## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5564

KAREN KA YIN HO

4654 La Rica Ave. Baldwin Park, CA 91706

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556

Respondent.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 4, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on October 5, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1		•	
	·		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	CHRISTINE J. LEE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 282502		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	•	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2539 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
7		ATE POTTE	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	·	<b>,</b>	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5564	
12	KAREN KA YIN HO 4654 La Rica Ave.		
13	Baldwin Park, CA 91706	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
21	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
22	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Christine J. Lee, Deputy Attorney		
23	General.	٠.	
24	2. Karen Ka Yin Ho (Respondent) is re	presenting herself in this proceeding and has	
25	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.		
26	3. On or about May 8, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
27	Registration No. TCH 39556 to Karen Ka Yin Ho (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
28	Registration will expire on July 31, 2017, unless renewed.		

#### JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 5564 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 15, 2016.

Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 5564 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5564. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 5564, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

///

///

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

#### CONTINGENCY

- This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556, issued to Respondent Karen Ka Yin Ho, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

13· 

///

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacy Technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board her pocket license and, if one was issued, her wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If she ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 5564 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,210.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 5564 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 7. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should she apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in the accusation shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application.
- 8. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board, including, but not limited to certification by a nationally recognized body prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.

## ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8-16-16

KAREN KA YIN HO

Respondent

## **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 8/22/16

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CHRISTINE J. LEE J. Deputy Attorney Gen

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

24

2526

27

28

LA2015502036 52192105.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5564

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2542		
	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5564	
12	KAREN KA YIN HO 4654 La Rica Ave.	ACCUSATION	
13	Baldwin Park, CA 91706	,	
14	c/o Central California Women's Facility		
15	INMATE No. WF2602 PO Box 1508	·	
16	Chowchilla, CA 93610		
17	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556		
18	. Respondent.		
19	· ·		
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
23	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board").		
24			
25	y , I to the state of the		
	TCH 39556 to Karen Ka Yin Ho, aka Ka Yin Ho ("Respondent"). Pursuant to Business and		
26	Professions Code section 4311, subdivision (a), effective September 18, 2015, the Pharmacy		
27	Technician Registration is automatically suspended, The Pharmacy Technician Registration will		
28	expire on July 31, 2017, unless renewed.		

Ç.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

- 5. Section 4011 of the Code provides that "[t]he board shall administer and enforce this chapter [Pharmacy Law, (Business and Professions Code, Sec 4000 et secq.)] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code)."
- 6. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code states, in pertinent part, that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly

labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

"Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

8. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(c) Gross negligence.

. . . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances

or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
  - "(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license...."

## REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

//

25 //

7.8

#### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follow:
- a. On or about June 17, 2015, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Vehicle Code section 23153 (b) [DUI Causing Injury], and Vehicle Code section 23560 [Second DUI Conviction Within Ten Years]. She admitted a further allegation of violating Penal Code section 12022.7 (a) [inflicting great bodily injury], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Karen Ka Yin Ho* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2015, No. KA109412). The court sentenced Respondent to two years in state prison and ordered her to make restitution to the victim.
- b. The circumstances underlying the conviction are that on or about March 3, 2015, Respondent was driving her vehicle in the wrong direction of traffic on an interstate and then she collided with another vehicle. Respondent told California Highway Patrol officers that she did not know she was traveling in the wrong direction and that she was unable to avoid the collision because she believe another vehicle was approaching her vehicle. The officers detected a strong odor of alcohol emitting from Respondent's breath and observed that she had red and watery

eyes, and slurred speech. Respondent's blood alcohol concentration was 0.28%. The Respondent's collision caused a laceration to the left eye of the first victim. He received 15 stitches. A second victim sustained injuries to her back.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or others.
- a. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 11, subparagraph b, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (More Than One Conviction Involving Alcohol)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduction, in that Respondent has three convictions involving consumption of alcohol, as follows:
- a. On or about September 24, 2001, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ka Yin Ho* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2001, No. 1RH04961). The court placed Respondent on three year probation with terms and conditions, and ordered her to complete a 3-month first-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program.
- b. On or about February 19, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of BAC], and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) [hit and run], ], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Karen Ka Yin Ho* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. 3JB00361). The court placed Respondent on 36 months probation with terms and conditions, ordered her to complete a 6-month first-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling

program, attend a Mothers Against Drunk Driving program, perform 10 days of community service, and pay restitution to a victim.

On or about June 17, 2015, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent convicted of one felony count of violating Vehicle Code sections 23153, subdivision (b), and 23560 [driving with a 0.08% or higher blood alcohol content ("BAC") causing injury, within 10 years of another driving under the influence offensel, with a further allegation of violating Penal Code section 12022.7, subdivision (a) [inflicting great bodily injury], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Karen Ka Yin Ho (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2015, No. KA109412). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set for above paragraph 11, as though set forth fully.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violate Pharmacy Law / Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (o) and (p), in that Respondent violated federal and state law and regulations governing pharmacy, and committed acts of unprofessional conduct that would have warranted a denial of a license. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates that allegations set for above in paragraphs 11 through 13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

# DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 15. To determine the degree of discipline, Complaint alleges that:
- On or about May 31, 2013, the Board issued a citation and \$3,000.00 fine to a. Respondent (case no. CI 2012 54733) for her February 19, 2013 criminal conviction. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates that allegations set for above in paragraph 13 (b) inclusive, as though set forth fully.

///

///

26 ///

25

/// 27

/// 28

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39556, issued to Karen Ka Yin Ho;
- 2. Ordering Karen Ka Yin Ho to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/7/16 Veginia Lked

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2015502036/61839246.doc/05092016