BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

JOEL MICHAEL WEBB 280 Marina Park Way Sacramento, CA 95831

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68284

Respondent.

Case No. 6118

OAH No. 2018010522

STIPULATED SETTLMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL

[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 28, 2018.

It is so ORDERED on October 29, 2018.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Victor Law, R.Ph. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California DAVID E. BRICE	*		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS			
	Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 154990 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
6	Telephone: (916) 210-7914			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFO	RE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY			
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10		7		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6118		
12	JOEL MICHAEL WEBB 280 Marina Park Way	OAH No. 2018010522		
13	Sacramento, CA 95831	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL		
15	No. TCH 68284	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]		
16	Respondent.	,		
17				
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy			
22	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by			
23	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jeffrey M. Phillips, Deputy			
24	Attorney General.			
25	2. Respondent Joel Michael Webb (Re	spondent) is represented in this proceeding by		
26	Rothschild Wishek & Sands LLP and attorney Clyde M. Blackmon, whose address is: 765			
27	University Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95825.			
28	<i>"</i>			
		1		
	> T .			

JURISDICTION

- 3. On or about March 17, 2006, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68284 to Joel Michael Webb (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6118 and will expire on June 30, 2019, unless renewed.
- 4. Accusation No. 6118 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 28, 2017. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 6118 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6118. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6118, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Pharmacy Technician Registration.

- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements,

understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68284 issued to Respondent Joel Michael Webb (Respondent) shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of Accusation No. 6118, attached as exhibit A. Respondent is required to report this reproval as disciplinary action.

Cost Recovery. Respondent shall pay \$ 2,681.38 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board, with full and final payment made within one year of the effective date of this decision. If Respondent fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent shall not be allowed to renew his Pharmacy Technician Registration until Respondent pays costs in full.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Clyde M. Blackmon. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 420 18 JOEL MICHAEL WEBB

Respondent

·		
.1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Joel Michael Webb the terms and	
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
3	for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.	
	132 2 dono reprovani 1 approvo red form una contone.	
4	DATED: 4/20/18 COSTA FORE	
5	CLYDE M. BLACKMON	
6	Attorney for Respondent	
7		
8	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby	
10	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of	
11	Consumer Affairs.	
12		
13	Dated: Respectfully submitted,	
14	Y 20 18 XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
15	DAVID É. BRICE Supervising Deputy, Attorney General	
16	Cer (1.00 m	
17	The state of the s	
18	JEFFREN M. PHILLIPS Deputy Attorney General	
19	Attorneys for Complainant	
20		
21		
	SA2017107097 13047198.doc	
22	1504/198.000	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5	

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6118

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California DAVID E. BRICE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 154990 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 210-7914 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 6118		
12	JOEL MICHAEL WEBB		
13	280 Marina Park Way Sacramento, CA 95831 ACCUSATION		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68284		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about March 17, 2006, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
22	Number TCH 68284 to Joel Michael Webb ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician		
23	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in the		
24	Accusation and will expire on June 30, 2019, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION/STATUTORY PROVISIONS		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise		
28	indicated.		
	1		

1	4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and
4	found guilty, by any of the following methods:
5	(1) Suspending judgment.
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
8	(4) Revoking his or her license.
9	
10	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper
11.	5. Code section 4300.1 states:
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the
13	placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any
14	investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
15	a decision suspending of revoking the needse.
16	6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
17	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake.
18	Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
19	•••
20	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be
21	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of
22	the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
23	••••
24	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this
25	chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or
26	federal regulatory agency
27	///

14.

7. Code section 4327 states that "[a]ny person who, while on duty, sells, dispenses or compounds any drug while under the influence of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverages shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."

COST RECOVERY

8. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. On or about August 15, 2016, the Board received a Notification of Theft, Diversion or Self-Use by Licensed Individual from S. C., the pharmacist-in-charge for Kaiser Hospital Morse 1st Floor Pharmacy located in Sacramento, California. S. C. reported that Respondent, a pharmacy technician employed by the pharmacy, arrived at work intoxicated on July 26, 2016.
- 10. On or about February 6, 2017, a Board Inspector conducted an investigative/routine inspection at the pharmacy and spoke with L. Y., the Director of Pharmacy. L. Y. stated that on July 26, 2016, an employee reported to her that Respondent smelled of alcohol. L. Y. brought Respondent into her office for an interview and could smell alcohol on his breath from across the room where he was sitting. Respondent admitted that he had consumed alcohol before coming to work on July 26, 2016. Respondent claimed that he had his last beer around midnight. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer and drug test. His breathalyzer test was positive, but his drug test was negative. Respondent was immediately removed from work. Later, the Board Inspector interviewed Respondent. Respondent admitted that he had a drinking problem and had been hospitalized on July 2, 2016, for pancreatitis due to his drinking. Respondent attempted to stop drinking on his own on July 1, 2016. Respondent ended up relapsing on July 25, 2016, and came to work on July 26, 2016, after he had been drinking.
- 11. On or about February 9, 2017, the Board Inspector received a copy of Respondent's breathalyzer test results. The test results showed that Respondent had a .020 percent BAC (blood alcohol) at 11:58 a.m. on July 26, 2016, and a .016 BAC at 12:13 p.m. on July 26, 2016.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

- -

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcoholic Beverages to an Extent or in a Manner

Dangerous or Injurious to Oneself and Others)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for unprofessional conduct, in that on or about July 26, 2016, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself and others and/or to the extent that such use impaired his ability to conduct his pharmacy technician duties safely, as set forth above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Pharmacy Law)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for unprofessional conduct, in that on or about July 26, 2016, Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, a provision or term of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4300, et seq.), specifically, Code section 4327, as follows: Respondent, while on duty as a pharmacy technician at Kaiser Hospital Morse 1st Floor Pharmacy, sold, dispensed and/or compounded drugs while under the influence of alcoholic beverages, as set forth above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 68284, issued to Joel Michael Webb;
- Ordering Joel Michael Webb to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
- ///
- || ///
- || ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 11/13/17 Luginia Keld
4	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	Complainant
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	-
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	SA2017107097
	5

(JOEL MICHAEL WEBB) ACCUSATION