
15	NU TON (PRESIDENT AND 100% OWNER)	ACCUSATION		
16	8809 Whitter Blvd. Pico Rivera, CA 90660			
17	,			
18	Pharmacy Permit License No. PHY 50331,			
19	and			
20	TRAMANH NU TON 12235 Beach Blvd. Ste. 104C			
21	Stanton, CA 90680			
22	Pharmacist License No. RPH 59598			
23	Respondents.			
24				
25	PART	<u>CIES</u>		
26	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
27	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	y (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
28	///			
		1		
	(PRESTIGE PHARMACY, INC., DBA ST. PAULS PHA	RMACY 2, TRAMANH NU TON and TRAMANH NU		

TON) ACCUSATION

On or about June 14, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit License Number PHY 50331 to Prestige Pharmacy, Inc., dba St. Pauls Pharmacy 2, President, Tramanh Nu Ton (Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy). Tramanh Nu Ton was the Pharmacist-in-Charge, President, and 100% owner of Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy from June 14, 2010 to February 12, 2019. The Pharmacy Permit License expired on February 12, 2019, and has not been renewed.

On or about June 6, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 59598 to Tramanh Nu Ton (Respondent Ton). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2021, unless

- This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise
- Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Code sections 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act
- Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that "[e]very license issued may be

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or

The board may deny, suspend, or revoke any license of a corporation where conditions exist in relation to any person holding 10 percent or more of the corporate stock of the corporation, or where conditions exist in relation to any officer or director of the corporation that would constitute grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee.

28

///

27

STATUTORY PROVISIONS 1 2 9. Section 4059 of the Code states: 3 (a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor 4 pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or 5 naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. 6 7 10. Section 4113 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall 8 be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations 9 pertaining to the practice of pharmacy." Section 4301 of the Code states: 11. 10 11 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional 12 conduct includes, but is not limited to, any of the following: 13 14 (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. 15 16 17 (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. 18 19 (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or 20 abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, 21 including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal 22 regulatory agency. 23 12. Section 4306.5 of the Code states: 24 Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following: 25 (b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with 26 regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services. 27 28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 26. The Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) is California's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). Pharmacies in California are required to report all filled prescriptions for Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances to the database every week. The data is collected statewide and can be used by licensed prescribers and pharmacists to evaluate and determine whether their patients are utilizing controlled substances correctly and whether a patient has used multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies to fill controlled substance prescriptions. Law enforcement and regulatory agencies such as the Board have access to the CURES database for official oversight or investigatory purposes.
- 27. In May 2019, the Board began an investigation into Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy. The Board inspector reviewed CURES dispensing data reported by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy for the period of May 7, 2016 through May 7, 2019. The inspector determined that while Respondent Ton was the pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacy had filled a number of prescriptions for controlled substances during that period that appeared to exhibit multiple objective factors of irregularity—or red flags—indicating that the prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.
- 28. On or about June 5, 2019, the Board inspector visited Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy at the address of record and discovered the business was closed. Signs posted on the premises advised patients that their prescriptions could be obtained at the CVS Pharmacy No. 9769 nearby. The Board inspector visited CVS Pharmacy No. 9769 and spoke with the pharmacist-in-charge, who indicated that Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy's prescriptions and records had been transferred to CVS Pharmacy No. 9769.
- 29. On or about September 20, 2019, upon requests to CVS Pharmacy No. 9769, the Board inspector received Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy's dispensing records and available original prescription records for the time period of May 7, 2016 through May 7, 2019. The dispensing records lacked drug cost and payment information. The Board inspector's review of the records during this time period identified the following dispensing trends and patterns of

irregularity indicating that numerous prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose:

(a) Patterns of irregularities were identifiable because of low percentages of controlled substance prescriptions.

30. In total, the prescriptions dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy were largely for non-controlled substances. Low percentages of controlled substance prescriptions were dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy during this time period, which would cause patterns of irregularities from specific prescribers of controlled substances to stand out, especially if multiple identical or similar prescriptions were presented to the pharmacy on the same date.

DRUG CLASS (SCHEDULE)	NUMBER OF PRESCRIPTION S	PERCENT OF TOTAL DISPENSED
0	81,361	96.1
2	544	0.6
3	254	0.3
4	1,947	2.3
5	554	0.7
TOTAL	84,660	100.00%

- (b) Two particular prescribers exhibited multiple and apparent patterns of irregularity in their controlled substance prescriptions overall.
 - 1) There was minimal variety in the controlled substance prescriptions of Dr. Armen Hovannisyan and Dr. Joseph Park.
- 31. Among the most common prescribers of controlled substances dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy, all but two prescribers prescribed a wide variety of controlled substances. The following two prescribers each prescribed only three (3) controlled substances during the three-year period:

PRESCRIBER	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	NUMBER OF PRESCRIPTIONS
Armen Hovannisyan	Promethazine/codeine	118
	Alprazolam 2 mg	101
	Hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg	39
Joseph Harng Park	Hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg	60
	Promethazine/codeine	43
	Alprazolam 2 mg	41
	Total	402

32. This pattern of minimal variety in controlled substance prescriptions is commonly seen with illegitimate prescriptions. It is a pattern of irregularity for these controlled substances, which are commonly abused and have very specific treatment purposes, to be the only ones dispensed through a prescriber's prescriptions.

2) Identical controlled substance prescriptions from multiple patients of the same two prescribers were received and dispensed on the same day.

33. On numerous dates, Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy received and dispensed identical or similar controlled substance prescriptions on the same day from multiple patients of Dr. Hovannisyan. Often, these prescriptions were assigned consecutive or nearly consecutive prescription numbers by the dispensing computer software, indicating that the pharmacy processed the prescriptions consecutively or nearly consecutively. For example, on December 23, 2016, Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy was presented with and dispensed the following controlled substance prescriptions from Dr. Hovannisyan's patients:

DATE	RX NO.	PATIENT	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	QUANTITY
12/23/16	692355	E.M.	Hydrocodone/APAP	120
12/23/16	692354	J.G.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
12/23/16	692353	_	Promethazine/codeine	240
12/23/16	692351	J.F.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
12/23/16	692350	_	Promethazine/codeine	240
12/23/16	692356	L.A.	Hydrocodone/APAP	120
12/23/16	692348	Y.I.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
12/23/16	692347		Promethazine/codeine	240

///

///

///

///

///

11

17

16

18

19 20

22

23

21

24

25

26 27

28

34. This pattern of irregularity also occurred with Dr. Park's prescriptions and patients on numerous dates. For example, on November 4, 2016, Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy was presented with and dispensed the following controlled substance prescriptions from Dr. Park's patients:

DATE	RX NO.	PATIENT	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	QUANTITY
11/4/16	689999	W.D.	Hydrocodone/APAP	120
11/4/16	689994	E.P.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
11/4/16	689993		Promethazine/codeine	240
11/4/16	689997	M.R.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
11/4/16	689996		Promethazine/codeine	240
11/4/16	689980	Ma.R.	Alprazolam 2 mg	100
11/4/16	689979		Promethazine/codeine	240
11/4/16	689978	R.C.	Hydrocodone/APAP	120

- Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park's written prescriptions lacked required security features.
- 35. The Board inspector also reviewed the available original prescription documents from both prescribers that had been dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy during this time period. All of Dr. Hovannisyan's written prescription documents failed to include a "California Security Prescription" watermark and a lot number—all security features that are required by law. Similarly, all of Dr. Park's written prescription documents failed to include a "California Security Prescription" watermark and a lot number. Some of Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park's prescriptions were also not dated—another basic requirement—but nevertheless filled by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy. These omitted security features alone invalidated the prescriptions and visibly indicated that the prescriptions were not written legitimately.
 - 4) Dr. Hovannisyan, Dr. Park, and many of their respective patients had addresses excessively far from St. Paul's Pharmacy.
- Dr. Hovannisyan's prescriptions listed an office address that was over 22 miles from St. Paul's Pharmacy. Similarly, Dr. Park's prescriptions listed an office address that was over 17 miles from St. Paul's Pharmacy. Many patients of both prescribers also had addresses of record that were unusually long distances from either the prescriber's office or St. Paul's Pharmacy.

Long distances traveled by the patient to either the prescriber's office or the pharmacy are a red flag that would necessitate the pharmacy taking additional steps of verification to ensure the legitimacy of the prescriber's prescription.

- (c) The same two prescribers issued the majority of Alprazolam 2 mg prescriptions, which also exhibited multiple and apparent patterns of irregularity.
- 37. Alprazolam 2 mg tablets are a commonly abused prescription medication. The strength of a 2 mg tablet is at least four times the recommended starting strength for patients not accustomed to taking this medication.
- 38. Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park, the same two prescribers who exhibited multiple patterns of irregularity in their controlled substance prescriptions overall, also issued the vast majority of prescriptions for Alprazolam 2 mg dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy:

PRESCRIBER	QUANTITY PER PRESCRIPTION	NUMBER OF PRESCRIPTIONS
D.B.	30	1
E.M.	60	30
C.A.	90	1
A.A.	100	1
Armen Hovannisyan		101
Joseph Harng Park		41
	Total	175

- 1) Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg exclusively in 100 tablet quantities, the highest quantity dispensed by Respondents.
- 39. These same two prescribers prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg only in 100 tablet quantities, with 100 tablets being the highest recorded quantity during this time period, which was indicative of another pattern of irregularity. In total, these two prescribers were responsible for 98.6% of all Alprazolam 2 mg prescriptions in a quantity over 60 tablets.
 - 2) Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park prescribed Alprazolam exclusively in the highest available strength.
- 40. These same two prescribers also prescribed Alprazolam exclusively in 2 mg, the highest available strength for this medication, even though other lower strengths of Alprazolam are available. Because inter-patient variability exists in age, weight, diagnosis, drug allergies,

medical histories, severity of symptoms being treated, tolerance to drugs, patient preferences regarding drug therapy plans, and other patient-related factors, it is a pattern of irregularity for these prescribers to uniformly prescribe Alprazolam at the highest strength to all their patients.

- 41. Of the total 105 patients who had Dr. Hovannisyan's prescriptions dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy, 55 patients were prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg. According to CURES patient data, which was accessible to Respondents, many of these 55 patients had no prior history of taking Alprazolam in an amount or for a period of time that would justify the prescription issued for the highest available strength.
- 42. Of the total 53 patients who had Dr. Park's prescriptions dispensed by Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy, 26 patients were prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg. According to CURES patient data, which was accessible to Respondents, many of these 26 patients also had no prior history of taking Alprazolam in an amount or for a period of time that would justify the prescription issued for the highest available strength.
 - 3) Respondents concurrently dispensed Alprazolam 2 mg and Promethazine/Codeine to multiple patients of Dr. Hovannisyan and Dr. Park, despite the potential for serious drug interaction.
- 43. In at least 67 instances, the 55 patients who were prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg by Dr. Hovannisyan also received concurrent prescriptions for another interacting drug, specifically Promethazine/Codeine, which is another commonly abused controlled substance. In each of these instances, Respondents concurrently dispensed both Alprazolam 2 mg and Promethazine/Codeine to the same patient, despite the potential for serious drug interaction. There was no documentation in Respondents' available dispensing or prescription records to indicate that Respondents inquired about or validated this pattern of irregularity.
- 44. In at least 33 instances, nearly all of the 26 patients who were prescribed Alprazolam 2 mg by Dr. Park also received concurrent prescriptions for another interacting drug, specifically Promethazine/Codeine. In each of these instances, Respondents concurrently dispensed both Alprazolam 2 mg and Promethazine/Codeine to the same patient, despite the potential for serious drug interaction. There was no documentation in Respondents' available dispensing or

///

prescription records to indicate that Respondents inquired about or validated this pattern of irregularity.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exercise or Implement Corresponding Responsibility)

45. Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy and Respondent Ton are subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o); 4306.5, subdivision (b); and 4113, subdivision (c); in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a); California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761; and Federal Code of Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04, in that Respondents failed to exercise or implement their best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances or dangerous drugs, or with regard to the provision of services. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 26 through 44, as though set forth in full herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Filling or Dispensing Improper Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)

46. Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy and Respondent Ton are subject to disciplinary
action under sections 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o); 4306.5, subdivision (b); and 4113,
subdivision (c); in conjunction with Health and Safety Code sections 11162.1, subdivisions (a)
and (b), and 11164; California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761; and Federal Code of
Regulations, title 21, section 1306.05; in that Respondents filled or dispensed controlled
substance prescriptions that did not comply with the form requirements of Health and Safety
Code section 11162.1, or contained any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty,
ambiguity or alteration. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations
set forth in above paragraphs 26 through 44, as though set forth in full herein.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 47. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about March 10, 2015, the Board issued a final Citation No. CI 2011-49360 against Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy for violating Code section 4067 [dispensing dangerous drugs on the internet without prescription issued pursuant to good faith examination] and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1707.5 [mailing prescriptions to patient with non-conforming prescription labels]. A total \$20,000 fine was issued pursuant to the final Citation. The basis for the citation was that on or about January 10, 2011, Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy engaged in providing dangerous drugs for delivery in partnership with the Alliance Health Group, and had confirmed 5,240 prescriptions, of which 148 were confirmed as being mailed to California residents. The basis for the citation was also that on or about September 27, 2012, during a Board inspection at Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy, the pharmacy engaged in mailing prescriptions within California with prescription labels that did not conform to state labeling requirements.
- b. On or about March 10, 2015, the Board issued a final Citation No. CI 2013-59714 against Respondent Ton for violating Code section 4067 [dispensing dangerous drugs on the internet without prescription issued pursuant to good faith examination] and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1707.5 [mailing prescriptions to patient with non-conforming prescription labels]. A total \$20,000 fine was issued pursuant to the final Citation. The bases for the citation were the same as those for Citation No. CI 2011-49360, alleged above in paragraph 47(a), and Respondent Ton was the pharmacist-in-charge at the time of these incidents.

OTHER MATTERS

48. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50331 issued to Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy, Respondent St. Paul's Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50331 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50331 is reinstated if it is revoked.