	'					
1	•					
2						
3	•					
. 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
5						
6						
7						
8.		RE THE				
9.	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA				
11		,				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5776				
13		OAH No. 2016090123				
14	ANNIKA MARIETH KARLSSON	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
15	39444 Diego Drive Temecula, CA 92591					
	Temecula, CA 72371	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135756					
17	135/50					
18						
19	Respondent.					
20		· ·				
21						
22	FINDINGS OF FACT					
23	1. On or about September 20, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official					
24	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	f Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,				
25	filed Accusation No. 5776 against Annika Marie	th Karlsson (Respondent) before the Board of				
26	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)					
27						
28	<i>///</i>	•				
		1				

- 2. On or about October 12, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135756 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5776 and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 26, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. 5776, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is 39444 Diego Drive, Temecula, CA 92591.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about May 19, 2016, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record and it informed her that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for October 11, 2016. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . .
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as

taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5776, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5776, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,860.00 as of October 11, 2016.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Annika Marieth Karlsson has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135756 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.
- b. On or about January 15, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Annika Marieth Karlsson*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM1505987, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), (driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs), and violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), (driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or higher), misdemeanors. Respondent admitted and the court found true the special allegation that her blood alcohol concentration (BAC) was .20 percent or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23538 (b).

27 | ///

///

28 || ///

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135756, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Annika Marieth Karlsson, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 9, 2017. 8 It is so ORDERED on January 10, 2017. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 15 By16 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President** 17 18 19 20 81493613.DOC SD2016700488 21 Attachment: 22 Exhibit A: Accusation 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1							
1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2617 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant						
9 10 11	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF O	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5776					
13 14	ANNIKA MARIETH KARLSSON 39444 Diego Drive Temecula, CA 92591	ACCUSATION					
15 16 17	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135756 Respondent.						
18 19	Commissioner allocati						
20	Complainant alleges:						
21	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity						
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
23	2. On or about October 16, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician						
24	Registration Number TCH 135756 to Annika Marieth Karlsson (Respondent). The Pharmacy						
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought						
26	herein and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed.						
27	///						
28	///	,					
1		1					

25.

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

20

21

22

23

25

26

28

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

24.

11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(January 15, 2016 Criminal Convictions for DUI on November 1, 2015)

- 12. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 15, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Annika Marieth Karlsson*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWM1505987, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), (driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs), and violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), (driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or higher), misdemeanors. Respondent admitted and the court found true the special allegation that her blood alcohol concentration (BAC) was .20 percent or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23538 (b).
- b. As a result of the convictions, Respondent was granted summary probation for three years, and committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 36 days, with one day credit for time served, which can be served in the work release program. Respondent was ordered to attend and complete a first offender DUI program for nine months, enroll and complete the MADD Victim Impact Panel Session, AA meetings, pay fees and fines, and comply with the terms of DUI probation.

c. The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about the evening of
November 1, 2015, the Riverside County Sheriff's responded to report of a possible drunk driver
who collided with a raised curb. Upon contact with Respondent, the officer asked Respondent to
step out of the vehicle. Respondent was unable to walk without swaying back and forth, and the
officer immediately noticed a strong smell of alcohol emitting from Respondent. Respondent
admitted to consuming alcohol earlier that day. Respondent submitted to field sobriety tests,
which she performed poorly. Based on her objective symptoms of intoxication, Respondent
provided two breathalyzer tests, which were analyzed with a .37 percent and .33 percent.
Respondent was then placed under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.
During booking, Respondent provided a blood sample which was subsequently analyzed with a
BAC of .36 percent.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Using Alcoholic beverages to the Extent or in a Manner as to be Dangerous or Injurious)

13. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public, in that she operated a motor vehicle on November 1, 2015, while significantly impaired by alcohol, as described in paragraph 12, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

14. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 for unprofessional conduct in that she engaged in activities described in paragraph 12, above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 135756, issued to Annika Marieth Karlsson;

of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code						
section 125.3;						
3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.						
		•	/	α		
	16 1	/) -	· Weed			
DATED:	4/20116	Oligin				
		VIRGINIA HER Executive Office	or			
		Board of Pharma Department of C	onsumer Affairs			
		State of Californ Complainant	ia			
SD2016700 Karlsson.ve	488 r.2.doex					
				•		
			ų			
				•		
			•			