l			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6102	
13	CRYSTAL JUNE YODER 8580 Hazelnut Street	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Buena Park, CA 92360	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 92360		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	1. On or about April 24, 2017, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs,		
22	filed Accusation No. 6102 against Crystal June Yoder (Respondent). (A copy of the Accusation		
23	attached as Exhibit A.)		
24	2. On or about September 28, 2009, the	e Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
25	No. TCH 92360 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and		
26	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 6102 and will expire on Jun		
27	30, 2017, unless renewed.		
28	111		

3. On or about April 28, 2017, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 6102, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, subdivision (a), is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

8580 Hazelnut Street Buena Park, CA 92360.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 6102.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense ... or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 6102, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6102, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 92360, heretofore issued to Respondent Crystal June Yoder, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on It is so ORDERED FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	S enior Assistant Attorney General ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482	
5 .	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9457 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	DEEC	DEL MIXIG
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6102
13	CRYSTAL JUNE YODER 8580 Hazelnut Street	ACCUSATION
14	Buena Park, CA 92360	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration License No. TCH 92360	
16	Respondent.	
17	Trospondon.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
22	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about September 28, 2009, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
24	License Number TCH92360 to Crystal June Yoder (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on June 30, 2017, unless renewed.	
27	111	
28	///	
		1

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 | ///

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

COSTS

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(February 8, 2017 Criminal Conviction for DUI on May 21, 2016)

- 13. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 8, 2017, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Crystal June Yoder*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM1610421, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or higher, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted and the court found true the special allegation that her BAC was .15 percent or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578. The charge of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs, was dismissed in the interest of justice, pursuant to Penal Code section 1385.

10

11

12 13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

III

111

b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted summary probation for three years, and committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 30 days, with one day credit for time served, which could be served in the work release program. Respondent was ordered to attend and complete a first offender DUI program for nine months, enroll and complete the MADD Victim Impact Panel Session, AA meetings, pay fees and fines, and comply with the terms of DUI probation.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about the early morning on May 21, 2016, the California Highway Patrol responded to report of a three car traffic collision on the westbound lanes of Highway 91 in Corona. Upon arrival, the officer observed minor front damage to Respondent's vehicle. Upon contact with Respondent, the officer asked Respondent if she was involved in a collision. Respondent told the officer that she was driving in the #1 lane at about 60 mph when her tire went flat. She told the officer that she turned on her hazard lights and began to merge right when she was hit by another car as she was merging. The driver of the second car involved in the collision reported that he was driving in the #1 lane at about 65 mph when he felt Respondent's vehicle hit the right rear of his vehicle, causing him to lose control as his vehicle spun across the right lanes of traffic and hit vehicle #3. The driver of vehicle #3 reported to the investigating officer that she was driving in the #2 lane at about 65 mph with vehicle #2 ahead of her in the #1 lane. The driver of vehicle #3 noticed Respondent pass her on the right and turn sharply to the left, crossing all the traffic lanes in front of vehicle #3 and strike vehicle #2 on the right read. The driver of vehicle #3 watched vehicle #2 spin to the right upon impact, and strike the rear of her vehicle. The officers observed none of Respondent's tires were flat. The officer immediately noticed a strong smell of alcohol emitting from Respondent, Respondent admitted to consuming alcohol last night. Respondent submitted to field sobriety tests, which she performed poorly. Based on her objective symptoms of intoxication, Respondent provided two breathalyzer tests, which were analyzed with a .27 and .26 percent. Respondent was subsequently arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol.

11

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26 27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Using Alcoholic Beverages to the Extent or in Manner as to be Dangerous or Injurious)

14. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public, in that she operated a motor vehicle on May 21, 2016, while significantly impaired by alcohol, as described in paragraph 13, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

15. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 for unprofessional conduct in that she engaged in activities described in paragraph 13, above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH92360, issued to Crystal June Yoder;
- Ordering Crystal June Yoder to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/24/17

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2017704570 81656675.doc