1		
1		
1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$		
3		
$-\frac{4}{5}$		
6		
7		
8		RE THE
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11		]
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6048
13		
14	JODIE LEE BLACKHAM 612 So. R Street	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	Tulare, CA 93274	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
17	111855	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	<u>FINDING</u>	S OF FACT
21	1. On or about January 27, 2017, C	Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board	of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,
23	filed Accusation No. 6048 against Jodie Lee	e Blackham (Respondent) before the Board of
24	Pharmacy (Board). (Accusation attached as Exh	nibit A.)
25	2. On or about May 6, 2011, the Boa	rd issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
26	TCH 111855 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Te	echnician Registration was in full force and effect
27	at all times relevant to the charges brought in A	ccusation No. 6048 and expired on July 31, 2016.
28	This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to	Business and Professions Code section 4300.1
	(JODIE LEE BLAC	1 KHAM) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 6048
		,,,

and/or agency-specific statute, does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

3. On or about February 3, 2017, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 6048, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) and the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

612 So. R Street Tulare, CA 93274.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about February 15, 2017, the aforementioned documents as served by Certified and First Class Mail were returned by the U.S. Postal Service with both envelopes marked "Attempted Not Known." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 6048.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
    - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at

the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent....

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 6048, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6048, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$4,518.00 as of February 27, 2017. This includes (i) \$2,178.00 that the Board incurred through its own investigative efforts and (ii) \$2,340.00 that the Board incurred through the Office of the Attorney General in its prosecution of Accusation No. 6048.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jodie Lee Blackham has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 111855 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301(f) (Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption), in that Respondent admittedly stole or diverted from her employer, CVS Pharmacy #35, 100 tablets of phentermine 37.5 mg for self-administration;
- b. Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301(h) (Self-Administration of a Controlled Substance), in that Respondent admittedly stole or diverted from her employer, CVS Pharmacy #35, 100 tablets of phentermine 37.5 mg for self-administration;
  - c. Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301(j) (Violations of State Laws Regulating Controlled

Substances), in that Respondent: 1 Obtained the controlled substance phentermine by fraud. deceit. 2 misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of Health and Safety Code § 11173(a); and, 3 Possessed the controlled substance phentermine without a valid or lawful 4 prescription for the medication, in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 4060; 5 d. Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301(o) (Violations of the Pharmacy Law/Unprofessional 6 Conduct), in that Respondent, while employed and/or on duty as a pharmacy technician at CVS 7 Pharmacy #35, violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, a provision or term of the 8 Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 4300, et seq.), specifically, Bus. & Prof. Code § 4060, as 9 set forth above. 10 ORDER 11 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 111855, heretofore 12 issued to Respondent Jodie Lee Blackham, is revoked. 13 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 14 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 15 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 16 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 17 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 20, 2017. 18 It is so ORDERED on March 21, 2017. 19 20 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 21 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 22 23 24 25 By 26 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President** 27 28 12600623,DOC

(JODIE LEE BLACKHAM) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 6048

	DOJ Matter ID:SA2016102857	
1	Attachment:	
2	Exhibit A: Accusation	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5  (ACDIE LEE DI ACRITANO DEFAULT DECICION & OPDER Core No. 604)	,
	(JODIE LEE BLACKHAM) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 604	'

# Exhibit A

Accusation

1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K., LACHMAN	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DANIEL D. MCGEB	
4	Deputy-Attorney-General State Bar No. 218947	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-6115	
7	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Acousation Against: Case No. 6048	
12	JODIE LEE BLACKHAM 612 So. R. Street	
13	Tulare, CA 93274 A C C U S A T I O N	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
15	Respondent	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
.21	2. On or about May 6, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
22	Number TCH 111855 ("registration") to Jodie Lee Blackham ("Respondent"). The registration	
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in the Accusation. The	
24	registration was cancelled on July 31, 2016.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following	
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise	
28	indicated.	
	1	
	(JODIB LEE BLACKHAM) ACCUSATION	

1	4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
l	
5	(1) Suspending judgment,
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
.8	(4) Revoking his or her license.
9	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the
10	board in its discretion may deem proper.
11	5. Code section 4300.1 states:
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued
13	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a
14	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render
15	a decision suspending or revoking the license.
16	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
17	6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
18	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty
19	of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
20	
21	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,
22	fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
23	••••
24	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use
25	of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or
26	to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
27	1,,,,
28	

1	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.	
2	••••	
3 4	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or	
5	federal regulatory agency	
6	7. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:	
7	No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist,	
8	veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to	
10	Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.	
LI 📗	surparagraph (23) or paragraph (0) or, subtrivibrit (4) or subtrivit (4)	
12	8. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that "[n]o person shall prescribe,	
13	administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."	
14	9. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part, that	
15	"[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to	
16	procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit,	
17	misrepresentation, or subterfuge"	
18	COST RECOVERY	
19	10. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the	
20	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
21	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
22	enforcement of the case.	
23	DRUG CLASSIFICATIONS	
24	11. Phentermine 37.5 mg is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health	
25	and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (f)(4), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of	
26	Code section 4022 in that it can only be obtained by a valid prescription. Phentermine 37.5 mg is	
27	indicated for weight loss. "Adipex-P" is a brand of phentermine 37.5 mg.	
28		

(JODIE LEE BLACKHAM) ACCUSATION

 12. Phentermine 15 mg is a Schedule TV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (f)(4), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it can only be obtained by a valid prescription. Phentermine 15 mg is indicated for weight loss. "Ionamin" is a brand of phentermine 15 mg.

## **BACKGROUND**

- 13. At all times relevant to the charges brought in the Accusation, Respondent was employed as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy #35 located on N. Westwood Street in Porterville, California ("pharmacy").
- 14. On or about June 26, 2015, the Board received a letter from S. R., Lead Coordinator of the Drug Loss Program Team for CVS Caremark, indicating that they had been notified by the pharmacy of a loss or theft of controlled substances. S. R. provided the Board with a copy of a DEA 106 form that had been submitted by the pharmacy. The pharmacy reported a theft or loss of 1,605 tablets of the controlled substance alprazolam 1 mg and indicated that the theft/loss had occurred on May 27, 2015.
- 15. On or about July 23, 2015, the Board received an email from K. D. of CVS Health. K. D. stated that during their investigation of the above loss/theft, they interviewed Respondent and another employee<sup>1</sup>, who both admitted to the theft of phentermine from the pharmacy. K. D. provided the Board with copies of various documents, including a DEA 106 form that had been submitted by the pharmacy showing a theft/loss of 307 tablets of phentermine 37.5 mg and 7 tablets of phentermine 15 mg on July 7, 2015, and a statement from Respondent dated July 7, 2015. Respondent admitted in her statement that she took a bottle of phentermine 37.5 mg, 100 tablets, two and a half to three years earlier. Respondent also admitted that she put the bottle in her pocket and took the medication for self-use to give her "more energy to deal with personal issue (sic) and work."
- 16. On or about April 8, 2016, Board Inspector D. P. interviewed Respondent.

  Respondent stated that during her investigation interview at the pharmacy, she was asked if she

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The other employee admitted to diverting a total of 160 tablets of pheatermine.

had taken any alprazolam tablets. Respondent denied taking the alprazolam, but did admit to taking the phentermine. On or about April 19, 2016, Respondent provided the inspector with a written statement in which she admitted taking phentermine 2 years earlier.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy #35, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as follows: Respondent stole or diverted 100 tablets of phentermine 37.5 mg from the pharmacy for self-administration.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Self-Administration of a Controlled Substance)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for unprofessional conduct, in that while employed and/or on duty as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy #35, Respondent self-administered the controlled substance phentermine without a valid or lawful prescription for the medication and/or used phentermine to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, others and/or the public.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Violations of State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j), for unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy #35, Respondent did the following:
- a. Respondent obtained the controlled substance phentermine by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a):
- b. Respondent possessed the controlled substance phentermine without a valid or lawful prescription for the medication, in violation of Code section 4060.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Violations of the Pharmacy Law)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for unprofessional conduct, in that while employed and/or on duty as a pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy #35, Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, a provision or term of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4300, et seq.), specifically, Code section 4060.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 111855, issued to Jodie Lee Blackham;
- 2. Ordering Jedie Lee Blackham to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/27/17

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

SA2016102857

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28