# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

MELISSA JIN KOGER 45 Donovan Irvine, CA 92620 Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760 Case No. 5684

OAH NO. 2016030702

Respondent.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 14, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on September 14, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS			
2	Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	•		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MANUEL ARAMBULA			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 289718			
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266			
	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9463			
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	•		
8	BEFO	RE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	G X 5004		
12	Against:	Case No. 5684		
13	MELISSA JIN KOGER	OAH No. 2016030702		
14	45 Donovan Irvine, CA 92620	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF		
15	Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760	LICENSE AND ORDER		
16	Respondent.			
17		•		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	REED by and between the parties to the above-		
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.			
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala			
23	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Manuel Arambula, Deputy Attorney			
24	General.			
25	2. Melissa Jin Koger (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has			
26	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.			
27	3. On or about October 21, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Intern Pharmacist			
28	License No. INT 28760 to Respondent. The Intern Pharmacist License was in full force and effective a			

at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 5684 and expired on May 31, 2016, and has not been renewed.

### JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 5684 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board) and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 14, 2016. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. 5684 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in the First
   Amended Accusation No. 5684. Respondent also has carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in the First Amended Accusation No. 5684, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Intern Pharmacist License without further process.

///

. 

#### CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760, issued to Respondent Melissa Jin Koger, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Intern Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

- Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as an Intern Pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board her pocket license and, if one was 3. issued, her wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If she ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in the First Amended Accusation No. 5684 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition. The earliest date on which Respondent may reapply for a license with the Board is three years after the effective date of this order.
- 5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,485.00 prior to issuance of a new license.
- If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in the First Amended Accusation No. 5684 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Intern Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

7-28-16 DATED:

Respondent

28 ///

## **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 7/29/16

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

MANUEL ARAMBULA
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2015802989

# Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 5684

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MANUEL ARAMBULA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 289718		
5	600 West Broadway Street, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9463 Fax: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	DEFEC		
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12		ı	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5684	
14	MELISSA JIN KOGER 139 Fieldwood	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
15	Irvine, CA 92618		
16	Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about October 21, 2011, the Board issued Intern Pharmacist License Number		
24	INT 28760 to Melissa Jin Koger (Respondent). The Intern Pharmacist License was in full force		
25	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2016,		
26	unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	1//		
	1	1	

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
  - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

. . .

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . .

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).

///

- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

#### COSTS

11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (November 1, 2013 Criminal Conviction for DUI on July 4, 2013)

- 12. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of an intern pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about November 1, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Melissa Jin Koger*, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 13HM08050, Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor, and that her BAC was .20 percent or more, pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23538, subdivision (b)(2). As a result of a plea agreement, the court dismissed an additional count of driving under the influence of alcohol (Veh. Code, § 23152(a)).

28 ||

- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve one day in the Orange County Jail, with credit for one day, and granted informal probation for three years.

  Respondent was ordered to complete a nine-month Level 2 First Offender Alcohol Program, complete a total of 89 days of community service, and pay fees and victim restitution.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that shortly after two in the morning, on July 4, 2013, the Irvine Police Department responded to a report of a traffic collision. Officers spoke to Respondent who stated she was traveling at approximately 60 miles per hour when she rear-ended another vehicle because she was too drunk to see it. Respondent admitted consuming alcohol prior to operating her vehicle. Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emitting from her person, her eyes were bloodshot and watery, her speech was slurred and incoherent, and she had difficulty maintaining her balance. Respondent was unable to complete the field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent provided a breath sample that was analyzed by the preliminary alcohol screening device with a BAC of .249 percent. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. During booking, she provided a blood sample that was analyzed with a BAC of .27 percent.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dangerous Use of Alcohol on July 4, 2013)

13. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public, in that she operated a motor vehicle on July 4, 2013 while significantly impaired by alcohol, and caused a collision with another motorist, as described in paragraph 12, above.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (March 15, 2016 Criminal Conviction for DUI on August 1, 2015)

14. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I), of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of an intern pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:

10

11

9

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- On or about March 15, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the* State of California v. Melissa Jin Koger, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 15WM09834, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol with one prior, a misdemeanor, Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08, Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a), hit and run with property damage, a misdemeanor, and Vehicle Code section 23154, subdivision (a), driving with a blood alcohol concentration of .01 or greater while on probation, an infraction.
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve 50 days in the Orange County Jail, granted informal probation for five years. Respondent was ordered to complete an 18 month Multiple Offender Alcohol Program and to pay restitution to the victim of the hit and run.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about the evening of August 1, 2015, the California Highway Patrol (CHP) responded to a report of a single vehicle collision in Costa Mesa. The investigation determined that Respondent had driven off the road, collided with a metal light pole, then drove over a sprinkler head. Her vehicle had moderate collision damage and was situated in a dirt/vegetation area on the transition ramp from SR-55 to I-405. The officer observed that Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol on her breath and person, her eyes were bloodshot and watery, her speech was slow and slurred, and she appeared confused. Respondent was unable to complete the field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent provided two breath samples which were analyzed with a BAC of .20 and .21, respectively. Respondent was arrested. CHP officers learned that Respondent had been in another collision just prior to driving off the road. The victim of that collision reported that Respondent had rear-ended her vehicle while driving on SR-55. Both she and Respondent pulled over onto the shoulder of the road. The victim made contact with Respondent at Respondent's vehicle, and informed Respondent that she had called 9-1-1 to report the collision. After a brief conversation, Respondent fled the scene without exchanging any information. The victim was able to identify Respondent as the person responsible for the hit and run collision.

# 2

3

# 4 5

# 6 7

# 8

# 9 10

# 11

# 12

# 13

# 14

# 15

# 16

# 17

# 18 19

# 20

# 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dangerous Use of Alcohol on August 1, 2015)

15. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public, in that she operated a motor vehicle on August 1, 2015, while significantly impaired by alcohol and caused a collision with another motorist, as described in paragraph 14, above.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Convictions of More than One Misdemeanor Involving the Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

16. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (k), of the Code in that she was convicted of more that one misdemeanor involving the use of alcoholic beverages, as described in paragraphs 12 and 14, above.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Intern Pharmacist License Number INT 28760, issued to Melissa Jin Koger;
- Ordering Melissa Jin Koger to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 7/14/16

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2015802989 81196584.doc

	1	· .	
1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 137969 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	STATEON	SALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5684	
14	MELISSA JIN KOGER	ACCUSATION	
15	45 Donoyan Iryine, CA 92620		
16	Intern Pharmacist License No. INT 28760	,	
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:	·	
20	PAR	TIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about October 21, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Intern Pharmacist		
24	License Number INT 28760 to Melissa Jin Koger (Respondent). The Intern Pharmacist License		
25	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
26	May 31, 2016, unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	///		
		1	
ļ		(MELISSA JIN KOGER) ACCUSATION	

III

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
  - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

### 8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . .

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

**7** 

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

#### COSTS

11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (November 1, 2013 Criminal Conviction for DUI on July 4, 2013)

- 12. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of an intern pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about November 1, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Melissa Jin Koger*, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 13HM08050, Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor, and that her BAC was .20 percent or more, pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23538, subdivision (b)(2). As a result of a plea agreement, the court dismissed an additional count of driving under the influence of alcohol (Veh. Code, § 23152(a)).
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to serve one day in the Orange County Jail, with credit for one day, and granted informal probation for three years.

  Respondent was ordered to complete a nine-month Level 2 First Offender Alcohol Program, complete a total of 89 days of community service, and pay fees and victim restitution.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that shortly after two in the morning, on July 4, 2013, the Irvine Police Department responded to a report of a traffic collision. Officers spoke to Respondent who stated she was traveling at approximately 60 miles per hour when she rear-ended another vehicle because she was too drunk to see it. Respondent admitted consuming alcohol prior to operating her vehicle. Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emitting from her person, her eyes were bloodshot and watery, her speech was slurred and incoherent, and she had difficulty maintaining her balance. Respondent was unable to complete the field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent provided a breath sample that was analyzed by the preliminary alcohol screening device with a BAC of .249 percent. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. During booking, she provided a blood sample that was analyzed with a BAC of .27 percent.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dangerous Use of Alcohol on July 4, 2013)

13. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public, in that she operated a motor vehicle on July 4, 2013 while significantly impaired by alcohol, and caused a collision with another motorist, as described in paragraph 12, above.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dangerous Use of Alcohol on August 1, 2015)

- 14. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that she used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and to the public. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about the evening of August 1, 2015, the California Highway Patrol (CHP) responded to a report of a single vehicle collision in Costa Mesa. The investigation determined that Respondent had driven off the road, collided with a metal light pole, then drove over a sprinkler head. Her vehicle had moderate collision damage and was situated in a dirt/vegetation area on the transition ramp from SR-55 to I-405. The officer observed that

III

III

Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol on her breath and person, her eyes were bloodshot and watery, her speech was slow and slurred, and she appeared confused. Respondent was unable to complete the field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent provided two breath samples which were analyzed with a BAC of .20 and .21, respectively. Respondent was arrested. CHP officers learned that Respondent had been in another collision just prior to driving off the road. The victim of that collision reported that Respondent had rearended her vehicle while driving on SR-55. Both she and Respondent pulled over onto the shoulder of the road. The victim made contact with Respondent at Respondent's vehicle, and informed Respondent that she had called 9-1-1 to report the collision. After a brief conversation, Respondent fled the scene without exchanging any information. The victim was able to identify Respondent as the person responsible for the hit and run collision.

b. On August 4, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Melissa Jin Koger*, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 15WM09834, Respondent entered a plea of not guilty to charges of driving under the influence (Veh. Code, § 23152(a)), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more (Veh. Code, § 23152(b)), hit and run with property damage (Veh. Code, § 20002(a)), and driving with a BAC of .01 percent or greater while on probation for DUI (Veh. Code, § 23154(a)), misdemeanors. The charges are still pending,

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Intern Pharmacist License Number INT 28760, issued to Melissa Jin Koger;
- 2. Ordering Melissa Jin Koger to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		
3		
4	DATED: 11/23/15 Cuerna Lucles	
5	VIROINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
6	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant	
7	Complainant	
8	SD2015802989	
9	81196584,doc	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23	,	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	7	

(MELISSA JIN KOGER) ACCUSATION