1 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 5677 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CYNTHIA GUTIERREZ 13 7586 Layton St. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 [Gov. Code, §11520] 14 15 **Pharmacy Technician Registration** No. TCH 75100 16 Respondent. 17 FINDINGS OF FACT 18 On or about July 30, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity 1. 19 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 20 Accusation No. 5677 against Cynthia Gutierrez (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. 21 (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 22 2. On or about April 24, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 23 Technician Registration No. TCH 75100 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 24 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5677 25 expired on October 31, 2016, and has not unless renewed. This lapse in licensure, however, 26 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) and/or agency-specific statute does not 27 deprive the [Board] of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding. 28

- 3. On or about August 16, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5677, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 7586 Layton St., Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about August 22, 2016, the U.S. Postal Service returned the green receipt for certified mail, signed by Respondent on August 18, 2016, as receiving the aforementioned documents at Respondent's address of record.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5677.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5677, finds that

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75100, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Cynthia Gutierrez, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 8 This Decision shall become effective on December 29, 2016. 9 It is so ORDERED on November 29, 2016. 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 15 By 16 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President** 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 52281028.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2015604072 24 Jz(11/1/16) 25 26 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA L. SUN		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Susana A. Gonzales		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Footing the (510) 622-2221		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5677	
12	CYNTHIA GUTIERREZ		
13	7586 Layton St. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75100		
15			
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about April 24, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 75100 to Cynthia Gutierrez ("Respondent"). The Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this		
25	Accusation and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	1		

///

///

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - "(1) Suspending judgment.
 - "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper."
 - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

6. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

9

18 19

17

21

20

22 23

2425

26

2728

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or

corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and

whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties

of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13

(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled

substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or

dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to

fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or

dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the

qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or

a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning

of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the

judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

- 8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 9. Section 493 of the Business and Professions Code States:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'License' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration."

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

28

///

///

- 15. On or about April 5, 2013, the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department received a report of a man and woman fighting in a red pick-up truck in Rancho Cucamonga, California. Officer 1 located the red pick-up truck and observed it shaking from side to side. Officer 1 also heard screaming and yelling coming from inside the vehicle. Officer 1 approached the driver of the vehicle, a man who was later identified as Respondent's boyfriend, MB. MB admitted that he and the woman, later identified as Respondent, were having an argument. Officer 1 began questioning MB while Officer 2 and Officer 3 arrived on the scene and questioned Respondent.
- 16. While Officer 2 questioned Respondent, the officer noticed that she was extremely nervous and fidgety. Respondent initially denied ever taking methamphetamines. When questioned again by Officer 2, Respondent admitted she had taken methamphetamine with a friend the previous day. Officer 2 administered a series of field sobriety tests to Respondent, which she performed poorly. Officer 2 arrested Respondent for driving under the influence of a controlled substance. Respondent provided a blood sample to law enforcement.
- 17. On or about September 9, 2015, in a criminal matter in the San Bernardino County Superior Court entitled, "People v. Cynthia Gutierrez," Case No. MWV1303852, Respondent was convicted by a plea of nolo contendere of fighting in public, an infraction. (Penal Code, § 415). Respondent was ordered to pay \$485.00 by September 1, 2016.
- 18. Following a report that there were individuals illegally occupying or "squatting" in a residence in Apple Valley, California, deputies from the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department went to the residence on March 21, 2015, to investigate. The deputies were met by Respondent, and they discovered that she had two active misdemeanor warrants for her arrest. The deputies determined that Respondent's boyfriend, MB, a wanted felon, was also living at the residence with Respondent. Respondent was taken into custody for the active warrants. During Respondent's arrest, the deputies warned her that MB was a wanted felon, and that if she was found harboring MB, she would be arrested as an accessory.
- 19. On or about March 22, 2015, the San Bernardino County Sheriff's deputies returned to the residence in Apple Valley in order to service a warrant for MB's arrest. One of the deputies knocked on the front door of the residence. Respondent was inside the home and took several

Q

///

III

 $/\!/\!/$

minutes to respond to the knocking. When Respondent finally responded, she stated that she was going to open the door, yet she still waited several minutes to do so. When she finally opened the door, she blocked the doorway and denied that her boyfriend, MB, was there. The deputies had to physically move Respondent out of the way in order to enter the home. Meanwhile, MB was found attempting to flee through the rear entrance of the residence, where deputies were waiting. MB was arrested and taken into custody. Respondent was arrested as an accessory for harboring MB.

- 20. Deputies determined that the residence in Apple Valley was owned by a deceased person and had been vacant for some time. When deputies entered the residence, they found the home ransacked with the deceased owner's belongings all over the floor. There were multiple animals running in and out, urinating and defecating all over the residence. After Respondent and MB's arrest, one of the deputies contacted animal control, who took custody of three dogs and a cat that were at the residence. They deputy also found a lock picking kit with several lock picking keys inside the bedroom of the residence.
- 21. On or about March 22, 2015, deputies questioned Respondent following her arrest. Respondent admitted that she had been living in the home in Apple Valley for several months. She also admitted that she had taken over another vacant home and that she planned to move to that home soon. Respondent never attempted to contact the lawful owners of either property, and she erroneously believed that by signing and notarizing homestead documents, she had a legal right to occupy these vacant homes.

<u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>

(General Unprofessional Conduct) (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301)

22. Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician's Registration to discipline because she engaged in unprofessional conduct. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4301(f)). The circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 21, above.

1	 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 	
2		
3		/
4	4 7/20/16	gine Hold
5	DATED:	
6	6 Executiv	
7	7 Board of Departm	Pharmacy ent of Consumer Affairs California
8	State of Complai	California <i>nant</i>
9	<u> </u>	
10	0 LA2015604072 90657123.doc	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		1
26		
27		
28		
	, o	