BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

JOHN VINCENT CASTALDO 19958 Chaparral Circle Penn Valley, California 95946

Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324,

Case No. 5605 OAH No. 2016050394

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 22, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on November 22, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

	[1				
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Synanticing Departs Attorney General				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GREGORY TUSS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 200659				
4					
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550				
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2143 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant				
7					
8	BEFORE THE				
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5605				
12	OAH No. 2016050394				
13	JOHN VINCENT CASTALDO 19958 Chaparral Circle Penn Valley, California 95946 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER				
14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH				
15	31324,				
16	Respondent.				
17					
18	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled				
19	proceedings that the following matters are true:				
20	PARTIES				
21	1. Complainant Virginia Herold is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy				
22	(board), Department of Consumer Affairs. She brought this action solely in her official capacity				
23	and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California,				
24	and by Gregory Tuss, Deputy Attorney General.				
25	2. Respondent John Vincent Castaldo is represented in this proceeding by attorney Craig				
26	O'Loughlin, whose address is Two North Central Avenue, Phoeniz, AZ 85004				
27	3. On August 8, 1977, the board issued Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324 to				
28	respondent. This original pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to				
	1				

the charges brought in this accusation and will expire on December 31, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 5605 was filed before the board and is currently pending against respondent. The accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on January 21, 2016. Respondent timely filed his notice of defense contesting the accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 5605 is attached as exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5605. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 5605.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324 is subject to discipline and it agrees to be bound by the board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

-///

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

°20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

CONTINGENCY

- This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for complainant and the staff of the board may communicate directly with the board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the board considers and acts upon it. If the board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph; it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties; and the board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- The parties understand and agree that portable document format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324 issued to respondent John Vincent Castaldo is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **Obey All Laws**

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy

 Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which
 involves respondent's original pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for
 any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Accusation No. 5605 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in Accusation No. 5605, and terms and conditions imposed by it. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in Accusation No. 5605 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that they has read the decision in case number 5605 and the terms and conditions imposed by it. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that

his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board, nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$10,766.75. Respondent is responsible for these costs jointly and severally with respondents Safeway, Inc., Karen Lyn Muir, and Christine Moheb Stephanos who are also named in Accusation No. 5605. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the board or its designee, with payments to be completed no later than one month prior to the end of the probation term.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the

board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board. Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

12. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. This notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new

employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

13. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 30 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of 30 hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 30 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 30 hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

14. Violation of Probation

If respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent and probation shall automatically be extended until

all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

16. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to compounding. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 10 hours, which shall be completed within 18 months at respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at their own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If the respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall

1	require respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.		
2	ACCEPTANCE		
3	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have full		
4	discussed it with my attorney, Craig O'Loughlin. I understand the stipulation and the effect it wi		
5	have on my Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement		
6	and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the		
7	Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
8			
9	DATED:		
10	JOHN VINCENT CASTALDO Respondent		
11	I have read and fully discussed with respondent John Vincent Castaldo the terms and		
12	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.		
13	I approve its form and content.		
14	DATED:		
15	CRAIG O'LOUGHLIN Attorney for Respondent		
16			
17	ENDORSEMENT		
18	This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is submitted for consideration by the		
19	Board of Pharmacy.		
20			
21	Dated: Respectfully submitted,		
22	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
23	DIANN ŠOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
24			
25	Gregory Tuss		
26	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
27	SF2015402803		
28	Castaldo Settlement.doc		
	10		

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ENDORSEMENT

This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.

Dated: 10.17.16

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

GREGORY TUSS.
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SF2015402803 90697229.doc

Exhibit 1

Accusation No. 5605

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GREGORY TUSS					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 200659					
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Post Office Box 70550					
6	Oakland, California 94612-0550					
7	Telephone: (510) 622-2143 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270					
	Attorneys for Complainant					
8		RE THE PHARMACY				
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA				
10		7				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5605				
12	SAFEWAY PHARMACY INC.	ACCUSATION				
13	d.b.a. Safeway Pharmacy #4905 6100 Hellyer Avenue, Suite 100					
14	San Jose, California 95138					
15	Original Permit No. PHY 52537 Original Permit No. PHY 53416,					
16	SAFEWAY PHARMACY INC.	•				
17	d.b.a. Safeway Pharmacy #4526 255 Second Street					
18	Los Altos, California 94022					
19	Original Permit No. PHY 51192,					
20	JOHN VINCENT CASTALDO 23750 Hutchinson Road					
21	Los Gatos, California 95033	8				
22	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324,					
23	KAREN LYN MUIR 156 Dunsmuir Way					
24	Menlo Park, California 94025					
25	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 39228,					
26	and					
27						
28						
40	_					
]					

ACCUSATION (SAFEWAY, CASTALDO, MUIR, STEPHANOS)

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.

Section 4169, subdivision (a), states in part:

"A person or entity shall not do any of the following:

Code."

2. "(3) Purchase, trade, sell, or transfer dangerous drugs that the person knew or reasonably

should have known were misbranded, as defined in Section 111335 of the Health and Safety

12. Section 4301 states in part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

13. Section 4306.5 states in part:

"Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

. . .

"(b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services."

14. Health and Safety Code section 111335 states:

"Any drug or device is misbranded if its labeling or packaging does not conform to the requirements of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 110290)."

15. Health and Safety Code section 111397 subdivision (a), states:

"Any foreign dangerous drug that is not approved by the United States Food and Drug
Administration or that is obtained outside of the licensed supply chain regulated by the United
States Food and Drug Administration, California State Board of Pharmacy, or State Department

18.

of Public Health is misbranded."

6. Health and Safety Code section 111400 states:

"Any drug or device is misbranded if it is dangerous to health when used in the dosage, or with the frequency or duration prescribed, recommended, or suggested in its labeling."

17. Health and Safety Code section 111440 states:

"It is unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, deliver, hold, or offer for sale any drug or device that is misbranded."

18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3, subdivision (a), states in part:

"For each compounded drug product, the pharmacy records shall include:

. .

"(4) The identity of the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product."

IV. COST RECOVERY

19. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceedings, the administrative law judge may direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

V. DRUGS

20. Domperidone is an anti-dopaminergic drug which acts as an antiemetic and prokinetic agent. It is used relieve nausea and vomiting, and to increase factation. It is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. Although the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) may approve an application to use domperidone as an investigational new drug in treating various gastrointestinal conditions, the use of domperidone is not approved in the United States for any indication. The FDA has determined that any product containing domperidone are unapproved new drugs and misbranded. Consequently, any product containing domperidone violates the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 301 et

1

VI. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 21. On April 8, 2015, the Board inspected respondent Safeway at its present address of record. The inspectors found a 500 gram bulk powder container of domperidone with an expiration date of January 30, 2018. The container stated "NOT FOR HUMAN USE NOT FOR US[E] IN FOOD[-]PRODUCING ANIMALS." Respondent Castaldo, the pharmacist-in-charge at respondent Safeway, said that Safeway had been compounding domperidone. He said that the domperidone manufacturer told him that the FDA told the manufacturer to put the warning on the label but it is safe to use on humans. Respondent Castaldo told the inspectors that the Professional Compounding Centers of America said to keep compounding with domperidone until "somebody shuts you down." He said that nevertheless respondent Safeway had stopped compounding domperidone about a month before the inspection. The inspectors embargoed the domperidone.
- 22. Worksheets show the following instances of domperidone compounding at respondent Safeway. All worksheets list respondent Castaldo as the pharmacist.

a)	7/21/14	600 10mg capsules	Checked by Muir
b)	10/8/14	300 30mg capsules	Checked by Muir
c)	10/27/14	300 10mg capsules	Checked by Castaldo
d)	11/13/14	300 10mg capsules	Checked by Muir
e)	12/11/14	300 10mg capsules	Checked by [unknown]
f)	1/12/15	300 10mg capsules	Checked by [blank]
g)	2/6/15	300 20mg capsules	Checked by [blank]
h)	2/18/15	300 10mg capsules	Checked by [blank]
(i	3/4/15	300 20mg capsules	Checked by [blank]

23. Pharmacy records show respondent Safeway dispensed approximately 423 prescriptions for domperidone totaling approximately 45,898 capsules ranging from 5mg to

Instances a) through e) are attributable to respondent Safeway PHY 51192, f) through h) to respondent Safeway PHY 52537, and i) to respondent Safeway PHY 53416.

40mg. Approximately 374 of these prescriptions totaling approximately 28,693 capsules were dispensed by respondent Safeway PHY 51192, approximately 39 prescriptions totaling approximately 16,263 capsules by respondent Safeway PHY 52537, and approximately 10 prescriptions totaling approximately 942 capsules by respondent Safeway PHY 53416.

24. Respondent Castaldo dispensed and verified approximately 190 prescriptions for domperidone totaling approximately 21,360 capsules. Respondent Muir dispensed and verified approximately 161 prescriptions totaling approximately 16,813 capsules. Respondent Stephanos dispensed and verified 72 prescriptions totaling approximately 7,725 capsules.

VII. CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

A. Safeway PHY 51192

First Cause for Discipline
Unprofessional Conduct – Manufacturing Misbranded Drugs
Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (0), 4169, subdivision (a)(3),
Health and Safety Code section 111440

- 25. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 26. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 51192 to discipline for the unprofessional conduct of manufacturing misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Approximately 28,693 domperidone capsules were compounded at respondent Safeway PHY 51192.

Second Cause for Discipline
Unprofessional Conduct – Selling, Transferring, and Delivering Misbranded Drugs
Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (e), 4169, subdivision (a)(3),
Health and Safety Code section 111440

- 27. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 28. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 51192 to discipline for the unprofessional conduct of selling, transferring, and delivering misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Respondent Safeway PHY 51192 dispensed approximately 374 prescriptions for domperidone

1	totaling approximately 28,693 capsules.	
2	B. Safeway PHY 52537	
3	Third Cause for Discipline Unprofessional Conduct – Manufacturing Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (o), 4169, subdivision (a)(3),	
5	Health and Safety Code section 111440	
6	29. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as	
7	if fully set forth.	
8	30. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 52537 to	
9	discipline for the unprofessional conduct of manufacturing misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code,	
10	§§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Approximately 16,263	
11	domperidone capsules were compounded at respondent Safeway PHY 52537.	
12	Fourth Cause for Discipline Unprofessional Conduct — Selling, Transferring, and Delivering Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (o), 4169, subdivision (a)(3), Health and Safety Code section 111440	
13 14		
15	31. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as	
6	if fully set forth.	
17	32. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 52537 to	
8	discipline for the unprofessional conduct of selling, transferring, and delivering misbranded drugs	
9	(Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440).	
20	Respondent Safeway PHY 52537 dispensed approximately 39 prescriptions for domperidone	
21	totaling approximately 16,263 capsules.	
22	C. Safeway PHY 53416	
:3	Fifth Cause for Discipline	
4	Unprofessional Conduct – Manufacturing Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (0), 4169, subdivision (a)(3),	
5	Health and Safety Code section 111440	
6	33. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as	
:7	if fully set forth.	
8	34. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 53416 to	
İ	8	

	1		
1	discipline for the unprofessional conduct of manufacturing misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Cod		
2	§§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Approximately 942		
3	domperidone capsules were compounded at respondent Safeway PHY 53416.		
4	Sixth Cause for Discipline Unprofessional Conduct – Selling, Transferring, and Delivering Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (a), 4169, subdivision (a)(3), Health and Safety Code section 111440		
5 6			
7	35. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as		
8	if fully set forth.		
9	36. Respondent Safeway has subjected its Original Permit No. PHY 53416 to		
10	discipline for the unprofessional conduct of selling, transferring, and delivering misbranded drug		
11.	(Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440).		
12	Respondent Safeway PHY 53416 dispensed approximately 10 prescriptions for domperidone		
13	totaling approximately 942 capsules.		
14	D. Castaldo		
15	Seventh Cause for Discipline Unprofessional Conduct — Manufacturing Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (0), 4169, subdivision (a)(3), Health and Safety Code section 111440		
16 17			
18	37. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as		
19	if fully set forth,		
20	38. Respondent Castaldo has subjected his original pharmacist license to discipline for		
21	the unprofessional conduct of manufacturing misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301,		
22	subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Respondent compounded		
23	domperidone.		
24	Eighth Cause for Discipline		
25	Unprofessional Conduct – Selling, Transferring, and Delivering Misbranded Drugs Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (o), 4169, subdivision (a)(3),		
26	Health and Safety Code section 111440		
27	39. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as		
28	if fully set forth.		
60	ALLWAY WWY AVAULT		

40. Respondent Castaldo has subjected his original pharmacist license to discipline for the unprofessional conduct of selling, transferring, and delivering misbranded drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, subd. (o), 4169, subd. (a)(3); Health and Saf. Code, § 111440). Respondent Castaldo dispensed and verified approximately 190 prescriptions for domperidone totaling approximately 21,360 capsules.

Ninth Cause for Discipline
Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Exercise or Implement Best Professional Judgment or
Corresponding Responsibility
Business and Professions Code sections 4301, 4306.5, subdivision (b)

- 41. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 42. Respondent Castaldo has subjected his original pharmacist license to discipline for the unprofessional conduct of failing to exercise or implement his best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of dangerous drugs (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4301, 4306.5, subd. (b)). Respondent compounded, dispensed, and verified prescriptions for domperidone.

Tenth Cause for Discipline
Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Identify Identity of Reviewing Pharmacist
Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (0),
California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3, subdivision (a)

- 43. The allegations of paragraphs 20-24 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 44. Respondent Castaldo has subjected his original pharmacist license to discipline for the unprofessional conduct of failing to identify the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4301, subd. (o); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1735.3, subd. (a)). Respondent Castaldo was the pharmacist-in-charge at respondent Safeway. Four compounding worksheets for domperidone did not include the identity of the pharmacist who reviewed the final drug product.

///

Safeway for not maintaining its location so that drugs are properly maintained, secured, and distributed (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4301, subd. (o); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1714, subd. (b)). An audit revealed losses of over 5,000 tablets of oxycodone. On March 3, 2014, respondent Safeway appealed the citation. Respondent Safeway withdrew its request for appeal on August 19, 2015, and paid the citation.

56. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324 issued to respondent John Vincent Castaldo, complainant alleges that on February 18, 2014, the Board issued Citation No. CI 2013 60060 against respondent Castaldo's original pharmacist license. The citation assessed a civil penalty of \$1,000 for not effectively controlling against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs, and the records for those drugs, as the pharmacist-in-charge (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1714, subd. (d)). An audit revealed losses of over 5,000 tablets of oxycodone. On March 3, 2014, respondent Castaldo appealed the citation. Respondent Castaldo withdrew his request for appeal on August 14, 2015, and paid the citation.

IX. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issues a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit No. PHY 52537 issued to respondent Safeway Pharmacy Inc., d.b.a. Safeway Pharmacy #4905:
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Permit No. PHY 53416 issued to respondent Safeway Pharmacy Inc., d.b.a. Safeway Pharmacy #4905;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Original Permit No. PHY 51192 issued to respondent Safeway Pharmacy Inc., d.b.a. Safeway Pharmacy #4626;
- 4. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 31324 issued to respondent John Vincent Castaldo;
- Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 39228 issued to respondent Karen Lyn Muir;
 - 6. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 61981 issued to

ACCUSATION (SAFEWAY, CASTALDO, MUIR, STEPHANOS)