BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

SHRI SAI R & R CORP dba VILLAGE ROAD PHARMACY, DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI, PIC AND PRESIDENT, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027,

and

DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 32783

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 6697

OAH No. 2020090405

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2021.

It is so ORDERED on May 10, 2021.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ay n Ligge

By

Greg Lippe Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
2	SHAWN P. COOK		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6301		
4			
5			
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	Thiorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6697	
13	SHRI SAI R & R CORP DBA VILLAGE ROAD PHARMACY, DHANSUKHLAL B.	OAH No. 2020090405	
14	DESAI, PIC AND PRESIDENT 5412 Village Road	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC	
15	Long Beach, CA 90808	REPROVAL AS TO PHARMACY PERMIT NO. 36027	
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027,	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
17	and		
18	DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI		
19	5412 Village Road Long Beach, CA 90808		
20	DI		
21	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32783		
22	Respondents.		
23			
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
25		entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
26	<u>PARTIES</u>		
27		Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	
28	(Board). She brought this action solely in her off	icial capacity and is represented in this matter by	
		1	

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6697, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon its Pharmacy Permit
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up its right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that its Pharmacy Permit is subject to discipline and it agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment

of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027 issued to Respondent Shri Sai R & R Corp dba Village Road Pharmacy, Dhansukhlal B. Desai, PIC and President (Respondent) shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of Accusation No. 6697, attached as exhibit A.

Cost Recovery. No later than two years from the effective date of the Decision, Respondent shall be jointly and severally responsible to pay \$15,000.88 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 125.3. If Respondent fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew its Pharmacy Permit until Respondent pays costs in full. In addition, the Board may enforce this order for payment of its costs in any appropriate court, in addition to any other rights the Board may have.

Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in Accusation No. 6697, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Adam B. Brown. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated

1	Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently,	
2	and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.	
3 4	DATED: March 13, 2021	
5	SHRI SAÏ R & R CORP DBA VILLAGE ROAD	
6	PHARMACY, DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI, PIC AND PRESIDENT Respondent	
7	The political ways and the same	
8	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Shri Sai R & R Corp dba Village Road	
9	Pharmacy, Dhansukhlal B. Desai, PIC and President the terms and conditions and other matters	
10	contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I	
11	approve its form and content.	
12	Also Landing	
13	DATED: 3-14-21	
14	ADAM B. BROWN Attorney for Respondent	
15		
16	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby	
18	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of	
19	Consumer Affairs.	
20		
21	DATED: March 13, 2021 Respectfully submitted,	
22	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
23	SHAWN P. COOK Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
24	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	~ 1	
25	weyly	
	KEVIN J. RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General	
25 26 27	KEVIN J. RIGLEY	

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6697

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA L. SUN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131800		
3			
4			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6301 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFOI	RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10		CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6697	
13	SHRI SAI R & R CORP DBA VILLAGE ROAD PHARMACY, DHANSUKHLAL B.		
14	DESAI, PRESIDENT 5412 Village Road	ACCUSATION	
15	Long Beach, CA 90808		
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027,		
17	and		
18	DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI 5412 Village Road		
19	Long Beach, CA 90808		
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32783		
21	Respondents.		
22			
23	PAR	TIES	
24	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) bring	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
26	2. On or about December 22, 1989, the	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit	
27	Number PHY 36027 to Shri Sai R & R Corp dba Village Road Pharmacy, Dhansukhlal B. Desai,		
28	PIC and President (Respondent Village Road Ph	•	
		1	

- (i) Except as otherwise authorized by law, knowingly selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering, or offering to sell, furnish, give away, or administer, any controlled substance to an addict.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
- (m) The cash compromise of a charge of violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 14000) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code relating to the Medi-Cal program.
- (n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter that would be grounds for revocation, suspension, or other discipline under this chapter. Any disciplinary action taken by the board pursuant to this section shall be coterminous with action taken by another state, except that the term of any discipline taken by the board may exceed that of another state, consistent with the board's enforcement guidelines. The evidence of discipline by another state is conclusive proof of unprofessional conduct.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

///

26

27

1	Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Counder Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welf Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous	are and
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		
3	(b) The owner, officer, and partner of a pharmacy, wholesaler, third-party log	
5	provider, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-c for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.	
6		
7	14. Section 4105 of the Code states:	
8		
9	(a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of d drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form.	angerous ined on
10		
11	(c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed pren	nises for
12	a period of three years from the date of making.	
13	(d) (1) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so pharmacist-in-charge, or the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge i	
14	duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed premises are open for busing able to produce a hardcopy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition of	iess, be
15	disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records maintained electronical	
16		
17	(f) When requested by an authorized officer of the law or by an authorized representative of the board, the owner, corporate officer, or manager of an en	titv
18	licensed by the board shall provide the board with the requested records with business days of the time the request was made. The entity may request in wi	in three
19	extension of this timeframe for a period not to exceed 14 calendar days from the records were requested. A request for an extension of time is subject to the	ie
20	approval of the board. An extension shall be deemed approved if the board far deny the extension request within two business days of the time the extension	
21	was made directly to the board.	
22	15. Section 4169 of the Code states:	
23	(a) A person or entity shall not do any of the following:	
24		
25	(5) Fail to maintain records of the acquisition or disposition of dangerous dru	igs or
26	dangerous devices for at least three years.	
27		
28		

1	16. Section 4332 of the Code states:
2	Any person who fails, neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section
3	4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a member of the board, fails, neglects, or refuses to produce or provide the records within a reasonable time,
4	or who willfully produces or furnishes records that are false, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
5	
6	17. Section 4333 of the Code states:
7	(a) All prescriptions filled by a pharmacy and all other records required by Section 4081 shall be maintained on the premises and available for inspection by authorized
89	officers of the law for a period of at least three years. In cases where the pharmacy discontinues business, these records shall be maintained in a board-licensed facility for at least three years.
10	
11	18. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part:
12	(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate
13	medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of
14	controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order
15	purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or
16	habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the
17	purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.
18	(b) Any person who knowingly violates this section shall be punished by
19	imprisonment in the state prison or in the county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both a fine and
20	imprisonment.
21	(c) No provision of the amendments to this section enacted during the second year of the 1981-82 Regular Session shall be construed as expanding the scope of practice of
22	a pharmacist.
23	10 Section 11162 1 of the Health and Sefety Code states, in nortinent parts
24	19. Section 11162.1 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part: "(a) The prescription forms for controlled substances shall be printed with the following
25	"(a) The prescription forms for controlled substances shall be printed with the following
26	features:
27	

1	"(2) A watermark shall be printed on the backside of the prescription blank; the watermark
2	shall consist of the words "California Security Prescription."
3	
4	"(7) (A) Six quantity check off boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may
5	indicate the quantity by checking the applicable box where the following quantities shall
6	appear:
7	1–24
8	25–49
9	50–74
10	75–100
11	101–150
12	151 and over.
13	"(B) In conjunction with the quantity boxes, a space shall be provided to designate the units
14	referenced in the quantity boxes when the drug is not in tablet or capsule form.
15	
16	"(10) Check boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the
17	number of refills ordered.
18	
19	"(13) An identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the Department o
20	Justice.
21	
22	"(b) Each batch of controlled substance prescription forms shall have the lot number printed
23	on the form and each form within that batch shall be numbered sequentially beginning with
24	the numeral one."
25	20. Section 11164 of the Health and Safety Code states:
26	Except as provided in Section 11167, no person shall prescribe a controlled
27	substance, nor shall any person fill, compound, or dispense a prescription for a controlled
28	substance, unless it complies with the requirements of this section.

- (a) Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1 and shall meet the following requirements:
- (1) The prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber in ink and shall contain the prescriber's address and telephone number; the name of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; refill information, such as the number of refills ordered and whether the prescription is a first-time request or a refill; and the name, quantity, strength, and directions for use of the controlled substance prescribed.
- (2) The prescription shall also contain the address of the person for whom the controlled substance is prescribed. If the prescriber does not specify this address on the prescription, the pharmacist filling the prescription or an employee acting under the direction of the pharmacist shall write or type the address on the prescription or maintain this information in a readily retrievable form in the pharmacy.
- (b) (1) Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 11162.1, any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V may be dispensed upon an oral or electronically transmitted prescription, which shall be produced in hard copy form and signed and dated by the pharmacist filling the prescription or by any other person expressly authorized by provisions of the Business and Professions Code. Any person who transmits, maintains, or receives any electronically transmitted prescription shall ensure the security, integrity, authority, and confidentiality of the prescription.
- (2) The date of issue of the prescription and all the information required for a written prescription by subdivision (a) shall be included in the written record of the prescription; the pharmacist need not include the address, telephone number, license classification, or federal registry number of the prescriber or the address of the patient on the hard copy, if that information is readily retrievable in the pharmacy.

- (3) Pursuant to an authorization of the prescriber, any agent of the prescriber on behalf of the prescriber may orally or electronically transmit a prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, if in these cases the written record of the prescription required by this subdivision specifies the name of the agent of the prescriber transmitting the prescription.
- (c) The use of commonly used abbreviations shall not invalidate an otherwise valid prescription.
- (d) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) and (b), prescriptions for a controlled substance classified in Schedule V may be for more than one person in the same family with the same medical need.
- (e) (1) Notwithstanding any other law, a prescription written on a prescription form that was otherwise valid prior to January 1, 2019, but that does not comply with paragraph (15) of subdivision (a) of Section 11162.1, or a valid controlled substance prescription form approved by the Department of Justice as of January 1, 2019, is a valid prescription that may be filled, compounded, or dispensed until January 1, 2021.
- (2) If the Department of Justice determines that there is an inadequate availability of compliant prescription forms to meet demand on or before the date described in paragraph (1), the department may extend the period during which prescriptions written on noncompliant prescription forms remain valid for a period no longer than an additional six months.
- 21. Section 11165 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(d) For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, and respectively, of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy, clinic, or other dispenser shall report the following information to the Department of Justice as soon as reasonably

(c) The system shall contain the following provisions:

(1) Citations shall be in writing and shall describe with particularity the nature of the violation, including specific reference to the provision of law or regulation of the department determined to have been violated.

- (2) Whenever appropriate, the citation shall contain an order of abatement establishing a reasonable time for abatement of the violation.
- (3) In no event shall the administrative fine assessed by the department exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each violation. In assessing a fine, due consideration shall be given to the appropriateness of the amount of the fine with respect to such factors as the gravity of the violation, the good faith of the subscribers, and the history of previous violations.
- (4) An order of abatement or a fine assessment issued pursuant to a citation shall inform the subscriber that if the subscriber desires a hearing to contest the finding of a violation, a hearing shall be requested by written notice to the CURES Prescription Drug Monitoring Program within 30 days of the date of issuance of the citation or assessment. Hearings shall be held pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
- (5) In addition to requesting a hearing, the subscriber may, within 10 days after service of the citation, request in writing an opportunity for an informal conference with department regarding the citation. At the conclusion of the informal conference, the department may affirm, modify, or dismiss the citation, including any fine levied or order of abatement issued. The decision shall be deemed to be a final order with regard to the citation issued, including the fine levied or order of abatement issued. The decision shall be deemed to be a final order with regard to the citation issued, including the fine levied or the order of abatement which could include permanent suspension to the system, a monetary fine, or both, depending on the gravity of the violation. However, the subscriber does not waive its right to request a hearing to contest a citation by requesting an informal conference. If the citation is affirmed, a formal hearing may be requested within 30 days of the date the citation was affirmed. If the citation is dismissed after the informal conference, the request for a hearing on the matter of the citation shall be deemed to be withdrawn. If the citation, including any fine levied or order of abatement, is modified, the citation originally issued shall be considered withdrawn and a new citation issued. If a hearing is requested for a subsequent citation, it shall be considered withdrawn and a new citation issued. If a hearing is requested for a subsequent citation, it shall be requested within 30 days of service of that subsequent citation.
- (6) Failure of a subscriber to pay a fine within 30 days of the date of assessment or comply with an order of abatement within the fixed time, unless the citation is being appealed, may result in disciplinary action taken by the department. If a citation is not contested and a fine is not paid, the subscriber account will be terminated:
 - (A) A citation may be issued without the assessment of an administrative fine.
- (B) Assessment of administrative fines may be limited to only particular violations of law or department regulations.

2728

21

22

23

24

25

26

COST RECOVERY

26. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUG CLASSIFICATIONS

- 27. Adderall, sold under the generic name Dextroamphetamine/Amphetamine salts, is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 28. Norco, sold under the generic name hydrocodone/acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.12, subdivision (b)(1)(vi), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 29. Roxicodone, sold under the generic name Oxycodone, is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 30. Phenergan with Codeine Syrup, sold under the generic name Promethazine with Codeine Syrup, is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058, subdivision (c)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 31. Soma, sold under the generic name Carisoprodol, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.14, subdivision (c)(6), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

28 | ///

///

- Irregularities in the prescriber's qualifications in relation to the medication(s) prescribed
- Prescriptions that are written outside of the prescriber's medical specialty
- Prescriptions for medications with no logical connection to diagnosis or treatment
- 35. The Board analyzed controlled substance dispensing data from Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and determined a need for an investigation to evaluate the pharmacy's dispensing of potentially fraudulent prescriptions. Previous investigations identified controlled substance prescriptions from Dr. G and Dr. A which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 11162.1. The Board initiated an investigation at Respondent Village Road based on this information.
- 36. On September 11, 2018, a Board Inspector conducted an inspection at Respondent Village Road Pharmacy, during which Respondent Desai, the Pharmacist-in-Charge, was present and assisted in the inspection. The inspection and investigation determined Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai failed to fulfill their corresponding responsibility to fill only medically legitimate controlled substance prescriptions, and filled controlled substance prescriptions written on forms which did not comply with the requirements for controlled substance prescription documents. Additionally, other violations of pharmacy law were discovered, in that Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai failed to report dispensed controlled substances to the Department of Justice within the required timeframe, and Respondent Desai dispensed prescriptions which deviated from the prescription.
- 37. In regard to the September 11, 2018 inspection, the Board Inspector reviewed the dispensing profiles for several prescribers at Respondent Village Road Pharmacy using the electronic dispensing data provided by PIC Respondent Desai. The data included all noncontrolled and controlled substances dispensed from September 11, 2015 to September 11, 2018. It should be noted that Respondent Desai was directly involved in all of the dispensed medications in connection with the instant investigation. The Board Inspector identified irregularities in the prescribing profiles of Drs. G, H, K, and A. The Board Inspector also reviewed the original prescription documents and its associated documents, if any, collected from

<u>Dr. K:</u>

- About 20% were oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions
- About 18% were amphetamine 30 mg prescriptions
- About 13% were alprazolam 2 mg prescriptions

Dr. A:

- About 50% were oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions
- 42. There were multiple instances when Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai verified and dispensed multiple prescriptions for amphetamine 30 mg and oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. G on the same day. Despite any relationship between the 3 patients, it is a factor of irregularity for multiple patients to each receive the highest dose available for amphetamine and oxycodone from the same doctor.
- 43. One patient had no history of any other strengths of amphetamine, oxycodone, and alprazolam reported to CURES from any other pharmacies since September 13, 2015, until Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai first dispensed each of these prescriptions. This patient seemed to be potentially naïve to these medications, yet the starting dosages were high.
- 44. The prescribing of oxycodone with promethazine/codeine syrup was duplicative and unusual since both medications have an opioid, which could suppress a cough. There were approximately four (4) instances of this potential duplicate therapy.
- A5. Based on these 170 controlled substance prescriptions collected, Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai dispensed a total of approximately: 8,610 tablets of oxycodone 30 mg, 6,510 tablets of amphetamine 30 mg, 1,920 ml of promethazine/codeine, 2,490 tablets of alprazolam 2 mg, 870 tablets of carisoprodol 350 mg, 240 tablets of oxycodone 20 mg, and 120 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325mg. Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai did not produce any documentation regarding the efforts of a pharmacist conferring with the prescribers to discuss the irregularities or objective factors described above. Additionally, Dr. K denied prescribing any of the prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai, which included controlled and non-controlled substances.

Of note, there were a total of four (4) patients involved with all of the prescriptions under Drs. G, H, and K. And there were a total of two (2) patients involved with all of the prescriptions under Dr. A.

- 46. The investigation also determined that Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai failed to report all CII-CIV dispensed prescriptions to the Department of Justice for the PDMP/CURES database. In this regard, approximately 978 prescription fills were not so reported by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai.
- 47. The investigation also determined Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai dispensed 6 controlled substance prescriptions which deviated from the original prescriptions. These prescriptions were typed and dispensed under the incorrect prescriber.
- 48. In reviewing Dr. G's prescriptions, the Board inspector noted multiple instances when Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai processed multiple prescriptions for amphetamine 30 mg and oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. G on the same day issued to the same 3 patients (PM, JC, and CO). Despite any relationship between these 3 patients, it is a factor of irregularity for these 3 patients to each receive the highest dose available for amphetamine and oxycodone from the same doctor. This pattern of irregularity would be noted by a prudent pharmacist, especially when these prescriptions were verified and dispensed on the same day.
- 49. Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai dispensed approximately 170 controlled substance prescriptions written on 107 prescription documents which did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11164.
- 50. Pursuant to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11164, several of Dr. G's prescriptions that were filled by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai lacked at least one of the following security features:
 - A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription." Whereas, the watermark printed on the back erroneously stated "DocuGard."

///

- Six (6) quantity check-off boxes printed on the form where the following quantities shall appear: 1-24, 25-49, 50-74, 75-100, 101-150, 151 and over. Whereas, the six quantity check off boxes were actually erroneously printed as follows:
 - 1-24, 25-50, 50-74, 75-100, 101-150, 151 and over
 - 1-24, 25-50, 51-74, 75-100, 101-150, 151 and over

Check boxes for the prescriber to indicate the number of refills ordered. Whereas, the prescription documents erroneously listed refill numbers to be circled or written in by the prescriber.

An identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the Department of Justice.

The lot number printed on the prescription document for each batch of controlled substance prescription forms.

- 51. Pursuant to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11164, several of Dr. H's prescriptions that were filled by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai *lacked the following security feature*:
 - A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription." Whereas, the watermark printed on the back erroneously stated "DocuGard."
- 52. Pursuant to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11164, several of Dr. K's prescriptions that were filled by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai lacked at least one of the following security features:
 - A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription." Whereas, the watermark printed on the back erroneously stated "DocuGard."
- 53. Pursuant to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11164, several of Dr. A's prescriptions that were filled by Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai lacked at least one of the following security features:

A watermark printed on the backside of the prescription document consisting of the words "California Security Prescription." Whereas, the watermark printed on the back erroneously stated "DocuGard."

- Check boxes for the prescriber to indicate the number of refills ordered. Whereas, the prescription documents erroneously listed refill numbers to be circled or written in by the prescriber.
- The lot number printed on the prescription document for each batch of controlled substance prescription forms.
- 54. Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai failed to report the required information regarding prescriptions filled from September 11, 2015 through September 11, 2018 to the Department of Justice at least weekly, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d). Specifically, the Pharmacy Compliance Report indicated a total of approximately 1,394 prescriptions were filled from September 11, 2015 through September 11, 2018 as reported by and from Respondent Village Road Pharmacy, however, the dispensing data from Respondent Village Road Pharmacy indicated approximately 2,372 Schedule II-IV prescriptions were filled and dispensed during the same query date range. This showed approximately 978 prescription fills were not reported.
- 55. Respondent Village Road Pharmacy and Respondent Desai dispensed multiple prescriptions under the incorrect prescriber, deviating from the original prescriptions, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Corresponding Responsibility to Verify Prescriptions)

56. Respondent Village Road Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b). Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 33 through 55 above as though set forth in full herein.

///

: || ///

1	subdivisions (a)(2)(7)(10)(13), and (b). Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 33 through
2	55 above as though set forth in full herein.
3	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Reporting Controlled Substance Prescriptions to CURES)
5	62. Respondent Desai is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
6	subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d).
7	Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 33 through 55 above as though set forth in full
8	herein.
9	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Variation From Prescriptions)
11	63. Respondent Desai is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
12	subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, in
13	conjunction with Code section 4073. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 33 through 55
14	above as though set forth in full herein.
15	<u>PRAYER</u>
16	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
17	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
18	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 36027, issued to Shri Sai R
19	& R Corp dba Village Road Pharmacy, Dhansukhlal B. Desai, PIC and President;
20	2. Prohibiting Dhansukhlal B. Desai from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,
21	member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit
22	Number PHY 36027, issued to Shri Sai R & R Corp dba Village Road Pharmacy, Dhansukhlal B.
23	Desai, PIC and President is placed on probation;
24	3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 32783, issued to
25	Dhansukhlal B. Desai;
26	4. Prohibiting Dhansukhlal B. Desai from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,
27	member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License
28	Number RPH 32783, issued to Dhansukhlal B. Desai is placed on probation;
J	25

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

SHRI SAI R & R CORP DBA VILLAGE ROAD PHARMACY, DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI, PIC AND PRESIDENT 5412 Village Road Long Veach, CA 90808

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 36027,

and

DHANSUKHLAL B. DESAI 5412 Village Road Long Beach, CA 90808

Pharmacist License No. RPH 32783

Respondents.

Case No. 6697

OAH No. 2020090405

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective	e on
It is so ORDERED.	
- 1	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS