ĺ			
1			
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6464	
13 14 15	R. BURNS PHARMACY, INC. DBA ROBERT BURNS BEVERLY HILLS MEDICAL TOWER PHARMACY, BRUCE ISENBERG, DONNA ISENBERG 1125 S. Beverly Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90035	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER [Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Permit No. PHY 39451,		
17 18	Respondent.		
19			
20			
21 22	EINDINGS	OF EACT	
23	FINDINGS OF FACT 1. On or about December 24, 2020, Complainent Appa Sodergrap, in her official		
24	1. On or about December 24, 2020, Complainant Anne Sodergren, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
25	filed Accusation No. 6464 against R. Burns Pharmacy, Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills		
26	Medical Tower Pharmacy, Bruce Isenberg, Donna Isenberg (Respondent) before the Board of		
27	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
28		1	
	I	-	

- 2. On or about October 4, 1993, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39451 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6464 and expired on June 3, 2019. The permit has not been renewed, and was instead cancelled. This expiration and cancellation in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 118(b) and 4300.1 does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.
- 3. On or about January 8, 2021, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 6464, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 1125 S. Beverly Dr., Los Angeles, CA 90035.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. The Board takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 6464.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,

as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 6464, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6464, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. The Board finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$28,451.50 as of March 18, 2021.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent R. Burns Pharmacy, Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills Medical Tower Pharmacy, Bruce Isenberg, Donna Isenberg has subjected its Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39451 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Permit based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715, subsection (a) by not completing a self-assessment of pharmacy's compliant with federal and state laws;
- b. Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.2, subsection (k) by not completing a self-assessment for compounding pharmacies;
- c. Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711, by not maintaining a quality assurance policy for the pharmacy;
- d. Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 4306.5 by failing to exercise best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices;

ORDER 1 2 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39451, issued to Respondent R. Burns Pharmacy, Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills Medical Tower Pharmacy, Bruce Isenberg, 3 4 Donna Isenberg, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 5 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 6 7 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 8 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 26, 2021. 9 It is so ORDERED on April 26, 2021. 10 11 12 Greg Lippe 13 **Board President** FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY 14 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 15 64065594.DOCX DOJ Matter ID:LA2018601666 16 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Xavier Becerra			
2	Attorney General of California			
	MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	MORGAN MALEK Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 223382 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6278			
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFOR BOARD OF P			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA		
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6464		
	R. BURNS PHARMACY, INC. DBA			
13	ROBERT BURNS BEVERLY HILLS MEDICAL TOWER PHARMACY; ACCUSATION			
14	1125 S. Beverly Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90035			
15	Permit No. PHY 39451,			
16				
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	PART	TIES .		
20	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	y, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about October 4, 1993, the Boa	rd of Pharmacy issued Permit Number PHY		
23	39451 (Permit) to R. Burns Pharmacy, Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills Medical Tower			
24	Pharmacy (Respondent), with Bruce Isenberg as President, and Donna Isenberg as Secretary.			
25	The Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and			
26	expired on June 3, 2019. The permit has not been renewed, and was instead cancelled.			
27				
28	¹ Bruce Isenberg was also a licensed pharr and Respondent's Pharmacist-In-Charge (PIC). A	nacist (Licensed Pharmacist License No. 22820)		
	and recopolision of narmacist in Charge (110). It	1		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	7. Code section 4021 provides, in pertinent part, that a "controlled substance" means		
2	any substance listed in Schedules I through V contained in Health and Safety Code section 11053		
3	et seq.		
4	8. Code section 4022 provides:		
5	Dangerous drug or dangerous device means any drug or device unsafe for		
6	self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:		
7	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription, Rx only, or words of similar import.		
8	(b) Any device that bears the statement: Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a, Rx only, or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.		
9			
10	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully		
11	dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.		
12	9. Code section 4059 provides, in pertinent part:		
13	(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor		
1415	pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.		
16			
17	10. Code section 4067, provides, in pertinent part:		
18	(a) No person or entity shall dispense or furnish, or cause to be dispensed or		
19	furnished, dangerous drugs or dangerous devices, as defined in Section 4022, on the Internet for delivery to any person in this state without a prescription issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination of a human or animal for whom the prescription is meant if the person or entity either knew or reasonably should have known that the prescription was not issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination of a human or animal, or if the person or entity did not act in accordance with Section 1761 of Title		
20			
21			
22	16 of the California Code of Regulations.		
23	11. Code section 4105 provides, in pertinent part:		
24	11. Code section 4103 provides, in pertinent part.		
25			
26	(d)(1) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on		
27	duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed		
28	premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records		

1 2	50-74 75-100 101-150 151 and over.	
3	(B) In conjunction with the quantity boxes, a space shall be provided to designate	
4	the units referenced in the quantity boxes when the drug is not in tablet or capsule form.	
5	(8) Prescription blanks shall contain a statement printed on the bottom of the prescription blank that the "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted."	
6	(9) The preprinted name, category of licensure, license number, federal controlled	
7	substance registration number, and address of the prescribing practitioner.	
8 9	(10) Check boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills ordered.	
	(11) The date of origin of the prescription.	
10	(12) A check box indicating the prescriber's order not to substitute.	
11	(13) An identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the	
12	Department of Justice.	
13	(14)(A) A check box by the name of each prescriber when a prescription form lists multiple prescribers.	
14		
15	(B) Each prescriber who signs the prescription form shall identify himself or herself as the prescriber by checking the box by his or her name.	
16		
17	17. Health and Safety Code section 11164 provides:	
18	Except as provided in Section 11167, no person shall prescribe a controlled	
19	substance, nor shall any person fill, compound, or dispense a prescription for a controlled substance, unless it complies with the requirements of this section.	
20	(a) Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV,	
21	or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1 and shall meet the following	
22	requirements:	
23	(1) The prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber in ink and shall contain the prescriber's address and telephone number; the name of the ultimate user or	
24	research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; refill information, such as the	
25	number of refills ordered and whether the prescription is a first-time request or a refill; and the name, quantity, strength, and directions for use of the controlled substance	
26	prescribed.	
27	(2) The prescription shall also contain the address of the person for whom the controlled substance is prescribed. If the prescriber does not specify this address on the prescription, the pharmacist filling the prescription or an employee acting under the	
28	direction of the pharmacist shall write or type the address on the prescription or	

and state laws.

- 30. Also during this inspection, Mr. Isenberg admitted that he did not have a quality assurance policy for the Pharmacy.
- 31. Also during this inspection, Inspector V.S. reviewed Pharmacy records and pulled nine prescriptions that had recently been filled by Respondent. Further investigation confirmed that the prescriptions bearing the following prescription numbers had been dispensed by Respondent without a prescriber's authorization: 793712, 793669, 793676, 793706, 793666 and 793673.

B. April 11, 2018 Inspection

- 32. On or about April 11, 2018, Board Inspector N.R. conducted a further inspection of the Pharmacy. Mr. Isenberg was again present, and assisted with the inspection.
- 33. This inspection and subsequent investigation revealed that Respondent lost the electronic records of prescriptions filled from October 12, 2016 to May 16, 2017, and any prescriptions filled during this period were missing from the Pharmacy's electronic patient medication histories.
- 34. This inspection and subsequent investigation also revealed that for the period of April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018, but excluding the period from October 12, 2016 to May 16, 2017, the Pharmacy's CURES report was missing approximately 799 prescriptions for Schedule II-IV controlled substances that appeared in the Pharmacy's dispensing history. Additionally, on September 15, 2016, Respondent reported 628 prescriptions to the CURES database that were filled more than seven days earlier from the date of reporting.
- 35. The inspection and subsequent investigation also revealed that Respondent dispensed 141 prescriptions for controlled substances that were written on 102 forms that lacked a lot number and a California Security Prescription watermark.
- 36. The inspection and subsequent investigation also revealed that between March 30, 2018 and April 10, 2018, Respondent furnished at least 53 orders of dangerous drugs to owners of cats without obtaining a legitimate prescription. Twenty-two of the sales had no veterinarian's name associated with the invoice, and were not entered into the Pharmacy's dispensing software.

The veterinarians allegedly associated with the remainder of the prescriptions denied writing a prescription for 31 of the orders.

- 37. The inspection and subsequent investigation also revealed that from April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018, Respondent dispensed at least 555 prescriptions under the prescribing authority of doctors J.B., A.A. and R.Q. despite the presence of significant factors of irregularity. Objective factors indicating the prescriptions in question were not written for legitimate purposes included:
 - a. All of Dr. J.B.'s prescriptions, 76% of Dr. A.A.'s prescriptions, and 97% of Dr.
 R.Q.'s prescriptions were written for controlled substances;
 - All but one of Dr. J.B.'s prescriptions was for either oxycodone 30 mg or alprazolam 2 mg;
 - c. Approximately 77% of Dr. A.A.'s prescriptions were written for oxycodone 30 mg;
 - d. All but one of Dr. R.Q.'s prescriptions were written for oxycodone 30 mg;
 - e. Dr. J.B.'s prescriptions included 230 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg, 1
 prescription for oxycodone 20 mg, and no prescriptions for any lower strength.
 Dr. J.B.'s prescriptions also included 162 prescriptions for alprazolam 2 mg and no prescriptions for any lower strength;
 - f. Dr. A.A.'s prescribing history included 99 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and no prescriptions for any lower strength;
 - g. Dr. R.Q.'s prescribing profile contained 32 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and no prescriptions for any lower strength;
 - h. All 11 patients who received prescriptions from Dr. J.B. during the period from April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018 received multiple prescriptions for both oxycodone 30 mg and alprazolam 2 mg tablets. Most of these prescriptions had the same quantity and directions.
 - All seven patients who received prescriptions from Dr. A.A. during the period from April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018 received at least one prescription for oxycodone 30 mg, 120 tablets.

38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated CCR, title 16, section 1715, subsection (a). As set forth in paragraphs 29-30, incorporated herein, on October 24, 2017, PIC Isenberg admitted that he had not completed a self-assessment of the Pharmacy's compliance with federal and state laws. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Compounding Self-Assessment Requirements)

39. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated CCR, title 16, section 1735.2, subsection (k). As set forth in paragraphs 29-30, incorporated herein, on October 24, 2017, PIC Isenberg admitted that he had not completed a self-assessment for compounding pharmacies for the Pharmacy. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Quality Assurance Policy)

40. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated CCR, title 16, section 1711. As set forth in paragraphs 29 and 31, incorporated herein, on October 24, 2017, PIC Isenberg admitted that he did not maintain a quality assurance policy for the Pharmacy. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exercise Best Professional Judgment)

41. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Code section 4306.5. As set forth in paragraphs 29 through 32, incorporated herein, PIC Isenberg admitted that he had not completed either a self-assessment of the Pharmacy's compliance with federal and state laws, or a self-assessment for compounding

pharmacies for the Pharmacy. He also admitted he did not maintain a quality assurance policy for the Pharmacy. Finally, Respondent dispensed prescriptions 793712, 793669, 793676, 793706, 793666, and 793673 without an authorization from a prescribing physician. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Furnishing Without Prescription)

42. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f) and (g), and Code section 4059, subdivision (a). As set forth in paragraphs 29 and 32, incorporated herein, Respondent dispensed prescriptions 793712, 793669, 793676, 793706, 793666, and 793673 without an authorization from a prescribing physician. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Retain Records of Dangerous Drugs and Patient Medication History)

43. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Code section 4105, subdivision (d)(1). As set forth in paragraphs 33 and 34, incorporated herein, Respondent lost the electronic records of prescriptions filled from October 12, 2016 to May 16, 2017, and any prescriptions filled during this period were missing from the Pharmacy's electronic patient medication histories. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with CURES Requirements)

44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d). As set forth in paragraphs 33 and 35, incorporated herein, for the period of April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018, but excluding the period from October 12, 2016 to May 16, 2017, the Pharmacy's CURES report

was missing approximately 799 prescriptions for Schedule II-IV controlled substances that appeared in the Pharmacy's dispensing history. Additionally, on September 15, 2016, Respondent reported 628 prescriptions to the CURES database that were filled more than seven days earlier from the date of reporting. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Requirements for Controlled Substance Prescriptions)

45. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11164. As set forth in paragraphs 33 and 36, incorporated herein, Respondent dispensed 141 prescriptions for controlled substances that were written on 102 forms that lacked a lot number and a California Security Prescription watermark. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Corresponding Responsibility)

46. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11153. As set forth in paragraphs 33 and 38, including all subparagraphs, incorporated herein, from April 13, 2015 to April 11, 2018, Respondent dispensed at least 555 prescriptions under the prescribing authority of doctors J.B., A.A. and R.Q. despite the presence of significant factors of irregularity. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Furnishing Dangerous Drugs without a Prescription)

47. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4306.5, subdivision (b), and 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent violated Code section 4059, subdivision (a). As set forth in paragraphs 33 and 37, incorporated herein, between March 30, 2018 and April 10, 2018, Respondent furnished at least 53 orders of dangerous drugs to owners of cats without

1	obtaining a legitimate prescription. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the		
2	allegations set forth above in paragraphs 28 through 37, inclusive, as though set forth fully.		
3	<u>PRAYER</u>		
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
6	1. Revoking or suspending Permit Number PHY 39451, issued to R. Burns Pharmacy,		
7	Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills Medical Tower Pharmacy;		
8	2. Ordering R. Burns Pharmacy, Inc. dba Robert Burns Beverly Hills Medical Tower		
9	Pharmacy to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
10	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,		
11	3. Taking such other and furth	her action as deemed necessary and proper.	
12			
13	DATED:	Signature on File	
14		ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer	
15		Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
16		State of California Complainant	
17			
18	LA2018601666		
19	14318206.docx		
2021			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		17	