BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 6165

STEPHEN WAYNE BRANDT 1614 Camphor Way Lodi, CA 95242

STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER

Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 25, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on September 25, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
2	KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STANTON W. LEE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203563		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	· 	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921		
7	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567		
	E-mail: Stanton.Lee@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6165	
12	STEPHEN WAYNE BRANDT	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF	
13	1614 Camphor Way Lodi, CA 95242	LICENSE AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823	·	
15	Respondent.		
16	-		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGI	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	
22	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by		
23	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Stanton W. Lee, Deputy Attorney		
24	General.		
25	2. Stephen Wayne Brandt (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and		
26	has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.		
27	///		
28	_///_		
	· ·		

3.	On or about April 4, 1978, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823 to
Responder	t. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
charges bro	ought in Accusation No. 6165 and will expire on December 31, 2017, unless renewed

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 6165 was filed before the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 2, 2017. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 6165 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in
 Accusation No. 6165. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this
 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 6165, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823, issued to Respondent Stephen Wayne Brandt, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

///

///

 $/\!/\!/$

///

28_

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the board shall treat it as a new application for licensure.
- 5. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should he or she apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in Accusation No. 6165, shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the Board, including, but not limited to taking and passing the California Pharmacist Licensure Examination prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$1,568.04 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 7. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 6165 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

ENDORSEMENT

for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted

6

DATED: 8-9-2017

STEPHEN WAYNE BRANDT Respondent

8

7

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

1.5

16

17 18

;9

20

21

22

24

25

26

28

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
XENT D. HARRIS

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

STANTON W. LEE
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complement

SA2017107278 12770949,doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6165

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
	Attorney General of California		
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	STANTON W. LEE Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 203563 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
3	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 445-9921 Facsimile: (916) 324-5567		
7	E-mail: Stanton Lee@doj.ca.gov		
8:	Attorneys for Complainant	e mune	
9 .	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6165	
13	STEPHEN WAYNE BRANDT 1614 Camphor Way		
14		ACCUSATION	
1.5	Pharmacist License No. RPH 31823		
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PART	<u>TES</u>	
19	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	(Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
.21	2. On or about April 4, 1978, the Board i		
22	to Stephen Wayne Brandt (Respondent). The Pha-	rmacist License was in full force and effect at	
23	all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2017, unless		
24	renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION/STATUTORY PROVISIONS		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
28	<i>iii</i>		
	1		

(STEPHEN WAYNE BRANDT) ACCUSATION

1	4. Section 4300 states, in pertinent part:	
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.	
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and	
4	found guilty, by any of the following methods:	
5	(1) Suspending judgment.	
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.	
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.	
8	(4) Revoking his or her license.	
9		
10	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper	
11	5. Section 4300.1 states:	
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the	
13	placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
14		
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	6. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
1:7	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been produced by fraud or	
1.8	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
19		
.20		
21	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as	
22	a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.	
23.	4. N. F. T. J.	
24	(h) The administering to oneself, or any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself or to any other person or to the public	
25		
26	And the state of t	
27	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beviewer. Or any combination of those substances	
20	alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances,	

2. 3

4 5

6

7· 8·

9 10

11

12

13 14

15:

16 17

18

19

20 21

.22.

24

25

26 27

28.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment....

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Convictions)

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (I), in that on or about March 10, 2017, in the criminal proceeding entitled People vs. Stephen Brandt, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. LOD-CR-. MDUI-2016-0015553, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (B) (driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of .08% or greater) and Vehicle Code section 20002(A) (hit and run with property damage), both misdemeanors. This conviction is for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacist. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: on November 4, 2016, Lodi Police Department Officer A. Blythe was dispatched to the scene of a reported vehicle hit and run accident. While on route, Officer Blythe contacted the vehicle subject of the hit and run report disabled and facing the wrong direction of travel in the opposing lane of traffic. Officer Blythe observed the vehicles front bumper had become dislodged approximate 100 yards away from the yehicle and that it had significant front end damage. Upon contacting Respondent and speaking with him, Officer Blythe smelled a strong odor of alcohol emanating from Respondent and Officer Blythe observed Respondent to have

10 11.

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

2526

2728

Respondent was unable to stand on his own and the groin region of his pants appeared wet from urine. Based on Respondent's inability to stand, Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. A search of Respondent's vehicle resulted in the discovery of two empty 11.2 oz. bottles of beer on the front passenger floorboard. At the Lodi City Jail, Respondent consented to a breath test and returned blood alcohol content readings of 0.19% and 0.19%. After being Mirandized, Respondent admitted that he drank three 8 oz. glasses of Gin approximately 2-2.5 hours prior to his encounter with law enforcement.

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to

red/watery eyes, slurred speech, and slow responses to questions. When asked to exit the vehicle,

section 4301, subdivision (I), in that on or about March 9, 2017, in the criminal proceeding entitled People vs. Stephen Wayne Brandt, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. DM090532A, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section. 23152, subdivision (A) (driving under the influence of alcohol), a misdemeanor. This conviction is for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacist. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: on May 6, 2016, California Highway Patrol (CHP) Officer J. Cervantes responded to a dispatch call regarding a disabled vehicle with a possible intoxicated driver. Upon arriving at the scene, additional law enforcement officers had already responded to the scene. Officer Cervantes contacted Respondent whom he observed to have difficulty standing and nearly fall, requiring Officer Cervantes to provide assistance in walking Respondent to Officer Cervantes' patrol vehicle. Officer Cervantes detected the strong and distinct odor of alcohol on Respondent's breath and person and noted that Respondent's speech was heavily slurred. Upon questioning, Respondent admitted to Officer Cervantes that he had been traveling to Coalinga and was consuming "Negro Modelos," on the way. Officer Cervantes then instructed and demonstrated field sobriety tests for Respondent to repeat, which he failed to do. Respondent was arrested and consented to a chemical blood test which returned a blood alcohol level of 0.169%.

¹ Negra Modelo is a beer.

3

4 5

6 7

8.

1<u>0</u> 11

12

13

14 15

1.6 17

1.8

1,0

20

21 22

23

24

25

26.

:27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Convictions Involving Alcohol)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent has had more than one misdemeanor conviction involving the use, consumption, or self-administration or alcohol as alleged in Paragraphs 7-8, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent has engaged in the dangerous use of alcohol to an extent dangerous or injurious to himself and others, as alleged in Paragraphs 7-8, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Moral Turpitude)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent has engaged in conduct involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceil, or corruption as alleged in Paragraphs 7-8, above.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS

12. To determine the degree of discipline to be assessed against Respondent, if any, Complainant alleges that on January 30, 2017, the Board issued citation number CI 2016 73662 against Respondent in the amount of \$200. The citation charged Respondent with a violation under section 4301(g) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent knowingly signed his license renewal application under penalty of perjury that falsely represented that he had completed 30 hours of continuing education knowing that he had not done so and had, in fact, only completed 25 hours. The citation also charged Respondent with violations under section 4231(d) and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1732.5, in that Respondent failed to provide documentation substantiating that he completed 30 hours of continuing education required for renewal of his license. Respondent paid his line on February 9, 2017, and submitted