BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

ARTESIA PHARMACY, INC. DBA
TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY
11090 Artesia Blvd., Suite H
Cerritos, CA 90703

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 41551

RONALD STEPHEN MILLER 736 N. Skyridge Drive Anaheim, CA 92808

Pharmacist License No. RPH 29677

Case No. 5186

OAH No. 2016090353

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARYORDER AS TO ARTESIA PHARMACY INC. DBA TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY ONLY

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 15, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on October 16, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California						
2	THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
3	CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General						
4	State Bar No. 195663						
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013						
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804						
7	E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFORE THE						
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5186					
12	ARTESIA PHARMACY, INC. DBA	OAH No. 2016090353					
13	TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY						
14	11090 Artesia Blvd., Suite H Cerritos, CA 90703	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO					
	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 41551	ARTESIA PHARMACY INC, DBA TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY					
15	RONALD STEPHEN MILLER	ONLY					
16	736 S. Skyridge Dr. Anaheim, CA 92808						
17	Pharmacist License No. RPH 29677						
18	Respondent.						
19							
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-						
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:						
22	<u>PARTIES</u>						
23	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy						
24	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by						
25	Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cristina Felix, Deputy Attorney						
26	General,						
27	/// // // // // // // // // // // // //						
28							
. ,		1					

- 2. Respondent Artesia Pharmacy Inc. dba Triad Compounding Pharmacy (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Adam Brown, Esq., whose address is: Law Offices of Brown & Brown, 3848 Carson Street Suite 206, Torrance, CA 90503.
- 3. On or about April 5, 1996, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 41551 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5186, and will expire on April 1, 2018, unless renewed. Ronald Stephen Miller is and has been the President, owner, and Pharmacist-in Charge of Triad Compounding Pharmacy since April 5, 1996.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 5186 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 23, 2016. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 5186 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5186. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation
 No. 5186, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his pharmacist
 license
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent further agrees that in any future proceedings before the Board all allegations set forth in the Accusation shall be deemed admitted.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

.25

2. Report to the Board

Respondent owner shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent owner shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent owner shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent owner shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent owner shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$18,500. Respondent owner is jointly and severally responsible with Ronald Stephen Miller for payment in full of this total amount. Effective the date of the Decision, Respondent owner and Ronald Stephen Miller shall make fifty-eight (58) monthly payments in the amount of \$318.96 and one final payment of \$318.97. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

6. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent owner shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

7. Status of License

Respondent owner shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent owner's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

8. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent owner discontinue business, respondent owner may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent owner shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent owner shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent owner shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that

///

identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent owner shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.

Respondent owner may not apply for any new licensure from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent owner shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board.

Respondent owner further stipulates that he or she shall reimburse the board for its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

9. Notice to Employees

Respondent owner shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both. If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent owner shall ensure that any employees hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent owner shall submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

10. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Posted Notice of Probation

Respondent owner shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the entire period of probation.

Respondent owner shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient, customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Violation of Probation

If a respondent owner has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent owner violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent owner notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be

4

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

2728

automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided, and the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation shall be deemed true and correct.

Consultant for Owner or Pharmacist-in-Charge

13. During the period of probation, Respondent shall retain an independent consultant who specializes in compounding at its own expense, who shall be responsible for reviewing pharmacy operations on a monthly basis for compliance by Respondent with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of a compounding pharmacy and for compliance by Respondent with the obligations of a pharmacist-in-charge. A physical inspection shall be completed by the consultant on a quarterly basis. The consultant shall be a pharmacist licensed by and not on probation with any board of pharmacy and whose name shall be submitted to the Board or its designee for prior approval within (30) days of the effective date of this decision. Respondent may submit the names and obtain approval of two consultants. Any consultant who is not licensed in California may not participate in or supervise any activity constituting the practice of pharmacy in California, and shall, within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, sign and return to the Board an acknowledgement stating that the consultant has reviewed and is familiar with all California statutes and regulations governing practices being overseen by the consultant, including compounding practices. During the period of probation, the Board or its designee retains the discretion to reduce the frequency of the pharmacist consultant's review of Respondent's operations. Failure to timely retain, seek approval of, or ensure timely reporting by the consultant shall be considered a violation of probation.

14. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

15. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, licensed pharmacists employed by respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of

probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation. Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee for each 2 3 pharmacist within five days after completing the course. $/\!/\!/$ /// /// /// ,8 /// /// 10 /// 11 /// 12 /// 13 /// 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// /// 17 18 /// /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 22 $/\!/\!/$ 23 /// /// 24 III25 26 III27 /// 28 /// 10

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Artesia Pharmacy Inc., dba Triad Compounding Pharmacy; Case No. 5186)

1 2, 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Adam Brown, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: \$ 25/17

ARTESIA MARMACY INC. DBA TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY RONALD STEPHEN MILLER, OWNER

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Artesia Pharmacy Inc. dba Triad

Compounding Pharmacy the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 8-25-17

ADAM BROWN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.

Dated: 8-25-17

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
THOMAS L. RINALDI
Suppryising Deputy Attorney General

CRISTINA FELIX
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2014511599 52607082_2.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5186

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS						
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI						
. 3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX		,				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663						
5	300 Sc. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			•			
6	Telephone; (213) 897-2455 Facsimile; (213) 897-2804			•,			
7.	Attorneys for Complainant			i			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10		1	•		,		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5186	•	•	•		
12	ARTESIA PHARMACY, INC. DBA. TRIAD COMPOUNDING PHARMACY						
13	11090 Artesia Blyd., Suite H Cerritos, CA 90703	ACCUSATION	O N	•	•		
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 41551						
15	RONALD STEPHEN MILLER						
16	736 S. Skyridge Dr. Anaheim, CA 92808	. ,		,	•		
17	Pharmacist License No. RPH 29677						
18	Respondent,	·			,		
19		J ·	•				
20	Complainant alleges:						
21	PAR	RTIES	•		• -		
22	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	gs this Accusation s	olely in he	or official	capacity		
23	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
24	2. On or about April 5, 1996, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number						
25	PHY 41551 to Artesia Pharmacy, Inc. doing business as Triad Compounding Pharmacy						
26	(Respondent Triad Compounding Pharmacy). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect						
27	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 1, 2015, unless						
28	renewed,						
		1					

Accusation

On or about June 24, 1975, the Board of Pharmacy Issued Pharmacist License Number 29677 to Ronald Stephen Miller (Respondent Ronald Miller). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- Section 4040(a)(1)(c) and (c) of the Code states;
- (a) "Prescription" means an oral, written, or electronic transmission order that is both
 - (c) "Electronic transmission prescription" includes both image and data prescriptions. "Electronic image transmission prescription" means any prescription order for which a facsimile of the order is received by a pharmacy from a licensed prescriber. "Electronic data transmission prescription" means any prescription order, other than an electronic image transmission prescription, that is electronically transmitted from a licensed prescriber to a pharmacy.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Accusation

- 19. From at least October 28, 2011 through August 8, 2013, Respondents received electronic image and data transmission prescription orders via facsimile or email from an unlicensed entity, SportPharm Pharmaceuticals, Inc. or a wholesaler, Champion Health Services, Inc. instead of a prescriber. Respondents then dispensed, furnished and sold drugs to SportPharm Pharmaceuticals, Inc. which the Board had issued two citations and fines for engaging in unlicensed activities and/or to Champion Health Services, Inc.
- 20. Respondents furnished non-patient specific compounded drug products, allegedly for "prescriber office use" to Champion Healthcare Services, Inc. and SportPharm Pharmaceuticals, Inc. who were not prescribers.
- 21. Respondents accepted and filled prescriptions which did not contain the dates of issuance on them. Respondents also accepted and filled prescriptions from sources other than the prescriber, SportsPharm Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Champion Healthcare Services, Inc. without documenting that they contacted the prescribers to validate the prescriptions. Respondents also did not reduce oral prescriptions to writing nor did pharmacists initial oral prescriptions which were reduced to writing.
- 22. From June 25, 2013 through August 8, 2013, Respondents sold and shipped drugs to states where Respondent Triad Compounding Pharmacy was not licensed to ship drugs but was required to be licensed to ship drugs into those states.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sold Drugs to Unlicensed Entity)

23. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4169(a)(1), in that they sold or transferred dangerous drugs to an unlicensed entity, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

///

[4

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnished Drugs to Unauthorized Entities)

24. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4126.5(a)(1), in that they furnished dangerous drugs to an unlicensed entity and a wholesaler, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnished Drugs to Unauthorized Person)

25. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4163(a)(1), in that they furnished dangerous drugs to an unauthorized person, an unlicensed entity, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sold or Delivered Drugs Out of State Without Licensure)

26. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4059.5(e), in that they sold and shipped dangerous drugs into states where Respondent Triad Compounding Pharmacy was unlicensed to ship drugs but was required to be licensed to do so, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Reduce Oral Prescriptions to Writing or Initial Them)

27. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1717(c), in that they failed to reduce oral prescriptions to writing and failed to have the pharmacist initial oral prescriptions reduced to writing, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

б

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Contact Prescriber to Validate Prescriptions)

28. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761(a), in that they did not contact the prescriber to validate prescriptions received from sources other than the prescribers, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Accepted and Filled Prescriptions Without Date of Issue)

29. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4040(a)(1)(C), in that they accepted and filled prescriptions which did not contain the date issue written on them, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Accepted and Received Prescriptions From Unlicensed Entity and Wholesaler)

30. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761(a), in that they accepted and filled electronic transmission prescriptions from an unlicensed entity and a wholesaler, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnished Non-Patient Specific Compounded Drugs to Unlicensed Entity and Wholesaler)

31. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4052(a)(1), in that they furnished non-patient specific compounded drugs for "prescriber office use" to entities who were not prescribers, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

///

| ///

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 2 (Unprofessional Conduct) Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 for 3 unprofessional conduct in that they engaged in the activities described in paragraphs 18 through 4 22 above, which are incorporated herein by reference. 5 б PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 7 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 8 9 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 41551, issued to Artesia 1. Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Triad Compounding Pharmacy; 10 Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 29677, issued to Ronald 2, 11 Stephen Miller; 12. 3, Ordering Artesia Pharmacy, Inc. doing business as Triad Compounding Pharmacy 1.3 and Ronald Stephen Miller to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation 14 and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3/15/16 VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2014511599 70975420 2,doc

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24