	RETHE
DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
	7
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6295
TRILOGY PHARMACY INC.; ANDREW JOSEPH BAUMILLER, SEC./TREAS./CFO; JEFFREY EUGENE FULLER, CEO/PRESIDENT	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
2603 Oak Lawn Blvd., Ste. 101 Dallas, TX 75219	[Gov. Code, §11520]
Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit License No. 1740	
Respondent.	
FINDINGS	S OF FACT
1. On or about April 16, 2018, Complai	nant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as
the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	(Board), filed Accusation No. 6295 against
Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc. (Respondent) before the	Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
2. On or about April 20, 2016, the Boar	d issued Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit No.
NRP 1740 to Respondent. The Non-Resident Ph	armacy Permit expired on October 26, 2017, and
has not been renewed. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions	
Code (Code) section 4300.1 does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue	
this disciplinary proceeding.	
	1
(TRILOGY PHARMAC	Y, INC.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 62

1	3. On or about April 30, 2018, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail			
2	copies of the Accusation No. 6295, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for			
3	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at			
4	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Code section 4100, is required to be reported			
5	and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:			
6	2603 Oak Lawn Blvd., Ste. 101 Dallas, TX 75219.			
7 8	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of			
	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Code section 124			
9				
10	5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:			
11	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all			
12	parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its			
13	discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
14	6. The Board takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to			
15	file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon it of the Accusation, and therefore			
16	waived its right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 6295.			
17	7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:			
18	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express			
19	admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent			
20				
21	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds			
22	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the			
23	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as			
24	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on			
25	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 6295, finds that			
26	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6295, are separately and severally, found to be true			
27	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.			
28				
	2 (TDU OCN DUADMACY, INC.) DEFAULT DECISION & ODDED Corr No. (204			
	(TRILOGY PHARMACY, INC.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 6295			

1	9. The Board finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$2,767.50		
2	as of May 23, 2018.		
3	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES		
4	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc. has		
5	subjected its Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit No. NRP 1740 to discipline.		
6	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.		
7	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Non-Resident		
8	Pharmacy Permit based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are		
9	supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:		
10	4. Respondent is in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (<i>l</i>), and 4302 on the		
11	grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent knowingly conspired with a marketing		
12	company to execute an insurance fraud scheme for its own financial benefit.		
13	5. Respondent is in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (f), and 4302, in that		
14	Respondent committed acts of dishonesty, fraud or deceit by admittedly defrauding TRICARE, a		
15	federal health care program of the Department of Defense military health system, of more than		
16	fifty-one million dollars (\$51,000,000).		
17	6. Respondent is in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (<i>l</i>) and (o), and 4302, in		
18	conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of		
19	unprofessional conduct, in that Andrew Joseph Baumiller, a member of Respondent, plead guilty		
20	to a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee.		
21	7. Respondent is in violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (g), and 4302, in that		
22	Respondent knowingly made and signed documents that falsely represented the existence or		
23	nonexistence of a state of facts.		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		
	3 (TRILOGY PHARMACY, INC.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 6295		

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit No. NRP 1740, heretofore issued
3	to Respondent Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc., is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 2, 2018.
9	It is so ORDERED on July 3, 2018.
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	
14	1 million
15	By
16	Victor Law, R.Ph. Board President
17	
18	13093024.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2018100027
19	Attachment:
20	Exhibit A: Accusation
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4
	4 (TRILOGY PHARMACY, INC.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 6295

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General SETH A. CURTIS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 236263 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6121 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant	
8 9	BEFORE BOARD OF PI DEPARTMENT OF CO	HARMACY NSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6295
12	TRILOGY PHARMACY INC.; ANDREW JOSEPH BAUMILLER,	
13		ACCUSATION
14	CEO/PRESIDENT	
15	2603 Oak Lawn Blvd., Ste. 101 Dallas, TX 75219	
16 17	Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit License No. 1740	
• 18	Respondent.	
19		
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PART	<u>ES</u>
22	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
23	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	(Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about April 20, 2016, the Board	issued Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit
25	Number NRP 1740 to Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc. (Res	pondent), with Andrew Joseph Baumiller as
26	Secretary/Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer and Je	ffrey Eugene Fuller as Chief Executive Officer
27	and President. The Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit	expired on April 1, 2017, and was canceled on
28	October 26, 2017.	
	1	

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
3	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
4	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be
5	suspended or revoked."
6	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
7	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
8	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not
9	deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or
10	revoking the license.
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
12	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:
13	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
14	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
15	•••
16	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
17	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
18	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely
19	represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
20	() The conviction of a grine substantially substantial to the sublicity of a grine substantial to the
21	(<i>l</i>) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13
22	(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or
23	dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction
24	occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving
25	controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this
26	chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action
27	when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence,
28	irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the
	2
	(TRILOGY PHARMACY, INC.) ACCUSATION

person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

7. Section 4302 of the Code states:

The Board may deny, suspend or revoke any license where conditions exist in relation to any person holding 10 percent or more of the ownership interest or where conditions exist in relation to any officer, director, or other person with management or control of the license that would constitute grounds for disciplinary action against a licensee.

8. Section 4307(a) of the Code states:

Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or arty other person with management or control had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a licensee as follows:

(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.

(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).

(2) Total criminal record.

1				
1	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).			
2	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.			
3	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.			
4	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:			
5	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or			
6	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially			
7	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or			
8	registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a			
9	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare			
10	COST RECOVERY			
11	11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the			
12	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of			
13	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and			
14	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being			
15	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be			
16	included in a stipulated settlement.			
17	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS			
18	12. During all relevant times as set forth in paragraphs 12-24 below, Trilogy was			
19	operated and under the control of Andrew Joseph Baumiller (Baumiller).			
20	13. On or about October 4, 2016, the United States Attorney's Office filed a superseding			
21	indictment against Baumiller and 11 co-defendants in the Northern District of Texas, Dallas			
22	Division, Case #3:16-CR-060-M. The indictment alleges that CCMGRX, LLC, (CCMGRX) a			
23	Texas-based company that primarily marketed compounded pain and scar creams, participated in			
24	a fraudulent scheme to have physicians prescribe compounded drugs from CCMGRX to			
25	TRICARE ¹ beneficiaries and participated in a sham study designed to compensate patients and			
26	CCMGRX as part of a kickback scheme.			
27				
28	¹ TRICARE was a health care benefit program of the United States Department of Defense (DoD) Military Health System that provided coverage for DoD beneficiaries.			

1	14. Baumiller, Secretary/Treasurer/CFO of Respondent, was charged in Case #3:16-CR-			
2	060-M with the following:			
3	a. Felony Title 18 United States (U.S.) Code section 1349 - Conspiracy to			
4	Commit Health Care Fraud			
5	b. Felony Title 42 U.S. Code section 1320a-7b(b)(2) and Title 18 U.S. Code			
6	section 2 - Payment of Illegal Remuneration and Aiding and Abetting Payment			
7	of Illegal Remunerations			
8 ·	15. The superseding indictment alleges that Baumiller worked closely with other co-			
9	defendants to disguise the kickbacks paid by Respondent to CCMGRX employees in exchange			
10	for having prescriptions for compounded drugs referred to Respondent. Respondent agreed to pay			
11	CCMGRX employees a percentage of the gross revenue received by Respondent for claims			
12	submitted to TRICARE for prescriptions generated by CCMGRX.			
13	16. On October 16, 2017, Baumiller pled guilty to count 1 of the superseding indictment,			
14	Conspiracy to Commit Health Care Fraud. Pursuant to the stipulated plea agreement, Baumiller			
15	admitted that he oversaw the day-to-day operations of Respondent's compounding business.			
16	Baumiller admitted that on or around May 2014 through February 18, 2016, he knowingly and			
17	willfully combined, conspired, confederated, and agreed with other co-defendants to devise and			
18	execute a scheme and artifice to defraud a health care benefit program affecting commerce as			
19	follows:			
20	17. R.C., J.C. and W.S. approached Baumiller with a marketing strategy for Respondent's	5		
21	compounded products. The initial idea involved W.S. talking to doctors who treated military			
22	veterans because W.S. knew many veterans had dependency issues with opioids. As the concept			
23	developed, R.C., J.C. and W.S. discussed with Baumiller how they could compensate the doctors			
24	and patients through a study. They discussed paying patients to provide feedback about the			
25	compounds and paying doctors to determine whether the compounds worked better than oral			
26	medications. Baumiller knew that the study was a sham and was simply a pretext for paying			
27	kickbacks to incentivize doctors to write the prescriptions and to incentivize patients to obtain the			
28	prescriptions.			
	5			

18. After working with R.C., J.C., and CCMGRX for approximately four months, R.C.,
 J.C., and their marketing reps started bringing prescriptions for TRICARE beneficiaries to
 Respondent in approximately September of 2014. Respondent submitted claims to TRICARE for
 reimbursement for prescriptions for compounded drugs that R.C., J.C. and other CCMGRX
 marketing reps brought to Respondent.

6 19. In approximately December of 2014, Baumiller learned that R.C. and J.C. were
7 paying TRICARE beneficiaries through their study when patients started calling Respondent to
8 inquire about the status of their checks and to complain that they had not been paid. Baumiller
9 admits that it was obvious at that point that R.C. and J.C. were paying patients. Baumiller
10 admitted to pretending not to know the truth because CCMGRX was generating so much business
11 and they were all making a lot of money.

20. At times, Respondent received prescriptions for patients who lived in states where
Respondent was not licensed to do business. Rather than refuse to fill these prescriptions, the
prescriptions were shipped to a local courier, marketing rep., or relative, who in turn shipped the
prescriptions to the patient. This fraudulent practice enabled Respondent to increase the number
of claims it could submit to TRICARE and other federal health care programs.

17 21. Baumiller admitted that Respondent paid commissions to R.C., J.C., J.S., L.R. and
18 M.K. through the end of January 2015, and these payments violated the Federal Anti-Kickback
19 Statute because they included commissions for prescriptions for patients with TRICARE and
20 other federal health care program coverage. Respondent did not make these payments directly to
21 the individuals. Rather Respondent paid CCMGRX as a 1099 independent contractor, and
22 Baumiller expected R.C. and J.C. to pay themselves and the other CCMGRX marketing
23 representatives from those funds.

24 22. In early 2015, Respondent changed the way it paid commissions to R.C., J.C., J.S.,
25 L.R., M.K. and other CCMGRX marketing reps. Beginning on or about February 25, 2015,
26 Respondent started paying R.C., J.C., J.S., L.R., M.K and other CCMGRX marketing reps as W-2
27 employees of Respondent. As part of this changing procedure, Respondent made three payments

28

ĺ			
1	to CCMGRX totaling \$1,002,000 in order to compensate CCMGRX marketing representatives		
2	for the additional taxes that would be withheld from their paychecks as W-2 wages.		
3	23. Baumiller admitted that R.C., J.C., J.S., L.R., M.K., and the rest of CCMGRX's staff		
4	were not bona fide employees of Respondent. Baumiller admitted that R.C., J.C., J.S., L.R., M.K.		
5	were paid as W-2 employees of Respondent in order to give a false appearance of compliance		
6	with the statutory and regulatory bona fide employee safe harbor provisions of the Anti-Kickback		
7	Statute in order to defraud TRICARE and other federal health care programs.		
8	24. Baumiller admitted that all of the payments Respondent made to CCMGRX, R.C.,		
9	J.C., J.S., L.R., M.K , and the rest of CCMGRX's, J.S.'s and M.K.'s personnel were made for the		
10	purpose of incentivizing them to send prescriptions to Respondent.		
11	25. Baumiller admitted that TRICARE paid Respondent at least fifty million dollars		
12	(\$50,000,000) for prescriptions for compounded drugs referred to Respondent by R.C., J.C., J.S.,		
13	L.R., M.K., and other CCMGRX marketing reps. Baumiller admitted that he violated the Federal		
14	Anti-Kickback Statute by offering to pay or by causing Respondent to pay remuneration, directly		
15	or indirectly, to R.C., J.C., J.S., L.R., M.K and others for these referrals.		
16	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
17	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
18	26. Respondent has subjected its Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit to disciplinary action		
19	under Code sections 4301, subdivision (l), and 4302, in that Respondent knowingly conspired		
20	with a marketing company to execute an insurance fraud scheme for its own financial benefit as		
21	more thoroughly set forth in paragraphs 12-25 above.		
22	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
23	(Dishonest Acts)		
24	27. Respondent has subjected its Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit to discipline under		
25	Code sections 4302 and 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts of dishonesty,		
26	fraud, or deceit by admittedly defrauding TRICARE, a federal health care program of the		
27	Department of Defense military health system, of more than fifty-one million dollars		
28	(\$51,000,000) as more thoroughly set forth in paragraphs 12-25 above.		
	7		
	(TRILOGY PHARMACY, INC.) ACCUSATION		

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
2	(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)			
3	28. Respondent has subjected its Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit to discipline under			
4	Code sections 4301, subdivision (l) and (o), and 4302 of the Code, in conjunction with California			
5	Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that			
6	Baumiller, a member of Respondent, committed a substantially related crime as more thoroughly			
7	set forth in paragraphs 12-25 above.			
8	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
9	(False Statements)			
10	29. Respondent has subjected its Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit to discipline under			
11	Code sections 4301, subdivision (g) and 4302, in that Respondent knowingly made and signed			
.12	documents that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts as more			
13	thoroughly set forth in paragraphs 12-25 above.			
14	OTHER MATTERS			
15	30. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Non-Resident Pharmacy			
16	Permit Number 1740 issued to Trilogy Pharmacy Inc., Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc. shall be prohibited			
17	from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner			
18	of a licensee for five years if Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation			
19	or until Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked.			
20	31. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Non-Resident Pharmacy			
21	Permit Number 1740 issued to Trilogy Pharmacy Inc., while Andrew Baumiller has been an			
22	officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the			
23	licensee was disciplined, Andrew Baumiller shall be prohibited from serving as a manager,			
24	administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if			
25	Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation or until Non-Resident			
26	Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked.			
27	32. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Non-Resident Pharmacy			
28	Permit Number 1740 issued to Trilogy Pharmacy Inc., while Joseph Fuller has been an officer			
	8			

and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Joseph Fuller shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, 2 owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Non-Resident 3 Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation or until Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit 4 Number 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked. 5

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 7 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 8

Revoking or suspending Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 1740, issued to 1. 9 Trilogy Pharmacy, Inc. 10

2. Prohibiting Trilogy Pharmacy Inc. from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, 11 member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Non-Resident 12 Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation or until Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit 13 Number 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked. 14

Prohibiting Andrew Baumiller from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, 15 3. member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Non-Resident 16 Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation or until Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit 17 Number 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked. 18

Prohibiting Joseph Fuller from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, 4. 19 20 officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 1740 is placed on probation or until Non-Resident Pharmacy Permit Number 21 1740 is reinstated if it is revoked. 22

5. Ordering Trilogy Pharmacy Inc. to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 23 the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 24 125.3; and, 25

26

1

6

27

//

//

11

28

2 3	DATED:	4/16/18	Quainia Heal
4			VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
5 6			Department of Consumer Affairs State of California <i>Complainant</i>
7	SA2018100027 33339386.docx		
8			
9			
10 11			
12			
13	· *		
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
10			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
			10

 ∂