1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY							
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 6459 OAH No. 2019040430							
12	KRIT CHOTO DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER							
13	Pharmacy Technician Registration							
14	Respondent. [Gov. Code, § 11520]							
15								
16	ENDINCS OF FACT							
17	FINDINGS OF FACT							
18	1. On or about March 18, 2019, Complainant Anne Sodergren, in her official capacity as							
19 20	the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed							
20	First Amended Statement of Issues No. 6459 against Krit Choto (Respondent) before the Board of							
21	Pharmacy.2. On or about November 6, 2017, Respondent filed an application dated October 31,							
22	 On or about November 6, 2017, Respondent filed an application dated October 31, 2017, with the Board of Pharmacy to obtain a Pharmacy Technician Registration. 							
23	3. On or about April 9, 2018, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's application							
24	for a Pharmacy Technician Registration. On or about May 11, 2018, Respondent appealed the							
25 26								
26	Board's denial of his application and requested a hearing.							
27	4. On or about April 4, 2019, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by							
28	Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the First Amended Statement of Issues No. 6459,							
	1 (KRIT CHOTO) DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (6459)							

1	Supplemental Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense forms, and Request for Discovery to					
2	Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 7617 Bluebell Ave., North					
3	Hollywood, CA 91605. A copy of the First Amended Statement of Issues is attached as exhibit A					
4	and is incorporated herein by reference.5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the					
5	5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the					
6	provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).					
7	6. On or about May 11, 2018, Respondent appealed the denial of his application and					
8	requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's					
9	address on the application and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was					
10	scheduled for August 14, 2019.					
11	7. The matter was called for hearing at the date, time and location set forth in the Notice					
12	of Hearing. The assigned Administrative Law Judge found that the service of the Notice of					
13	Hearing on Respondent was proper. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Respondent. A					
14	default was declared and on motion of counsel for Complainant, the matter was remanded to the					
15	Board under Government Code section 11520.					
16	8. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part:					
17						
18	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all					
19	parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its					
20	discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.					
21						
22	9. California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:					
23	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express					
24	admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent					
25						
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
	2					
	(KRIT CHOTO) DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (6459)					

1	10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds					
2	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the					
3	allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to					
4	issuance of a license.					
5	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES					
6	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Krit Choto has subjected his					
7	application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.					
8	2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 6459 and related documents was proper and in					
9	accordance with the law.					
10	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.					
11	4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure					
12	based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:					
13	a. Conviction of a substantially related crime. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd.					
14	(a)(1); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1770.)					
15	b. Acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd.					
16	(a)(2).)					
17	c. Knowingly making a false statement of fact on an application for licensure.					
18	(Bus. & Prof. Code, § 480, subd. (d).)					
19	///					
20	///					
21	///					
22	///					
23	///					
24	///					
25	///					
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
	3					
	(KRIT CHOTO) DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (6459)					

1	ORDER						
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Krit Choto is hereby denied.						
3	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a						
4	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within						
5	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may						
6	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.						
7							
8	This Decision shall become effective on _October 10, 2019 at 5:00 p.m.						
9	It is so ORDERED on September 10, 2019.						
10	By my 2 Lippe						
11							
12	Greg Lippe Board Vice President (Acting President)						
13	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
15	DOJ docket number: LA2018601415 53655171.DOCX						
16							
17	Attachments:						
18	Exhibit A: First Amended Statement of Issues No. 6459						
19	Exhibit B: Office of Administrative Hearings Findings and Declaration of Default; Order of						
20	Remand						
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	4 (KRIT CHOTO) DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (6459)						

Exhibit A

First Amended Statement of Issues No. 6459

	EXHIBIT						
	1 OAH No. 20190404						
	Xavier Becerra						
	Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK						
1	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MATTHEW A. KING						
	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 265691						
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702						
	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6303 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804						
	E-mail: Matthew.King@doj.ca.gov						
	Attorneys for Complainant						
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
-							
	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 6459 Against:						
	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF						
	KRIT CHOTO ISSUES						
	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant						
	Respondent.						
-							
	Complainant alleges:						
	PARTIES						
	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official						
	capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer						
	Affairs.						
	2. On or about November 6, 2017, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) received an						
	application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Krit Choto (Respondent). On or about						
	October 31, 2017, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all						
	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on						
	April 9, 2018.						
	///						
	///						
	1						
	I In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: KRIT CHOTO (Case No. 6459)						

AGO 0001

COMPLAINANT'S

	JURISDICTION	
	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherw	is
,	indicated.	
	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
	4. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:	
	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:	
	(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.	
	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.	
	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.	
	(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.	
	(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.	
	(d) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact that is required to be revealed in the application for the license.	
	2	

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: KRIT CHOTO (Case No. 6459) ,

5. Code section 493 states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

•

6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

7. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision 17 (a)(1), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been 18 19 convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy 20 technician. Specifically, on January 29, 2015, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of carrying a concealed weapon in a vehicle without a permit, in violation of Penal Code 21 section 25400, subdivision (a)(1), in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of 22 California v. Krit Choto (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2015, No. 4CA18188). The court sentenced 23 Respondent to serve two days in jail and placed him on 36 months' probation with terms and 24 25 conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 14. 26 2014, during a traffic enforcement stop by the Los Angeles Police Department, an officer 27 observed a backpack on the front passenger seat. Inside the backpack, the officer saw a brown leather case which contained an unloaded Gertenberger .22 caliber short revolver. The brown 28

3

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: KRIT CHOTO (Case No. 6459)

leather case also contained 17 Remington .22 caliber bullets, one Super X .22 caliber bullet, one "U" .22 caliber bullet, and one Super X .22 caliber simulation round. Respondent admitted that he was neither a police officer nor possessed a concealed carry permit for a firearm.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision
(a)(2), in that on or about November 2, 2017, Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty,
fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, by providing conflicting and
untrue statements to the Board in his written explanation regarding the circumstances surrounding
his December 14, 2014 arrest for possession of a concealed weapon in a vehicle without a permit.
Complainant realleges paragraph 7.

12

13

1

Ż

3

4

5

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made A False Statement of Fact on Application for Licensure)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (d), 14 in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact on his application for licensure by 15 providing conflicting and untrue statements to the Board in his explanation regarding the 16 circumstances surrounding his December 14, 2014 arrest for possession of a concealed weapon in 17 a vehicle without a permit. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the 18 allegations set forth above in paragraphs 7 and 8, inclusive, as though set forth fully. In addition, 19 Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the 20 21 foregoing was true and correct on his application for licensure.

- 22 ///
- 23 || ///

///

25 ///

24

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: KRIT CHOTO (Case No. 6459)

4

PRAYER								
WH	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,							
and that fo	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:							
1.	1. Denying the application of Krit Choto for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; and							
2.								
				C				
DATED:	March 18, 2019		Anse	Jode	rgren			
			ANNE SODE Interim Execu Board of Phar Department of	tive Officer macy	Affairs	· · · · ·		
	•		State of Califo Complainant	ornia				
				14 1		е		
					•			
			÷.					
	•							
LA2018601	415							
			5					

AGO 0005