# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

NCRXONE, INC., dba NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45171;

and

JASON MYUNGJOON KIM, Pharmacist License No. RPH 39008,

**Respondents.** 

Agency Case No. 6878

OAH No. 2021070820

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 30, 2022.

It is so ORDERED on February 28, 2022.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

eung

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California NANCY KAISER				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MELISSA TYNER				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 269649				
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6314 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126				
7	E-mail: Melissa.Tyner@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFOR BOARD OF P				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6878			
13	NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM	OAH No. 2021070820			
14	8227 Woodman Panorma City, CA 91402	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER OF			
15	Permit No. PHY 45171,	PHARMACIST JASON MYUNGJOON KIM ONLY			
16	and				
17	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM				
18	8227 Woodman Ave Panorama City, CA 91402				
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 39008				
20	Respondents.				
21					
22					
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-				
24	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:				
25	PART	TIES			
26	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy			
27	(Board). She brought this action solely in her offi	cial capacity and is represented in this matter by			
28					
		1			
		Stipulated Surrender of License (Case No. 6878)			

Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Melissa Tyner, Deputy Attorney 1 2 General. 2. Jason Myungjoon Kim (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and 3 has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel. 4 3. On or about September 19, 1984, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 5 39008 to Jason Myungjoon Kim (Respondent). The License was in full force and effect at all 6 7 times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6878 and will expire on January 31, 2022, unless renewed. 8 9 JURISDICTION 4. Accusation No. 6878 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against 10 Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served 11 on Respondent on May 28, 2020. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the 12 Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 6878 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by 13 reference. 14 **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS** 15 Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in 5. 16 Accusation No. 6878. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this 17 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. 18 6. 19 Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at 2021 his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel 22 the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and 23 24 court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 25 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 26 every right set forth above. 27 28

1	
2	<u>CULPABILITY</u>
3	8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation
4	No. 6878, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacist License
5	No. RPH 39008 for the Board's formal acceptance.
6	9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation Respondent enables the Board
7	to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License without further process.
8	<u>CONTINGENCY</u>
9	10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands
10	and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly
11	with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by
12	Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not
13	withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers
14	and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the
15	Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this
16	paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not
17	be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
18	11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
19	copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures
20	thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
21	12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an
22	integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
23	It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
24	negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order
25	may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing
26	executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
27	13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
28	the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:
	3

<u>ORDER</u>
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 39008 issued to Respondent
Jason Myungjoon Kim, is surrendered and accepted by the Board. Respondent(s) understand and
acknowledge that for purposes of Business and Professions Code section 4307, this stipulated
surrender is the same as a revocation.
1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the
surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent.
This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's
license history with the Board.
2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Licensed Pharmacist in California
as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was
issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
4. Respondent shall not apply for licensure or petition for reinstatement for three (3)
years from the effective date of this Decision and Order. If Respondent ever applies for licensure
or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new
application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures
for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and
allegations contained in Accusation No. 6878 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by
Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
5. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the
amount of \$30,425.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license. Respondent and Ncrxone,
Inc. dba New Century Pharmacy, Jason Myungjoon Kim shall be jointly and severally liable for
payment of these costs.
6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 6878 shall be deemed
4

1	to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any
2	other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
3	
4	ACCEPTANCE
5	I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the
6	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated
7	Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound
8	by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
9	
10	DATED:
11	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM Respondent
12	
13	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
14	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted
15	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
16	DATED: Respectfully submitted,
17	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
18	NANCY KAISER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
19	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
20	
21	MELISSA TYNER Deputy Attorney General
22	Attorneys for Complainant
23	
24	LA2020500767
25	64798673_2.docx
26	
27	
28	
	5
	5 Stipulated Surrander of License (Case No. 6878)

other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

# ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

12022 DATED: JASON. Respondent

## ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 1/12/22

Respectfully submitted.

ROB BONTA Attorney General of California NANCY KAISER Supervising Deputy Attorney General

MELISSA TYNER Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2020500767 64798673\_2.docx

### Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6878

1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California				
2	MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General MORGAN MALEK				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 223382				
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6278 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFOR	Е ТНЕ			
9	BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF C				
10	STATE OF CA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6878			
13	NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM				
14	8227 Woodman Panorama City, CA 91402	ACCUSATION			
15	Permit No. PHY 45171,				
16	and				
17	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM 8227 Woodman Ave				
18 19	Panorama City, CA 91402				
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 39008				
20	Respondents.				
22					
23					
24	PARTIES				
25	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity				
26	<ul> <li>as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.</li> <li>2. On or about February 1, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Permit Number PHY</li> </ul>				
27	<ol> <li>On or about February 1, 2001, the Boa</li> <li>45171 to Ncrxone, Inc. dba New Century Pharma</li> </ol>	·			
28	is 171 to reactione, me. dou reew Century I harma	, suson myungjoon rain (respondent			
	1				
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CEN	TURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION			

1	Pharmacy). The Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
2	herein and will expire on February 1, 2022, unless renewed.
3	3. On or about September 19, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License
4	Number RPH 39008 to Jason Myungjoon Kim (Respondent PIC). The Pharmacist License was
5	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
6	January 31, 2022, unless renewed.
7	JURISDICTION
8	4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
9	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
10	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
11	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
12	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
13	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license
14	on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board
15	of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary
16	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."
17	6. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
18	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
19	"(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default
20	has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the
21	following methods:
22	"(1) Suspending judgment.
23	"(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
24	"(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
25	"(4) Revoking his or her license.
26	"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its
27	discretion may deem proper.
28	
	2
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

"(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure."

### 6

7

1

2

3

4

5

#### STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

7. **Section 4013**, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of 8 9 dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from 10 the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-11 party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, 12 podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a 13 14 currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 15 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who 16 maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices." 17 8. Section 4059, subdivision (a), of the Code states: 18

"A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician,
dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A
person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist,
podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7."

23

9.

Section 4301, subdivision (f), of the Code states, in pertinent part:

24 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
25 conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is
26 not limited to, any of the following:

1	"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
2	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
3	whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not."
4	10. Section 4307, subdivision (a) of the Code states that:
5	"Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under
6	suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who
7	has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any
8	partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or
9	revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger,
10	administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or
11	knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or
12	placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member,
13	officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:
14 15	(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
16	<ul><li>(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.</li></ul>
17	11. Section 11153, subdivision (a), of the Health & Safety Code states, in pertinent part:
18	"A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical
19	purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.
20	The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the
21	prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the
22	prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1)
23	an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional
24	treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of
25	controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an
26	authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled
27	substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."
28	4
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION
I	JASUN MIYUNUJUUN KIMJ ACCUSATION

1	12. Section 11165, subdivision (d), of the Health & Safety Code states, in pertinent part:
2	"For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance,
3	as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically
4	Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, and respectively, of Title 21 of the Code of Federal
5	Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy, clinic, or other dispenser shall report the following
6	information to the Department of Justice as soon as reasonably possible, but not more than seven
7	days after the date a controlled substance is dispensed, in a format specified by the Department of
8	Justice:
9	(1) Full name, address, and, if available, telephone number of the ultimate user or
10	research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States
11	Department of Health and Human Services, and the gender, and date of birth of the ultimate
12	user.
13	(2) The prescriber's category of licensure, license number, national provider identifier
14	(NPI) number, the federal controlled substance registration number, and the state medical
15	license number of any prescriber using the federal controlled substance registration number
16	of a government-exempt facility, if provided.
17	(3) Pharmacy prescription number, license number, NPI number, and federal
18	controlled substance registration number.
19	(4) National Drug Code (NDC) number of the controlled substance dispensed.
20	(5) Quantity of the controlled substance dispensed.
21	(6) International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9) or 10 <sup>th</sup>
22	revision (ICD-10) Code, if available.
23	(7) Number of refills ordered.
24	(8) Whether the drug was dispensed as a refill of a prescription or as a first-time
25	request.
26	(9) Date of origin of the prescription.
27	(10) Date of dispensing of the prescription.
28	(11) The serial number for the corresponding prescription form, if applicable."
	5
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	13. Section 11167 of the Health & Safety Code states, in pertinent part:			
2	"Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of Section 11164, in an emergency where failure to issue			
3	a prescription may result in loss of life or intense suffering, an order for a controlled substance			
4	may be dispensed on an oral order, an electronic data transmission order, or a written order not			
5	made on a controlled substance form as specified in Section 11162.1, subject to all of the			
6	following requirements:			
7	(a) The order contains all information required by subdivision (a) of Section 11164.			
8	(b) Any written order is signed and dated by the prescriber in ink, and the pharmacy			
9	reduces any oral or electronic data transmission order to hard copy form prior to dispensing			
10	the controlled substance.			
11	(c) The prescriber provides a written prescription on a controlled substance			
12	prescription form that meets the requirements of Section 11162.1, by the seventh day			
13	following the transmission of the initial order; a postmark by the seventh day following			
14	transmission of the initial order shall constitute compliance.			
15	(d) If the prescriber fails to comply with subdivision (c), the pharmacy shall so notify			
16	the Department of Justice in writing within 144 hours of the prescriber's failure to do so			
17	and shall make and retain a hard copy, readily retrievable record of the prescription,			
18	including the date and method of notification of the Department of Justice.			
19	(e) This section shall become operative on January 1, 2005."			
20	14. Section 111440 of the Health & Safety Code states, in pertinent part:			
21	"It is unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, deliver, hold, or offer for sale any drug			
22	or device that is misbranded."			
23	15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1707.5, subdivision (a), states:			
24	"Labels on drug containers dispensed to patients in California shall conform to the			
25	following format:			
26	(1) Each of the following items, and only these four items, shall be clustered into one area of the label that comprises at least 50 percent of the label. Each item shall be printed in at least a			
27	12-point sans serif typeface, and listed in the following order: (A) Name of the patient			
28				
	6			
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION			

ĺ	
	(B) Name of the drug and strength of the drug. For the purposes of this section, "name of
1	the drug" means either the manufacturer's trade name of the drug, or the generic name and the
2	statement "generic for" where the brand name is inserted and the name of the
2	manufacturer. In the professional judgment of the pharmacist:
3	(i) If the brand name is no longer widely used, the label may list only the generic name of
	the drug, and
4	(ii) The manufacturer's name may be listed outside of the patient-centered area.
5	(C) The directions for the use of the drug.
5	(D) The condition or purpose for which the drug was prescribed if the condition or purpose
6	is indicated on the prescription.
7	(2) For added emphasis, the label shall also highlight in bold typeface or color, or use blank space to set off the items listed in subdivision $(a)(1)$ .
7	(3) The remaining required elements for the label specified in section 4076 of the Business
8	and Professions Code, as well as any other items of information appearing on the label or the
	container, shall be printed so as not to interfere with the legibility or emphasis of the primary
9	elements specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a). These additional elements may appear in
10	any style, font, and size typeface.
10	(4) When applicable, directions for use shall use one of the following phrases:
11	(A) Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] at bedtime
1.0	(B) Take 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] at bedtime
12	(C) Take 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] at bedtime
13	(D) Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning
10	(E) Take 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning
14	(F) Take 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning
15	(G) Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, and Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] at bedtime
15	(H) Take 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, and Take 2 [insert appropriate
16	dosage form] at bedtime
17	(I) Take 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, and Take 3 [insert appropriate
17	dosage form] at bedtime
18	(J) Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 1 [insert appropriate dosage
	form] at noon, and 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening
19	(K) Take 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 2 [insert appropriate dosage
20	form] at noon, and 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening
20	(L) Take 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 3 [insert appropriate dosage
21	form] at noon, and 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening (M) Take 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 1 [insert appropriate dosage
22	form] at noon, 1 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening, and 1 [insert appropriate dosage
22	form] at bedtime
23	(N) Take 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 2 [insert appropriate dosage
	form] at noon, 2 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening, and 2 [insert appropriate dosage
24	form] at bedtime
25	(O) Take 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the morning, 3 [insert appropriate dosage
23	form] at noon, 3 [insert appropriate dosage form] in the evening, and 3 [insert appropriate dosage
26	form] at bedtime
~ -	(P) If you have pain, take [insert appropriate dosage form] at a time. Wait at least
27	hours before taking again. Do not take more than [appropriate dosage form] in one day
28	16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b), states:
	7
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and
	JACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

"Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and
 equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed.
 The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice
 of pharmacy."

5

### 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1776, states:

"Pharmacies, hospitals/clinics with onsite pharmacies, distributors and reverse distributors 6 licensed by the board may offer, under the requirements in this article, specified prescription drug 7 take-back services through collection receptacles and/or mail back envelopes or packages to 8 provide options for the public to discard unwanted, unused or outdated prescription drugs. Each 9 entity must comply with regulations of the federal Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and 10 this article. Only California-licensed pharmacies, hospitals/clinics with onsite pharmacies, and 11 drug distributors (licensed wholesalers and third-party logistics providers) who are registered with 12 the DEA as collectors and licensed in good standing with the board may host a pharmaceutical 13 14 take-back receptacle as authorized under this article."

15

18. Code of Federal Regulations, section 1304.04, subdivision (h), states:

16 "Each registered pharmacy shall maintain the inventories and records of controlled17 substances as follows:

18 (1) Inventories and records of all controlled substances listed in Schedule I and II
19 shall be maintained separately from all other records of the pharmacy.

(2) Paper prescriptions for Schedule II controlled substances shall be maintained at
 the registered location in a separate prescription file.

(3) Inventories and records of Schedules III, IV, and V controlled substances shall be
maintained either separately from all other records of the pharmacy or in such form that the
information required is readily retrievable from ordinary business records of the pharmacy.

(4) Paper prescriptions for Schedules III, IV, and V controlled substances shall be
maintained at the registered location either in a separate prescription file for Schedules III, IV,
and V controlled substances only or in such form that they are readily retrievable from the other
prescription records of the pharmacy. Prescriptions will be deemed readily retrievable if, at the

time they are initially filed, the face of the prescription is stamped in red ink in the lower right corner with the letter "C" no less than 1 inch high and filed either in the prescription file for controlled substances listed in Schedules I and II or in the usual consecutively numbered prescription file for non-controlled substances. However, if a pharmacy employs a computer application for prescriptions that permits identification by prescription number and retrieval of original documents by prescriber name, patient's name, drug dispensed, and date filled, then the requirement to mark the hard copy prescription with a red "C" is waived.

(5) Records of electronic prescriptions for controlled substances shall be maintained 8 9 in an application that meets the requirements of part 1311 of this chapter. The computers on which the records are maintained may be located at another location, but the records must be 10 readily retrievable at the registered location if requested by the Administration or other law 11 enforcement agent. The electronic application must be capable of printing out or transferring the 12 records in a format that is readily understandable to an Administration or other law enforcement 13 14 agent at the registered location. Electronic copies of prescription records must be sortable by prescriber name, patient name, drug dispensed, and date filled." 15

16

19. Code of Federal Regulations, section 1305.13, subdivision (e), states:

17 "The purchaser must record on its copy of the DEA Form 222 the number of commercial or
18 bulk containers furnished on each item and the dates on which the containers are received by the
19 purchaser."

20

#### **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS**

20. Hydrocodone/acetaminophen ("hydrocodone/apap"), the generic name for
Norco and Lortab, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
section 11056, subdivision (e)(4) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. As of
October 6, 2014, hydrocodone/apap became a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to
United States Code, title 21, section 812.

26 21. Oxycodone, the generic name for Roxicodone, is a Schedule II controlled substance
27 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) and is a dangerous drug
28 pursuant to Code section 4022.

1	22. Promethazine with Codeine syrup, the generic name for Phenergan with
2	Codeine Syrup, is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section
3	11058, subdivision (c)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
4	23. Alprazolam, the generic name for Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance
5	pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1) and is a dangerous drug
6	pursuant to Code section 4022.
7	COST RECOVERY
8	24. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
9	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
10	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
11	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being
12	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
13	included in a stipulated settlement.
14	<b>BOARD'S INSPECTION OF JULY 24, 2019</b>
15	25. On July 24, 2019, Inspector E.D. and Inspector A.B. traveled to Respondent
16	pharmacy to conduct an inspection, along with DEA agents conducting their own investigation.
17	The inspectors interviewed Respondent PIC about the pharmacy's general operations. Respondent
18	PIC stated the following regarding Respondent Pharmacy (Pharmacy):
19	• The Pharmacy processed approximately 50 prescriptions per day and of that only three to
20	four prescriptions were for Controlled Substance Schedule II.
21	• The Pharmacy did not compound medications.
22	• Respondent PIC was the only staff of the Pharmacy.
23	• The Pharmacy wholesalers were: McKesson, ANDA Incorporated (ANDA) and H.D.
24	Smith.
25	• The pharmacy's reverse distributor was Pharma Link Inc. per Respondent PIC Kim, as of
26	July 24, 2019, the Pharmacy did not use their reverse distributor.
27	• The Pharmacy's Software was RX30.
28	
	10 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and
	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

Inspector E.D. notified Respondent PIC that she was there to conduct an inspection and requested to look through Pharmacy's shelves, drawers, refrigerator and waiting bin<sup>1</sup>.

26. During the inspection, Inspector E.D. requested and received from Respondent the 3 Pharmacy's Drug Utilization Report (DUR)<sup>2</sup> for the period between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 4 5 2019. Per Respondent PIC, the provided DUR was an accurate representation of the Pharmacy's dispensing history and hard copy (paper) prescription records. Inspector E.D. requested 6 7 Respondent PIC to send her the DUR in Excel format.

8 27. During the inspection, Inspector E.D. noted several pharmacy violations. The 9 pharmacy was disorganized and dirty. Respondent PIC could not locate pharmacy's Self-10 Assessment, DEA Biennial Inventory and policy and procedures. The posted Pharmacy's permit was expired. The Pharmacy had adulterated, misbranded and expired medications on the active 11 shelves. It had several prescriptions billed to the patients' insurance without being dispensed. 12 There were invoices for controlled substance were not separated. 13

28. 14 Inspector E.D. located several prescriptions in the waiting bin for which the label did not state the manufacturer's trade name of the drug, or the generic name and the statement 15 "generic for" to be at least 50 percent of the label, at least a 12-point sans serif typeface. 16

29. While reviewing the waiting bin, Inspector E.D. located several prescriptions in the 17 waiting bin some of which dated back to 2017 and which were billed to the patient's insurance 18 19 and were not reversed. She asked Respondent PIC why the prescriptions were still billed to the insurance and PIC Kim responded that he "got lazy." 20

21

1

2

Using the provided DUR, Inspector E.D. was able to confirm the below prescriptions 30. were processed through the insurance. 22

23

///

///

///

- 24
- 25

26 <sup>1</sup> Waiting bin is a location for the prescriptions which were processed and verified by the pharmacist, and which were ready to be dispensed to patients.

27 <sup>2</sup> DUR is a computer generated report of the pharmacy's dispensing records. It contains the following data elements: date prescription was dispensed, prescription number, drug name, 28 drug strength, quantity dispensed and national drug code (NDC) and some additional information.

RX NUMBER	Medication Number	Fill Date	Insurance
188483	One touch Ultra Test	3/30/2018	Commercial Plan
	Strips		
195271	Prednisone 10 mg	5/23/2019	Commercial Plan
	tablet		
188273	Ferrous Sulfate 325	2/27/2019	Commercial Plan
	mg tablet		
182054	Amlodipine Besylate	10/5/2017	Commercial Plan
	5 mg tablet		
194531	Amlodipine Besylate	4/5/2019	Medicare Part-
	10 mg tablet		D/State
183758	Meclizine 25 mg	8/22/2017	Cash
	tablet		
195151	Simvastatin 20 mg	5/15/2019	Commercial Plan
	tablet		
194926	Glimepiride 4 mg tab	5/31/2019	Commercial Plan
193946	Vit D2 1.25 mg	2/28/2019	cash
	(50,000 unit)		
194109	Albuterol Sulfate	3/11/2019	Commercial Plan
	HFA 108		
175615	Modafinil 100 mg tab	6/30/2016 (not in the	N/A
		dispensing report-	
		DUR)	

31. While reviewing the Pharmacy stock, multiple expired medications, unlabeled 2 prescription vials and return to stock medications, were found in the pharmacy's active stock area. Respondent PIC Kim stated the following: 3

1

15

• Regarding the unlabeled vials near the Pharmacy's filling counter containing Schedule II 4 5 Controlled Substances: The tablets which were inside the pharmacy's dispensing robot (Parata Min4) were placed in these vials to be sent for destruction. He was planning to send them for 6 destruction (Note: these were not quarantined). 7

• Regarding the expired medications on the pharmacy's active shelves, Respondent PIC 8 9 claimed he did not dispense the expired medications and checked the expiration prior to dispensing. He did not quarantine the expired medications because he was concerned that they 10 would get lost. He had last sent his expired medications to his reverse distributor on or about 11 2016, however, he could not locate the invoice. 12

On the pharmacy floor, Inspector E.D. located several bags which contained several 32. 13 prescriptions which were brought back to the pharmacy. The bag contained the following: 14

• Prescriptions dispensed from Walgreens, CVS and Econo Pharmacy.

• Two prescriptions for Controlled Substance Scheduled II (hydrocodone/ ibuprofen 7.5-16 200mg and hydrocodone/ acetaminophen (APAP) 10-325mg). 17

• Respondent PIC stated he had accepted the unwanted prescription drugs back from 18 patients to discard. Inspector E.D. later confirmed Respondent Pharmacy was not registered with 19 the DEA. 20

21 33. During the inspection, the inspectors requested to review the Pharmacy's prescription documents for Schedules II, III, IV, and V controlled substances, Respondent PIC provided two 22 stacks of prescription documents. He explained that prescription documents for Schedule II 23 24 controlled substances filled on or about 2017 were not maintained at the pharmacy and were stored at his residence. Further, he did not have a waiver for off-site storage. Per Respondent 25 PIC, in 2018, he had started to separate Controlled Substance Scheduled II prescription 26 hardcopies from all other records. Further, inventories and records of Schedules III, IV, and V 27 controlled substances were not maintained separately from other records of the pharmacy and 28 13

were comingled with other prescription records. Additionally, during the inspection, Respondent
 PIC could not locate Pharmacy's DEA Biennial Inventory, and stated it was at his residence.
 34. On or about October 3, 2019, photographs were taken of the DEA Form 222 for
 which the received date was not recorded. Inspector E.D. requested and received from McKesson
 invoice records related to the DEA 222 forms which lacked the received date.

Date Ordered	Invoice Number
3/26/2018	Unable to locate
2/22/2018	7858134850
3/7/2018	7860198258
3/12/2018	7860922442
2/28/2018	7859024499
2/12/2018	7856247531
2/12/2018	7856247533
2/2/2018	7854820336
1/24/2018	7853043220
1/11/2018	7850859663
1/4/2018	7849566363
12/28/2017	7848467760
12/20/2017	7847128092
12/6/2017	7844606188
11/28/2017	7843069138
11/21/2017	7842030040
11/10/2017	7840041012
11/2/2017	7838825689
10/31/2017	Unable to locate
10/26/2017	Unable to locate
ACCUSATION (NCRXONE IN	14 JC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON K

1	35. During the inspection, the inspectors inquired whether Respondent PIC received
2	emails from the Board regarding drug recalls and other notices. He indicated that he was not
3	registered for the Board's email notifications and was not aware of such requirement.
4	36. Respondent PIC provided the inspectors with two stacks of Controlled Substance
5	Schedule II prescription documents and stated they represented the prescriptions dispensed at
6	Respondent Pharmacy between 2018 and the date of the inspection (July 24, 2019).
7	37. On July 24, 2019, the DEA collected the majority of the records related to controlled
8	substance as evidence. The prescription records dispensed under the following prescribers did
9	not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11164 and 11162.1:
10	• Photographs of 304 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription
11	documents prescription under Dr. R. Goldstein (Dr. RG) lacked the following
12	security features: (1) A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription
13	document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription"; (2) The
14	watermark printed on the back stated "DocuGard"; (3) An identifying number
15	assigned to the approved security printer by the Department of Justice; and (4)
16	Refill Check boxes so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills ordered.
17	Further, besides the lack of security features of the prescription document, the
18	majority of prescriptions: (1) Did not have complete directions. The prescriptions
19	were written for "po bid", which meant "by mouth twice a day" or "po bid q12",
20	which meant "by mouth twice every 12". These were incomplete directions since it
21	was not clear how many pills twice or every 12; (2) The prescriptions were
22	dispensed for the following medications: oxycodone 30 mg, hydrocodone/
23	acetaminophen 10-325 mg, promethazine/codeine and Adderall.
24	• Photographs of 10 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription
25	documents prescription under Dr. D. Smith (Dr. DS) lacked the following security
26	features: (1) A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription document
27	consisting of the words "California Security Prescription"; (2) The watermark
28	printed on the back stated "DocuGard"; (3) The lot number printed on the
	15
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	prescription document for each batch of controlled substance prescription forms;
2	and (3) An identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the
3	Department of Justice.
4	• Photographs of 29 prescription documents under Dr. L. Robb (Dr. LR), lacked the
5	following security features: (1) A watermark printed on the backside of the
6	prescription document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription";
7	(2) There was no watermark printed on the back; (3) The lot number printed on the
8	prescription document for each batch of controlled substance prescription forms;
9	and (3) Refill Check boxes so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills
10	ordered. In addition to the lack of security features of the prescription document, the
11	majority of prescriptions: (1) Did not have complete directions. The prescriptions
12	were written for "qd", which means "daily'-' or "po bid", which mean "by mouth
13	twice a day". These were incomplete directions since it was not clear how many
14	pills once or twice a day: (2) The prescriptions were dispensed for the following
15	medications: oxycodone 30mg, hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10-325 mg,
16	promethazine/codeine, Adderall, diazepam and alprazolam.
17	• Photographs of 19 prescription documents under Dr. J. Wang (Dr. JW). It appeared
18	that the prescriptions had the required security features, however, as described
19	below, they were dispensed without fulfilling corresponding responsibility
20	obligations. In addition, as discussed later in the report, a majority of the
21	prescription dispensed under the prescribing authority of Dr. RG, Dr. DS, Dr. LR
22	and Dr. JW were not reported to CURES.
23	38. Tables below illustrates photographs of other prescriptions which were not compliant
24	with Health and Safety Code Section 11164 and 11162.1.(the chart below does not include Dr.
25	R.G., D.S., Dr. L.R., and Dr. J.W.)
26	///
27	///
28	///
	16
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

RX	MEDICATION	QTY	DATE	IRREGULARITIES
NUMBER			FILLED	
196004	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	20	7/15/19	-CURES report showed no results -The prescription documents lacked "California Security Prescription" watermark, an identifying number assigned to the approved security printer, the statement "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," and "six quantity check off boxes for the quantity prescribed. Prescriber: Y. Mehrabi
195826	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	20	7/1/19	-CURES report showed no results -The prescription documents lacked "California Security Prescription" watermark, an identifying number assigned to the approved
				security printer, the statement "Prescription i void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," and "six quantity check off boxes for the quantity prescribed. Prescriber: Y. Mehrabi
193480	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	20	2/4/19	-CURES report showed no results -The prescription documents lacked "California Security Prescription" watermark, an identifying number assigned to the approved security printer, the statement "Prescription i void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," and "six quantity check off boxes for the quantity prescribed. Prescriber: Y. Mehrabi
	I			
RX	MEDICATION	QTY	DATE	IRREGULARITIES
NUMBER			FILLED	
186574	Hydrocodone- APAP 7.5-325	24	1/5/18	Next to the statement "Prescription is void the number of drugs prescribed is not noted was left blank. The strength of the medicat
				was altered.
				17

RX	MEDICATION	QTY	DATE	IRREGULARITIES
NUMBER			FILLED	
195997	Hydrocodone w/APAP 5/325 tab	16	7/12/19	CURES report showed no results-The date the original prescription was not recorded- next to the statement "Prescription is void the number of drugs prescribed is not noted was left blank- "six quantity check off boxe for the quantity prescribed was left blank.
	1		1	1
RX	MEDICATION	QTY	DATE	IRREGULARITIES
NUMBER			FILLED	
192817	Diazepam 5 mg	30	12/24/18	CURES report showed no results- Prescription was altered with white-out.
	tab			
DV		OTV	DATE	
RX	MEDICATION	QTY	DATE	IRREGULARITIES
NUMBER			FILLED	CURES report showed no results-Next to the
195553	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	30	6/14/19	statement "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," was left blank-
195186	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	30	5/17/19	CURES report showed no results-Next to the statement "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," was left
				blank- CURES report showed no results-Next to the
194799	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	30	4/24/19	statement "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," was left blank-
192564	Hydrocodone- APAP 10-325	60	12/7/18	Prescription number 192564 the applicable box for the quantity prescribed in the section of "six quantity check off boxes" was not
			1 0	noted.
	1			everal prescriptions. Two of the prescription
documents w	vere attached to a c	opy of	the patient'	s California Driver License. None of the
prescriptions	contained docum	entation	indicating	Respondent PIC contacted the prescribers to
discuss the in	regularities descri	bed abc	ove. Additio	onally, during the inspection on July 24, 2019
Inspector E.I	D. asked Responde	ent PIC	to provide	any and all documentation of attempts to ver
				18

the prescriptions photographed during the inspection, other than what may have been written on
 the prescription document. Respondent PIC explained he had no additional documentation to
 provide.

4 40. The below table summarizes controlled substance prescriptions written on
5 prescription documents which did not comply with Health and Safety Code Section 11164 and
6 11162.1.

7

27

28

Prescriber	Number of controlled substance prescriptions
Dr. RG	304
Dr. DS	10
Dr. LR	29
Dr. Y. Mehrabi	3
Dr. A. Lipkin	1
Dr. J. barcena	1
Dr.H. Florian	1
Dr. I. Gorokhov	4
Total	353

41. During the inspection the inspectors located several prescriptions dispensed under the
following prescribers: Dr. RG, Dr. JW, Dr.. DS and Dr. LR, Dr. I. Gorokhov, Dr. H. Florian, Dr.
J. Barcena and Dr. Y. Mehrabi which were not reported to CURES. The inspectors asked the
followings:

Question: How often does the pharmacy report prescription for a Schedule II, III or IV
 controlled substance to the Department of Justice (CURES/ Atlantic Associates, Inc)?

Answer: Every Tuesday, RX30 (Pharmacy's dispensing software) would automatically
 transmit to CURES. He received a fax from RX30 confirming his CURES transmission was
 successful, however, he did not keep such records.

- Question: Why prescription for Dr. RG, JW and DS were not reported to CURES?
  - 19

1	Answer: Respondent PIC was not sure. He further denied having any business relationships				
2	with Dr. RG, JW and DS.				
3	42. The inspectors inquired the followings:				
4	Question: Is your computer software the primary source of record keeping and				
5	maintenance in this pharmacy? If not, what is?				
6	Answer: Yes.				
7	Question: Is the following statement true or false: The electronic computer record of				
8	dispensed prescriptions stored in the pharmacy software system is a true and accurate				
9	representation of the hard copy (paper) prescription record?				
10	Answer: True.				
11	Question: What steps do you take to satisfy your corresponding responsibility to fill only				
12	medically legitimate controlled substance prescriptions?				
13	Answer: Respondent PIC filled controlled substance prescriptions for regular patients,				
14	contacted the prescriber's office (phone number located on the prescription) for new patients, he				
15	did not check CURES and was unable to access CURES since he could "not figure out" his DEA				
16	number and he thought having access to CURES was "optional." Respondent PIC explained that				
17	he dispensed any Control Substance Schedule II prescription if it had the following criteria: Batch				
18	Number, Serial Number, and Prescriber's information: Name, address, DEA and NP.				
19	Question: Is prescriber or patient distance a factor to be considered.				
20	Answer: Respondent PIC dispensed prescriptions for patients who were within				
21	maximum 5 miles away and he accepted prescriptions from prescribers within 4-5 miles radius.				
22	However, the inspectors located several prescriptions with incomplete directions or no directions.				
23	The inspectors inquired whether he verified the directions or how he determined the directions?				
24	Respondent PIC "guessed" the directions and he did not contact the prescriber's office. If he had				
25	contacted the prescriber, he would make a notation on the prescription.				
26	Question: Why did you dispense two prescriptions with the same patient name, address,				
27	doctor and medication but different dates of birth.				
28	Answer: "I guess, I did not notice" and considered one of the patients to be "junior."				
	20				
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION				

Question: Why did you accept and dispense Controlled Substance Schedule II prescriptions from prescribers farther than 5 miles?

1

2

Answer: "In the past 6 months I stopped accepting prescriptions that were more than 5 3 miles." Respondent PIC dispensed around four to five Controlled Substance Schedule II 4 5 prescriptions a day and primarily dispensed medications for hypertension and diabetes. Respondent PIC claimed he exercised his corresponding responsibility by speaking to the patient 6 and advising and "discouraging" the patient from taking the controlled substance. However, 7 Respondent PIC explained "As long as the doctor wrote the prescription, I would dispense the 8 9 medication and only contact the prescriber if the patient was new to the pharmacy." In regards to different patients receiving the same medication with the same directions, Respondent PIC 10 explained "I did not know." In reference to dispensing prescriptions with prescribers' distance 11 being 50 miles away, Respondent PIC explained that if the patient was near (4-5 miles away from 12 the pharmacy) he dispensed the prescription. 13

14 43. The inspectors described to Respondent PIC that some of the Controlled Substance
15 Schedule II prescriptions appeared fraudulent, Respondent PIC claimed he was not aware and
16 thought the prescriptions were legitimate.

44. Respondent PIC admitted selling: 60 oxycodone 30 mg for \$34; 30 Hydrocodone/
APAP 10/325 mg for \$21 to \$24; 120 ml of Promethazine Codeine Syrup for 12. However, he
claimed he stopped dispensing Alprazolam 2 mg since 2018.

45. Respondent PIC explained that patients brought hard copy prescriptions to the
Pharmacy, the prescriptions were picked up by patients or their caregiver. He only delivered to
one patient. Patients could only pickup their controlled substance prescription if they presented
their driver's license. If they were new patients, he also verified the prescriptions using the
patient's driver license.

46. When asked what he considered a safe dose for the medications, Respondent PIC stated
the following: "*As long as the doctor wrote the prescription" he would dispense it.* He considered
the following doses to be safe: (1) Promethazine and Codeine, 120 ml a week; (2) Oxycodone, 30
mg, once a day for quantity 30 tablets a month. Respondent PIC explained he had occasionally

ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	contacted the prescribers regarding prescriptions higher than the amounts described above. He							
2	noticed the prescriber would stop for a short period of time. Either way he stated he dispensed the							
3	prescriptions since the prescriber wrote it.							
4	47. Whe	n asked whether he h	ad observed any "do	octor shopping" or s	uspicious activity,			
5	Respondent PIC	responded in negativ	ve.					
6	48. Duri	. During the inspection PIC Kim voluntary surrendered the pharmacy's DEA						
7	registration to th	e DEA agents.						
8	49. At th	e conclusion of the i	nspection, Inspector	E.D. went over the	inspection report			
9	with Respondent	PIC, and issued seve	eral of the violations	, and requested add	itional documents			
10	related to the inv	estigation.						
11	50. The	review of Responden	t Pharmacy's CURE	ES data for the contr	olled substance			
12	prescriptions dis	pensed between July	1, 2017 and July 24	, 2019 revealed that	in 2017 Respondent			
13	Pharmacy report	ed a total of 231 pres	criptions to CURES	, in 2018 Responde	nt Pharmacy			
14	reported a total of 100 prescriptions to CURES, and in 2019 Respondent Pharmacy reported a							
15	total of 4 prescriptions to CURES.							
16	51. Usin	g the CURES data ar	nd the provided DUI	R, Inspector E.D. pr	epared a table			
17	comparing Respondent Pharmacy's dispensing data and CURES between July 1, 2017 and July							
18	24, 2019.							
19								
	Prescriber	DEA Number	Number of RX	Number of RX	Variance			
20	Prescriber	DEA Number	Number of RX dispensed	reported to	Variance			
20 21 22			dispensed	reported to CURES				
20 21 22 23	Dr. R.G	BG9659624	dispensed 969	reported to	969			
20 21 22 23 24			dispensed	reported to CURES				
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Dr. R.G	BG9659624	dispensed 969	reported to CURES 0	969			
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Dr. R.G Dr. D.S	BG9659624 BS8233241	dispensed 969 61	reported to CURES 0 0	969 61			
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Dr. R.G Dr. D.S Dr. J.W.	BG9659624 BS8233241 FW2768921	dispensed 969 61 304	reported to CURES 0 0 6	969 61 298			

52. Inspector E.D. determined that 2,630 of prescription of Controlled Substance 1 2 Scheduled II to IV were dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 2019, however, only 335 prescriptions were reported to the CURES. 3 53. Inspector E.D. reviewed the dispensing profiles for Dr.s R.G, J.W., and D.S., using 4 5 the DUR provided by Respondent PIC. She identified irregularities in the prescribing profiles of the above referenced prescribers, as set forth in below tables. 6 7 Medication prescribed by Dr. R.G. Number of Percent of 8 Drug Payment 9 Schedule Method Prescriptions Total 10 Prescriptions Promethazine- Codeine Syrup 5 843 46.32% 11 cash 12 2 Hydrocodone APAP 10-325 625 34.34% cash 2 13 147 8.08% Oxycodone HCL 30 mg tablet cash 14 4 142 7.80% Alprazolam 2 mg tablet cash 15 2 19 Amphetamine salts 30 mg tablet cash 1.04% 16 2 Dextroamp-Amphetamine 30 mg 17 .93% cash 17 Carisoprodol 350 mg tablet 4 cash 14 .77% 18 4 4 .22% Diazepam 10 mg tablet cash 19 Promethazine-DM Syrup cash 2 .11% 20 2 Indomethacin 50 mg capsule .11% cash 21 2 Cephalexin 500 mg cap cash .11% 22 1 Promathazine 6.25 mg/5ml syrup .05% cash 23 .05% Cephalexin 500 mg capsule cash 1 24 2 1 .05% Hydrocodone/APAP 5/500 tab cash 25 1.820 100% Total 26 54. Details of Dr. R.G.'s prescribing authority revealed the followings: 27 28 23

1	• There was a total of fourteen different medications dispensed at NCP under the
2	prescribing authority of Dr. RG.
3	• About 99.5% of the prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.
4	• The top three most dispensed prescriptions under the prescribing authority of Dr. RG
5	were: promethazine and codeine syrup, hydrocodone-AP AP 10/325 mg and
6	oxycodone 30 mg.
7	• About 46.32% of Dr. RG's prescriptions were written for promethazine and codeine
8	syrup. This medication has only one available dose and it is commonly prescribed
9	treat cough for a short duration. It was dispensed to approximately 72 patients
10	multiple times. Approximately 843 prescriptions were written for promethazine and
11	codeine syrup. All the patients received promethazine and codeine syrup for
12	quantity of 120 ml.
13	• About 34.3% of Dr. RG's prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP 10/325.
14	It was dispensed to approximately 72 patients. Hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg
15	tablets are available in 2.5-325, 5-325, 7.5-325 and 10/325 mg tablets.
16	Approximately 625 prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-AP AP 10/325 mg
17	tablets and there were no prescription written for the other strengths.
18	• About 8% of Dr. RG's prescriptions were written for oxycodone 30 mg tablets. These
19	prescriptions of oxycodone 30 mg tablets were dispensed to approximately 25
20	patients. Oxycodone immediate-release is available in 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 mg
21	tablets. All of the patients received highest dose which was oxycodone 30 mg.
22	• About 7.8% of Dr. RG's prescriptions were written for alprazolam 2 mg tablets.
23	Alprazolam immediate-release is available in 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 2 mg tablets.
24	• All of Dr. R.G.'s prescriptions were purchased in "cash," meaning without the
25	financial aid of prescription insurance or discount card. Patients typically prefer to
26	pay for prescription medications with prescription insurance. About 79.94% of all
27	prescriptions (controlled and non-controlled) filled at NCP from 07/01/2017 to
28	07/24/2019 were billed to a plan. Therefore, this payment pattern was a factor of
	24 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and
	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	irregularity. Promethazine-codeine, hydrocodone-AP AP 10/325 mg, oxycodone 30
2	mg and alprazolam 2 mg: (1) are considered commonly abused controlled
3	substances; (2) were dispensed at the highest strength available; (3) were dispensed
4	for cash; (4) Made up an unusually large portion of one prescriber's prescription
5	profile. Prescribers commonly aim to treat patients with the lowest effective dose of
6	medications in order to minimize the risk of side effects and toxicity from the
7	medications. It is standard practice to initiate therapy on a low dose of medication
8	and increase the dose if necessary. Therefore, Dr. R.G.'s frequent prescribing of the
9	highest available dose of top three medication was a factor of irregularity.
10	55. In reviewing Dr. R.G.'s controlled substances prescribed, Inspector E.D. noted the
11	following additional red flags for the verifying pharmacist:
12	• Multiple instances when Respondent Pharmacy processed multiple prescriptions for
13	promethazine-codeine, hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg and oxycodone 30 mg from
14	Dr. R.G. on the same day issued to unique patients. Often these prescriptions were
15	assigned consecutive prescription numbers. This pattern of irregularity is a red flag
16	for the verifying pharmacist.
17	• The same patients received controlled substance on a monthly basis. This pattern of
18	irregularity is a red flag for the verifying pharmacist.
19	• In addition, in reviewing Dr. R.G.'s 304 prescriptions failed to comply with the
20	security features outlined in Code of Health and Safety Code Section 11164 and
21	11162.1.
22	56. Inspector E.D. accessed the California Board of Medicine's public database online to
23	verify the status of Dr. R.G.'s license and his self-reported areas of practice were Family
24	Medicine, Emergency Medicine, and Internal Medicine. However, Dr. R.G.'s prescribing profile
25	is inconsistent with typical Family Medicine, Emergency Medicine, and Internal Medicine. This
26	was a factor of irregularity.
27	57. Dispensing records and prescription documents review of Dr. D.S. are set forth
28	below:
	25
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	Medication prescribed by Dr. D.S.	Drug	Payment	Number of	Percent of
2		Schedule	Method	Prescriptions	Dr. D.S.'
3					Total
4					Prescriptions
5	Promethazine-Codeine Syrup	5	cash	79	50.72%
6	Hydrocodone-APAP 10/325	2	cash	37	26.81%
7	Oxycodone HCL 30 mg tablet	2	cash	24	17.39%
8	Prezcobix 800-150 mg tabs		other	3	2.17%
9	Triumeq 600-50-300 mg tabs		other	2	1.45%
10	Ibuprofen 400 mg tab		cash	2	1.45%
11	Total			138	100%
12					
13	58. The review of Dr. D.S.' press	cribing profile	revealed the	e following:	
14	• There was a total of six different	rent medication	ns dispense	d at NCP under	the prescribing
15	authority of Dr. D.S.				
16	• About 94.93% of the prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.				
17	• The top three most dispensed prescriptions under the prescribing authority of Dr. DS				
18	were: promethazine and codeine syrup, hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg and				
19	oxycodone 30 mg.				
20	• About 50.72% of Dr. D.S.' pr	rescriptions we	re written f	or promethazin	e and codeine
21	syrup. Promethazine and codeine syrup has only one available dose and it is				
22	commonly prescribed to tre	at cough for sh	ort duration	n. It was disper	nsed to
23	approximately 4 patients m	ultiple times. A	Approximate	ely 70 prescript	ions were
24	written for promethazine ar	nd codeine syru	p for the fo	our patients.	
25	• All the patients received pror	nethazine and	codeine syr	up for a quantit	y of 120 ml.
26					
27					
28					
		26			

1	• About 26.81 % of Dr. D.S.' prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP 10/325				
2	mg tablets. It was dispensed to approximately four patients. Hydrocodone-APAP				
3	10/325 mg tablet is available in 2.5-325, 5-325, and 7.5-325 mg tablets.				
4	• Approximately 37 prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg				
5	tablets and no prescription were written for the other strengths.				
6	• About 17.39% of Dr. D.S.' prescriptions were written for oxycodone 30 mg tablets.				
7	These prescriptions of oxycodone 30 mg tablets were dispensed he same four				
8	patients who received promethazine and codeine syrup. Oxycodone immediate-				
9	release is available in 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 mg tablets. All of the patients received				
10	highest dose which was oxycodone 30 mg.				
11	• All of Dr. D.S.' prescriptions for controlled substance were purchased in "cash,"				
12	meaning without the financial aid of prescription insurance or discount card.				
13	Approximately 79 .94% of all prescriptions (controlled and non-controlled) filled at				
14	Respondent's Pharmacy from July 1, 2017 to July 24, 2019 were billed to a plan.				
15	Therefore, this payment pattern was a factor of irregularity. Promethazine-codeine,				
16	hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg, oxycodone 30 mg: (1) Are considered commonly				
17	abused controlled substances; (2) Were dispensed at highest strength available; (3)				
18	Were dispensed for "cash"; (4) Made up a large portion of one prescriber's				
19	prescription profile. Dr. D.S.' frequent prescribing of the highest available dose of				
20	top three medication was a factor of irregularity.				
21	59. In reviewing Dr. D.S.' controlled substance prescription profile, the following				
22	additional red flag were noted:				
23	• Multiple instances when Respondent Pharmacy processed multiple prescriptions for				
24	promethazine-codeine, hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg and oxycodone 30 mg from				
25	Dr. D.S. on the same day issued to unique patients. Often these prescriptions were				
26	assigned consecutive prescription numbers, which is a red flag for the verifying				
27	pharmacist.				
28					
	27				
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION				
• Same four patients received either one of the top three medications on a monthly					
--	-------------------------------------	---------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------
basis. This pattern of irregularity is a red flag for the verifying pharmacist.					
	• In addition, in reviewing Dr. D.	S.' ten presc	ription they	failed to comp	ly with the
	security features outlined in Co	ode of Healt	h and Safet	y Code Section	11164 and
	11162.1. The California Board	d of Medicir	ne's public o	latabase online	(BreEZe)
	indicated that Dr. D.S.'s licens	e self-report	ed areas of	practice were F	Family
	Medicine and Emergency Med	licine. How	ever, Dr. D	.S.'s prescribing	g profile is
	inconsistent with typical Famil	ly Medicine	and Emerg	ency Medicine.	This was a
	factor of irregularity.				
	60. The dispensing record and prese	ription docu	iments revi	ew of Dr. L.R. 1	revealed the
foll	owing:				
		1			
M	edications prescribed by Dr. L.R.	Drug	Payment	Number of	Percent of
		Schedule	Method	Prescriptions	Dr. L.R.'s
					Total
					Prescription
Hy	ydrocodone-APAP 10-325	2	cash	20	27.03%
Pr	omethazine-Codeine Syrup	5	cash	11	14.86%
Cl	onidine HCL 0.1 mg tablet		cash	10	13.51%
Be	enzepril HCL 40 mg tab		cash	10	13.51%
Ce	ephalexin 500 mg capsule		cash	9	12.16%
Ox	xycodone HCL 30 mg tablet	2	cash	6	8.11%
Ibı	uprofen 800 mg tablet		cash	4	5.41%
Di	azepam 10 mg tablet	4	cash	2	2.70%
	extroamp-Amphetamine 30 mg	2	cash	1	1.35%
De	omethazine 6.25 mg/5 ml syrup		cash	1	1.35%
	omethazine 0.25 mg/5 mi syrap				1000/
Pre	otal			74	100%

1	61. There was a total of ten different medications dispensed at NCP under the prescribing
2	authority of Dr. L.R.:
3	• About 54.05% of the prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.
4	• The top two most dispensed prescriptions, under the prescribing authority of Dr. L.R.,
5	were: hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg and promethazine and codeine syrup.
6	• About 27.03% of Dr. L.R.'s prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP
7	10/325 mg tablets: (1) It was dispensed to approximately 17 patients; (2)
8	hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg tablet is available in 2.5-325, 5-325, and 7.5-325
9	mg tablets; (3) Approximately 20 prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP
10	10/325 mg tablets and no prescription written for the other strengths. All 17 patients
11	received the highest strength.
12	• About 14.86% of Dr. L.R.'s prescriptions were written for promethazine and codeine
13	syrup: (1) promethazine and codeine syrup has only one available dose and it is
14	commonly prescribed to treat cough for short duration: (2) It was dispensed to
15	approximately 11 patients multiple times; (3) Approximately 11 prescriptions were
16	written for promethazine and codeine syrup; (4) All the patients received
17	promethazine and codeine' syrup for quantity of 120 ml.
18	• All of Dr. L.R.'s prescriptions for controlled substance were purchased in "cash,"
19	meaning without the financial aid of prescription insurance or discount card.
20	Approximately 79.94% of all prescriptions (controlled and non-controlled) filled at
21	Respondent Pharmacy from July 1, 2017 to July 24, 2019 were billed to a plan.
22	Therefore, this payment pattern was a factor of irregularity. Further, Promethazine-
23	codeine and hydrocodone-APAP 10-325 mg are considered commonly abused
24	controlled substance. They were dispensed at highest strength available by the
25	Respondents. They were dispensed for "cash." They made up a large portion of one
26	prescriber's prescription profile. Prescribers commonly aim to treat patients with
27	the lowest effective dose of medications in order to minimize the risk of side effects
28	and toxicity from the medications. It is standard practice to initiate therapy on a low
	29
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	dose of medication and incre	ase the dose i	f necessarv	. Therefore, Dr	L.R.'s frequent
2	dose of medication and increase the dose if necessary. Therefore, Dr. L.R.'s frequent prescribing of the highest available dose of top three medication was a factor of				
2	irregularity.				
4	62. In reviewing Dr. L.R's control	led substance	prescribed.	the following a	additional red
5	flags for the verifying pharmacist are noted		-	-	
6	processed multiple prescriptions for prome			-	
7	Dr. L.R. on the same day issued to unique		-		_
8	consecutive prescription numbers. This ev	-	_	-	_
9	the verifying pharmacist; (2) Further, in re	-	_	-	-
10	did not comply with the security features of	outlined in Co	de of Healt	h and Safety Co	ode Section
11	11164 and 11162.1. The California Board	of Medicine's	public data	abase verified th	ne status of Dr.
12	L.R.'s California Physician and Surgeon L	icense and his	s self-report	ted areas of prac	ctice was Pain
13	Medicine. Dr. L.R.'s prescribing profile is	inconsistent	with typica	l Pain Medicine	e, specifically,
14	for prescribing cough medications such as	promethazine	e and codein	ne. This was a f	actor of
15	irregularity.				
16	63. The dispensing record and pre	scription docu	iments revi	ew of Dr. J.W.	revealed the
17	following:				
18			T	I	I
19	Medications prescribed by <b>Dr. J.W.</b>	Drug	Payment	Number of	Percent of
20		Schedule	Method	Prescriptions	Dr. J.W.'s
21					Total
22					Prescriptions
23	Promethazine-Codeine Syrup	5	cash	313	46.58%
24	Hydrocodone-APAP 10-325	2	cash	228	33.93%
25	Carisoprodol 350 mg tablet	4	cash	39	5.80%
26	Amoxicillin 500 mg capsule		cash	34	5.06%
27					
28		30			

1	Diazepam 10 mg tablet	4	cash	12	1.79%
2	Merepidine 50 mg tablet	2	cash	12	1.79%
3	Azithromycin 250 mg tablet		cash	11	1.64%
4	Suboxone 8 mg-2 mg SL Film	3	Other	7	1.04%
5			(6)/ cash		
6			(1)		
7	Suboxone 4-1 mg film	3	other	5	0.74%
8	Zetia 10 mg tablet		other	3	0.45%
9	Prometahzine- DM syrup		cash	1	0.15%
10	Tramadol HCL 50 mg tablet	4	cash	1	0.15%
11	HM low dose Aspirin EC 81M		other	1	0.15%
12	Amlodipine Besylate 5 mg TA		other	1	0.15%
13	Banophen 25 mg capsule		cash	1	0.15%
14	Aspirin 81 mg TBEC		cash	1	0.15%
15	Albuterol Sulfate HFA 108		cash	1	0.15%
16	Cyclobenzaprine 10 mg tablet		cash	1	0.15%
17	Total			672	100%
18	64. Details of Dr. J.W.'s prescribing	profile incl	uded the fo	llowing:	
19	• There was a total of 18 different	medication	is dispensed	l at Respondent	pharmacy
20	under the prescribing authority	of Dr. J.W	•		
21	• About 91.82% of the prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.				
22	• The top two most dispensed prescriptions under the prescribing authority of Dr. J.W.				
23	were promethazine and codeine syrup and hydrocodone-AP AP 10/325 mg.				
24	• About 46.58% of Dr. J.W.'s prescriptions were written for promethazine and codeine			ne and codeine	
25	syrup; (1) promethazine and codeine syrup has only one available dose and it is			ose and it is	
26	commonly prescribed to treat c	cough for sh	ort duration	n; (2) It was dis	pensed to
27	approximately 38 patients mult	tiple times;	(3) Approx	imately 313 pre	escriptions were
28		31			
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW				GJOON KIM and I) ACCUSATION

1	written for promethazine and codeine syrup; (4) All the patients received
2	promethazine and codeine syrup for a quantity of 120 ml.
3	• About 33.93% of Dr. JW's prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-APAP
4	10/325 mg tablets: (1) It was dispensed to approximately 36 patients; (2)
5	Hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg tablet is available in 2.5-325, 5-325, and 7.5-325
6	mg tablets; (3) Approximately 228 prescriptions were written for hydrocodone-AP
7	AP 10/325mg tablets and no prescription written for the other strengths combined.
8	• All of Dr. J.W.'s prescriptions for controlled substances were purchased in "cash,"
9	(except for 6 prescriptions for Suboxone 8 Mg-2 Mg SL Film) meaning without the
10	financial aid of prescription insurance or discount card. As previously stated,
11	patients typically prefer to pay for prescription medications with prescription
12	insurance. About 79 .94% of all prescriptions (controlled and non-controlled) filled
13	at NCP from July 1, 2017 to July 24, 2019 07/01/2017 to 07/24/2019 were billed to
14	a plan. Therefore, this payment pattern was a factor of irregularity. Promethazine-
15	codeine and hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg: (1) was considered commonly abused
16	controlled substance: (2) were dispensed at highest strength available; (3) were
17	dispensed for "cash;" (4) made up a large portion of one prescriber's prescription
18	profile. Prescribers commonly aim to treat patients with the lowest effective dose of
19	medications in order to minimize the risk of side effects and toxicity from the
20	medications. It is standard practice to initiate therapy on a low dose of medication
21	and increase the dose if necessary. Therefore, Dr. J.W.'s frequent prescribing of the
22	highest available dose of top two medication was a factor of irregularity.
23	65. In reviewing Dr. J.W.'s controlled substance prescribed, the following additional red
24	flags for the verifying pharmacist: (1) multiple instances when NCP processed multiple
25	prescriptions for promethazine-codeine, hydrocodone-APAP 10/325 mg from Dr. J.W. on the
26	same day issued to unique patients. Often these prescriptions were assigned consecutive
27	prescriptions confirming this pattern of irregularity, which is a red flag for the verifying
28	pharmacist; (2) Same patients received controlled substance on a monthly basis, which evidence .
	32
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

this pattern of irregularity, which is a red flag for the verifying pharmacist: (3) The California
 Board of Medicine's public database verified the status of Dr. J.W.'s California Physician and
 Surgeon License self-reporting area of practice as a neurologist; (4) Dr. J.W.'s prescribing profile
 is inconsistent with typical practice of a neurologist, in prescribing cough medications such as
 promethazine and codeine syrup. This was a factor of irregularity.

6 66. On or about October 1, 2019, Inspector E.D. sent letters to Dr. J.W., Dr. D.S., Dr.
7 R.G. and Dr. L.R. to their addresses of record listed on the Medical Board's website regarding the
8 prescriptions dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy, requesting the prescriber to verify some the
9 prescriptions which were dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy, asking the prescribers to review the
10 prescribing history and prescription images and determine if they actually wrote the prescriptions

67. On or about October 16, 2019, Inspector E.D. spoke with Dr. L.R. who stated he had 12 received the inspector's letter and explained that all the mentioned prescriptions were 13 "unauthorized" and "fraudulent" prescriptions. He further explained the prescriptions forms were 14 not his forms and he primarily sent prescriptions for controlled substance electronically. He 15 further explained that he had "never" been contacted by Respondent Pharmacy, and the signatures 16 were not his. Inspector E.D. later received a signed statement from Dr. L.R. stating he did not 17 prescribe the enclosed prescriptions, he did not recognize the enclosed prescriptions, and he did 18 not recall being contacted by Respondent Pharmacy. Out of the 74 prescriptions dispensed under 19 Dr. L.R.'s prescribing authority, 56 unauthorized prescriptions (29 prescriptions of which were for 20 controlled substance) had a different signature than Dr. L.R.'s authentic signature. 21

68. On or about October 16, 2019, Inspector E.D. received a signed statement from Dr.
D.S. stating she did not prescribe the enclosed prescriptions and the signatures were not hers, that
she did recognize the enclosed prescriptions forms from a clinic she used to practice in 2018 and
she was "never" contacted by Respondent Pharmacy. The ten (10) unauthorized prescriptions (all
for controlled substance) all had a different signature than Dr. D.S.' authentic signature.

- 27
- 28

1	69. On or about October 28, 2019, Inspector E.D. received a call and a facsimile from Dr.				
2	J.W. who stated he had authorized the prescriptions, however, he was not contacted by				
3	Respondent Pharmacy to discuss any aspects of the prescriptions.				
4	70. Based on Dr. L.R. and Dr. D.S.' statements, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed a total				
5	of 66 prescriptions (56 ur	der the prescribing authority Dr. L.R	and 10 under the prescribing		
6	authority Dr. D.S.)				
7	71. Inspector E.D	. calculated the prescriber distance fi	om the Respondent Pharmacy as		
8	follow: (1) Dr. D.S. as 32	.2-35.5 miles; (2) Dr. R.G. as 3.7 mil	es (3) Dr. L.R. as 5.5-6.1 miles; and		
9	(4) Dr. J.W. as 3.5-3.6 mi	les. It was a factor of irregularity for	patients in urban areas, well		
10	served by pharmacies and physicians, to travel around 35 miles between Dr. DS' office and				
11	Respondent Pharmacy.				
12	72. Respondent Pharmacy's DUR between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 2019 documented				
13	that: (1) there were approximately 123 patients who received controlled substance prescriptions at				
14	Respondent Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. R.G., Dr. D.S., Dr. L.R. and Dr.				
15	J.W.; (2) out of 123 patients there were 38 patients within cities located more than 18 miles from				
16	Respondent Pharmacy's address:				
17					
18	Patient's City	Number of prescriptions	Distance between the		
19			patient's location and		
20			Respondent's Pharmacy's		
21			location		
22	Bellflower	46	37		
23	Carson	8	37		
24	Compton	8	38		
25	Culver City	8	19		
26	Inglewood	40	24		
27	La Puente	2	40		
28					
	ACCUSATION (NCRX)	34 ONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARM	ACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and		
			ON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION		

1	Lancaster	43	53	
2	Long Beach	345	18	
3	Palmdale	92	46	
4	Rialto	40	68	
5	Thousand Oaks	25	29	
6	Victorville	16	92	
7	Total	711		

73. These patients received commonly abused controlled substances from Dr. R.G., Dr.
D.S., Dr. L.R., and Dr. J.W. and drove excessive distances to receive their medications from
Respondent Pharmacy when there were other pharmacies located within their corresponding
cities. It was a significant factor of irregularity for these patients to be willing to travel the long
distances listed above, each way, to obtain controlled substances.

13

74. Using Respondent Pharmacy's DUR between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 2019,

14 Inspector E.D. determined instances when multiple patients with the same or similar addresses 15 received similar drug therapy. This was a factor of irregularity because it would be unusual for 16 multiple people in the same household to require the same treatment for the same conditions. By 17 way of example: (1) patients having the same address ("8-- W. 105th St, Los Angeles, CA"), first 18 name and last name (patients' initials "AC"), however, they had different date of birth; (2) patients 19 having the same address ("9--- Haskell Ave, North Hills, CA"), same date of birth, however, they 20 had different first and last name; (3) patients having the same address ("1---- Hagar St, Sylmar, 21 CA"), same first and last name, except one with "JR", and different date of births; (4) at least four 22 patients with controlled substance prescriptions under Dr. R.G. and Dr. L.R. had an address of 23 ("1---- Hagar St, Sylmar, CA").

## 24

25

- 26
- 27

28

74. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Failure to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility in Determining the Legitimacy of a

**Prescription**)

California Code of Regulations section 1761, subd. (a) in conjunction with Health and 1 2 Safety Code section 11153, subd (a), and Bus. Prof. C. §§4113, 4156, 4301, 4301(d), 4301 (j), 4301(o), 4302, 4035 and 4306.5, in conjunction with Health & Safety C. §11153, subdivision (a), 3 and pursuant to Vermont & 110th Medical Arts v. Board of Pharmacy (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 19 4 5 (hereinafter referred as Vermont), pursuant to Sternberg v. Board of Pharmacy (2015) 239 Cal. App. 4th 1159 (hereinafter referred as Sternberg), pursuant to the Board of Pharmacy's 6 7 Precedential Decision No. 2013-01 (Board of Pharmacy v. Pacifica Pharmacy Corporation, et al., (2012) Case No. 3802, OAH No. 2011010644) (hereinafter referred as Pacifica), and pursuant to 8 9 Arenstein v. Cal. State Bd. of Pharmacy (1968) 265 Cal.App.2d 179, 192, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct because Respondents failed to exercise or implement their best 10 professional judgment or their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances 11 are dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose, in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions which 12 contain significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Respondents 13 14 failed to contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription. Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled 15 substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said 16 prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose and in filling these prescriptions. 17 75. Specifically, from July 1, 2017 to July 24, 2019, Respondents dispensed 18 approximately 2,600 Controlled Substance Schedules II-V prescriptions under the prescribing 19 authority of Drs. R.G., D.S., L.R., and J.W. The investigation determined Respondents failed to 20 fulfill their corresponding responsibility in filling prescriptions written by these prescribers in the 21 presence of the following objective factors suggesting the prescriptions were not written for 22 legitimate purposes: 23 24 a. The majority of the prescriptions written by the listed prescribers were purchased in "cash," meaning without the financial aid of prescription insurance or discount card. 100% of the 25 controlled substance prescriptions under the prescribing authority of Drs. R.G., D.S., and L.R., 26 and 90.77% of controlled substance prescriptions under the prescribing authority of Dr. J.W., 27 were paid in "cash". 28

1	b. The prescribing profiles of the listed prescribers were limited with a .small number of
2	controlled substances accounting for a relatively large percentage of their total prescribing.
3	• About 99.5% of Dr. R.G.'s prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.
4	• About 94.93% of Dr. D.S.' prescriptions dispensed were for controlled
5	substances.
6	• About 54.05% of Dr. L.R.'s prescriptions dispensed were for controlled
7	substances.
8	• About 91.82% of Dr. J.W.'s prescriptions dispensed were for controlled
9	substances.
10	c. All of the prescriptions written by the listed prescribers for oxycodone, hydrocodone-
11	containing products, promethazine-codeine syrup and alprazolam contained the highest available
12	dose of each medication.
13	d. 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., and 22
14	prescriptions written by Dr. L.R. lacked the security features described pursuant to Health and
15	Safety Code Section 11164, 11162.1.
16	e. There were several instances when multiple prescriptions written by the listed
17	prescribers were dispensed on the same day at Respondent Pharmacy. By way of example, 12
18	prescriptions for controlled substance were-dispensed on August 10, 2018, under the prescribing
19	authority of Dr. R.G.
20	f. There were multiple patients with the same or similar addresses who received similar
21	drug therapy. For example:
22	• Patients having the same address ("8 W. 105th St, Los Angeles, CA"), first name
23	and last name (patients' initials "AC"), however, they had different dates of birth.
24	• Patients having the same address ("9 Haskell Ave, North Hills, CA"), same date of
25	birth ("4/20/1965"), however, they had different first and last names.
26	• Patients having the same address ("12965 Hagar St, Sylmar, CA"), same first and last
27	name except one with "JR" and different dates of birth. At least 4 patients with
28	
	37 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and
	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	controlled substance prescriptions under Dr. R.G. and Dr. L.R. had an address of
2	("1 Hagar St, Sylmar, CA").
3	g. There were at least 38 patients who travelled excessive distances (more than 18
4	miles) between the prescribing office and the pharmacy to obtain controlled substance from
5	Respondent Pharmacy.
6	h. Respondent Pharmacy failed to produce documentation to indicate efforts of a
7	pharmacist to confer with the prescriber to discuss the irregularities or objective factors described
8	above.
9	76. Failing to practice corresponding responsibility obligations in dispensing controlled
10	substance is a violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11153 subdivision (a). Complainant
11	refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73,
12	inclusive, as though set forth fully.
13	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Dispensing Controlled Substances Written on Prescriptions Containing any Significant
15	Error, Omission, Irregularity, Uncertainty, ambiguity or Alteration)
16	77. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
17	Health & Safety Code sections 11164 and 11162.1, in conjunction with California Code of
	Treatin & Surety Code sections 11104 and 11102.1, in conjunction with Cartonna Code of
18	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately
18	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately
18 19	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform
18 19 20	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304
18 19 20 21	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by
18 19 20 21 22	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr. J.B., 1 prescription by Dr. H.F., and 4 prescriptions by Dr. R.G. prescriptions. Dispensing
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr. J.B., 1 prescription by Dr. H.F., and 4 prescriptions by Dr. R.G. prescriptions. Dispensing controlled substance prescriptions written on prescriptions which contain any significant error,
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr. J.B., 1 prescription by Dr. H.F., and 4 prescriptions by Dr. R.G. prescriptions. Dispensing controlled substance prescriptions written on prescriptions which contain any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration is a violation of Health and Safety
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr. J.B., 1 prescription by Dr. H.F., and 4 prescriptions by Dr. R.G. prescriptions. Dispensing controlled substance prescriptions written on prescriptions which contain any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration is a violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11164 as it relates to Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 and California Code of Regulations Section 1761. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a) in that Respondents dispensed approximately 353 controlled substance prescriptions written on prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 as follows: approximately 304 prescriptions written by Dr. R.G., 10 prescriptions written by Dr. D.S., 29 prescriptions written by Dr. L.R., 3 prescriptions written by Dr. Y.M., 1 prescription by Dr. A.L., 1 prescription by Dr. J.B., 1 prescription by Dr. H.F., and 4 prescriptions by Dr. R.G. prescriptions. Dispensing controlled substance prescriptions written on prescriptions which contain any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration is a violation of Health and Safety Code Section 11164 as it relates to Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1 and California Code of Regulations Section 1761. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Report CURES to the Department of Justice)
3	78. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
4	Health & Safety Code sections 11165, subdivision (d), and Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21,
5	sections 1308.12, 1308.13 and 1308.14, in that Respondents failed to properly transmit CURES
6	data to the Department of Justice for the period between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 2019.
7	Respondents dispensed approximately 2,295 Schedule II, III, or IV controlled substance
8	prescriptions between July 1, 2017 and July 24, 2019 which were not reported to the CURES
9	program within 7 days of being dispensed. Further, Respondents stopped reporting to CURES
10	between April 5, 2019 and July 24, 2019. Failing to report to CURES to the Department of
11	Justice is a violation California Health and Safety Code 11165 subdivision (d). Complainant
12	refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73,
13	inclusive, as though set forth fully.
14	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs or Devices Prohibited Without Prescriptions)
16	79. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
17	Business and Professions Code section 4059, subdivision (a), in that Respondents dispensed
18	approximately 66 prescriptions under the alleged prescribing authority of Dr. L.R. and Dr. D.S.
19	without the prescribers' authorization. Furnishing any dangerous drug without prescription from a
20	physician is a violation of Business and Professions Code 4059(a). Complainant refers to and by
21	this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as
22	though set forth fully.
23	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Unprofessional Conduct- Billing Insurance Company for Prescriptions not dispensed)
25	80. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
26	Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (f), and 4306.5, subdivision (a), in that
27	Respondents billed patients' insurances for following prescriptions which the patient did not
28	receive. The prescriptions were kept at Respondent Pharmacy.
	39 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION

1	RX	Medication	Fill Date	Insurance
2	number			
3	188483	One touch Ultra Test Strips	3/30/2018	Commercial
4	195271	Prednisone 10 mg tablet	5/23/2019	Commercial
5	188273	Ferrous Sulfate 325 mg tablet	2/27/2019	Commercial
6	182054	Amlodipine Besylate 5 mg tablet	10/5/2017	Commercial
7	194531	Amlodipine Besylate 10 mg tablet	4/5/2019	Medicare
8	195151	Simvastatin 20 mg tablet	5/15/2019	Commercial
9	194926	Glimepiride 4 mg tablet	5/31/2019	Commercial
10	194109	Albuterol Sulfate HFA 108	3/11/2019	Commercial
11	81.	Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporation	tes the allega	tions set forth
12	above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth fully.			
13		SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLIN	<u>IE</u>	
14	(Misbranded and Adulterated Drugs)			
15	82. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under			
16	Health and Safety Code sections 111440, 111295, 111305, in conjunction with Business and			
17	Professions Code section 4169 (a) (2) and (3), in that Respondents kept as part of its active			
18	inventory several expired medications, unlabeled or not properly labeled dangerous drugs. This			
19	was a violation of Health and Safety Code sections 111440, 111295, 111305 and Business and			
20	Professions Code 4169 (a) (2) and (3) for holding as part of the pharmacy's active drug stock			
21	misbranded and adulterated drugs, as defined by Health and Safety Code 111250, 111330,			
22	111335, 111260, and 111340. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the			
23	allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth fully.			
24	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
25		(Operational Standard and Security)		
26	83.	Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject	t to disciplin	ary action under
27	California C	ode of Regulations, Title 16, section 1714 (b) and (c)	, in that Resp	ondents'
28		40		
	ACCUSA	40 ATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMAC JASON M		UNGJOON KIM and KIM) ACCUSATION

1	medications, shelves and invoices, were not maintained in a clean and orderly condition.
2	Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in
3	paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
4	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Failure to Label Prescriptions with the Manufacturer's Trade Name of the Drug)
6	84. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
7	California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1707.5, subdivision (a)(l)(B), in that
8	Respondents had labeled several prescriptions in the waiting-bin which did not comprises
9	manufacturer's trade name of the drug, or the generic name and the statement "generic for " to be
10	at least 50 percent of the label, at least a 12-point sans serif typeface. This was a violation for not
11	labeling prescriptions with the manufacturer's trade name of the drug. Complainant refers to and
12	by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as
13	though set forth fully.
14	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Accepting Unwanted Prescription Drugs from Patients as a Collector Site with the DEA
16	without Being Registered with the DEA)
17	85. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under
18	California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1776, in that Respondents accepted several
19	unwanted prescription drugs back from patients to discard without being registered with the Drug
20	Enforcement Administration (DEA) as a collector site for drug take-back service. Accepting
21	unwanted prescription drugs from patient(s) with being registered as collector site with the DEA
22	is a violation of California Code of Regulations 1776. Complainant refers to and by this reference
23	incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth
24	fully.
25	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
26	(Failing to Maintain Inventories and Records of Schedule II, II, IV and V Controlled
27	Substances)
28	
	41 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and

1	86. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under		
2	for Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1304.04, subdivision (h), in that Respondent		
3	failed to maintain separately inventories and records of all controlled substances listed as		
4	Schedule II, III, IV, and V controlled substances. Further, prescription documents for Schedule II		
5	controlled substances filled on or about 2017 were not maintained at the pharmacy and were		
6	stored at Respondent PIC's residence. The pharmacy's DEA biennial inventory was located at		
7	Respondent PIC's residence. Failing to maintain inventories and records of Schedules II, III, IV,		
8	and V controlled substances separate from all other records of the pharmacy and for not storing		
9	paper prescriptions of Schedules II, III, IV, and Vat the pharmacy is a violation for Code of		
10	Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1304.04 (h). Complainant refers to and by this reference		
11	incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth		
12	fully.		
13	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
14	(Failing to Record on the DEA Form the Dates Schedule II Controlled Substances Were		
15	Received)		
16	87. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under		
17	Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1305.13 (e), in that Respondents failed to record		
18	the dates on which the Controlled Substances Schedule II were received by the pharmacy. The		
19	table below illustrates the DEA Form 222 forms which were identified as incomplete.		
20			
21	Date Ordered     Invoice Number		
22	2/22/2018 785134850		
23	3/7/2018 7860198258		
24	3/12/2018 7860922442		
25	2/28/2018 7859024499		
26	2/12/2018 7856247531		
27	2/12/2018 7856247533		
28			
	42 ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and		
	JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION		

1	1 2/2/2018 7854820336			
2	2 1/24/2018 7853043220			
3	3 1/11/2018 7850859663			
4	4 1/4/2018 7849566363			
5	5 12/28/2017 7848467760			
6	6 12/20/2017 7847128092			
7	7 12/6/2017 7844606188			
8	8 11/28/2017 8743069138			
9	9 11/21/2017 7842030040			
10	0 11/10/2017 7840041012			
11	1 11/2/2017 7838825689			
12	2 88. Failing to record on the DEA Form 222 the dates on which the Control	88. Failing to record on the DEA Form 222 the dates on which the Controlled Substances		
13	Schedule II were received is a violation of Code of Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section			
14	1305.13 (e). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth			
15	above in paragraphs 25-73, inclusive, as though set forth fully.			
16	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
17	7 (Failing to Join the Board's Email Notification List)			
18	89. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent PIC are subject to disciplinary action under			
19	Business Professions Code 4013, in that Respondents failed to join the board's email notification			
20	0 list within 60 days of obtaining a license or at the time of license renewal. Failing t	o join the		
21	board's chian notification list within oo days of obtaining a needse of at the time of	flicense		
22	Tenewal is a violation of Business i foressions code 4015. Complainant ferers to a	renewal is a violation of Business Professions Code 4013. Complainant refers to and by this		
23	reference meorporates the anegations set forth above in paragraphs 25-75, merusiv	e, as though set		
24	lorun runy.			
25	OTHER MATTERS			
26	<i>90.</i> I distant to Code section 4507, if discipline is imposed on I narmacy I	ermit Number		
27	PHY 45171, issued to Nerxone, Inc. dba New Century Pharmacy, Jason Myungjoon Kim, Jason			
28	43			
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNG JASON MYUNGJOON KIM			

1	Myungjoon Kim shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member,		
2	officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number		
3	PHY 45171 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 45171 is reinstated, if		
4	it is revoked.		
5	<u>PRAYER</u>		
6	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
7	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
8	1. Revoking or suspending Permit Number PHY 45171, issued to Ncrxone, Inc. dba		
9	New Century Pharmacy, Jason Myungjoon Kim;		
10	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 39008, issued to Jason		
11	Myungjoon Kim;		
12	3. Prohibiting Nerxone, Inc. dba New Century Pharmacy from serving as a manager,		
13	administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if		
14	Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 45171 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number		
15	PHY 45171 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 45171 issued to Ncrxone, Inc. dba New		
16	Century Pharmacy is revoked;		
17	4. Prohibiting Jason Myungjoon Kim from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,		
18	member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if years if Pharmacy		
19	Permit Number PHY 45171 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 45171		
20	is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 45171 issued to Ncrxone, Inc. dba New Century		
21	Pharmacy is revoked;		
22	5. Ordering New Century Pharmacy and Jason Myungjoon Kim to pay the Board of		
23	Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to		
24	Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	44		
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. DBA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION		

1	6. Taking such other and fu	rther action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		Que Codesses	
3	DATED: <u>May 26, 2020</u>	Anne Sodergren ANNE SODERGREN	
4		Executive Officer	
5		Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6		Complainant	
7			
8	LA2020500767 accusation.docx		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
17			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		45	
	ACCUSATION (NCRXONE, INC. D	BA NEW CENTURY PHARMACY, JASON MYUNGJOON KIM and JASON MYUNGJOON KIM) ACCUSATION	