BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

E R PHARMACY RX INC., dba
E R PHARMACY RX, NAZIK NANCY
SIMONIAN, NATHANIEL JOHNSON,
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50257; and

HOWARD SCHULTZ,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 20459; and

NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN,
Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44566,

Respondents

Agency Case No. 6831

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 9, 2020.

It is so ORDERED on November 9, 2020.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ay n Ligge

By

Greg Lippe Board President

	I	
XAVIER BECERRA		
Armando Zambrano		
BRIAN LEE		
Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253592		
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
Telephone: (213) 269-6621 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126		
Attorneys for Complainant		
BEFOR	E THE	
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6831	
E R PHARMACY RX INC., DBA E R	OAH No. 2020030740	
SIMONIAN, NATHANIEL JOHNSON	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
Northridge, CA 91324 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50257,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL FOR RESPONDENT NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN, ONLY	
HOWARD SCHULTZ	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
12600 Huston St. North Hollywood, CA 91607		
Pharmacist License No. RPH 20459,		
and		
NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN 11588 Killimore Ave.		
Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH		
44566		
Respondents.		
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
	1 TARRISCONDED FOR NURLIC PERPONAL ((021)	
	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRIAN LEE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253592 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6621 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: E R PHARMACY RX INC., DBA E R PHARMACY RX, NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN, NATHANIEL JOHNSON 19500 Plummer St. D3 Northridge, CA 91324 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50257, HOWARD SCHULTZ 12600 Huston St. North Hollywood, CA 91607 Pharmacist License No. RPH 20459, and NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN 11588 Killimore Ave. Porter Ranch, CA 91326 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44566 Respondents.	

PARTIES

- 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Brian Lee, Deputy Attorney General.
- Respondent Nazik Nancy Simonian (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Herb L. Weinberg, Fenton Law Group, LLP whose address is: 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 777, Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 3. On or about September 25, 2002, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44566 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 6831 and will expire on April 30, 2022, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 6831 was filed before the Board. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 24, 2020. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. On August 5, 2020, First Amended Accusation No. 6831 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent.
- 5. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 6831 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 6831. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses

against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 6831, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacy Technician License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the First Amended Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the First Amended Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacy Technician License is subject to discipline and agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

///

- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44566 issued to Nazik Nancy Simonian (Respondent) shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of First Amended Accusation No. 6831, attached as exhibit A. This decision constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in First Amended Accusation No. 6831, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician License.

1. **Remedial Coursework**. No later than one (1) year from the effective date of the public reproval, Respondent, at her own expense, shall enroll and successfully complete a total of 10 hours of coursework covering pharmacy law, pharmacy operations, and ethics. At minimum, five (5) hours of the coursework must be done in person or via live webinar. Respondent shall

///

///

///

///

///

obtain prior approval from the Board before enrolling in any courses. Respondent shall submit to the Board the original transcripts or certificates of completion for the approved courses.

- 2. **Board's One-Day CE Training Program**. No later than one (1) year from the effective date of the public reproval, respondent shall enroll in, attend, and complete the Board's one-day diversion training program, "Prescription Drug Abuse and Diversion What a Pharmacist Needs to Know," at her own expense. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within thirty (30) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in and complete the program shall be considered a violation of this settlement agreement.
- 3. **Cost Recovery**. Respondent shall pay \$7,199.50 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board. If Respondent fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew her Pharmacy Technician License until Respondent pays costs in full.
- 4. No New Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises. Respondent shall not acquire any new ownership, legal or beneficial interest nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any additional business, firm, partnership, or corporation licensed by the board. If Respondent currently owns or has any legal or beneficial interest in, or serves as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board, Respondent may continue to serve in such capacity or hold that interest, but only to the extent of that position or interest as of the effective date of this decision. Violation of this restriction shall be considered non-compliance with this stipulated settlement agreement.

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public	
3	Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Herb L. Weinberg. I understand the	
4	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this	
5	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and	
6	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.	
7		
8		
9	DATED:	
10	NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN Respondent	
11		
12	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Nazik Nancy Simonian the terms and	
13	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Orde	
14	for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.	
15		
16		
17	DATED: HERB L. WEINBERG	
18	Attorney for Respondent	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6	

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Herb L. Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	9/21/2020	Med	
E	170110	NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN Respondent	

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Nazik Nancy Simonian the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 9/21/2020
HERB L. WEINBERG
Attorney for Respondent

21 | 22 | /// 23 | /// 24 | /// 25 | /// 26 | /// 27 | ///

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: _____ Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRIAN LEE Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2019504777 63604404.docx

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: September 22, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General

BRIAN LEE Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2019504777 63604404.docx

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 6831

1	Xavier Becerra		
2	Attorney General of California		
3	ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
	BRIAN LEE Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 253592 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6621		
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFOR		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6831	
13	E R PHARMACY RX INC., DBA E R PHARMACY RX, NAZIK NANCY		
14	SIMONIAN, NATHANIEL JOHNSON	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
15	19500 Plummer St. D3 Northridge, CA 91324		
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50257,		
17	NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN 11588 Killimore Ave.		
18	Porter Ranch, CA 91326		
19	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 44566,		
20	and		
21	HOWARD SCHULTZ		
22	12600 Huston St. No. Hollywood, CA 91607		
23	Pharmacist License No. RPH 20459		
24	Respondents.		
25			
26			
27	///		
28	///		
		1	
		ACY RX, NAZIK NANCY SIMONIAN, NATHANIEL WARD SCHULTZ) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	

PARTIES

- 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about April 20, 2010, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50257 to E R Pharmacy RX Inc. doing business as E R Pharmacy Rx (Respondent Pharmacy). Board records show that Respondent Pharmacy is owned by Nathaniel Johnson (Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, and 51% owner) and Nazik Nancy Simonian (President and 49% owner). The pharmacist-in-charge from February 1, 2012 to March 5, 2018 was Howard Schultz. The Pharmacy Permit expired on April 1, 2019 and was not renewed. The Board canceled the Pharmacy Permit on November 7, 2019.
- 3. On or about September 25, 2002, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44566 to Nazik Nancy Simonian (Respondent Simonian). The Pharmacy Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about July 27, 1957, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 to Howard Schultz (Respondent Schultz). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2022, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 6. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.

1	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.	
	(4) Revoking his or her license.	
2	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.	
4	•••	
5	(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with	
6 7	Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure."	
8	7. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
9	"The expiration cancellation forfeiture or suspension of a hoard-issued license by	
10	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placemen of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee	
11	shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render	
12	a decision suspending or revoking the license."	
13	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
14	8. Section 4036.5 of the Code states:	
15	"Pharmacist-in-charge" means a pharmacist proposed by a pharmacy and approved	
16	by the board as the supervisor or manager responsible for ensuring the pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
17	phania.	
18	9. Section 4113 of the Code states, in pertinent part, the following:	
19	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days	
20	thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.	
21	(b) The proposed pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. The board shall not issue or renew a pharmacy license without identification of an	
22	approved pharmacist-in-charge for the pharmacy.	
23	(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
24		
25	(d) Every pharmacy shall notify the board in writing, on a form designed by the board, within 30 days of the date when a pharmacist-in-charge ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge, and shall on the same form propose another pharmacist to take	
26	over as the pharmacist-in-charge. The proposed replacement pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. If disapproved, the pharmacy shall propose	
27	another replacement within 15 days of the date of disapproval and shall continue to name proposed replacements until a pharmacist-in-charge is approved by the board.	
28	2	

28

///

inspector confirmed that Respondent Pharmacy failed to file a discontinuation of business form with the Board prior to her inspection. An investigation was opened.

- 16. The Board's investigation determined that the majority owner and Treasurer of Respondent Pharmacy, Nathaniel Johnson, passed away on September 21, 2014.
- 17. A review of the Board's records showed that on or about March 11, 2016, the Board received a Request for a Renewal of Pharmacy form which was signed under penalty of perjury by Nazik Nancy Simonian (Respondent Simonian) on March 1, 2016 stating "that there has been no change of ownership, location, corporate officers, shareholders, administrator or pharmacist-in-charge." Pharmacist-in-charge Howard Schultz (Respondent Schultz) signed the form, under penalty of perjury, on March 8, 2016 stating "that all statements attached thereto are true and accurate."
- 18. In 2017 and 2018, Respondents Simonian and Schultz submitted and signed under the penalty of perjury, a License Renewal Application For Retail Pharmacy. By signing, Respondent Simonian certified "that all statements, answers and representations in [the] application are true and accurate. "Likewise, Respondent Schultz certified "that all statements attached hereto, are true and accurate."
- 19. The License Renewal Application for Retail Pharmacy forms instruct the applicant to contact the Board if any information regarding the Name, Title, and % Owned is incorrect. It further provides in pertinent part: "A change of ownership and/or change of physical location of a pharmacy requires a new application and must be submitted PRIOR to the change occurring. Whenever a change of ownership occurs, either a temporary license will need to be pursued or operation must stop until the entity is appropriately licensed by the board...." Finally, the form instructs the applicant to "Submit a Change of Permit application (17A-12), to notify the board of a change in corporate officers, shareholders or when there has been a transfer of beneficial interest of 10%-49%."
- 20. Pharmacist-in-charge of Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Schultz, filed a notice of disassociation with the Board disassociating from Respondent Pharmacy effective March 5, 2018.

- 21. In 2019, Respondent Simonian again submitted a License Renewal Application for Retail Pharmacy form affirming "that all statements, answers and representations in [the] application are true and accurate." The application was incomplete due to the fact that the signature line for the pharmacist-in-charge was blank. On or about June 21, 2019, the Board received the signature of Respondent Schultz who identified himself as the pharmacist-in-charge of Respondent Pharmacy despite having been disassociated and previously acknowledging the discontinuance of Respondent Pharmacy's business.
- 22. On or about April 9, 2019, the Board inspector contacted Respondent Simonian by phone to discuss her findings. Respondent Simonian stated that Respondent Pharmacy sold its prescriptions and drugs to another retail pharmacy. The Board was not previously notified that such sale had occurred, which was back in June of 2017.
- 23. A Discontinuance of Business (DOB) form was submitted to the Board on or about October 24, 2019. The form shows that Respondent Schultz signed the form on March 11, 2019 as the Pharmacist-in-Charge. It also shows that Respondent Simonian signed it on the same date and acknowledged the signature again by initialing the form on October 24, 2019 when it was submitted. The date of discontinuance is listed as June 29, 2017.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AS TO RESPONDENT PHARMACY ONLY: FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Procurement of License by Misrepresentation)

24. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (a), for submitting application and renewal forms to the Board misrepresenting the ownership interests in the business. Moreover, Respondent Pharmacy continued to submit license renewal forms to the Board even after it had discontinued operating as a pharmacy when it sold its prescription files and inventory to another pharmacy in June of 2017. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Dishonest Act)

25. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for committing dishonest acts by submitting application and renewal forms to the Board misrepresenting the ownership interests in the business. Moreover, Respondent Pharmacy continued to submit license renewal forms to the Board even after it had discontinued operating as a pharmacy when it sold its prescription files and inventory to another pharmacy in June of 2017. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Knowingly Signing Documents Known to Be False)

26. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (g), for knowingly signing documents that falsely represent the ownership interests in the business. Moreover, Respondent Pharmacy continued to submit license renewal forms to the Board even after it had discontinued operating as a pharmacy when it sold its prescription files and inventory to another pharmacy in June of 2017. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Notify the Board Prior to Sale of Drugs)

27. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1708.2, for failing to contact the Board prior to transferring or selling any dangerous drugs, devices or hypodermics inventory as a result of termination of business. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Report Correct Names of Owners and Officers)

28. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (a), for failing to report on its application and annual renewal forms the correct names of all owners and corporate officers and for failing to notify the Board within the 30 days of any changes to the owners and corporate officers. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Operating Without Submitting Application for Change in Ownership)

29. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (c), for operating without submitting an application for change in ownership. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Designate a Pharmacist-in-Charge)

30. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301(o) and 4113, for failing to designate a Pharmacist-in-Charge within 30 days following the disassociation of Respondent Schultz on or about March 5, 2018. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AS TO RESPONDENT SIMONIAN ONLY:

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Procurement of License by Misrepresentation)

31. Respondent Simonian is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (a) and (o), for signing and submitting application and renewal forms to the Board

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Notify the Board Prior to Sale of Drugs)

34. Respondent Simonian is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1708.2, for her involvement in the failure to contact the Board prior to Respondent Pharmacy transferring or selling any dangerous drugs, devices or hypodermics inventory as a result of termination of business. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Report Correct Names of Owners and Officers)

35. Respondent Simonian is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (a), for her involvement in Respondent Pharmacy's failure to report on its application and annual renewal forms the correct names of all owners and corporate officers and for Respondent Pharmacy's failure to notify the Board within the 30 days of any changes to the owners and corporate officers. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Operating Without Submitting Application for Change in Ownership)

36. Respondent Simonian is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (c), for her involvement in Respondent Pharmacy's continued operation without submitting an application for change in ownership. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Designate a Pharmacist-in-Charge)

37. Respondent Simonian is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301(o) and 4113, for her involvement in failing to designate a Pharmacist-in-Charge within 30 days

following the disassociation of Respondent Schultz on or about March 5, 2018. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AS TO RESPONDENT SCHULTZ ONLY:

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Procurement of License by Misrepresentation)

38. Respondent Schultz is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4113, subdivision (c), and 4301, including subdivisions (a) and (o), for his involvement in signing and submitting application and renewal forms to the Board misrepresenting the ownership interests in Respondent Pharmacy. Moreover, under Code section 4113, subdivision (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1708.2, Respondent Schultz was responsible for timely notifying the Board that Respondent Pharmacy had discontinued to operate as a pharmacy when it sold its prescription files and inventory to another pharmacy in June of 2017. Respondent Schultz failed to timely notify the Board about the sale and instead subsequently signed and submitted a 2018 license renewal form to the Board which was approved. Additionally, Respondent Schultz signed and submitted a 2019 license renewal form as Respondent Pharmacy's pharmacist-in-charge despite having been disassociated and having previously signed the DOB form. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Dishonest Act)

39. Respondent Schultz is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for committing a dishonest act by having knowledge of Respondent Pharmacy's sale of prescription files and inventory to another pharmacy in June of 2017 and subsequently signing and submitting a 2018 and 2019 license renewal form to the Board. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

///

///

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Notify the Board Prior to Sale of Drugs)

40. Respondent Schultz is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4113, subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1708.2, for his involvement as the pharmacist-in-charge in Respondent Pharmacy's failure to contact the Board prior to transferring or selling any dangerous drugs, devices or hypodermics inventory as a result of termination of business. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Report Correct Names of Owners and Officers)

41. Respondent Schultz is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4113, subdivision (c), and 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (a), for his involvement as the pharmacist-in-charge in Respondent Pharmacy's failure to report on its application and annual renewal forms the correct names of all owners and corporate officers and for Respondent Pharmacy's failure to notify the Board within the 30 days of any changes to the owners and corporate officers. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Operating Without Submitting Application for Change in Ownership)

42. Respondent Schultz is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4113, subdivision (c), and 4301(o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivisions (c), for his involvement as the pharmacist-in-charge in Respondent Pharmacy's continued operation without submitting an application for change in ownership. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 through 23, as though set forth fully herein.

///

OTHER MATTERS

- 43. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 50257 issued to Respondent Pharmacy, shall be prohibited from servicing as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is reinstated if the license is revoked.
- 44. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 50257 issued to Respondent Pharmacy, while Nazik Nancy Simonian was an officer or owner and had knowledge of, or knowingly participated in, any conduct for which Respondent Pharmacy was disciplined, Nazik Nancy Simonian shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is reinstated if the license is revoked.
- 45. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Pharmacy
 Technician License Number TCH 44566 issued to Respondent Simonian, Respondent Simonian
 shall be prohibited from servicing as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director,
 associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacy Technician License Number
 TCH 44566 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH
 44566 is reinstated if the license is revoked.
- 46. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 issued to Respondent Schultz, Respondent Schultz shall be prohibited from servicing as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 is reinstated if the license is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50257, issued to E R Pharmacy RX Inc. dba E R Pharmacy Rx;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44566, issued to Nazik Nancy Simonian;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459, issued to Howard Schultz:
- 4. Prohibiting E R Pharmacy RX Inc. dba E R Pharmacy Rx from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, director, officer, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 50257 is reinstated if Original Permit Number PHY 50257 issued to E R Pharmacy RX Inc. dba E R Pharmacy Rx is revoked;
- 5. Prohibiting Nazik Nancy Simonian from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, director, officer, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 and/or Original Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44566 are placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 50257 and/or Original Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 44566 are reinstated following revocation;
- 6. Prohibiting Howard Schultz from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 is reinstated if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 20459 issued to Howard Schultz is revoked;
- 7. Ordering E R Pharmacy RX Inc. dba E R Pharmacy Rx, Nazik Nancy Simonian and Howard Schultz to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

1	8. Taking such other	and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2		
3 4	DATED: 8/5/2020	Anne Sodergren
5	DATED: 6.8/2323	Anne Sodergren ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer
6		Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7		State of California Complainant
8		1
9	LA2019504777	
10	14268369_4.docx	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
1819		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	16