BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
	Case No. 5927
CVS PHARMACY LLC,	
dba CVS/PHARMACY #3079	STIPULATED SETTLMENT AND
2224 Patterson Road	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC
Riverbank, CA 95367	REPROVAL
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 49549	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]
and	(Nikmala Sun Tan Only)
NIKMALA SUN TAN	
5516 Gladstone Drive	
Stockton, CA 95219	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 65685	
Respondents.	
Respondents.	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby

adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 20, 2018.

It is so ORDERED on August 21, 2018.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Victor Law, R.Ph. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA	• · · ·
2	Attorney General of California DAVID BRICE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELENA L. ALMANZO	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131058	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7902	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE
9	BOARD OF	PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5927
13	CVS PHARMACY LLC, dba CVS/PHARMACY #3079	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
13	2224 Patterson Road Riverbank, CA 95367	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 49549	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]
16	and	(Nikmala Sun Tan Only)
17	NIKMALA SUN TAN	
18	5516 Gladstone Drive Stockton, CA 95219	
19	Pharmacist No. RPH 65685	
20	Respondents.	
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE	REED by and between the parties to the above-
22	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:
23	PAR	<u>XTIES</u>
24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the	e Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy
25	(Board). She brought this action solely in her of	ficial capacity and is represented in this matter by
26	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of	California, by Elena L. Almanzo, Deputy
27	Attorney General.	•
28		
		1
	STIP SETTLEM	ENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL (5927)

Respondent Nikmala Sun Tan (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by
 attorney Gregory P. Matzen, whose address is: 2104 Big Sandy Court, Gold River, California
 95670.

JURISDICTION

3. On or about August 10,2011, the Board issued Pharmacist No. RPH 65685 to Respondent. The Pharmacist was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5927 and will expire on September 30,2018, unless renewed.

4. First Amended Accusation No. 5927 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The First
Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on
Respondent on January 5, 2018. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the
Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 5927 is attached as exhibit A and
incorporated herein by reference.

14

4

5

6

7

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

15 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the
16 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5927. Respondent has also carefully read, fully
17 discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
18 Order for Public Reproval.

Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
 hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be
 represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses
 against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance
 of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to
 reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the
 California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and
 every right set forth above.

1	
2	CULPABILITY
3	8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in the First
4	Amended Accusation No. 5927, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline
5	upon her Pharmacist License.
6	9. For the purpose of resolving the First Amended Accusation without the expense and
7	uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could
8	establish a factual basis for the charges in the First Amended Accusation, and that Respondent
9	hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
10	10. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist license is subject to discipline and she agrees
11	to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.
12	CONTINGENCY
13	11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent
14	understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may
15	communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to
16	or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent
17	understands and agrees that she may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation
18	prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation
19	as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval
20	shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action
21	between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having
22	considered this matter.
23	12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
24	copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including
25	Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and
26	effect as the originals.
27	13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by
28	the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment
	3

of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements,

understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist No, RPH 65685 issued to Respondent Nikmala Sun Tan (Respondent) shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of First Amended Accusation No. 5927, attached as exhibit A. Respondent is required to report this reproval as disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Gregory P. Matzen: I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist license. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy, 19

20 DATED: 21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

26

27

28

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Nikmala Sun Tan the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.

Respondent

DATED:

4.25.18

GREGORY P. MATLEN Attorney for Respondent

SUN TAN

STIP SETTLEMENT & DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL (5927)

	1	
	2	ENDORSEMENT
	3	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby
	4	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of
	5	Consumer Affairs.
	6	
	7	Dated: 5/1/18 Respectfully submitted,
	8	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
à	9	DAVID BRICE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
	10	
÷	11	ELENA L. ALMANZO
	12	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
	13	nitorneys for Complainant
	14	
	15	SA2016103133
	16	13053623.doc
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24 25	
	25 26	
	20	
	28	
		5

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 5927

· · · · ·	
XAVIER BECCERA Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELENA L. ALMANZO Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131058 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5524 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
BEFC	DRE THE
DEPARTMENT OF	F PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5927
CVS PHARMACY LLC, dba CVS/PHARMACY #3079	FIRST AMENDED
2224 Patterson Road Riverbank, CA 95367	ACCUSATION
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 49549	
and	
NIKMALA SUN TAN 5516 Gladstone Dr. Stockton, CA 95219	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 65685	
Responde	nt.
Complainant alleges:	
	RTIES
	rings this First Amended Accusation solely in her
official capacity as the Executive Officer of the	
Consumer Affairs.	
2. On or about November 10, 2008, th	ne Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY
49549 to CVS Pharmacy LLC ("Respondent"),	doing business as CVS/Pharmacy #3079. On and
between March 24, 2013 to October 5, 2013, N	
	(CVS PHARMACY LLC, DBA CVS/PHARMACY #3079) ACCUSATION

1	pharmacist-in-charge. The pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to
2	the charges brought herein and will expire on November 1, 2017, unless renewed.
3	3. On or about August 10, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 65685
4	to Nikmala Sun Tan. At all relevant times herein said license was in full force and effect and will
5	expire on September 30, 2018, unless renewed.
6	JURISDICTION
7	4. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
8	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise
9	indicated.
10	5. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
11	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
12	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the
13	board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
14	(1) Suspending judgment.
15	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
16	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
17	(4) Revoking his or her license.
18	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the
19	board in its discretion may deem proper
20	6. Code section 4300.1 states:
21	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the
22	placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a
23	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
24	a devision suspending of revoking the needse.
25	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
26	7. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
27 28	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
	2 (CVS PHARMACY LLC,

	••••
1	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or
2	of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
3	·····
4	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in
5	or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing
6	pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency
7	8. Code section 4059, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:
8	
9	A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7
10	9. Code section 4113 provides in pertinent part:
11	
12	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the Board in writing of the identify and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.
13	(b) The proposed pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. The
14	board shall not issue or renew a pharmacy license without identification of an approved pharmacist-in-charge for the pharmacy.
15 16	(c) The pharmacist in charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations to the practice of pharmacy"
17	10. Code section 4306.5 (b) provides in pertinent part that unprofessional conduct may
18	include any of the following:
19	
20	(b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgement or corresponding, responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs,
21	or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services
22	11. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:
23	A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a
24	legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a
25	corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an
26	order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an
27	addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the
28	purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her
	3

comfortable by maintaining customary use.

COST RECOVERY

12. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG CLASSIFICATIONS

8 13. "Roxicodone", a brand name for oxycodone, is a Schedule II controlled substance
9 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M). Roxicodone is also a
10 dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used to treat pain.

11 14. "Norco", a brand name for hydrocodone/acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled
12 substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056 (e) (4). Norco is also a dangerous
13 drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used to treat pain.

14 15. "Xanax", a brand name for alprazolam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance
15 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1). Xanax is also a dangerous
16 drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used to treat anxiety.

16. "Adipex-P", a brand name for phentermine, is a Schedule IV controlled substance
pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (f)(4). Adipex-P is also a
dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used as an appetite suppressant.

20 17. "Soma", a brand name for carisoprodol, is a Schedule IV Controlled Substance
21 pursuant to Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.14, subdivision (c)(6). Soma is
22 also a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used as a muscle relaxant.

18. "Adderall", a brand name for amphetamine salts, is a Schedule II controlled substance
pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(1). Adderall is also a
dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022 and is used to treat Attention Deficit Hyperactivity
Disorder.

4

27 28 \parallel

[]

1

2

3

4

5

6

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

19. On or about January 13, 2015, pharmacy technician Mona Chavarin ("Chavarin") was 2 arrested by the Modesto Police Department for, among other things, filling altered prescriptions 3 and criminal conspiracy¹. The police department sent a copy of the arrest report to the Board. 4 The report indicated that Lenele Nunez ("Nunez") stole prescription pads belonging to physician 5 assistant R. S. and sold them to Christina Martinez ("Martinez"). Martinez forged the 6 prescriptions, and she and Lance Wilson had the prescriptions filled at CVS/Pharmacy #9248 7 ("CVS #9248") located in Modesto California, where Chavarin was employed as a pharmacy 8 9 technician. Chavarin verified the prescriptions through Nunez. Fourteen patient names, 8 actual 10 and 6 fictional, were connected to the fraud and approximately 286 prescriptions were issued. The prescriptions were filled for approximately 17,350 oxycodone tablets, 23,760 hydrocodone 11 tablets, and 7,650 tablets of Schedule IV controlled drugs. 12

13

1

20. On or about February 4, 2015, Board Inspector H. conducted an inspection at CVS/Pharmacy #3079 ("CVS #3079") and was assisted by Inspector T. Frank Michael Sasaki 14 ("Sasaki") was present and informed Inspector H. that he was the interim pharmacist-in-charge. 15 Sasaki had an envelope containing copies of prescriptions and other documents that had recently 16 been removed by the MNET, and a pharmacy technician made copies of the documents for the 17 inspectors' use. Inspector H. reviewed the documents and noticed that most of the patient names 18 were the same patient names used on the fraudulent prescriptions obtained from CVS #9248. 19 Inspector H. asked Sasaki if she (Inspector H.) could review each known fraudulent patient's 20 payment information in the pharmacy's computer. Sasaki agreed. Inspector H. obtained copies 21 of 88 pages of prescription documents and patient prescription profiles; the documents were 22 grouped by patient and included copies of the forged prescriptions as well as the associated 23 24 patient prescription profiles. Nine of the 13 fraudulent patients identified at CVS #9248 were identified in the records at CVS #3079. None of the patient prescription records listed any 25

26 ¹ On or about February 19, 2015, Chavarin was indicted in United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:15CR00046-LJO-SKO, and charged with conspiracy to 27 distribute oxycodone and hydrocodone and possession with intent to distribute controlled substances, aiding and abetting. 28

10	the same phone numbers were written on prescriptions for different patients. None of the		
	prescriptions were dispensed on the date the prescriptions were written, and most were dispensed		
11	several days later. There were 15 pages of additional patient records contained in the envelope		
12	provided by Sasaki for patients not previously identified as fraudulent at CVS #9248. Inspector		
13	H. confirmed with Detective M. that these additional patients were also fraudulent.		
14	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
15	(Unlawful Furnishing of Dangerous Drugs)		
16	21. Respondent CVS is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,		
17	subdivision (0), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent violated or attempted to violate,		
18	directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to violate state laws		
19	governing pharmacy, specifically, Code section 4059, subdivision (a), as follows: In and between		
20	June 2013 and March 2014, while employed and on duty at CVS/Pharmacy #3079, Respondent's		
21	pharmacists verified and dispensed approximately 60 fraudulent prescriptions for the controlled		
22	substances oxycodone, mixed amphetamine salts, hydrocodone/acetaminophen, alprazolam,		
23	phentermine, and carisoprodol, including the following:		
24	Prescription Numbers Fraudulent Patient: Initials & Date of Birth		
25	1. 419902, 419904, 419905 RL (7/1/79)		
23 24	phentermine, and carisoprodol, including the following: Prescription Numbers Fraudulent Patient: Initials & Date of Birth		

2.	411381, 411382	
3.	401816, 401817, 401818	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.	411199, 411200	CC (11/8/77)
5.	391545	
6.	394068	CL (4/1/80)
7.	410799, 410800, 410801	
8.	420394, 420395, 420396	ID (9/8/69)
9.	399221, 399222, 399223	
10	. 406172, 406173, 406174	DS (3/8/74)
11	. 411693, 411694, 411695	
12	. 405543, 405544, 405545	PS (2/3/58)
13	. 392811, 392812	
14	. 411734, 411735, 411736	
15	. 380641	JW (7/28/51)
16	. 409391, 409392, 409393	
17	428927, 428928,428929	RA (9/3/67)
18	. 396625, 396626	
19	422868, 422869, 422871	
20	. 408134, 408135, 408136	
21	. 400160, 400161, 400163	AA (2/16/54)
22	. 389158	AM (5/11/55)
23	. 392895, 392896	CW (5/4/56)
24	. 378047	RM (3/20/76)
25	5. 397220, 397221	BM (5/18/81)
26	5. 377993	CM (2/4/87)

(CVS PHARMACY LLC, DBA CVS/PHARMACY #3079) ACCUSATION

1	
1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Exercise Corresponding Responsibility with Regard to
3	the Dispensing or Furnishing of Controlled Substances)
4	22. Respondent CVS is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,
. 5	subdivision (j), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent violated state laws regulating
6	controlled substances, specifically, Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), as
7	follows: In and between June 2013 and March 2014, while employed and on duty at
8	CVS/Pharmacy #3079, Respondent's pharmacists failed to exercise or implement their best
9	professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing
10	of controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as set forth in paragraph 21 above.
11	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Unlawful Furnishing of Dangerous Drugs)
13	23. Respondent Tan is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,
14	subdivision (0), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent, as pharmacist-in-charge of
15	CVS/Pharmacy #3079, violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or
16	abetted the violation of, or conspired to violate state laws governing pharmacy, specifically, Code
17	section 4059, subdivision (a), as follows: In and between March 24, 2013 and October 5, 2013,
18	Respondent verified and dispensed RX #378047 on June 22, 2013 and RX # 394068 on
19	September 20, 2013, both were fraudulent prescriptions.
20	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Failure to Exercise Corresponding Responsibility with Regard to
22	the Dispensing or Furnishing of Controlled Substances)
23	24. Respondent Tan is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,
24	subdivision (j) and 4306.5 (b), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent, as pharmacist-in-
25	charge of CVS/Pharmacy #3079, violated state laws regulating controlled substances,
26	specifically, Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), as follows: In and between
27	March 2013 and October 2013, Respondent failed to exercise or implement her best professional
28	
	8

(CVS PHARMACY LLC, DBA CVS/PHARMACY #3079) ACCUSATION

1	judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled
2	substances and dangerous drugs, as set forth above in paragraph 21.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 49549, issued to CVS
7	Pharmacy LLC, doing business as CVS/Pharmacy #3079;
8	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 65685 issued to Nikmala Sun
9	Tan;
10	3. Ordering CVS Pharmacy LLC, doing business as CVS/Pharmacy #3079 and Nikmala
11	sun Tan, to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement
12	of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
13	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
14	alala l'in Xanda
15	DATED: 12/12/17 Uiginia Leide
16	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
17	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
18	Complainant
19	SA2016103133
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	9
	9 (CVS PHARMACY LLC,

DBA CVS/PHARMACY #3079) ACCUSATION