# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# In the Matter of the Petition for Early Termination of Probation of:

**LUKE DUVAL VU, Petitioner** 

Agency Case No. 6796

OAH No. 2024120338

#### PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard before a quorum of the Disciplinary Petition Committee (Committee) of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under Business and Professions Code section 4309, subdivision (c), by videoconference on December 18, 2024. Patrice De Guzman Huber, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), presided, assisted, and advised the Committee in the conduct of the hearing under Government Code section 11517, subdivision (b)(1).

Nicole R. Trama, Deputy Attorney General, represented the Attorney General of the State of California pursuant to Government Code section 11522.

Petitioner Luke Duval Vu appeared and represented himself.

Evidence was received, the record closed, and the matter submitted for decision on December 18, 2024.

#### **FACTUAL FINDINGS**

### **Background**

1. On March 26, 2003, the Board issued petitioner Pharmacist License No. RPH 54277. The license will expire on October 31, 2026, unless renewed.

#### **SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION**

- 2. On May 7, 2021, complainant Anne Sodergren, Executive Officer of the Board, in her official capacity, signed and thereafter filed a Second Amended Accusation against petitioner's license. Complainant alleged grounds to discipline his license based on his acting as an unregistered Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) and fraudulently dispensing prescriptions not approved by a patient.
- 3. Specifically, the Second Amended Accusation alleged the following facts. Petitioner's spouse, Jacquelyn Tran, Pharm.D., owned and was the registered PIC of Ten Pharmacy. In February 2020, the Board received a complaint that Dr. Tran was "never there" at Ten Pharmacy and that petitioner performed the duties of a PIC in her absence. The Board's investigation confirmed the complaint and also revealed that petitioner, acting as PIC, dispensed or oversaw the dispensing of compounded prescriptions without testing them to ensure their integrity, potency, quality, or labeled strength.
- 4. In June 2020, C.K., petitioner's former employee at his pharmacy, Drug Depot, complained to the Board that petitioner was fraudulently filling prescriptions

for her and billing her insurance company. C.K. told the Board that after petitioner terminated her, he continued to fraudulently fill prescriptions for her. The Board's investigation revealed petitioner processed and billed prescriptions to C.K.'s insurance company without her approval.

#### STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND PROBATIONARY LICENSE

5. Effective January 5, 2022, petitioner entered into a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Stipulated Settlement) with the Board. Petitioner admitted the truth of each and every charge and allegation set forth in the Second Amended Accusation and agreed they established cause to discipline his license. Pursuant to the Stipulated Settlement, the Board revoked petitioner's license, immediately stayed that revocation, and placed the license on probation for five years. The terms and conditions of probation include submission of quarterly reports, practice as a pharmacist for 80 hours per month, remedial education, an ethics course, a prohibition against ownership or management of licensed premises, payment of probation monitoring costs, and reimbursement of the Board's costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$25,000.

# **Petition for Early Termination of Probation**

6. On September 12, 2024, petitioner signed and thereafter filed with the Board his Petition for Early Termination of Probation. In support of his Petition, petitioner submitted his written statement, continuing education transcripts, and support letters. In his Petition and at hearing, petitioner explained the circumstances underlying his license discipline, his rehabilitation efforts since, and the reasons he is seeking early termination of probation.

- 7. Petitioner has not previously applied for termination of his probation. He has completed three years of probation and has approximately two years remaining. Petitioner is in compliance with the terms and conditions of probation. He has timely submitted all quarterly reports, practices as a pharmacist for 80 hours per month, is in the process of completing remedial education, has completed an ethics course, and has no ownership or management of a licensed premises. Petitioner is also current on paying the probation monitoring costs and has a remaining reimbursement balance of \$6,110.96.
- 8. Petitioner acknowledged his wrongdoing. He explained he felt comfortable assuming the duties of a PIC at Ten Pharmacy because he had been practicing as the PIC of his pharmacy, Drug Depot. Petitioner assumed the PIC duties at Ten Pharmacy to help Dr. Tran, who was not well physically at the time. Petitioner explained his misconduct was overall financially motivated. Operating an independent pharmacy was stressful, and he was "driven by profit" to do anything to "keep the lights on" and make a living. Petitioner expressed remorse for his poor judgment.
- 9. Petitioner realized "life is not all about money; it is about happiness" when his best friend committed suicide shortly after probation began. Petitioner was the one who identified his best friend's body. Being motivated by profit and being disciplined as a result, in addition to the loss of his best friend, "brought [petitioner] back to [his] core values." He was reminded of the reason he pursued practice as a pharmacist: to serve his community. He began asking himself these questions: "How can I be a better pharmacist? How can I contribute to society?" To help answer these questions, petitioner volunteers with Do For The World, a non-profit organization supporting orphanages in Vietnam. He finds this work "humbling" as it has "taught [him] the importance of integrity[,] compassion[,] and [] second chances."

- 10. Moving forward, petitioner would like to continue on the trajectory of serving his community. He has closed Drug Depot and has no plans to own an independent pharmacy in the future. He currently practices as a pharmacist at Tri Tech Rx. Petitioner finds educating his patients rewarding. Eventually, he would like more opportunities to educate others and is considering in the future becoming a Board inspector or pursuing a second career as an attorney.
- 11. In his written statement, petitioner explained he is seeking early termination of probation for his children, to set an example of second chances and redemption. He stated:

Requesting early termination is not just about personal freedom for me. It holds deep significance for my role as a father. I have four beautiful daughters who look up to me every day. The idea that I can look into their eyes with pride, knowing that I have moved forward with my life, is incredibly important to me. Being granted early termination would allow me to fully reintegrate into society, and it would enable me to be the best father, role model, and provider for them. I want to show my daughters that no matter the challenges we face, we can learn [to] overcome them[] and learn from any mistakes and emerge stronger.

#### **SUPPORT LETTERS**

12. Sara Mikhaeli, Pharm.D., wrote a support letter. She is a pharmacist at Tri Tech Rx and has worked alongside petitioner since April 2024. Dr. Mikhaeli describes petitioner as "exceptional" in filling prescriptions and patient consultations. She praises

petitioner's candor about his wrongdoing and desire to make amends by serving his community.

- 13. Rick Van Tran, D.D.S., wrote a support letter. He has been friends with petitioner for over 25 years and knows about his license discipline. Petitioner has filled prescriptions for Dr. Tran's patients. Dr. Tran considers petitioner "an invaluable resource in ensuring optimal outcomes" for his patients. He praised petitioner's integrity and compassion.
- 14. Jan Nguyen, Pharm.D., wrote a support letter. She has known petitioner for over 15 years. Dr. Nguyen knows about his license discipline but not the "details of the mishaps with his business." She praises petitioner's service to his community, particularly by donating food and supplies to the homeless and supporting disaster relief efforts.
- 15. Mary Tran wrote a support letter. She has known petitioner for over 15 years and knows about his license discipline. Ms. Tran has observed petitioner's commitment to serving his community. She describes him as an asset to his patients and community.
- 16. Vincent Dagron, O.D., wrote a support letter. He has been friends with petitioner for over 10 years and knows about his license discipline. Since probation began, Dr. Dagron has observed petitioner's "maturity, responsibility, and [] strong dedication to be a better pharmacist." He believes petitioner "will continue on this positive path" even without Board supervision.

#### **LEGAL CONCLUSIONS**

#### **Timeliness of Petition and Review of Decision**

- 1. A pharmacist whose license has been placed on probation for a period of three years or more may petition the Board for early termination of probation after at least two years have passed since the effective date of such discipline. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4309, subd. (a)(2).) Here, petitioner filed his Petition in September 2024, over two years after probation began. His Petition is therefore timely.
- 2. The Petition may be heard by a committee of the Board sitting with an ALJ. Where the Petition is thus heard, the decision shall be subject to review by the Board under Government Code section 11517. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4309, subd. (c).) Here, the decision is subject to review by the Board.

### **Propriety of Early Termination of Probation**

- 3. Petitioner has the burden to prove by clear and convincing evidence he has rehabilitated himself and is entitled to early termination of his probation. (*Flanzer v. Bd. of Dental Examiners* (1990) 220 Cal.App.3d 1392, 1398; *Hippard v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1084.) Petitioner must present strong proof of rehabilitation, sufficient to overcome the former adverse determination. (*Housman v. Bd. of Medical Examiners* (1948) 84 Cal.App.2d 308, 315.)
- 4. In considering a petition for early termination of probation, the Board may assess the following relevant factors: (1) the offense for which petitioner was disciplined; (2) petitioner's activities since the disciplinary action was taken; (3) petitioner's general reputation for truth and professional responsibility; and

- 5. Petitioner's misconduct is serious. He undertook the duties of a PIC at Ten Pharmacy without registering as its PIC. By doing so, he disregarded the safeguards to assure the public it is being served by a vetted PIC. Further, petitioner's fraudulent dispensing and billing of medications demonstrated he prioritized financial gain over public protection. However, petitioner's wrongdoing occurred over four years ago, and he has not engaged in misconduct since.
- 6. To his credit, petitioner accepted responsibility and expressed remorse for his wrongdoing. He has reflected on his motivations and realized he was "driven by profit." Probation, along with the death of his best friend, reminded petitioner that he entered this profession to serve his community. To that end, he volunteers at a non-profit supporting orphans and donates time, food, and resources to the unhoused. Petitioner is motivated to set an example for his children that there is opportunity for a second chance and redemption.
- 7. Moreover, petitioner has been fully compliant with the terms of probation, with the exception of full reimbursement of the Board's costs. He has also been practicing as a pharmacist, without incident, and his colleagues and friends consider him a competent pharmacist who serves his community. Petitioner's diligent compliance with probation and safe practice thus far are commendable. Clear and convincing evidence demonstrates he is able to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, and welfare. Board monitoring is no longer necessary to protect the public and would be unduly punitive. (See *Fahmy v. Medical Bd. of Cal.* (1995) 38 Cal.App.4th 810, 817 [the purpose of license discipline is public protection, not punishment].) When all the evidence is considered, the Petition should be granted. As a condition precedent to probation termination, petitioner shall pay in full the remaining balance of the Board's costs.

#### **ORDER**

On February 7, 2025, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, adopted the attached Decision, which reflects the Committee's recommendation, as its own.

The Petition for Early Termination of Probation of Luke Duval Vu is GRANTED.

As a CONDITION PRECEDENT to probation termination, petitioner shall pay in full the remaining balance of the Board's costs of investigation and enforcement. Once such condition precedent is satisfied, probation shall be terminated.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 20, 2025.

It is so ORDERED on February 18, 2025.

BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

TEN PHARMACY INC. DBA TEN PHARMACY,
JACQUELINE DUVAL VU,
Permit No. PHY 53619,

and

JACQUELINE DUVAL VU, Pharmacist No. RPH 56257,

and

LUKE DUVAL VU, Pharmacist No. RPH 54277,

and

DRUG DEPOT PHARMACY INC. Permit No. PHY 50418,

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 6796

OAH No. 2020060475

DECISION AND ORDER AS TO LUKE DUVAL VU ONLY (CASE No. 6796)
PAGE 1

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on January 5, 2022.

It is so ORDERED on December 6, 2021.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D.

**Board President** 

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN RIGLEY	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800	
5	MICHAEL YI	
	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174	
6	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
7	Telephone: (213) 269-6483 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126	
8	E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOR	E THE
10	BOARD OF I	PHARMACY
11	DEPARTMENT OF C STATE OF C	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6796
14	TEN PHARMACY INC. DBA TEN	
15	PHARMACY, LUKE DUVAL VU	OAH No. 2020060475
16	750 Long Beach Boulevard, Suite 1 Long Beach, CA 90813	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO
17	Permit No. PHY 53619,	LUKE DUVAL VU, PHARMACIST LICENSE NO. RPH 54277
18	and	
19	JACQUELINE DUVAL VU	
20	960 N. Tustin Street, Suite 388 Orange, CA 92867	
21	Pharmacist No. RPH 56257,	
22	and	
23	LUKE DUVAL VU	
24	960 N. Tustin Street, Suite 388 Orange, CA 92867	
25	Pharmacist No. RPH 54277,	
26	and	
27		
28		1
		1

1 2	DRUG DEPOT PHARMACY INC. 999 N. Tustin Avenue, Suite 12 Santa Ana, CA 92705	
3	Permit No. PHY 50418,	
4	Respondents.	
5		
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
7	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
8	<u>PARTIES</u>	
9	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	
10	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by	
11	Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael Yi and Kevin Rigley, Deputy	
12	Attorneys General.	
13	2. Luke Duval Vu (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Ivan	
14	Petrzelka, whose mailing address is: P.O. Box 552, Red Bluff, CA 96080.	
15	3. On March 26, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacist Number RPH 54277 to Luke Duval	
16	Vu. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
17	brought in Second Amended Accusation Number 6796, and will expire on October 31, 2022,	
18	unless renewed.	
19	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
20	4. Second Amended Accusation Number 6796 was filed before the Board, and is	
21	currently pending against Respondent. The Second Amended Accusation and all other statutorily	
22	required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 10, 2021. Respondent timely	
23	filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Second Amended Accusation. A copy of Second	
24	Amended Accusation Number 6796 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

////

28

6 7

5

8

9

10

11

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that 14. the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

////

////

////

////

////

////

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
l	0	
l	1	
l	2	
l	3	
l	4	
l	5	
l	6	
l	7	
l	8	
l	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

28

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist Number RPH 54277 issued to Luke Duval Vu is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions:

#### 1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the

Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws

a plea of guilty, or nolo contendere, no contest, or similar, in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment a conviction of any crime

the filing of a disciplinary pleading, issuance of a citation, or initiation of another administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed,

probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

#### 3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall timely cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of probation, including but not limited to: timely responses to requests for information by Board staff; timely compliance with directives from Board staff regarding requirements of any term or condition of probation; and timely completion of documentation pertaining to a term or condition of probation. Failure to timely cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

#### 6. Reporting of Employment and Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 6796, and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within ten (10) days of undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall report to the Board in writing the name, physical address, and mailing address of each of his employer(s), and the name(s) and telephone number(s) of all of his direct supervisor(s), as well as any pharmacist(s)-in-charge, designated representative(s)-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor(s) and the work schedule, if known. Respondent shall also include the reason(s) for leaving the prior

employment. Respondent shall sign and return to the Board a written consent authorizing the Board or its designee to communicate with all of Respondent's employer(s) and supervisor(s), and authorizing those employer(s) or supervisor(s) to communicate with the Board or its designee, concerning Respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring. Failure to comply with the requirements or deadlines of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause (a) his direct supervisor, (b) his pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor, and (c) the owner or owner representative of his employer, to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 6796, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. If one person serves in more than one role described in (a), (b), or (c), the acknowledgment shall so state. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board. In the event of a change in the person(s) serving the role(s) described in (a), (b), or (c) during the term of probation, Respondent shall cause the person(s) taking over the role(s) to report to the Board in writing within fifteen (15) days of the change acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 6796, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby.

If Respondent works for, or is employed by or through an employment service, Respondent must notify the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at every entity licensed by the Board of the decision in case number 6796, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby in advance of Respondent commencing work at such licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of undertaking any new employment by or through an employment service, Respondent shall cause the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at the employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or failure to cause the identified person(s) with that/those employer(s) to submit timely written acknowledgments to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision includes any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief, or employment/management service position as a pharmacist, or any position for which a pharmacist is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

#### 7. Notification of Change(s) in Name, Address(es), or Phone Number(s)

Respondent shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change in name, residence address, mailing address, e-mail address or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer, name, address, or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 8. Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities

During the period of probation, Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the Board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall be jointly and severally responsible with Respondent Jacqueline Duval Vu to pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$25,000. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than one (1) year prior to the end date of probation.

#### 10. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 11. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current Pharmacist License with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current Pharmacist License shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's Pharmacist License expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication, their license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

#### 12. License Surrender While on Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may relinquish his license, including any indicia of licensure issued by the Board, along with a request to surrender the license. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to accept the surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish his pocket and/or wall license, including any indicia of licensure not previously provided to the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted if not already provided.

Respondent may not reapply for any license from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board, including any outstanding costs.

26 | ////

27 | ////

28 | | ////

////

#### 13. Practice Requirement – Extension of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 80 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall extend the period of probation by one month. During any such period of insufficient employment, Respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation, unless he receives a waiver in writing from the Board or its designee.

If Respondent does not practice as a pharmacist in California for the minimum number of hours in any calendar month, for any reason (including vacation), Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the conclusion of that calendar month. This notification shall include at least: the date(s), location(s), and hours of last practice; the reason(s) for the interruption or reduction in practice; and the anticipated date(s) on which Respondent will resume practice at the required level. Respondent shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days following the next calendar month during which Respondent practices as a pharmacist in California for the minimum of hours. Any failure to timely provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to be extended pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

#### 14. **Violation of Probation**

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and the Board shall provide notice to Respondent that probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, or the preparation of an accusation or petition to revoke probation is requested from the Office of the Attorney General, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

#### 15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.

#### 16. **Remedial Education**

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to the violations charged in the Second Amended Accusation. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 10 hours per year of probation, which shall be completed at Respondent's own expense. 50% of the total hours must be live webinar/in-person training. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes for pharmacists.

Failure to timely submit for approval or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require Respondent, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's knowledge of the course. If Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination that course shall not count towards satisfaction of this term. Respondent shall take another course approved by the Board in the same subject area.

////

#### **Ethics Course** 17.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee that complies with Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, section 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, Respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, to initiate the course during the first year of probation, to successfully complete it before the end of the second year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the Board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 18. No Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within one-hundred and twenty (120) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

//// 20

21 ////

//// 22

23 ////

24 ////

//// 25

//// 26

//// 27

28 ////

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, and have fully	
3	discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will	
4	have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
5	voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the	
6	Board of Pharmacy.	
7		
8	DATED:	
9	LUKE DUVAL VU Respondent	
10		
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Luke Duval Vu, the terms and conditions	
12	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve	
13	its form and content.	
14		
15	DATED: IVAN PETRZELKA	
16	Attorney for Respondent	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20	13	

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

LUKE DUV Respondent l

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Luke Duval Vu, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: November 1, 2021

IVAN PETRZELKA Attorney for Respondent

# **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. DATED: November \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2019503694 64639732.docx

# **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. DATED: November \_\_1\_, 2021 Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General Michael Gi MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2019503694 64639732.docx

## Exhibit A

**Second Amended Accusation No. 6796** 

1	MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ	
2	Acting Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANN SOKOLOFF	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN RIGLEY	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800	
6	MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General	
7	State Bar No. 217174 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6483	
9	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov	
10	Attorneys for Complainant	
11	BEFOR	E THE
12	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
13	STATE OF C	
14		
15	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6796
16	TEN PHARMACY INC. DBA TEN	OAH No. 2020060475
17	PHARMACY, JACQUELINE DUVAL VU 750 Long Beach Boulevard, Suite 1 Long Beach, CA 90813	SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION
18	Permit No. PHY 53619,	
19	and	
20	JACQUELINE DUVAL VU	
21	960 N. Tustin Street, Suite 388 Orange, CA 92867	
22	Pharmacist No. RPH 56257,	
23	and	
24	LUKE DUVAL VU	
25	960 N. Tustin Street, Suite 388 Orange, CA 92867	
26	Pharmacist No. RPH 54277,	
27	and	
28		1

1 2	DRUG DEPOT PHARMACY INC. 999 N. Tustin Avenue, Suite 12 Santa Ana, CA 92705
3	Permit No. PHY 50418,
4	Respondents.
5	
6	<u>PARTIES</u>
7	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Accusation solely in he
8	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
9	Consumer Affairs.
10	2. On October 30, 2015, the Board issued Permit Number PHY 53619 to Ten Pharmacy
11	Inc. dba Ten Pharmacy, Jacqueline Duval Vu ("Respondent Ten Pharmacy" or "the pharmacy").
12	Jacqueline Duval Vu is and has been the Chief Executive Officer, President, 100% shareholder,
13	Secretary, Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer and Director of Respondent Ten Pharmacy since
14	October 30, 2015. The Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
15	brought in this Second Amended Accusation and expired on October 1, 2020.
16	3. On October 1, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacist Number RPH 56257 to Jacqueline
17	Duval Vu ("Respondent Jacqueline Vu"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at
18	all times relevant to the charges brought in this Second Amended Accusation and will expire on
19	December 31, 2021, unless renewed. Respondent Jacqueline Vu is and has been the Pharmacist-
20	in-Charge (PIC) of the pharmacy since October 30, 2015.
21	4. On March 26, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacist Number RPH 54277 to Luke Duva
22	Vu ("Respondent Luke Vu"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times
23	relevant to the charges brought in this Second Amended Accusation and will expire on October
24	31, 2022, unless renewed.
25	5. On November 10, 2010, the Board issued Permit Number PHY 50418 to Drug Depo
26	Pharmacy Inc. ("Respondent Drug Depot"). Luke Duval Vu is and has been the 100%
27	shareholder, President and Secretary of Respondent Drug Depot since October 6, 2012. Luke
28	Duval Vu is and has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Drug Depot since November

1	(b) Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate,	
2	partner, or any other person with management or control of a license as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.	
3		
4	19. Section 4332 states:	
5	Any person who fails, neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section 4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a member of the	
6	board, fails, neglects or refuses to produce or provide the records within a reasonable time, or who willfully produces or furnishes records that are false, is guilty of a	
7	misdemeanor.	
8	<u>CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS</u>	
9	20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, subdivision (a), states:	
10	Each permit to operate a pharmacy shall show the name and address of the pharmacy, the form of ownership (individual, partnership or corporation) and the	
11	pharmacist-in-charge. Each pharmacy shall, in its initial application on the annual renewal form, report the name of the pharmacist-in-charge, the names of all owners	
12	and the names of the corporate officers (if a corporation). Any changes in the pharmacist-in-charge, or the owners, or corporate officers shall be reported to the	
13	Board within 30 days.	
14	21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709.1, states, in pertinent part:	
15	(a) The pharmacist-in-charge of a pharmacy shall be employed at that location and shall have responsibility for the daily operation of the pharmacy.	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	(b) The pharmacy owner shall vest the pharmacist-in-charge with adequate authority to assure compliance with the laws governing the operation of a pharmacy.	
18		
19	22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, states:	
20	"Current Inventory" as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and	
21	Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332.	
22	The controlled substances inventories required by Title 21, CFR, Section 1304	
23	shall be available for inspection upon request for at least 3 years after the date of the inventory.	
24	23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.8, states:	
25	(a) Any pharmacy engaged in compounding shall maintain, as part of its written	
26	policies and procedures, a written quality assurance plan designed to monitor and ensure the integrity, potency, quality, and labeled strength of compounded drug	
27	preparations.	
20		

#### **2018 BOARD INSPECTION**

- 34. On November 28, 2017, the Board received a complaint from J. Z. of National Pharmaceutical Services (NPS), a Pharmacy Benefit Manager. <sup>1</sup> J. Z. alleged that NPS, on behalf of Medicare Part D Plan CareMore Health, conducted an audit on Respondent Ten Pharmacy that resulted in claims reversal for insufficient evidence of timely copayment collection by the pharmacy. The complaint also stated that: "The [NPS] member received 10 tubes of 35.4 grams of Lidocaine 5% ointment she did not authorize be filled. Upon reversal notification two pharmacy employees showed up to the members home, requesting she sign a document attesting to receiving the medication and threatening to turn her into collections. The pharmacy then called the member and told her she would be responsible for the full plan paid total of \$878.25, not just her copay of \$9.50. And if she didn't pay she would be turned into collections."
- 35. On January 23, 2018, a Board Inspector ("the Board Inspector") received records from NPS related to an investigation concerning Respondent Ten Pharmacy, and NPS's audit from January 27, 2017 to July 5, 2017, which indicated that:
  - Respondent Ten Pharmacy was initially identified by CareMore as submitting claims for Lidocaine 5% ointment.
  - All of the claims in question consisted of Lidocaine ointment 5%, Diclofenac gel 1% and Diclofenac gel 3%.
  - NPS interviewed patients who indicated that the pharmacy waived copayments and mailed refills of the medication to patients without their approval, or at their request. The pharmacy then provided records documenting that copays were collected. However, NPS concluded there was insufficient evidence to prove that the pharmacy had collected copayments in a timely manner. As a result of the originally audited 38 claims, 27 claims were administratively reversed because the members stated they were never asked to pay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBM) are third-party administrators of prescription drug programs such as commercial health plans, employer or employees plans and Medicare Part D plans. PBM are primarily responsible for developing and maintaining the formulary, contracting with pharmacies, negotiating discounts and rebates with drug manufacturers, and processing and paying prescription drug claims.

copayments - nor did they pay any copayments. An additional five claims processed after the audit would be administratively reversed based on the members' statements the pharmacy never requested or collected a copayment.

- d. The total amount to be reversed was \$9,126.12.
- 36. The following summarizes the documentation reviewed and interviews with patients/members. The amount of the copayment for the medication varied.
  - F. B. had prescriptions filled for Lidocaine ointment 5% on 05/26/2017, 06/26/2017 and 07/28/2017. She indicated she received three shipments, without her approval or request to fill. She did not pay a copayment or receive a bill. The claims for these medications were reversed.
  - H. N. had prescriptions filled for Diclofenac gel 1% on 05/09/2017 and 06/05/2017 and for Lidocaine ointment 5% on the same dates. H. N. stated he paid around \$60 one time, and told the pharmacy to stop sending the medications. He never received a second shipment on 06/05/2017 and never paid a second copayment. One claim for the Diclofenac gel and one claim for the Lidocaine ointment 5% was reversed.
  - L. O. had prescriptions filled for Diclofenac gel 1% on 05/05/2017, 06/29/2017 and 08/23/2017. She received knee injections from Dr. N. and has not paid any copayments. She has not received a bill from the pharmacy. She paid Dr. N. around \$60. The claims for these medications were reversed.
  - D. N. had prescriptions filled for Lidocaine ointment 5% on 05/02/2017 and 06/26/2017 and for Diclofenac gel 3% on the same dates. D. N. stated she didn't request or approve any refills, has never paid a copayment or received a bill. The medication is not helping, she has too much of it, and they can stop sending it. The claims for these medications were reversed.
  - C. C. had a prescription filled for Diclofenac gel 1% on 05/01/2017, and stated she has never paid a copayment or received a bill. The claim for this medication was reversed.

- M. B. received three prescriptions for Lidocaine ointment 5% on 02/02/2017, 03/16/2017 and 04/24/2017. M. B. could not be reached for an interview.
- 37. NPS' audit for the period of time between January 27, 2017 and August 31, 2017 indicated that Respondent Ten Pharmacy submitted 37 "unreversed" claims for eight CareMore members between January 1, 2017 and August 31, 2017. Based on the customer statements, with the majority of members attesting to never being asked to pay a copayment and receiving mail order shipments without their approval or at their request, 18 claims for Lidocaine would be reversed.
- 38. The following summarizes the documentation reviewed and patient/member interviews for Lidocaine 5% ointment claims which were audited:
  - Z. W. had a prescription filled for Lidocaine ointment 5% on 03/08/2017. Z. W. did not authorize the pharmacy to transfer her prescription from Drug Depot, and did not authorize the pharmacy to ship the medication to her. She refused the second shipment in July and she was never asked to pay a copayment, nor did she. The claim for this medication was reversed.
  - D. A. had three prescriptions filled for Lidocaine ointment on 06/12/2017, 07/11/2017 and 08/18/2017. The claims were reversed.
  - E. N. had four prescriptions filled for Lidocaine ointment on 04/28/2017, 05/22/2017, 06/26/2017, and 07/27/2017. Patient stated she told Dr. K. N. she did not have pain and left when he tried to give her an injection in her knee. She never requested that the pharmacy to send her the medication, and she never paid a copayment. When she asked the pharmacy to stop sending the medication to her, she was told the medication was on automatic refill. The claims were reversed.
  - R. L. had two prescriptions filled for Lidocaine ointment on 07/18/2017 and 08/18/2017.
     Customer statement is not evidence pharmacy collected copayments. The claims were reversed.

////

28 ////

The Board Inspector interviewed the pharmacy's staff, including but not limited to

42.

other documents. According to document(s) produced, the pharmacy's policy is to contact each patient prior to dispensing medications, except for in limited circumstances when the patient did not timely respond.

44. The Board Inspector also interviewed and/or obtained information directly from more than 10 patients, related to the allegations in the complaint against the pharmacy. Based on evidence provided by NPS and during the Board's investigation, Respondent Ten Pharmacy dispensed prescriptions to patients without their approval and waived patients' copayments in order to encourage the patient to receive unwanted prescriptions. After an investigation began concerning the pharmacy's billing scheme and its failure to collect copayments for the medications, the pharmacy started requesting that patients pay their copayments which had previously been waived. Most of the patients did not sign up for automatic refill of their medications with the pharmacy, and received a large volume of them automatically. A. R. indicated the pharmacy sent her several boxes of medication. P. M. received an immense amount of the medication from the pharmacy and contacted them twice to stop the deliveries. M. P. contacted the pharmacy on several occasions to ask them to stop delivering the medications, but they continued to dispense them. The pharmacy dispensed higher quantities of medication and/or more frequently than needed and knowingly dispensed prescriptions without receiving approval and without disclosing the copayment requirements to patients until after dispensing the prescriptions, as follows:

20

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

Patient Initials	Prescription number/ date (sold or ready date)				
P. M.	#6100538				
	5/19/2017				
	8/24/2017				
	6/9/2017				
	3/10/2017				
	2/13/2017				
	4/24/2017				
P. M.	#6100539				
	5/19/2017				
	7/28/2017				
	6/9/2017				

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20

<u> </u>	0/40/0045
	3/10/2017
	2/13/2017
	4/24/2017
D. A.	#6101509
	06/12/2017
	07/13/2017
	08/18/2017
	00/10/2017
E. L.	#6100481
	7/11/2017
	2/6/2017
	6/6/2017
	3/13/2017
	8/17/2017
	4/6/2017
	5/5/2017
	3/3/2017
E. L.	#6102806
2. 2.	9/8/2017
	3/0/2017
A. R.	#6101243
11,11,	5/8/2017
	7/11/2017
	6/9/2017
	0/ // 2011
A. R.	#6101248
	7/11/2017
	5/8/2017
	6/9/2017
	5, 2, 201,

45. The Board Inspector received records of purchases, credits and dispositions from Respondent Ten Pharmacy's wholesalers for the Lidocaine ointment 5% and Diclofenac 1% and 3%, between 10/30/2015 and 02/15/2018, in addition to other documents, and performed an audit for this time period. The audit showed significant negative and positive variances. A positive variance indicates a shortage (purchases/acquisitions greater than sales/disposition). A negative variance indicates an overage (sold more than purchased). The results of the audit are summarized in the following table:

Table -: Board's Audit for the	Table -: Board's Audit for the period of 10/30/2015 (opening date) -02/15/2018 (inspection date)														
Medication	Units	Beginnin g Inventor y- 10/30/20	Acq WLS:	Acq WLS: Cardinal	Ç	Acq WLS:Redm ond and	Acq PHY:Vall	Acq	Acq WLS:Ma		<dispositio< th=""><th><destru< th=""><th><ending inventory=""></ending></th><th>DISPO</th><th></th></destru<></th></dispositio<>	<destru< th=""><th><ending inventory=""></ending></th><th>DISPO</th><th></th></destru<>	<ending inventory=""></ending>	DISPO	
		15	Capital	health	Cardinal)	Greer	ey	WLS:HD	sters	ACQ TOTAL	n>	ction>	02/15/2018	TOTAL	Variance
Lidocaine 5% ointment (each tube is 35.44 grams)	grams	1,134	218,680	15,060	42,528	105,792	1,770	-	141,760	526,724	470,537	-	19,953	490,489	36,235
Diclofenac 3% gel (each tube is 100 grams)	grams	3,100	28,000	-	4,000	44,000	1	-	4,000	83,100	84,200	-	9,800	94,000	-10,900
Diclofenac 1% gel (each tube is 100 grams)	grams	1,500	-	14,385	-	191,800	5,000	1,000	-	213,685	157,800	-	15,900	173,700	39,985

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu 2 Failure to Conduct Controlled Substances Inventory) 3 Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to 46. 4 disciplinary action under Code sections 4081, subdivision (a), 4113, subdivision (c), 4300, 4301 5 subdivisions (j) and (o), and 4302, 4332, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 6 16, section 1718, and Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, sections 1304.03, subdivision (b), 7 1304.4, subdivision (a), 1304.11, subdivisions (a)-(c) and (e)(4), and 1364.03, subdivisions (a) 8 and (b), in that Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu, while acting as the PIC 9 for Respondent Ten Pharmacy, failed to: (1) prepare and maintain a complete and accurate record 10 of all of its controlled substances on an inventory date; and (2) conduct a DEA biennial inventory 11 of controlled substances within two years of the previous biennial inventory date. The allegations 12 in paragraphs 34-45 are incorporated here by reference. 13 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu 14 Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit) 15 47. Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to disciplinary 16 action for unprofessional conduct under sections 4113, subdivision (c), 4300, 4301, subdivision 17 (f), and 4302, in that Respondent Jacqueline Vu, while acting as the PIC of Respondent Ten 18 Pharmacy, committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or corruption, by 19 billing patients' insurance for prescriptions which the patients did not request or approve the 20 prescriptions and/or for which the patients did not provide their required copayment, until after 21 the prescriptions were dispensed, and then attempting to get patient approval and copayments 22 after the start an investigation related to their billing practices. The allegations in paragraphs 34-23 45 are incorporated here by reference. 24 //// 25 //// 26 //// 27 //// 28

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

48. Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under sections 4113, subdivision (c), 4300, 4301, subdivision (d), 4302 and 4036.5, subdivisions (a)-(d), for dispensing clearly excessive quantities of medication and/or more frequently than needed to patients, including to D. A., A. R., E. L. and P. M. The allegations in paragraphs 34-45 are incorporated here by reference.

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu Records of Acquisition and Disposition)

49. Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to disciplinary action under sections 4005, 4113, subdivision (c), 4300, 4301, subdivisions (o) and/or (j), and 4302, in conjunction with section 4036.5, for violating Sections 4081, subdivision (a), 4105, subdivisions (a)-(d), and 4332, in that, while Respondent Jacqueline Vu was acting as PIC of Respondent Ten Pharmacy, she and the pharmacy failed to maintain and/or produce required records to the Board for Respondent Ten Pharmacy, in that an audit for the period of time between 10/30/2015 and 02/15/2018, revealed an overage of Diclofenac 3% gel and shortages of Lidocaine 5% ointment and Diclofenac 1% gel. The allegations in paragraphs 34-45 are incorporated here by reference.

# FIRST 2020 BOARD INSPECTION AND

# **BOARD INVESTIGATION REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 30, 2020**

50. On February 20, 2020, the Board received a complaint from Y. M., a former employee (pharmacy technician) of the pharmacy. Y. M. has alleged that she has personal knowledge that the pharmacy compounded, labeled, billed and dispensed lidocaine-naproxen creams without the naproxen ingredient. Y. M. has further alleged that the pharmacy billed patients' insurance for compounded naproxen-lidocaine cream (NL cream) and naproxen suspension, but only dispensed the NL cream, and received refunds for the unused naproxen. Y. M. also alleged that Respondent Luke Vu: (1) fired any staff who questioned the practice; and

- (2) trained staff to bill patients' insurance for unauthorized and excessive medications. Y. M., who began her employment at the pharmacy on or about February 10, 2020, voluntarily resigned from the pharmacy on or about February 21, 2020, because of these fraudulent activities.
- 51. Y. M. informed the Board Investigator that while she was employed at the pharmacy, Respondent Luke Vu primarily managed the pharmacy and his wife, Respondent Jacqueline Vu, was never present at the pharmacy. Accordingly, Respondent Luke Vu was inappropriately effectively acting as the PIC in place of Respondent Jacqueline Vu at Ten Pharmacy.
- 52. On July 21, 2020, Respondent Luke Vu informed the Board Inspector that the pharmacy had closed due to looting, and that the medication compounding records were destroyed from water and fire damage. Respondent Luke Vu also related that the pharmacy had not sent any of their compounded preparation to be tested for qualitative and quantitative analysis.
- 53. On August 5, 2020, Respondent Jacqueline Vu informed the Board Inspector that she could not provide the following records because they were destroyed: (1) the pharmacy's latest self-assessment; (2) compounding records for NL cream and naproxen suspension; and (3) the pharmacy's compounding policy and procedure. Respondent Jacqueline Vu did provide the names of the ingredient wholesalers and dispensing report for all prescriptions between July 21, 2019 and May 29, 2020. Respondent Jacqueline Vu also related that the pharmacy was filling approximately 50 prescriptions per day prior to its destruction. Respondent Jacqueline Vu explained that the pharmacy engaged in very limited compounding activities and no end product tests were conducted on compounded preparations.
- 54. Based on the Drug Utilization Report<sup>2</sup> for Respondent Ten Pharmacy provided by McKesson (DUR1) from July 21, 2019, through May 29, 2020, the Board Inspector determined that the pharmacy compounded and dispensed 2,467 prescriptions without conducting qualitative and quantitative analysis of the compounded drug preparations.

| | ////

6 || ////

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Computer generated report of the pharmacy's dispensing records. The reports contains the date the prescription was dispensed, prescription number, drug name, drug strength, quantity dispensed and other information.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu 2 **Failure to Assure Compounding Quality)** 3 59. Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to 4 disciplinary action under Code sections 4113, subdivision (c), 4300, 4301 subdivision (o), and 5 4302, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.8, subdivisions 6 (a)-(e), in that, during the course of an investigation by the Board, it was determined that 7 Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu, while acting as the PIC for Ten 8 Pharmacy, allowed Respondent Luke Vu to act as PIC, and dispensed 936 compounded 9 prescriptions and failed to conduct routine testing on their compound preparations to ensure 10 integrity, potency, quality and labeled strength. The allegations in paragraphs 50-58 are 11 incorporated here by reference. 12 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu 13 Unprofessional Conduct – Respondent Luke Vu Acting as Ten Pharmacy's PIC Without Registration) 14 15 60. Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu are subject to 16 disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (f), (j) and (o), and 4305, in 17 conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1709 and 1709.1, in that 18 during the course of two investigations by the Board, it was determined that Respondent Luke Vu 19 acted as the PIC for Ten Pharmacy, by managing, training and operating the pharmacy without 20 being registered as the PIC with the Board. While inappropriately effecting acting as Ten 21 Pharmacy's PIC, Respondent Luke Vu dispensed and/or oversaw the dispensing of compounded 22 prescriptions without conducting routine testing to ensure integrity, potency, quality and labeled 23 strength. The allegations in paragraphs 50-58 are incorporated here by reference. 24 //// 25 //// 26 //// 27 //// 28 //// 23

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Respondent Luke Vu

## **Unprofessional Conduct – Acting as Ten Pharmacy's PIC Without Registration**)

61. Respondent Luke Vu is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (f), (j) and (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1709 and 1709.1, in that during the course of two investigations by the Board, it was determined that Respondent Luke Vu acted as the PIC for Ten Pharmacy, by managing, training and operating the pharmacy without being registered as the PIC with the Board. While inappropriately effectively acting as Ten Pharmacy's PIC, Respondent Luke Vu dispensed and/or oversaw the dispensing of compounded prescriptions without conducting routine testing to ensure integrity, potency, quality and labeled strength. The allegations in paragraphs 50-58 are incorporated here by reference.

## SECOND 2020 BOARD INSPECTION AND

## **BOARD INVESTIGATION REPORT DATED DECEMBER 15, 2020**

- 62. On June 22, 2020, the Board received a complaint from C. K., a former employee (durable medical equipment sales representative) of the pharmacy. C. K. alleged that Respondent Luke Vu terminated her employment after she reported that several of her prescriptions were billed to her insurance by the pharmacy without her approval. C. K. also alleged that Respondent Luke Vu used her past prescriptions and committed billing fraud. C. K. further alleged that she continued to receive unwanted prescriptions from the pharmacy after her termination.
- 63. The Board Investigator received records related to C. K.'s lawsuit against Respondent Luke Vu, the pharmacy, Respondent Drug Depot and Netco Medical, Inc. The records indicated or alleged that: (1) Respondent Luke Vu employed C. K. from 2017 to April 13, 2020; (2) in or about 2019, C. K. discovered that Respondent Luke Vu altered her past prescriptions to make false insurance claims; (3) Respondent Luke Vu had been committing durable medical equipment and insurance fraud since September 2019; and (4) Respondent Luke Vu terminated C. K. after she complained about the illegal activities.

27 | ////

- 64. On August 4, 2020, the Board Investigator discussed the allegations with C. K., who explained that: (1) in or about April 2020, Respondent Ten Pharmacy provided health insurance to C. K. and other employees; (2) the pharmacy started billing and dispensing unwanted prescriptions, including lidocaine, and durable medical equipment; (3) PIC Jacqueline Vu was never present at the pharmacy; (4) Respondent Luke Vu managed and operated the pharmacy; (5) C. K. was terminated from her employment after she confronted Respondent Luke Vu about the unlawful activities; and (6) Respondent Luke Vu reversed the majority of insurance claims and attempted to pay C. K. to not complain to the Board or insurance about the activities.
- 65. C. K. forwarded the following emails from Respondent Luke Vu to the Board Investigator: (1) email dated March 1, 2020, which stated: "Orals pain have better coverage than topical pain! Stop pushing topical pains! Let MD know if they write pain creams not likely to get covered...if oral drug is covered we can convert to topical," and (2) email dated March 6, 2020, which provided a prescription template for high profit margin prescriptions for medications such as lidocaine 2% gel, doxepin 5% cream, diclofenac sodium 3% gel, DermacinRx ZRM (Lidocaine 5%+Dimethicone 5%), DermacinRx Lexitral PharmaPak (Diclofenac 1.5%+Capsaicin 0.025%) and others. On August 14, 2020, C. K. emailed photographs of three of her prescriptions processed and dispensed by Respondent Drug Depot for lidocaine 5% ointment, dated March 12, 2018, Chlorzoxazone 250 mg, dated March 6, 2019, and Calcipotriene cream 0.005%.
- 66. Based on the Drug Utilization Report for Respondent Ten Pharmacy from July 21, 2019, through July 21, 2020 (discussed in Board investigation CI 2019 87399), the Board Inspector determined that the following prescriptions were dispensed to C. K. under the prescribing authority of Dr. Jeffrey Pearson (Table 1):

Ready Date	Written	Rx#	Product Name	Strength	Dispensed Quantity	C. K.'s Response
10/17/2019	8/5/2019	6121164	Lidocaine ointment	5%	50	Not prescribed
10/23/2019	8/5/2019	6121165	Chlorzoxazone Tabs	250 mg	180	Not prescribed

////

28 ////

				,		
1/13/2020	8/5/2019	6121164	Lidocaine ointment	5%	50	Not prescribed
2/17/2020	8/5/2019	6121164	Lidocaine ointment	5%	50	Not prescribed
3/26/2020	3/24/2020	6122766	Clotrimazole- Betamethasone cream	1-0.05%	90	Received without authorization
3/26/2020	3/25/2020	6122765	Econazole Nitrate cream	1%	170	Received without authorization
3/26/2020	3/25/2020	6122767	Ketoprofen caps	25 mg	270	Not prescribed
3/26/2020	3/25/2020	6122768	Lidocaine patch	5%	90	Received without authorization

The Board Inspector requested and received a statement from Dr. Pearson stating he did not recall if he had authorized the prescriptions in Table 1 to C. K.

67. The Board Inspector also requested and received Respondent Drug Depot's dispensing history. Based on Respondent Drug Depot's dispensing history, the Board Inspector determined that the following prescriptions (under the prescribing authority of Dr. Pearson) were processed and billed to C. K.'s insurance without her approval (Table 2):

Written	Dispensed	Rx#	Product Name and Strength	Dispensed Quantity	Insurance	Insurance Reimburse
12/13/2017	3/12/2018	6052104	Lidocaine ointment 5%	212.64	Blue Shield of California	\$981.06
12/11/2018	3/6/2019	6061551	Chlorzoxazone 250 mg tablets	120	BCBS of California	\$2,234.90

////			
////			
,,,,			

2

3

4

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 71. Pursuant to Section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 53619 issued to Respondent Ten Pharmacy while Respondent Jacqueline Vu has been an officer, director, or owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Respondent Ten Pharmacy and Respondent Jacqueline Vu shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 53619 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 53619 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- Pursuant to Section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50418 issued to Respondent Drug Depot while Respondent Luke Vu has been an officer, director, or owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Respondent Drug Depot and Respondent Luke Vu shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50418 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50418 is reinstated if it is revoked.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Second Amended Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Permit Number PHY 53619, issued to Ten Pharmacy Inc. dba Ten Pharmacy, Jacqueline Duval Vu;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number RPH 56257, issued to Jacqueline Duval Vu;
  - 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number RPH 54277, issued to Luke Duval Vu;
- 4. Revoking or suspending Permit Number PHY 50418, issued to Drug Depot Pharmacy Inc.; 28