BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

HI DESERT COMPOUNDING PHARMACY CORP. DBA PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE, LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA AND CATHERINE BERG, OWNERS

15247 Eleventh Street Victorville, CA 92395 LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA, Pharmacist-in-Charge

Original Permit No. PHY 48593

LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA 17695 Merrill Ave Fontana, CA 92335

Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668

Respondents.

Case No. 6183

OAH No. 2017110864

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 5, 2018.

It is so ORDERED on August 6, 2018.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Victor Law, R.Ph. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA			
. 2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO			
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	BRIAN LEE Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 253592 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6621 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
7		RE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
. 10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6183		
11	HI DESERT COMPOUNDING	OAH No. 2017110864		
12	PHARMACY CORP. DBA			
13	PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE, LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA AND	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER		
14	CATHERINE BERG, OWNERS			
15	15247 Eleventh Street Victorville, CA 92395			
16	LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA, Pharmacist-In-Charge			
17	Original Permit No. PHY 48593			
18	and			
19	LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA			
20	17695 Merrill Ave. Fontana, CA 92335			
21	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668			
22				
23	Respondents.			
24	·			
25				
26				
27				
28				
		l		

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Brian Lee, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. doing business as Prescription Shoppe and Louis Edward Longoria (Respondents) are represented in this proceeding by attorney Peter Gregorovic from the Law Office of Tony J. Park, Inc., whose address is: 49 Discovery, Suite 240, Irvine, CA 92618-6713.
- 3. On or about July 19, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 48593 to Respondent Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. doing business as Prescription Shoppe with Louis Edward Longoria as Pharmacist-in-Charge, President, and the owner of sixty percent (60%) of the outstanding shares and Catherine Berg, as Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer, and the owner of forty percent (40%) of the outstanding shares ("Respondent Hi Desert"). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6183 and will expire on July 1, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about August 12, 1966, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 to Respondent Louis Edward Longoria ("Respondent Longoria"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6183 and will expire on September 30, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

5. Accusation No. 6183 was filed before the (Board), and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on September 14, 2017. Respondents timely filed their Notice of Defense

contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 6183 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6183. Respondents have also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 7. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondents admit the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 6183, and agree that cause exists for discipline. Accordingly, Respondent Hi Desert hereby surrenders Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48593 for the Board's formal acceptance. Likewise, Respondent Longoria hereby surrenders his Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 10. Respondents understand that by signing this stipulation they enable the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of the Respondent Hi Desert's Pharmacy Permit and Respondent Longoria's Pharmacist License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by

Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agree that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48593, issued to Respondent Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe and Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668, issued to Respondent Louis Edward Longoria, are surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent Hi Desert's Pharmacy Permit and Respondent Longoria's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the surrendered licenses by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondents. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondents' license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

///

- 2. Respondents Hi Desert and Longoria shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacy and Pharmacist, respectively, in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. The surrender of Respondent Hi Desert's Pharmacy Permit and Respondent Longoria's Pharmacist License are stayed for ninety (90) days from the date this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is signed by all Respondents to allow for the sale of the pharmacy. The surrender of said permit and license are not contingent upon the sale of the pharmacy.
- 4. If the pharmacy is not expected to be sold by effective date of the surrender, Respondents must arrange for the destruction of, transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed by the Board of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs and devices. Respondents shall further provide written proof of such disposition and submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to Board guidelines.
- 5. If the pharmacy is not expected to be sold by effective date of the Board's Decision and Order, Respondents shall also, by that date, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent's shall provide a copy of the written notice to the Board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.
- 6. If the pharmacy is not sold by the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order, Respondents shall cause to be delivered to the Board their pocket licenses and, if any were issued, wall certificates on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 7. If Respondents ever apply for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondents must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or

petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 6183 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondents when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.

- Respondents shall pay the agency, jointly and severally, its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$11,142.25 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- If Respondents should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 6183 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

. I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter Gregorovic. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

Respondent

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter Gregorovic. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48593. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

ERT COMPOUNDING PHARMACY CORP. DBA PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE

Respondent

1	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully		
2	discussed it with my attorney, Peter Gregorovic. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will		
3	have on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48593. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and		
4	Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order		
5	of the Board of Pharmacy.		
6	α		
7	DATED: 5-3-18 Catherne Bug		
8	CATHERINE BERG, OWNER— HI DESERT COMPOUNDING PHARMACY		
9	CORP. DBA PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE Respondent		
10			
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondents the terms and conditions and other		
12	matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and		
13	content.		
14	-/-/ DA-O1		
15	DATED: 5/3/2018 Plan 1990		
16	PETER GREGOROVIC, ESQ. Attorney for Respondents		
17			
18			
19	111		
20 21	111		
22			
23	f/I		
24			
25	111		
26			
27			
28	HII		
,			

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 5/7/18 Dated: Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California Armando Zambrano Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant LA2017506460 62786575.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6183

	·			
1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRIAN LEE			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253592			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
.	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-3960			
. 6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 E-mail: Brian Lee@doj.ca.gov			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6183		
12	HI DESERT COMPOUNDING			
13	PHARMACY CORP. DBA PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE, LOUIS	ACCUSATION		
14	EDWARD LONGORIA AND CATHERINE BERG, OWNERS	,		
15	15247 Eleventh Street			
16	Victorville, CA 92395 LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA, Pharmacist-			
17	In-Charge			
18	Original Permit No. PHY 48593			
19	and			
20	LOUIS EDWARD LONGORIA 17695 Merrill Ave.			
21	Fontana, CA 92335			
22	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 24668			
23				
24	Respondents.			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	(HI DESERT COMPOUNDING PHARMACY CO	ORP. DBA PRESCRIPTION SHOPPE, LOUIS EDWARD		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	LONGORIA, CATHERINE BERG) ACCUSATION		

9

1011

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.24.

25

26

27

28

1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

PARTIES

- 2. On or about July 19, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 48593 to Respondent Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. doing business as Prescription Shoppe with Louis Edward Longoria as Pharmacist-in-Charge, President, and the owner of sixty percent (60%) of the outstanding shares and Catherine Berg, as Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer, and the owner of forty percent (40%) of the outstanding shares ("Respondent Pharmacy"). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2018, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about August 12, 1966, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 to Respondent Louis Edward Longoria ("Respondent Longoria"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.

2.6

(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure."

6. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

8. Section 4059, subdivision a, of the Code, in pertinent part, states:

"A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon a prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7....

9. Section 4104, subdivision b, of the Code, states:

"Every pharmacy shall have written policies and procedures for addressing chemical, mental, or physical impairment, as well as theft, diversion, or self-use of dangerous drugs, among licensed individuals employed by or with the pharmacy."

10. Section 4307 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or

who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated."
 - 11. Health and Safety Code section 11379(a) states:

"Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) and in Article 7 (commencing with Section 4211) of Chapter 9 of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, every person who transports, imports into this state, sells, furnishes, administers, or gives away, or offers to transport, import into this state, sell, furnish, administer, or give away, or attempts to import into this state or transport any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V and which is not a narcotic drug, except subdivision (g) of Section 11056, (2) specified in subdivision (d) of Section 11054, except paragraphs (13), (14), (15), (20), (21), (22), and (23) of subdivision (d), (3) specified in paragraph (11) of subdivision (e) of Section 11056, (4) specified in paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, or (5) specified in subdivision (d) or (e), except paragraph (3) of subdivision (e), or specified in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (f), of Section 11055, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be purished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code for a period of two, three, or four years."

9

7

· 10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

II

27

28

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1707.2(b)(1)(A) states:

"(b)(1) In addition to the obligation to consult set forth in subsection (a), a pharmacist shall provide oral consultation to his or her patient or the patient's agent in any care setting in which the patient or agent is present:

- (A) whenever the prescription drug has not previously been dispensed to a patient;
- 13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3(a) provides in pertinent part, that for each compounded drug product, the pharmacy records shall include: (1) the master formula records; (2) name and date of the compounded drug preparation; (3) the date the drug product was compounded; (4) the identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded the drug product; (5) the identity of the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product; (6) the quantity of each component used in compounding the drug product; (7) the manufacturer, expiration date and lot number of each component; (8) a pharmacy assigned unique reference or lot number for the compounded drug preparation; (9) the expiration date of the final compounded drug product; (10) the quantity or amount of drug product compounded; and (11) documentation of quality reviews and required post-compounding process and procedures.
 - 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.7 states:
- "(a) A pharmacy engaged in compounding shall maintain documentation demonstrating that personnel involved in compounding have the skills and training required to properly and accurately perform their assigned responsibilities and documentation demonstrating that all personnel involved in compounding are trained in all aspects of policies and procedures. This training shall include but is not limited to support personnel (e.g. institutional environmental services, housekeeping), maintenance staff, supervising pharmacist and all others whose jobs are related to the compounding process.

//

- (b) The pharmacy shall develop and maintain an on-going competency evaluation process for pharmacy personnel involved in compounding, and shall maintain documentation of any and all training related to compounding undertaken by pharmacy personnel.
- (c) Pharmacy personnel assigned to compounding duties shall demonstrate knowledge about processes and procedures used in compounding prior to compounding any drug preparation."
 - 15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1707.5(d) states:

"The pharmacy shall have policies and procedures in place to help patients with limited or no English proficiency understand the information on the label as specified in subdivision (a) in the patient's language. The pharmacy's policies and procedures shall be specified in writing and shall include, at minimum, the selected means to identify the patient's language and to provide interpretive services and translation services in the patient's language. The pharmacy shall, at minimum, provide interpretive services in the patient's language, if interpretive services in such language are available, during all hours that the pharmacy is open, either in person by pharmacy staff or by use of a third-party interpretive service available by telephone at or adjacent to the pharmacy counter."

COST RECOVERY

16. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

17. Trazadone, brand name Desyrel, is used to treat depression and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

- 18. Alprazolam, brand name Xanax, is used to treat anxiety and is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 19. Citalopram, brand name Celexa, is used to treat depression and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 20. Lithium Carbonate, brand name Eskalith, is used to treat Bipolar Disorder and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 21. Olanzapine, brand name Zyprexa, is used to treat Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 22. Clonazepam, brand name Klonopin, is used to treat anxiety and is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(7), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 23. On or about July 14, 2016, the Board received a complaint stating that Respondent Longoria, an 81-year-old pharmacist, was providing unauthorized prescription medication to the complainant's 23-year old female cousin ("E.D.") who had mental health issues and had recently moved from Texas into Respondent Longoria's residence in Fontana, California.
- 24. On or about July 13, 2016, the Fontana Police Department was contacted by E.D.'s uncle requesting a welfare check at Respondent Longoria's residence. Upon arrival, Fontana Police officers discovered E.D. having a psychotic episode. E.D. believed that someone was "messing with her medications". Upon investigation into E.D.'s medications, Fontana Police officers discovered that E.D. did not have a valid prescription for her medications. During the investigation, Respondent Longoria admitted to police that he had been providing E.D. with the following unauthorized prescription medications: (1) Trazadone (100 mg); (2) Citalopram (40 mg); (3) Clonazepam (1 mg); (4) Alprazolam (2 mg); (5) Olanzapine (5 mg); and (6) Lithium Carbonate (150 and 300 mg). The vials containing the medications in Respondent Longoria's home had the following quantities: 150 Lithium Carbonate; 30 Citalopram; 50 Clonazepam; 50 Trazadone; 50 Alprazolam; and 15 Olanzapine.

//

- 25. On or about September 26, 2016, criminal charges against Respondent Longoria were filed in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Louis Edward Longoria*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. 16CR-050981. The complaint was based on the following violations: Count 1 Health and Safety Code section 11379(a) (Transport/Etc Controlled Substances), a felony; and Count 2- Business and Professions Code section 4059(a) (Furnish Dangerous Drug/ w/o Prescription), a misdemeanor. On January 12, 2017, Respondent Longoria pled guilty to Count 2. Count 1 was dismissed pursuant to the plea. The Court ordered Respondent Longoria to pay a \$225 fine and placed on summary probation for three (3) years.
- 26. On or about October 4, 2016, Board inspectors visited Respondent Pharmacy located at 15247 Eleventh Street in Victorville, CA to conduct an inspection and investigate the complaint against Respondent Longoria. While in the waiting area, the inspectors observed two different patients approach the register, receive their prescriptions and leave without being offered or given a consultation from the pharmacist. After each transaction, the patients were interviewed by a Board inspector to whom they confirmed that the prescriptions that were picked up were indeed new. Patient L.T. picked up prescription Rx# 4017400, a new prescription for Tramadol 50 mg and patient A.A. picked up prescription Rx # 6134986, a new prescription for Ibuprofen 400 mg. Dispensing histories obtained afterwards also confirmed that the prescriptions were new.
- 27. During the site inspection on or about October 4, 2016 at Respondent Pharmacy, Board inspectors met with Respondent Longoria (who was the Pharmacist-in-Charge), technician Catherine Berg, and other staff members and discovered the following areas of non-compliance with the laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy:
- (a) Respondent Pharmacy's staff compounded drug preparations for patient specific prescriptions as well as drug preparations for future use. A review of the compounding worksheets revealed pharmacy staff only created a compounding worksheet when compounding more drug product than needed for the initial prescription. No compounding worksheets were created or available for drugs compounded only in the amount needed to fill the prescription;

- (b) Respondent Longoria was unable to provide written documentation to demonstrate that pharmacy personnel involved in compounding were properly trained in compounding or had ongoing training;
- (c) Respondent Longoria was unable to provide policies and procedures regarding theft or impairment; and
- (d) Respondent Longoria was unable to provide the policy and procedure to address how the pharmacy would help patients with limited or no English proficiency understand the information on the label.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AS TO RESPONDENT LONGORIA ONLY: FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct—Criminal Conviction)

28. Respondent Longoria is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(1) because he has been convicted of a crime substantially related to his qualifications, functions, and duties as a licensee. Specifically, Respondent Longoria was convicted of violating Code section 4059(a), a misdemeanor, on January 12, 2017 in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Louis Edward Longoria*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. 16CR-050981. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 23 through 25, as though set forth fully herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Furnishing Dangerous Drugs and Controlled Substances without a Prescription)

29. Respondent Longoria is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), as it relates to Code section 4059(a) and Health and Safety Code section 11379(a). Specifically, Respondent Longoria unlawfully furnished the following dangerous drugs and/or controlled substances to E.D. without prescriber authorization: Trazadone 100 mg tablets; Olanzapine 5 mg tablets; Citalopram 40 mg tablets; Lithium Carbonate 150 mg and 300 mg capsules; Clonazepam 1 mg tablets; and Alprazolam 2 mg tablets. Complainant refers to, and by

this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 23 through 25, as though set forth fully herein.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE AS TO BOTH RESPONDENT LONGORIA AND RESPONDENT PHARMACY:

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Consultation to Patients with New Prescriptions)

30. Respondent Longoria and Respondent Pharmacy are subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations section 1707.2(b)(1)(A), for failing to provide oral consultation to two patients that picked up new prescriptions at Respondent Pharmacy on or about October 4, 2016. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 26, as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Create and Maintain Proper Records of Compounded Drug Products)

31. Respondent Longoria and Respondent Pharmacy are subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations section 1735.3 for failure to create and maintain a compounding record for drug product compounded in a quantity sufficient to fill one patient specific prescription. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 27, as though set forth fully herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Develop and/or Maintain Documentation Regarding Training of Compounding)

32. Respondent Longoria and Respondent Pharmacy are subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations section 1735.7 for failure to develop and/or maintain written documentation to demonstrate that pharmacy personnel involved in compounding were properly trained in compounding or had ongoing training. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 27, as though set forth fully herein.

1		<i>†</i>
	۱	1

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Absence of Theft/Impairment Policy and Procedure)

33. Respondent Longoria and Respondent Pharmacy are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4104(b) for failure to have a policy and procedure to address theft and chemical, mental or physical impairment. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 27, as though set forth fully herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Absence of Interpretive Services Policy and Procedure)

34. Respondent Longoria and Respondent Pharmacy are subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations section 1707.5(d) for failure to have a policy and procedure to address interpretive services, i.e., to help patients with limited or no English proficiency understand the information on the label. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraph 27, as though set forth fully herein.

OTHER MATTERS

- 35. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 48593 issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe, shall be prohibited from servicing as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is reinstated if the license is revoked.
- 36. Pursuant to section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 48593 issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe, while Louis Edward Longoria and/or Catherine Berg have been officers and owners and had knowledge of, or knowingly participated in, any conduct for which Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe was disciplined, Louis Edward Longoria and/or Catherine Berg shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is reinstated if the license is revoked.

37. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 issued to Louis Edward Longoria, shall be prohibited from servicing as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 is reinstated if the license is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 48593, issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe, with Louis Edward Longoria as the Pharmacist-in-Charge;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 24668 issued to Louis Edward Longoria;
- 3. Prohibiting Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, director, officer, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is reinstated if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe is revoked;
- 4. Prohibiting Louis Edward Longoria from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, director, officer, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is reinstated if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe is revoked;
- 5. Prohibiting Catherine Berg from serving as manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 48593 is reinstated if Original Permit Number PHY 48593 issued to Hi Desert Compounding Pharmacy Corp. dba Prescription Shoppe is revoked;