## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY 1818 S. Western Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90006

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39267

And

SEUNG YOL KIM 5351 Quail Canyon Road La Crescenta, CA 40147

Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147

Respondents.

Case No. 5852

OAH No. 2017091063

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 7, 2018.

It is so ORDERED on May 8, 2018.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 129533		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6281 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant	•	
8	BEFOR		
	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5852	
12	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY 1818 S. Western Avenue	OAH No. 2017091063	
13	Los Angeles, CA 90006	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39267	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15	And		
16	SEUNG YOL KIM		
17	5351 Quail Canyon Road La Crescenta, CA 91214		
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147		
19	Respondents.		
20			
21	IT IS HERERY STIDIU ATED AND ACD	EED have and haterways the mostly at 11 . 1	
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
23	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
24	PARTIES  1 Visualis II all (C) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy		
26	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter b		
27	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael A. Cacciotti, Deputy		
28	Attorney General.		
~~			

- Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim and Seung Yol Kim
   (collectively, "Respondents") are represented in this proceeding by attorney Benjamin J. Fenton,
   Esq., whose address is: 1990 S. Bundy Dr., Suite 777 Los Angeles, CA 90025
- 3. On or about April 6, 1993, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39267 to Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim ("Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy"). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5852, and was cancelled on February 9, 2018 due to Discontinuance of Business.
- 4. On or about January 15, 1987, the Board issued Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147 to Seung Yol Kim ("Respondent Kim"). The Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5852, and will expire on July 31, 2018, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 5. Accusation No. 5852 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 14, 2016. Respondents timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 6. A copy of Accusation No. 5852 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

# ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5852. Respondents have also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 8. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of

documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

9. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 10. Respondents admit the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 5852.
- 11. Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy agrees that its Pharmacy Permit is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 12. Respondent Kim agrees that his Pharmacy Permit is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondents understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agree that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.

It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39267 issued to Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim is revoked.

IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147 issued to Respondent Seung Yol Kim is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent Kim is placed on probation for six (6) years on the following terms and conditions.

#### 1. Suspension

As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of pharmacy beginning on June 1, 2018, until such time in which he completes at least six (6) hours of remedial education on the topics of either prescription drug abuse and/or corresponding liability, and provides satisfactory proof. The remedial education program must be approved by the Board or its designee. If Respondent completes the continuing education course offered jointly by the Board and the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, entitled "CURES, Prescription Drug Abuse and Preventing Drug Diversion – What a Pharmacist Needs to Know," on May 2018, in San Francisco, California, then no period of suspension shall be automatically imposed on the effective date of this decision.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient

consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances.

Respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 2. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
   Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
  which involves Respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
  pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
  for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 3. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports

in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

#### 4. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 5. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

## 6. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

### 7. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 5852 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during Respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 5852, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 5852 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he has read the decision in case number 5852 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

# 8. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$27,305.75. Respondent shall

make said payment according to a plan approved by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the plan as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

#### 10. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 11. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

# 12. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted.

Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective

date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

# 13. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 14. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

#### 15. Violation of Probation

If a Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

#### 16. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.

#### 17. Remedial Education

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to prescription drug abuse and/or corresponding liability. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least six (6) hours, which shall be completed every year of probation at Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be

credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the Respondent, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's knowledge of the course. If the Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require Respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

#### 18. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, Respondent shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the board. Upon and after the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the Board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the Board or its designee, either:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall have his supervisor submit notification to the Board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the decision in case number 5852 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined by the Board or its designee. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

. If Respondent changes employment, it shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure

that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Respondent shall have his new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the Board in writing stating the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the decision in case number 5852 and is familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the board. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until the Board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, respondent shall notify the Board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall Respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the

Board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs

and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the Board.

During suspension, Respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 19. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written

4,

proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 20. Bihics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designes within five days after completing the course.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have curefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Benjamin J. Fenton, Esq.. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Pettnit, and Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED TO JAVE 26, 20/

ROSS METICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM.
Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy

DATED/ March 26, 2018

MELING YOL KIM
Respondent Scung Yol Kim

I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Soung Yol Kim and Soung Yol Kim the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 3/26/19

BIMIXMIN I. FENTON, ENQ. Attorney for Respondent

1	proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide		
2	documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation,		
3	20. Ethics Course		
4	Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall		
5	enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its		
6	designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within		
7	the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.		
8	Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five		
9	days after completing the course.		
10	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
11	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
12	discussed it with my attorney, Benjamin J. Fenton, Esq I understand the stipulation and the		
13	effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit, and Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated		
14	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be		
15	bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
16			
<sub>1</sub> , ,	DATED:		
17			
17 18	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy		
	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM		
18	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:		
18 19	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED: SEUNG YOL KIM		
18 19 20	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:		
18 19 20 21	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim		
18 19 - 20 21 22	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim  I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim		
18 19 20 21 22 23	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim  I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim and Seung Yol Kim the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated		
118   119   120   121   122   123   124   124   124   124   124   125   126	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim  I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim and Seung Yol Kim the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.		
18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim  I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim and Seung Yol Kim the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.  DATED:  BENJAMIN J. FENTON, ESQ.		
18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY, SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy  DATED:  SEUNG YOL KIM Respondent Seung Yol Kim  I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim and Seung Yol Kim the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.  DATED:  BENJAMIN J. FENTON, ESQ.		

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.

Dated:

3/26/18

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2016601249 52840974.docx

Exhibit A Accusation No. 5852

- 1			
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA L. SUN		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207108		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 620-3005 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF C		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5852	
12	ROSS MEDICAL PHARMACY 1818 S. Western Avenue	ACCUSATION	
13	Los Angeles, CA 90006		
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 39267		
15	And		
16	SEUNG YOL KIM		
17	5351 Quail Canyon Road La Crescenta, CA 91214		
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 40147		
19	Respondents.		
20		h.	
21	Complainant alleges:		
22	PARTIES		
23	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
24	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
25	2. On or about April 6, 1993, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number		
26	PHY 39267 to Ross Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim (Respondents). The Pharmacy Permit		
27	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
28	April 1, 2017, unless renewed.		

naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or upon an order to furnish drugs or transmit a prescription from a certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or pharmacist acting within the scope of his or her practice.

- "(b) "Dispense" also means and refers to the furnishing of drugs or devices directly to a patient by a physician, dentist, optometrist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, or by a certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, naturopathic doctor, or physician assistant acting within the scope of his or her practice."
  - 8. Section 4113, subdivisions (c) and (d), state:

• • • •

- "(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.
  - 9. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

- "(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.
- "(e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the customer (including size and frequency of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer distributes its product.

. . .

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

....

- 10. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a) states:
- "(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions:

  (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

#### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 provides that:
- "(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration: Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- "(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose."

#### **COST RECOVERY**

12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 13. "Alprazolam", the generic name for Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
- 14. "Phenergan with Codeine" is the brand name for Promethazine with Codeine. It is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 15. "Carisoprodol", also known as Soma, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to 21 Code of Federal Regulations 1308.17, subdivision (c)(6) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
- 16. "Hydrocodone/acetaminophen" is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.

# ' <u>BACKGROUND RE BOARD INVESTIGATION</u> AND INSPECTION OF PHARMACY ON FEBRUARY 25, 2014

- 17. On or about September 25, 2013, the Board received a crime report from WeTip which alleged that 1818 N. Western Avenue, Room 500, Los Angeles paid \$20 to use patient names and billed their insurances for services which were never provided to them. 'According to WeTip, the manager kept the medicine that Dr. M.G. wrote after a "fake visit" and the pharmacy downstairs was also in on this scam. Investigation revealed that Ross Medical Pharmacy (and/or the pharmacy) is located on the first floor of Southfork Medical Clinic (Southfork Clinic and/or clinic) and dispensed erroneous and uncertain prescriptions that were prescribed by the clinic.
- 18. On or about February 25, 2014, the Board's Inspector went to 1818 S. Western Avenue, Room 5, Los Angeles, CA 90006 and knocked on the door, but no one answered. A maintenance person indicated that Southfork Clinic had closed down. The Board's Inspector went to the first floor of the same building and conducted an inspection of Ross Medical

Pharmacy. Pharmacy technician L.B. told the Inspector they stopped taking prescriptions from Southfork Clinic and the pharmacy does not dispense prescriptions from out of the area patients. Respondent Kim stated that a few months ago, Dr. M.G. quit or was fired from Southfork Clinic and a new prescriber named Dr. P.M. introduced himself to Kim and said he was a surgeon and pain management prescriber. On February 22, 2014, a SWAT team came and arrested everyone in the clinic.

- 19. The Inspector reviewed the numerous books of Ross Medical Pharmacy's prescriptions, and found an average of about five patients' prescriptions per day were dispensed by the pharmacy and written at the clinic. She collected a sample of those prescription hardcopies and daily reports for various days to determine which percentage of prescriptions they dispensed were for the clinic.
- 20. The Inspector asked Respondent Kim about his corresponding responsibilities as a pharmacist, and he was confused. He then asked Respondent if it was within the scope of practice for a pain management prescriber to write extensive number of promethazine with codeine for cough. Respondent Kim replied "yes", he believed it was within his scope of practice.
- 21. Between January 1, 2013 and October 18, 2013, Respondent Ross Medical Pharmacy and Respondent Kim filled prescriptions written by Dr. P.M. and Dr. M.G. of Southfork Clinic for Promethazine with Codeine #240 and Alprazolam 2 mg #60. The Board's Inspector found the following irregularities with these prescriptions, which Respondent Kim should have questioned:
  - The same combination of medications prescribed by the two prescribers of the clinic for most of their patients.
  - Most patients were prescribed Alprazolam for muscle spasms and Promethazine with Codeine for cough and congestion by the two prescribers of the clinic. The therapy was not individualized for each patient.
  - Respondent Kim should have questioned why a pain clinic is prescribing excessive amounts
    of cough medications and referring them to a pain management specialist.

# BACKGROUND RE BOARD INVESTIGATION

#### AND INSPECTION OF PHARMACY ON OCTOBER 27, 2015

- 22. The Board received a notification from Cardinal Health indicating that Cardinal Health suspended sales of controlled substances to Ross Medical Pharmacy due to irregular controlled substance ordering at the pharmacy. The Board became aware of the arrest and federal indictment of Dr. M.G. as described in an article released by the U.S. Attorney's Office. Details of the article included the following:
  - The article stated federal authorities arrested five defendants, including Dr. M.G., linked to a narcotics trafficking ring, "that sold illegal prescriptions for cash and obtained drugs that were shipped to Texas for sale on the black market."
  - The article stated the operation was based in the Southfork Medical Clinic and alleged the clinic was a "pill mill" where Dr. M.G. wrote prescriptions, "without a legitimate medical purpose."
    - The article explained Dr. M.G. issued more than 10,000 prescriptions over a 15 month period and nearly 80 percent of the prescriptions were for hydrocodone or alprazolam.
  - The article described undercover operations conducted at Southfork Medical Clinic in which Dr. M.G. gave undercover operators prescriptions for oxycodone and promethazine/codeine syrup, "in exchange for the person returning to the clinic with the bottles of the prescribed cough syrup."
- 23. On February 4, 2016, the U.S. Attorney's Office issued another press release indicating Dr. M.G. "pleaded guilty to one count of illegally distributing oxycodone and one count of money laundering." The article stated as part of her guilty plea, Dr. M.G. admitted "that she issued prescriptions [for controlled substances] to Southfork 'patients' at the instructions of the owner of the clinic...and that she knew the 'patients' did not actually need the drugs."

  Additionally, Dr. M.G. acknowledged she "intentionally prescribed the drugs outside the usual course of professional practice and without a legitimate medical purpose."
- 24. An inspection and investigation at Ross Medical Pharmacy determined that the pharmacy did not fulfill its corresponding responsibility to dispense only legitimate prescriptions in filling controlled substance prescriptions written by Dr. M.G. The Board's Inspector contacted an employee at Cardinal Health who explained that Cardinal Health evaluated dispensing at Ross Medical Pharmacy and determined the percentage of controlled substances purchased in cash was

significantly higher than the percentage of non-controlled substances purchased in cash. Frequent cash payments for controlled substances are a red flag for potential drug diversion and abuse.

- 25. The California Controlled Substance Utilization and Review and Evaluation System (CURES) database contains information about controlled substance prescriptions filled in California, as reported by pharmacies. On August 11, 2015, the Board's Inspector requested and reviewed a CURES report showing controlled substance prescriptions filled by Ross Medical Pharmacy from August 1, 2012 to August 11, 2015, which showed the following:
  - Dr. M.G. was the most frequent prescriber of controlled substances dispensed at Ross Medical Pharmacy during the query period.
    - o Ross Medical Pharmacy dispensed 5,806 controlled substance prescriptions written by Dr. M.G. during the query period. Additionally, all of Dr. M.G.'s prescriptions included in this report were filled between 11/28/2012 and 12/17/2013.
    - Over 98% of Dr. M.G.'s controlled substance prescriptions dispensed by Ross Medical Pharmacy during the query period were alprazolam 2 mg, carisoprodol 350 mg, or a hydrocodone/acetaminophen combination.
    - o Over 99% of Dr. M.G.'s controlled substance prescriptions were purchased in cash, meaning not billed to prescription insurance.
- 26. The Board's investigation revealed the following facts: Between November 28, 2012 and December 17, 2013, Ross Medical Pharmacy filled 7,730 controlled substance prescriptions written by Dr. M.G., despite multiple factors of irregularity indicating the prescriptions were not written for legitimate medical indications and in the normal course of practice. Objective factors suggesting the medical illegitimacy of these prescriptions included:
  - The vast majority, 92.55%, of Dr. M.G.'s prescriptions filled at Ross Medical Pharmacy were written for controlled substances.
    - o The majority of Dr. M.G.'s prescriptions at Ross Medical Pharmacy were commonly abused controlled substances.
      - Alprazolam 2 mg tablets, promethazine/codeine syrup, and carisoprodol 350 mg made of 56.67% of Dr. M.G.'s prescriptions at Ross Medical Pharmacy.
      - Hydrocodone/acetaminophen combinations in various strengths accounted for 34.42% of Dr. M.G.'s total prescribing.
  - 98.93% of Dr. M.G.'s prescriptions were purchased in cash, meaning not billed to prescription insurance.

- There were at least 81 instances when Ross Medical Pharmacy processed prescriptions for multiple patients receiving the same cocktail of medications from Dr. M.G. in short periods of time, sometimes consecutively.
- There were at least 47 patients of Dr. M.G. with addresses on file at Ross Medical Pharmacy which were significantly outside of the pharmacy's normal trading area including many patients with addresses of record more than 100 miles away from Ross Medical Pharmacy.
- There were many instances in which more than one patient with the same address received the same or similar prescriptions from Dr. M.G. on the same day.
- Many of Dr. M.G.'s patients had the same or similar addresses on file at Ross Medical Pharmacy. The dispensing records contained at least 13 addresses used as addresses of record for 7 to 30 of Dr. M.G.'s patients. Additionally, the dispensing histories of the patients with shared addresses were similar.
- 27. Additionally, Ross Medical Pharmacy failed to assume its corresponding responsibility when, despite being registered to view the information in the CURES database, it failed to access or evaluate Patient Activity Reports for patients with insurance coverage who purchased controlled substances in cash. Ross Medical Pharmacy's failure to consult this available reference resulted in dispensing controlled substances to patients who engaged in doctor shopping and polypharmacy activity.

#### **CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

#### (Violation of Corresponding Responsibility to Verify Prescriptions)

28. Respondents Ross Medical Pharmacy and Kim are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (d) and (j) for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and Code section 4301, subdivision (o), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b), in that between November 28, 2012 and December 17, 2013, Respondents failed to assume corresponding responsibility by failing to validate the legitimacy of the prescriptions and/or reviewing the patients' drug therapy, by dispensing prescriptions without regard to objective factors, and/or by dispensing irregular/uncertain prescriptions. Complainant refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraphs 17-27, as though set forth fully.

28 | ///

#### **PRAYER** 1 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 2 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 3 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 39267, issued to Ross 4 Medical Pharmacy, Seung Yol Kim; 5 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License RPH No. 40147, issued to Seung Yol 6 Kim: 7 3. Ordering Seung Yol Kim to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the 8 9 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and, 10 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 11 12 13 DATED: 14 **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy 15 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 16 Complainant 17 LA2016601249 18 52236878.docx 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28