1		
2		
3		
4		,
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARN	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		1
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5910
12	MARIO CARMELO GALLARDO 339 Marcos Street, Apartment 104	DEFAULT DECISION
13	San Marcos, CA 92069	AND ORDER
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 138664	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. On September 23, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity	
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs,	
20	filed Accusation No. 5910 against Mario Carmelo Gallardo (Respondent) before the Board.	
21	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
22	2. On February 4, 2014, the Board issued Ph	armacy Technician Registration No.
23	TCH 138664 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect	
24	at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5910, and will expire on April 30	
25	2017, unless renewed.	
26	3. On October 6, 2016, Respondent was serv	ed by Certified and First Class Mail
27	copies of the Accusation No. 5910, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code se	ections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7)

at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code)section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is 339 Marcos Street, Apartment 104, San Marcos, CA 92069.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Code section 124.
- 5. On October 31, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unclaimed." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5910.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5910, finds that

the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5910, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,035.00 as of December 7, 2016.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Mario Carmelo Gallardo has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 138664 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy
 Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are
 supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (j) in that he committed acts that violated statutes of the State of California regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. The circumstances are that on January 10, 2016, during an enforcement stop in Carlsbad, California, Respondent admitted to possession of some medication. An officer searched Respondent and located a pill bottle inside his jacket pocket containing 78 pills of antihistamine, eight whole and three half Xanax pills, and 17 Valium pills. Respondent was arrested for possession of Xanax and Valium without a prescription and transported to the Vista Detention Facility.
- b. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that he committed acts that violated pharmacy laws of the State of California regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- c. Respondent subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent possessed controlled substances without a prescription in violation of Code section 4060, conduct that would have warranted the denial of a pharmacy technician registration under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A).

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 138664, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Mario Carmelo Gallardo, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 9, 2017. 8 It is so ORDERED on January 10, 2017. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 15 By16 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President** 17 18 81526165.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2016701763 19 Attachment: 20 Exhibit A: Accusation 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

l	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015	•	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9431 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	DESTA	is and department and	
i de la constanta de la consta	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10		ALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5910	
13	MARIO CARMELO GALLARDO 339 Marcos Street, Apartment 104	ACCUSATION	
14	San Marcos, CA 92069		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 138664		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	74.10ppm		
19	Complainant alleges:	ant alleges:	
20	.PAR	ries	
21	Virginis Herold (Complainant) br	ings this Accusation solely in her official	
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer	
23	Affairs.		
24	2. On February 4, 2014, the Board is	sued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
25	Number TCH 138664 to Mario Carmelo Gallard	o (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
26	Registration was in full force and effect at all tirr	es relevant to the charges brought herein, and	
27	will expire on April 30, 2017, unless renewed.		
28	M		
1		(MARIO CARMELO GALLARDO) ACCUSATION	

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 4300, subdivision (a) provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:

(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

Code section 492 states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

 $\parallel L$

1.5

8. Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ______," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

Code section 4060 states:

A person shall not possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6. This section does not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, if in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

This section does not authorize a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

10. Code section 4301 states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

	(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.	

	11. Health & Safety Code section 11057 states, in pertinent part:	
	(a) The controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule IV.	
	(b) Schedule IV shall consist of the drugs and other substances, by whatever official name, common or usual name, chemical name, or brand name designated, listed in this section.	
	(c) Narcotic drugs. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following narcotic drugs, or their salts calculated as the free anhydrous base or alkaloid, in limited quantities as set forth below:	

	(d) Depressants. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation which contains	
any quantity of the following substances, including its salts, isomers, and salts of isomers whenever the existence of those salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical designation:		
	(1) Alprazolam.	
	•••	
	(9) Diazepam.	
	• • • •	
	12. Health and Safety Code section 11375 states, in pertinent part:	
	• • •	
	(b) (2) Every person who possesses any controlled substance specified in subdivision (c), unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be guilty of an infraction or	
a misdemeanor. (c) This section shall apply to any material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following substances:		
	(4) Diazepara.	

	(12) Alprazolam.	
	•••	
//]		
	4	

13.

d

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

14. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

- 15. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Flealth and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and is categorized as a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 16. Diazepam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code, section 11057, subdivision (d)(9) and is categorized as a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Drug Laws)

17. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (j) in that he committed acts that violated statutes of the State of California regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. The circumstances are as follows:

> Ž7

a. On January 10, 2016, teenagers in several vehicles were reported driving up and down Flying Cloud Way in Carlsbad, California. Responding officers from the Carlsbad Police Department subsequently initiated an enforcement stop. During contact, an officer immediately smelled marijuana coming from within the vehicle. The driver had no driver's license. The four passengers included Respondent, who admitted to possession of some medication. An officer searched Respondent and located a pill bottle inside his jacket pocket containing 78 pills of antihistamine, eight whole and three half Xanax pills, and 17 Valium pills. Respondent was arrested for possession of Xanax and Valium without a prescription and transported to the Vista Detention Facility.

b. On March 16, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Mario Carmelo Gallardo*, in San Diego County Superior Court (SDCSC), North County Division, Case Number CN355248, Respondent pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 11375, subdivision (b)(2), possession of designated controlled substance alprazolam, a misdemeanor. Another misdemeanor charge for violation of HSC section 11375, subdivision (b)(2), possession of designated controlled substance diazepam, was dismissed under a plea bargain.

c. As a result of Respondent's guilty plea, on March 16, 2016, he was placed on deferred entry of judgment for a period of 18 months under Penal Code (PC) section 1000. Respondent was ordered to pay a deferred entry of judgment (DEOJ) administration fee, attend self-help meetings, attend and successfully complete a County of San Diego PC 1000 program, submit to a drug test at any time during the DEOJ period, and show proof of DEOJ enrollment, and completion or progress report when ordered to do so by the SDCSC or the Substance Abuse Assessment Unit.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Pharmacy Laws)

18. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that he committed acts that violated pharmacy laws