BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

MIRACLE CARE INC. dba THE MEDICINE SHOPPE, ARTHUR KARAGEZYAN, CEO/PRES/SEC LAURENCE K. GOLDEN, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE,

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652;

and

LAURENCE K. GOLDEN,

Pharmacist License No. RPH 30157,

Respondents

Agency Case No. 6669

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 18, 2020.

It is so ORDERED on May 19, 2020.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ay n Lippe

Ву

Greg Lippe Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California							
2	Armando Zambrano							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELYSE M. DAVIDSON Deputy Attorney General							
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 285842							
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013							
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6273 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126							
7	Attorneys for Complainant							
8	BEFORE THE							
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
10	STATE OF CAI	LIFORNIA						
11	In the Motter of the Acquestion Against	Case No. 6669						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:							
13	MIRACLE CARE INC. dba THE MEDICINE SHOPPE	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER						
14	ARTHUR KARAGEZYAN, CEO/PRES/SEC LAURENCE K. GOLDEN, PHARMACIST-	(AS TO RESPONDENT MIRACLE						
15	IN-CHARGE 19225 Ventura Blvd Tarzana, CA 91356	CARE INC. DBA THE MEDICINE SHOPPE, ARTHUR KARAGEZYAN, CEO/PRES/SEC)						
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652							
17	And							
18	LAURENCE K. GOLDEN							
19	1821 Shenandoah Street Los Angeles, CA 90035							
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 30157							
21	Respondents.							
22								
23								
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREE	D by and between the parties to the above-						
25	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:							
26	<u>PARTIES</u>							
27	1. Anne Sodergren ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy							
28	("Board"). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter							
	1							

by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Elyse M. Davidson, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC ("Respondent Pharmacy" or "Respondent Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC") is represented in this proceeding by attorney Gary Wittenberg, Baranov & Wittenberg, LLP, 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1750, Los Angeles, California 90067 and Steven M. Goldsobel, Esq., Law Offices of Steven M. Goldsobel, 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1750, Los Angeles, CA 90067.
- 3. On or about January 16, 2014, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652¹ to Respondent Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6669 and will expire on January 1, 2021, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 6669 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent Pharmacy. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent Pharmacy on November 25, 2019. Respondent Pharmacy timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 6669 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent Pharmacy has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6669. Respondent Pharmacy also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent Pharmacy is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf;

¹ The caption of the Accusation inadvertently listed the Pharmacy Permit No. as "PHY 51652 62072" which is incorrect. The caption of this Stipulation is edited to reflect the correct pharmacy permit number by removing "62072."

the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent Pharmacy voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent Pharmacy understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6669, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon its Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent Pharmacy agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent Pharmacy hereby gives up its right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent Pharmacy understands that by signing this stipulation Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of their Pharmacy Permit without further process.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent Pharmacy understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent Pharmacy or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Pharmacy understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

///

- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652, issued to Respondent Pharmacy Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC, is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

- 1. Respondent Pharmacy surrenders Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652 number as of the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall relinquish the premises wall license and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this decision.
- 2. The surrender of respondent's license and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against respondent. This decision constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of respondent's license history with the board.
- 3. Respondent Pharmacy shall, within ten (10) days of the effective date, arrange for the destruction of, the transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed and approved by the board of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices. Respondent shall further arrange for the transfer of all records of acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs to premises licensed and approved by the board. Respondent shall further provide written proof of

such disposition and submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines.

- 4. Respondent Pharmacy may only seek a new or reinstated license from the board by way of a new application for licensure. Respondent Pharmacy shall not be eligible to petition for reinstatement of licensure.
- 5. Respondent Pharmacy may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent Pharmacy stipulates that should he apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in the Accusation No. 6669 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent Pharmacy when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent Pharmacy shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board. Respondent Pharmacy is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent Pharmacy shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent Pharmacy shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.
- 7. Respondent Pharmacy further stipulates that should he apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision the investigation and prosecution costs in the amount of \$39,821.44 shall be paid to the board prior to issuance of the new license.

27 | ///

28 | /

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorneys. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	3/23/20		 24	
	1	. /		MIRACLÉ CARE INC. DBA THE MEDICINE SHOPPE, ARTHUR

KARAGEZYAN, CEO/PRES/SEC Respondent Pharmacy

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 3/23/20

GARY WITTENBERG

Attorney for Respondent Pharmacy

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 3/24/2020

STEVEN M. GOLDSOBEL
Attorney for Respondent Pharmacy

27 ///

28 ///

Ī					
1	ENDORSEMENT				
2	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted				
3	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.				
4	DATED: Respectfully submitted,				
5	XAVIER BECERRA				
6	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO				
7	Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
8	Elyse Davidson				
9	ELYSE M. DAVIDSON Deputy Attorney General				
10	Attorneys for Complainant				
11					
12	LA2019500732				
13	54121252.docx 03202020				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
2627					
28					
20					
	7				

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6669

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General							
2								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN W. BELL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192063							
4								
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255							
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7511 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Kevin.Bell@doj.ca.gov							
7								
8	Attorneys for Complainant							
9	BEFORE THE							
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6669						
13	MIRACLE CARE INC. dba THE MEDICINE SHOPPE	ACCUSATION						
14	AUTHUR KARAGEZYAN, CEO/PRES/SEC LAWRENCE K. GOLDEN, PHARMACIST-							
15	IN-CHARGE 19225 Ventura Blvd.							
16	Tarzana, CA 91356							
17	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51652 62072							
18	And							
19	LAWRENCE K. GOLDEN 1821 Shenandoah St.							
20	Los Angeles, CA 90035							
21	Pharmacist License No. RPH 30157							
22	Respondents.							
23								
24	<u>PARTIES</u>							
25	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Accusation in her official capacity as the							
26	Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).							
27	2. On or about January 16, 2014, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY							
28	51652 to Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shop	pe, Arthur Karagezyan, CEO/PRES/SEC						
	1							

director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license"... may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee. ..."
 - 13. California Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) states:
- "A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of

controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

- 14. California Health and Safety Code section 11162.1 states in pertinent part:
- "(a) The prescription forms for controlled substances shall be printed with the following features:
- (10) Check boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills ordered. "
- 15. California Health and Safety Code section 11164 states in pertinent part:
- "Except as provided in section 11167, no person shall prescribe a controlled substance, nor shall any person fill, compound, or dispense a prescription for a controlled substance, unless it complies with the requirements of this section.

cA. Mr. "Goar" alleged The Medicine Shoppe's operations were illegal and possibly criminal, along with the following allegations. The Medicine Shoppe would accept suspicious prescriptions for oxycodone, and would fill the prescriptions and sell to drug addicts for large sums of money. The two owners, "Arthur and Pogos," would advise customers what medication(s) the customer would need to request from the doctor. Many times the pharmacy would process and bill for medications, but not deliver the medication to the patient, and forge signatures and resell to a wholesaler. Part of the medication the pharmacy would put back in stock without reversal. Lastly, the Pharmacist, "Larry," did not check the medications before the medications were dispensed.

- 41. On or about 8/26/15, based on the anonymous complaint, Board investigator MK requested a CURES¹ report on The Medicine Shoppe from 1/16/14 until 8/26/15. Within this timeframe, The Medicine Shoppe filled a total of 334 prescriptions for oxycodone, 330 of which were for 30mg, one of the higher strengths of oxycodone usually used for opioid tolerant patients. Out of 330 prescriptions for oxycodone 30mg, 307 prescriptions were prescribed by the same prescriber, Dr. Edward Svadjian. Based on the CURES report, investigator MK noted the following irregularities and red flags regarding the oxycodone prescriptions:
 - Most of the patients were of the same ethnicity and all were elderly.
 - Several patients residing at different addresses received oxycodone 30mg prescriptions on the same day. Those prescriptions were typed in sequential order, and were prescribed by the same doctor, Dr. Edward Svadjian, with the same instructions for each patient.
 - The oxycodone prescriptions were the higher strength of 30mg; and were dispensed for large quantities, mostly 120 tablets.
 - Dr. Edward Svadjian was located in North Hollywood and/or Altadena, California, while the patients resided in other cities, including Los Angeles, Glendale, Northridge, Van Nuys, North Hollywood, Tujunga and Panorama City.

¹ The California Controlled Substance Utilization and Review and Evaluation System (CURES) database contains information about controlled substance prescriptions filled in California, as reported by pharmacies. Through CURES a patient activity report (PAR) can be generated, which would show all the reported schedule II-IV prescriptions filled by an individual patient and the location of the fill.

9/2/15 Inspection

- 42. On or about 9/2/15 Inspector MK and other personnel from the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) went to The Medicine Shoppe located at 19225 Ventura Blvd, Tarzana CA to conduct an inspection. Present at the pharmacy during the inspection were Respondents Golden (the Pharmacist-in-charge) and Karagezyan, who arrived towards the later part of the inspection.
- 43. During the inspection, Inspector MK observed two red totes from a wholesaler, Cardinal Health, located in the pharmacy dispensing area. Inside the totes inspectors found white bags full of prescription medications vials, all labeled with prescription medication labels from The Medicine Shoppe. Some prescription medication vials were for the same patient(s), filled with the same medication, but with a different fill dates. Also, some of the prescription medication vials found were controlled substances. The prescription medications found inside the tote bags included the following: Diazepam, Temazepam, Zolpidem, Nitroglycerine, Lorazepam, Phenobarbital, Alprazolam, and Nifidipine.
- 44. Inspector MK asked Respondent Golden about the prescription medication vials found in the totes. Respondent Golden stated he assumed they were "returns" from customers, which the pharmacy was waiting to send for destruction. Inspector MK asked Respondent Golden how and why the prescription medication vials were inside the red totes and he stated he had "no idea." Respondent Golden looked at the controlled substance prescription vials and stated it seemed like the medication(s) inside the vials were not even used. Respondent Golden was then informed that that the pharmacy may not take back any medications, specifically controlled medications.
 - 45. During the inspection, investigators found the following on the active drug shelf:
 - a) an expired overfilled sample manufacturing bottle of Benicar HCT (20mg/12.5mg),
 which stated "professional sample not for sale;"
 - b) an overfilled manufacturing bottle of Clotrimazole troche USP 10mg;
 - c) an overfilled manufacturing bottle of Carbamazepine tablets USP 100mg;
 - d) an overfilled manufacturing bottle of Brilinta 90mg;
 - e) an overfilled manufacturing bottle of Risperidone 0.25 mg; and

- f) one prescription medication vial, which was not properly labeled.
- 46. During the inspection, Respondent Golden opened a cabinet drawer in Respondent Karagezyan's office which contained several prescription medication vials and spontaneously exclaimed "Oops! I shouldn't have opened that drawer!" Some of the vials of prescription drugs located inside the cabinet drawer were labeled and some were not. Brand name manufacturing bottles/boxes were also found inside a brown bag in the cabinet drawer, and prescription medication vials were found labeled with prescription labels from The Medicine Shoppe. One prescription medication vial was found containing Atorvastin for patient UB, and was labeled with a prescription label from Sunset Center Pharmacy. Inspector MK asked Respondent Karagezyan why those drugs were in his cabinet drawer and he stated he had just come back from a funeral and was not in the right state of mind to answer any questions. Inspector MK asked Respondent Karagezyan who had access to his office, and he replied that he was the only person who had access to his office.
- 47. The Medicine Shoppe's controlled substance schedule II prescriptions were reviewed. The majority of the Schedule II prescriptions were written for oxycodone 30mg, with large quantities of 120 tablets. Almost all of the oxycodone prescriptions were written for patients of apparent Armenian descent and were prescribed by Dr. Edward Svadjian.
- 48. The inspection by DHCS personnel included an invoice reconciliation review, which consisted of a sample invoice reconciliation of 32 drugs for the audit period 1/17/14 through 6/30/15. In the course of the review, DHCS personnel identified a total Medi-Cal recovery amount of \$218,730.69 due to an invoice shortage and for Medi-Cal overpayment (which was later adjusted down to a total of \$206,800.85 as part of a settlement with Respondents).²

<u>9/9/15 Inspection</u>

49. On or about 9/9/2015, Board Inspectors MK and KRP returned to The Medicine Shoppe to conduct a second inspection. Present at the Medicine Shoppe were Respondents Golden and Karagezyan.

² The Medicine Shoppe's National Provider Number (NPI #1710992367) was thereafter suspended due to the amount owed.

- 50. A black trash bag was found in the back of the pharmacy containing 25 boxes of Nuvaring. When asked, Respondent Golden stated he was not aware of the Nuvaring in the black bag. The inspectors noted that the Nuvaring manufacturing medication boxes were stickered with the Cardinal Health stickers, indicating the medication was received from Cardinal Health. Respondent Golden was directed to send the Nuvaring back for destruction through a Board certified reverse distributor within 14 days.
- 51. Upon request, Respondent Golden provided the original controlled substance prescriptions listed under a number of patient profiles from 1/1/14 until 9/9/15. Inspector MK reviewed 165 oxycodone prescriptions, and noted that all of the Oxycodone prescriptions were written by Dr. Svadjian, and some were written on the same day and in sequential order for different patients. All of the oxycodone prescriptions were written for the 30mg strength, quantity of 120, with directions of 2 tab twice daily. The prescription forms did not contain check boxes to indicate the number of refills ordered.
- 52. On or about 9/15/15, Respondent Golden provided a written statement dated 9/11/15 in response to issues raised as the result the inspections. Respondent Golden stated that he had no knowledge of the following: prescription vials found in Respondent Karagezyan's cabinet drawer; the returned prescription vials in the pharmacy; the Nuvaring found in the black bag; nor the prescription vial from Sunset Center Pharmacy. Respondent Golden stated that he was aware of the Benicar HCT sample found on the active drug shelf, as well as the bagged medications in the black bags found in the back containing prescriptions which were to be delivered to Santa Barbara Medical Group.
- 53. Inspector MK reviewed a series of patient questionnaires filled out by Respondent Golden. Based on the responses, Inspector MK noted the following:
 - Respondent Golden knew very little about each patient.
 - The majority of the patients lived outside the pharmacy's trading area of 5 miles.
 - Respondent Golden did not list a diagnosis for each patient.
 - Respondent Golden had not seen majority of the patients.
 - Medications were mainly delivered to the patients.

- The Pharmacy had access to CURES, but Respondent Golden had not used Prescription

 Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) to check patient activity on any of the patients.
- For the patient(s) who had their medication(s) delivered to them, Respondent Golden could not recall what type of consultation the patient(s) received and/or the consultation was provided via telephone.
- Respondent Golden could not recall if he had spoken to Dr. Edward Svadjian about the prescriptions, and/or yes, he had spoken to Dr. Svadjian.
- 54. Inspector MK reviewed the CURES report for Dr. Edward Svadjian from 1/1/14 to 2/23/18. She noted that during this period, Dr. Svadjian prescribed a total of 9,967 prescriptions for controlled substances (schedule II-IV). Out of 9,967, Dr. Svadjian prescribed a total of 2,169 prescriptions for oxycodone, which was the highest prescribed drug by this doctor. All of 2,169 prescriptions were prescribed for oxycodone 30mg, one of the higher strengths of oxycodone usually used for opioid tolerant patients.
- 55. On or about 2/12/18, Inspector MK called Dr. Edward Svadjian's office and was informed that Dr. Svadjian was deceased.
- 56. On or about 1/3/19, Inspector MK called the United States Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and was told that The Medicine Shoppe located at 19225 Ventura Blvd, Tarzana, CA was only registered with the DEA as a retail pharmacy and was not registered with the DEA as a collector to collect pharmaceutical controlled substances from ultimate users.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(FAILURE TO EXERCISE CORRESPONDING RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PROPER PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES)

57. Respondent's original pharmacy permit and original pharmacist license are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), California Health and Safety Code section 11153, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to violate state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, as follows: On and between January 1, 2014 and September 9, 2015, Respondents

18

21

23

24

25 26

27 28 failed to exercise their corresponding responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (b). Specifically, Respondent dispensed, or authorized or permitted the dispensing of, numerous prescriptions for controlled substances to numerous different patients, all of which had been issued by Dr. Edward Svadjian, when Respondent knew, or had objective reason to know, that the prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose as evidenced by several "red flags" or factors, including the following: multiple patients receiving the same drugs or combinations of drugs; most of the patients were of the same ethnicity and all were elderly; despite residing at different addresses several patients received prescriptions for the higher strength and quantity of oxycodone on the same day, and on prescriptions typed in sequential order; the prescriptions for the higher strength strength and quantity of oxycodone were prescribed by the same doctor, Dr. Edward Svadjian with the same instructions for each patient; the prescribing of large or excessive quantities and doses of highly abused controlled substances; and while Dr. Edward Svadjian was located in North Hollywood and/or Altadena, California, the patients he prescribed controlled substances to resided in other cities, including Los Angeles, Glendale, Northridge, Van Nuys, North Hollywood, Tujunga and Panorama City.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - ERRONEOUS OR UNCERTAIN PRESCRIPTIONS)

58. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and California Code of Regulations section 1761 in that Respondents dispensed irregular and/or uncertain prescriptions as set forth more fully in paragraphs 41, 47, 51, 53, and 54 above and incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - FILLING AND DISPENSING PRESCRIPTIONS FOR CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ON NON-COMPLIANT FORMS)

59. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and Health and Safety Code sections 11164 and 11162.1 in that Respondents filled prescriptions from non-

1	compliant prescription forms as set forth more fully in paragraph 51 above and incorporated			
2	herein by reference.			
3	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
4	(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - HOLD OR OFFER FOR SALE			
5	MISBRANDED DRUGS OR DEVICES)			
6	60. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and Health			
7	and Safety Code sections 111440 and 111395(c) in that Respondents held and offered for sale			
8	prescription manufacturing bottles which were overfilled and thus were misbranded a set forth			
9	more fully in paragraph 45 above and incorporated herein by reference.			
10	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
11	(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - HOLD OR OFFER FOR SALE ADULTERED DRUGS)			
12	61. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and Health			
13	and Safety Code sections 111255 and 111295, in that Respondents held or offered for sale			
14	adultered drugs as set forth more fully in paragraph 45 above and incorporated by herein			
15	reference.			
16	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
17	(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - UNAUTHORIZED POSSESSION OF RETURNED			
18	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES)			
19	62. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and Title			
20	21, Code of Federal Regulations sections 1317.30(a) and 1317.40(a) in that Respondents were in			
21	possession of controlled substance prescription medications, which were returned from			
22	customers, without being registered as an authorized collector as set forth more fully in			
23	paragraphs 43 -46 above and incorporated herein by reference.			
24	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
25	(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - FAILURE TO MAINTAIN			
26	OPERATIONAL STANDARDS AND SECURITY)			
27	63. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) and			
28	California Code of Regulations section 1714(b) and (d) and Business and Professions Code			
	15			

section 4113(c) in that Respondents failed to maintain operational standards and security of prescription drugs as set forth more fully in paragraphs 40 - 57 above and incorporated herein by reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - CASH COMPROMISE)

64. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(m) in that Respondents were subject to a cash compromise of \$206,800.85 for Medi-Cal reimbursement overpayments in violation of Chapter 7 of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code relating to the Medi-Cal program as set forth more fully in paragraph 48 above and incorporated herein by reference.

OTHER MATTERS

- 65. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51652 issued to Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, with Authur Karagazyan as the CEO/PRES/SEC and Lawrence K. Golden as the PIC, Miracle Care Inc. dba The Medicine Shoppe, with Authur Karagazyan as the CEO/PRES/SEC and Lawrence K. Golden as the PIC shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51652 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51652 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 66. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 30157 issued to Lawrence K. Golden, while Lawrence K. Golden has been an officer and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was disciplined, Lawrence K. Golden shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number PHY 30157 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License number PHY 30157 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: