BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, doing Business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30911

PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, doing Business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30912

PETER CRAIG CALDWELL RPH 25356

ABDUL YAHYAVI RPH 30041 Case No. 4867

OAH No. 2015100819

AS TO PETER CRAIG CALDWELL DOING BUSINESS AS L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST (PHY30911), PETER CRAIG CALDWELL DOING BUSINESS AS L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST (PHY 30912) ONLY

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board

of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 23, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on October 24, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

hC

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CC STATE OF C. In the Matter of the Accusation Against: PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER 1509 State St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30911 PETER CRAIG CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER 235 West Pueblo St. Santa Barbara, CA 93105 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30912 PETER CRAIG CALDWELL 1509 State St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Pharmacist License No. RPH 25356 ABDUL YAHYAVI 1624 La Coronilla Drive. Santa Barbara, CA 93109 Pharmacist License No. RPH 30041 Respondents. | HARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS |
|---|--|---|
| 26 | | |
| | Respondents. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | Il Stimulated Surrey | der of License (L.M. Caldwell Pharmacist: Case No. 4867 |

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

<u>PARTIES</u>

Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
 She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala
 D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cristina Felix, Deputy Attorney
 General.

2. On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 8 Number PHY 30911 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 9 1509 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101. Peter C. Caldwell has been the individual licensed 10 owner since December 13, 1984. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times 11 relevant to the charges brought in the Second Amended Accusation No, 4867 and will expire on 12 December 1, 2016, unless renewed. Peter Craig Caldwell has been the individual licensed owner 13 of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 13, 1984. Peter Craig Caldwell has been 14 the Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 13, 1984. 15

On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit
 Number PHY 30912 to LM Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 235
 West Pueblo Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105. Peter Craig Caldwell has been the individual
 licensed owner since December 13, 1984. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all
 times relevant to the charges in the Second Amended Accusation No. 4867 and will expire on
 December 1, 2016, unless renewed.

Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30911), and
 Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30912) and Respondent
 Owner Peter Craig Caldwell (Respondents) are represented in this proceeding by attorney
 William C. Haggerty, Esq., whose address is One World Trade Center, 27th Floor, Long Beach,
 CA 90831.

2

27 || ///

l

2

3

28 || ///

1

JURISDICTION

Second Amended Accusation No. 4867 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy
 (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The
 Second Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served
 on Respondents on June 30, 2016. Respondents timely filed their Notice of Defense contesting
 the Accusation. A copy of Second Amended Accusation No. 4867 is attached as Exhibit A and
 incorporated by reference.

8

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the
charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 4867. Respondents have also
carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated
Surrender of License and Order.

Respondents are fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
hearing on the charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation; the right to confront
and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their
own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the
production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision;
and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable
laws.

8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and
every right set forth above.

22

CULPABILITY

3

9. Respondents understand that the charges and allegations in Second Amended
Accusation No. 4867, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon their
Pharmacy Permits.

26 || ///

27 || ///

28

For the purpose of resolving the Second Amended Accusation without the expense
 and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could
 establish a factual basis for the charges in the Second Amended Accusation and that those charges
 constitute cause for discipline. Respondents hereby give up their right to contest that cause for
 discipline exists based on those charges.

11. Respondents understand that by signing this stipulation they enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Permits without further process.

RESERVATION

9 12. The admissions made by Respondents in this stipulation are only for the purposes of
10 this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional
11 licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil
12 proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondents 14 understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may 15 communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or 16 participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents 17 understand and agree that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the 18 stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this 19 stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of 20 no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between 21 the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this 22 matter. 23

14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format
(PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

4

27 || ///

6

7

8

13

28 || ///

1 15. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an
 2 integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
 3 It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
 4 negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order'
 5 may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing
 6 executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

7 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
8 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

9

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30911 issued to Peter Caldwell
doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist, and that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30912 issued to
Peter Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist, are surrendered and the surrender is
accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

The surrender of Respondents' Pharmacy Permits and the acceptance of the
 surrendered licenses by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against
 Respondents. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of
 Respondents' license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

Respondents and Respondent owner shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacy
 in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

3. The surrender of Respondents' pharmacy permits is stayed until February 1, 2017, to 20allow for the sale of each pharmacy. Respondents shall lose all rights and privileges as a 21pharmacy effective February 1, 2017. Before then, each Respondent must engage an independent 22 consultant, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, to conduct monthly audits of the 23 pharmacy's operations until such time as the sale is complete and a new license is issued. The 24 independent consultant must be a licensed pharmacist who does not have a pending Accusation 25 filed against them and who is not on probation with the Board. During the time that Respondent 26Peter C. Caldwell's pharmacist license (RPH 25356) is active and has not been surrendered or 27 revoked, Respondent L M Caldwell-Pharmacist State Street's independent consultant must 28

5

conduct weekly audits of the pharmacy's operations. Failure to have such a consultant in place is cause for the pharmacy permit(s) to be deemed surrendered immediately.

4. If the pharmacies are not sold by February 1, 2017, Respondents, within ten (10) days
of the effective date of the surrender, Respondents must arrange for the destruction of, the
transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed by the board of all controlled substances and
dangerous drugs and devices. Respondents shall further provide written proof of such disposition
and submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines.

5. If the pharmacies are not expected to be sold by February 1, 2017, Respondents shall 8 also, by that date, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients that specifies the 9 anticipated closing date of the pharmacies and that identifies one or more area pharmacies 10 capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of 11 records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacies' 12 ongoing patients, Respondent shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the 13 purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmaev has 14 on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a 15 prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days. 16

17 6. If the pharmacies are not sold by February 1, 2017, Respondent owner shall cause to
18 be delivered to the Board the pocket licenses for Respondents and, if one was issued, the wall
19 certificates on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

7. If Respondent owner ever applies for licensure or petition for reinstatement in the 20State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent owner 21 stipulates that should he or she apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date 22of this decision, all allegations set forth in the Second Amended Accusation shall be deemed to be 23 true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the 24 application. Respondent owner shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the 2526 date the application is submitted to the board. Respondent owner is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action. 27

28 ///

1

2

6

8. Respondents must pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$15,000. The full amount must be paid within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Decision. During the ninety days, Respondents may make payments pursuant to a payment plan, including payment of costs every thirty days, until payment is made in full. Respondents are jointly and severally responsible for payment of the costs of investigation and prosecution in this matter. Payment shall be made by cashier check or money order payable to "Board of Pharmacy" and mailed to the Board of Pharmacy at 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N219, Sacramento, CA 95834-1924 and indicate reference: "LM Caldwell, Case No. 4867."

9 9. If Respondent owner should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification,
10 or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
11 California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Second Amended Accusation, No. 4867
12 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondents for the purpose of any
13 Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

14 10. Respondent owner shall not apply to the Board for licensure or petition for
15 reinstatement for three years from the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

 22 ///

24 ///

 $\mathbf{28}$

.

÷

| | • |
|----|--|
| 1 | ACCEPTANCE |
| 2 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully |
| 3 | discussed it with my attorney, William C. Haggerty, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the |
| 4 | effect it will have on my Pharmacy Pennit. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and |
| 5 | Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order |
| 6 | of the Board of Pharmacy. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | DATED: 817/2016 COLOR |
| .9 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL DOING BUSINESS AS L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST |
| 10 | (PHY 30911) PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER |
| i1 | Respondent |
| 12 | |
| 13 | ACCEPTANCE |
| [4 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully |
| 15 | discussed it with my attorney, William C. Haggerty, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the |
| 16 | effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and |
| 17 | Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order |
| 18 | of the Board of Pharmacy. |
| 19 | Commence and |
| 20 | DATED: 8/10/2016 |
| 21 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL DOING BUSINES |
| 22 | (PHY 30912) PETER CRAIG CALDWELL, OWNER |
| 23 | Respondent |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 8 |
| | Stipulated Surrender of License (L M Caldwell Pharmacist; Case No. 486 |
| | |

I have read and fully discussed with Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell 1 Pharmacist (PHY 30911), Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist 2 3 (PHY 30912), Peter Craig Caldwell, Owner, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content. 4 5 DATED: AUGUST 18, 2016 6 C. HAGGERTY. ĽSÓ. 7 FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR AttorneyS for Respondent 8 9 10 ENDORSEMENT 11 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted 12 for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 13 Dated: Respectfully submitted, 14 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 15 THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General 16 17 18 CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General 19 Attorneys for Complainant 20 $\overline{21}$ LA2013509955 22 52181276_4.docx 23 24 25 26 27 $\mathbf{28}$ 9

| 1 | I have read and fully discussed with Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell |
|----|--|
| 2 | Pharmacist (PHY 30911), Peter Craig Caldwell doing business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist |
| 3 | (PHY 30912), Peter Craig Caldwell, Owner, the terms and conditions and other matters contained |
| 4 | in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | DATED: |
| 7 | WILLIAM C. HAGGERTY, ESQ. FORD, WÆLKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR |
| 8 | AttorneyS for Respondent |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ENDORSEMENT |
| 11 | The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted |
| 12 | for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Dated: 08/18/2016 Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS |
| 15 | Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI |
| 16 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General |
| 17 | OPPOLITED |
| 18 | CRISTINA FELIX |
| 19 | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant |
| 20 | ······································ |
| 21 | |
| 22 | LA2013509955 52181276_4.docx |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 9 |
| I | Stipulated Surrender of License (L M Caldwell Pharmacist; Case No. 4867) |

| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | |
| 7 | E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | | RE THE |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF C | PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 10 | SIALE OF C | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4867 |
| 12 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing | |
| 13 | business as L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST | SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION |
| 14 | PETER CRAIG, OWNER 1509 State St. | |
| 15 | Santa Barbara, CA 93101 | |
| 16 | Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30911 | |
| 17 | L M CALDWELL PHARMACIST doing business as L M CALDWELL | |
| 18 | PHARMACIST PETER CRAIG, OWNER | |
| 19 | 235 West Pueblo St. | |
| 20 | Santa Barbara, CA 93105 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30912 | |
| 21 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL | |
| 22 | 1509 State St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101 | |
| 23 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 25356 | |
| 24 | ABDUL YAHYAVI 1624 La Camprilla Duine | |
| 25 | 1624 La Coronilla Drive. Santa Barbara, CA 93109 | |
| 26 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 30041 | |
| 27 | Respondents. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | 1 |
| | Secon | d Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Cald |

۰.

Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell)

è

Complainant alleges:

1

2

3

4

5

PARTIES

 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 6 Number PHY 30911 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 7 1509 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street). 8 Peter C. Caldwell has been the individual licensed owner since December 13, 1984. The 9 Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein 10and will expire on December 1, 2016, unless renewed. Peter C. Caldwell has been the individual 11 licensed owner of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 13, 1984. Peter C. 12 13 Caldwell has been the Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 1, 1984. 14

On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 3. 15 Number PHY 30912 to LM Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 235 16 West Pueblo Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo 17 Street). Peter C. Caldwell has been the individual licensed owner since December 13, 1984. The 18 Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein 19 and will expire on December 1, 2016, unless renewed. Abdul Yahyavi was the Pharmacist-In-20Charge of Respondent Pueblo Street Pharmacy from December 1, 1984 to October 8, 2014. 21Catherine Young Nance was the Pharmacist in Charge from October 1, 2014 to December 24, 22 23 2014. Eleonora Volf became the Pharmacist in Charge on December 24, 2014.

4. On or about January 9, 1968, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number
25356 to Peter Craig Caldwell (Respondent Caldwell). The Pharmacist License was in full force
and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2017,
unless renewed.

2

28 II

111

Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell)

| 1 | 5. On or about December 10, 1975, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number |
|----------|---|
| 2 | 30041 to Abdul Yahyavi (Respondent Yahyavi). The Pharmacist License was in full force and |
| 3 | effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2016, unless |
| 4 | renewed. |
| 5 | JURISDICTION |
| 6 | 6. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), |
| 7 | Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section |
| 8 | references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 9 | 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/ |
| 10 | surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to |
| 11 | proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, |
| 12 | restored, reissued or reinstated. |
| 13 | 8. Section 4300 of the Code states: |
| 14 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. |
| 15 16 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: |
| 17 | (1) Suspending judgment. |
| 18 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. |
| 19 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding on |
| 20 | year. |
| 21 | (4) Revoking his or her license. |
| 22 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter |
| 25 | 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, |
| 26 | except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure. |
| 27 | /// |
| 28 | |
| | 3 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

÷

| 1 | 9. Section 4300.1 of the Code states: |
|----------|--|
| 2 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by |
| 3 | operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee |
| 4 | shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any |
| 5 | investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license. |
| 6 | 10. Section 4307 of the Code states: |
| 7 | (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is |
| 8 | under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, |
| 9 | director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probating and while acting on the manager, administration |
| 10 11 | has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or |
| 11 | placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows: |
| 13 | (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continuc until the license is issued or reinstated. |
| 16 17 | (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner," as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in that capacity in or for a licensee. |
| 18 | (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to |
| 19 | Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a |
| 20 | person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as |
| 21 | required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other |
| 22 | provision of law. |
| 23 | STATUTORY AUTHORITY |
| 24 | 11. Section 4301 of the Code states: |
| 25 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of upprofessional conduct or whose license has been produced by froud or |
| 26 | unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: |
| 27 | (a) Gross immorality. |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldy |

Ϋ.

i,

real free ways and assessed

AND ADDRESS TRANSPORT

.

•

| 1 | (b) Incompetence. |
|----------|---|
| 2 | (c) Gross negligence. |
| 3 | (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. |
| 4 | (e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of |
| 5 | subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly |
| 6 | excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the customer (including size and frequency |
| 7 | of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer distributes its product. |
| 8 | |
| 9 10 | (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. |
| | ••• |
| 11 | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting |
| 12 | the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including |
| 13 | regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 12. Section 4022 of the Code states |
| 16 | "Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for |
| 17 | self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: |
| 18 19 | (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. |
| | (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to |
| 20 | sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order |
| 21 | use of the device. |
| 22 | (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. |
| 23 | 13. Section 4059 of the Code states: |
| 24 | (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous |
| 25 | drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form. |
| 26 | (b) The licensee may remove the original records or documentation from the licensed |
| 27 | premises on a temporary basis for license-related purposes. However, a duplicate set of those records or other documentation shall be retained on the licensed premises. |
| 28 | or mose records or other documentation shall be retained on the noensed prefinises. |
| | 5 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

| 1 | (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | (d) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the |
| 3 | pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the |
| 4 | designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of |
| 5 | all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records maintained electronically. |
| 6 | (e)(1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written |
| 7 | request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises. |
| 8 | (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter. |
| 9 | 14. Section 4081 of the Code states: |
| 10 | (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous |
| 11 | drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three |
| 12 | years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, |
| 13 | dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, |
| 14 | registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of |
| 15 16 | Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices. |
| | (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary |
| 17 18 | food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section. |
| 19 | (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge shall not be criminally |
| 20 | responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge had no |
| 21 | knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate. |
| 22 | 15. Section 4105 of the Code states: |
| 23 | (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premiers in a readily retriavable form |
| 24 | retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form. |
| 25 26 | (b) The licensee may remove the original records or documentation from the licensed premises on a temporary basis for license-related purposes. However, a duplicate set of those records or other documentation shall be retained on the licensed premises. |
| 26 27 | (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making. |
| 28 | (d) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the |
| | 6 |
|] | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldy |

Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell)

Ł ŝ . .

| 1 2 3 | pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records maintained electronically. |
|-------------|--|
| 4 5 | (e) (1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises. |
| 6 | (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter. |
| 7 | (f) When requested by an authorized officer of the law or by an authorized |
| 8 | representative of the board, the owner, corporate officer, or manager of an entity licensed by the board shall provide the board with the requested records within three |
| 9 10 | business days of the time the request was made. The entity may request in writing an extension of this timeframe for a period not to exceed 14 calendar days from the date the records were requested. A request for an extension of time is subject to the |
| 11 | approval of the board. An extension shall be deemed approved if the board fails to deny the extension request within two business days of the time the extension request |
| 12 | was made directly to the board.16. Section 4333 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that all prescriptions filled by a |
| 13 | pharmacy and all other records required by Section 4081 shall be maintained on the premises and |
| 14 | available for inspection by authorized officers of the law for a period of at least three years. In |
| 15 | cases where the pharmacy discontinues business, these records shall be maintained in a |
| 16 | |
| 17 | board-licensed facility for at least three years. |
| 18 | 17. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part: |
| 19 | (a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her |
| 20 | professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding |
| 21 | responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order |
| 22 | purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or |
| 23 | habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the |
| 24 | purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use. |
| 25 | (b) Any person who knowingly violates this section shall be punished by |
| 26 | imprisonment in the state prison or in the county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both a fine and imprisonment. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | (c) No provision of the amendments to this section enacted during the second year of the 1981-82 Regular Session shall be construed as expanding the scope of practice of |
| | 7 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

•

_

| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldw |
|----------|--|
| | 8 |
| 28 | |
| 27 | |
| 26 | |
| 25 | procedure, systems, or processes made as a result of recommendations generated in the quality assurance program. |
| 24 | 4. recommend changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes, if any. The pharmacy shall inform pharmacy personnel of changes to pharmacy policy, |
| 23 | 3. the findings and determinations generated by the quality assurance review; and, |
| 22 | reviewed and documentation of any patient contact required by subdivision (c); |
| 21 | the due, location, and participants in the quality assurance review, the pertinent data and other information relating to the medication error(s) |
| 20 | 1. the date, location, and participants in the quality assurance review; |
| 18 19 | contributing factors such as system or process failures. A record of the quality assurance review shall be immediately retrievable in the pharmacy. The record shall contain at least the following: |
| 17 | prevention by analyzing, individually and collectively, investigative and other pertinent data collected in response to a medication error to assess the cause and any |
| 16 | (e) The primary purpose of the quality assurance review shall be to advance error |
| 15 | discovered. All medication errors discovered shall be subject to a quality assurance review. |
| 14 | pharmacy systems and workflow processes designed to prevent medication errors. An investigation of each medication error shall commence as soon as is reasonably possible, but no later than 2 business days from the date the medication error is |
| 13 | (d) Each pharmacy shall use the findings of its quality assurance program to develop |
| 12 | · · · · |
| 11 | appropriate response as part of a mission to improve the quality of pharmacy service and prevent errors. |
| 10 | (a) Each pharmacy shall establish or participate in an established quality assurance program which documents and assesses medication errors to determine cause and an |
| 8 9 | 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711, states: |
| 7 8 | STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY |
| 6 | (c) No prescription for a Schedule II substance may be refilled. |
| 5 | 120-day supply. |
| 4 | (b) No prescription for a Schedule III or IV substance may be refilled more than five times and in an amount, for all refills of that prescription taken together, exceeding a |
| 3 | (a) No person shall dispense or refill a controlled substance prescription more than six months after the date thereof. |
| 2 | 18. Health and Safety Code section 11200 states in pertinent part: |
| 1 | a pharmacist. |
| | |

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, states: (b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy. (d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. 21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, states: Pharmacists shall not deviate from the requirements of a prescription except upon the prior consent of the prescriber or to select the drug product in accordance with Section 4073 of the Business and Professions Code. Nothing in this regulation is intended to prohibit a pharmacist from exercising commonly-accepted pharmaceutical practice in the compounding or dispensing of a prescription. 22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1745, states: (b) A "partially filled" prescription is a prescription from which only a portion of the amount for which the prescription is written is filled at any one time; provided that regardless of how many times the prescription is partially filled, the total amount dispensed shall not exceed that written on the face of the prescription.

20.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

(d) A pharmacist may partially fill a prescription for a controlled substance listed in Schedule II, if the pharmacist is unable to supply the full quantity ordered by the prescriber. The pharmacist shall make a notation of the quantity supplied on the face of the written prescription. The remaining portion of the prescription may be filled within 72 hours of the first partial filling. If the remaining portion is not filled within the 72-hour period, the pharmacist shall notify the prescriber. The pharmacist may not supply the drug after 72 hour period has expired without a new prescription.

| 1 | 23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states: |
|-------------|--|
| 2 3 | (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription. |
| 4 5 6 | (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose. |
| 7 | FEDERAL REGULATORY AUTHORITY |
| 8 9 | 24. 21 Code of Federal Regulations, part 1306, section 13.06.13 states, in pertinent part: (a) The partial filling of a prescription for a controlled substance listed in Schedule II |
| 10 11 | is permissible if the pharmacist is unable to supply the full quantity called for in a written or emergency oral prescription and he makes a notation of the quantity supplied on the face of the written prescription, written record of the emergency oral prescription, or in the electronic prescription record. The remaining portion of the |
| 12 13 | prescription may be filled within 72 hours of the first partial filling; however, if the remaining portion is not or cannot be filled within the 72-hour period, the pharmacist shall notify the prescribing individual practitioner. No further quantity may be |
| 14 | supplied beyond 72 hours without a new prescription. |
| 15 | COSTS |
| 16 | 25. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the |
| 17 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of |
| 18 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and |
| 19 | enforcement of the case. |
| 20 | DRUGS |
| 21 | 26. Acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated in Health and |
| 22 | Safety Code section 11056(e)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 |
| 23 | of the Code. |
| 24 | 27. Alprazolam, sold under the brand name Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance |
| 25 | under Health and Safety Code section 11057 and a dangerous drug under Business and |
| 26 | Professions Code Section 4022. Alprazolam is used to treat anxiety disorders and panic disorder. |
| 27 | Alprazolam is in a class of medications called benzodiazepines. Alprazolam comes as a tablet, An |
| 28 | extended-release tablet, and an orally disintegrating tablet. The tablet and orally disintegrating |
| | 10 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

5

ż.

table usually are taken two to four times a day. The extended-release tablet is taken once daily, usually in the morning. Alprazolam may heighten the euphoric effect resulting from the use of an Oxycodone.

28. Diazepam, a generic for the brand name Valium, a Benzodiazepam derivative, is a
Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(9)
and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.

7 29. Dilaudid is a trade name for Hydromorphone, an Opium derivative, which is
8 classified as a Schedule II Controlled Substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section
9 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and
10 Professions Code section 4022.

30. Fentanyl is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
section 11055(c)(8) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
4022.

14 31. Hydrocodone is in Schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act. Lortab, Norco and
15 Vicodin, brand/trade names of preparations containing hydrocodone in combination with other
16 non-narcotic medicinal ingredients, are in Schedule III pursuant to Health and safety Code section
11056(e)(4), and are categorized as dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022.

32. Methadone, is a synthetic opiate, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated
by Health and Safety Code section 11055(c)(14) and a dangerous drug according to Business and
Professions Code section 4022.

33. Morphine Sulfate, the narcotic substance is a preparation of Morphine, the principal
alkaloid of Opium. It is classified as a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health
and Safety Code section 11055, subdivisions (b)(1)(L) and (b)(2). It is categorized as a
dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

25

111

1

2

3

26 27

28

34. Norco is the brand name for the combination narcotic, Hydrocodone and 1 Acetaminophen, and is a Schedule II¹ controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code 2 section 11055 (b)(1) (I) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and 3 Professions Code section 4022 4 35. Opana ER is an opioid and schedule II controlled substance. 5 36. Opiates are types of narcotic drugs that act as depressants in the central nervous 6 system. They come from opium, which can be produced naturally form poppy plants or derived 7 form semi-synthetic alkaloids. Some of the most common opiates include morphine, codeine, 8 heroin, hydrocodone and oxyodone. Opiates are pain killers and can produce drowsiness, nausea, 9 constipation and slow breathing. 10 37. Oxycontin, a brand name formation of oxycodone hydrochloride and/or Oxycodone 11 SR, is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to 12 morphine. OxyContin is for use in opioid tolerant patients only. It is a Schedule II controlled 13 substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and a dangerous 14 drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. 15 38. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code 16 section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and 17 Professions Code section 4022. Oxycodone is a narcotic analgesic used for moderate to severe 18 pain and it has a high potential for abuse. 19 39. Suboxone, the brand name of buprenorphine and naloxone, is classified as a Schedule 20IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058(d), and is a dangerous 21 drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is used for the treatment of 22 opiate addiction. 23 111 24 25 26 ¹ Effective October 6, 2014, the Drug Enforcement Administration rescheduled 27Hydrocodone combination products from schedule III to schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act. (See 21 CFR Part 1308 § 1308.12; 21 U.S.C. 812 (c)) 28 12

Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell)

| 1 | 40. Tranquilizers are central nervous system depressant drugs classified as sedative- |
|----|---|
| 2 | hypnotics and are classified into two main categories: minor tranquilizers (anxiolytic, or anti- |
| 3 | anxiety agents) and major tranquilizers (neuroleptics) drugs used to treat sever mental illnesses. |
| 4 | Minor tranquilizers may include Valium (diazepam), Librium/Novopoxide (chlordiazepoxide), |
| 5 | Halcion (triazolam), ProSom (estazolam), Xanax and Ativan. |
| 6 | FACTS |
| 7 | RESPONDENTS |
| 8 | 41. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 9 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street (collectively Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists) are pharmacies |
| 10 | operating in the Santa Barbara area. |
| 11 | 42. Respondent Caldwell is the Pharmacist in Charge at Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 12 | Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent Yahyani was the Pharmacist in Charge at Respondent L |
| 13 | M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street up to October 1, 2014. |
| 14 | 43. Pharmacy Technician DLM ² was employed at Respondent Caldwell Pharmacist-State |
| 15 | Street in 2011. |
| 16 | RESPONDENT LM CALDWELL PHARMACIST-STATE STREET AND |
| 17 | RESPONDENT CALDWELL |
| 18 | Records of Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs |
| 19 | 44. Drugs acquired by Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacist were stored at Respondent |
| 20 | L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street. Drugs were sent to Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 21 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street as needed. Drug recordkeeping included a transfer document which |
| 22 | showed the bottles sent to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Also, the records |
| 23 | for Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street were located at Respondent L M |
| 24 | Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | |
| 27 | $\frac{1}{2}$ Names are not being used to protect identities but individuals will be identified during the course of discovery. |
| 28 | |
| | 13 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

.

45. Between November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell
 Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage
 (disposition greater than acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen (HC/AP)
 10/325 mg and 165 tablets of Oxycodone SR 80 mg. Between August 6, 2011 and January 15,
 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not
 account for an inventory overage of 78,746 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg.

46. Between January 5, 2010 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist -State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for prescription hardcopies
for Prescriptions Nos. 793824, 793825, 793826, 789177, 789188, 793189, 793190, 805552,
782075, 792283, 793432, 793184, 791387, 797610, 787609, 790594, 790595, 790597, 795658,
804361, 792346, 793090, 795652, 776675, 773787, 779441, 780927, 790980, 792044, 792920,
792935 and 792928.

13

Operational Standards and Security

47. Respondent Caldwell was responsible for the security and record keeping at
Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists. Between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011,
Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account
for the loss of 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15,
2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not
account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and for the loss of 605 tablets of
Oxycodone 30 mg.

48. Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain
an effective control of the security of the prescription department against theft or loss of
controlled substances/ dangerous drugs.

24 25

<u>Furnishing and Purchasing of Dangerous Drugs or Devices Without Adequate</u> <u>Sales and Purchase Records</u>

49. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold HC/AP 10/325 mg to Respondent L M
Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records.

| 1 | Prescriptions Dispensed by L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Respondent Caldwell | | | | | |
| 3 | 50. Between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | | |
| 4 | Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell, dispensed a total of 11,817 controlled | | | | | |
| 5 | substance prescriptions of which 1,492 were prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Gabriel Diaz, a | | | | | |
| 6 | family practice prescriber. The prescriptions were dispensed without regard to the following | | | | | |
| 7 | factors: | | | | | |
| 8 | (1) Pattern of patients willing to drive long distance to obtain controlled substance | | | | | |
| 9 | prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and to fill the prescriptions at L M Caldwell Pharmacists and other | | | | | |
| 10 | pharmacies; | | | | | |
| 11 | (2) Percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers and pattern of patients | | | | | |
| 12 | willing to pay cash for highly expensive prescriptions when insurance did not cover; | | | | | |
| 13 | (3) Same or similar prescribing patterns for multiple patients, including at least three | | | | | |
| 14 | opiates and one to two tranquilizers; | | | | | |
| 15 | (4) Irregular pattern of early refills/ patient returning too frequently. | | | | | |
| 16 | 51. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed | | | | | |
| 17 | in their corresponding responsibility to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily | | | | | |
| 18 | available tools such as CURES ³ reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents did not | | | | | |
| 19 | have a process to validate prescriptions. As a result, they repeatedly dispensed controlled | | | | | |
| 20 | substances early in certain instances to patients who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and | | | | | |
| 21 | multiple pharmacy activity. Questionable drug therapies were visible from Respondent L M | | | | | |
| 22 | Caldwell-State Street's own records and showed the prescribing pattern of Dr. Diaz was repetitive | | | | | |
| 23 | and redundant with respect to the same controlled substances prescribed repeatedly for the | | | | | |
| 24 | ³ CURES is an acronym for "California Utilization Review and Evaluation System." It | | | | | |
| 25 | contains over 100 million entries of controlled substance drugs that were dispensed in California. Pharmacists and prescribers can register with the Department of Justice to obtain access to the | | | | | |
| 26 | CURES data through the California Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). Patient Activity Reports (PARs) are provided and reflect all controlled substances dispensed to an | | | | | |
| 27 | individual. CURES herein refers to CURES in general and PARs. Pharmacies are required to report to the California Department of Justice every schedule II, II and IV drug prescription under | | | | | |
| 28 | Health and Safety Code section 1165, subdivision (d). | | | | | |
| | 15 | | | | | |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | | | | |

majority of his patients. His prescribing habits included numerous large quantities of opiates in combination with minor tranquilizers. Patients received on average three to four pain medications with one to two anti-anxiety drugs. The patients included, but were not limited to, VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, and CW. A review of CURES and their own records would have been a red flag for Respondents. For example:

1

2

3

4

5

Patient VA went to 4 prescribers and 18 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, 6 a. 2013, including in Santa Maria, Arleta, Santa Barbara and Ventura. He lived in Oxnard and 7 traveled approximately 37.34 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-8 State Street was approximately 39.67 miles from Patient VA's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. 9 Diaz's office. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 10 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. 11 Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street. 12 13 Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. He received numerous prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg and Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on 14 or around the same time he had them dispensed at different pharmacies. In the month of August 15 2010, for example, Patient VA received 960 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days. He 16 received 10,400 mg per day, well above the recommended dose of (Acetaminophen) per day of 174,000 mg per day. In July of 2011, for example, Patient VA received 1,080 tablets of HC/AP 18 10/325 mg within 30 days. Patient VA received 13,000 mg per day. In January of 2011, for 19 example, Patient VA received a 30 day supply of Methadone 10 mg from one pharmacy and then 20 received another 30 day supply from another pharmacy, LM Pharmacist-State Street, ten days 21later on, January 25, 2011; 22

b. Patient BA only saw one prescriber, Dr. Diaz, and went to 12 pharmacies from
January 1, 2009 to April 8, 2013. He lived in Ventura and traveled approximately 31.53 miles to
Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell-State Street was
approximately 33.86 miles from Patient BA's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office.
Patient BA paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based
on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient BA received numerous prescriptions

for HC/AP 10/325 mg and Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the same time he had
them dispensed at different pharmacies. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz,
despite him not being a pain specialist. In March of 2010, for example, Patient BA received 1200
tablets of HC/AP 10/325 within 30 days. He received 13,000 mg per day of Acetaminophen, well
above the recommended dose of 4,000 mg per day. In February of 2011, for example, Patient BA
received 720 tablets of HC/AP 10/325. He received 7800 mg per day of Acetaminophen;

Patient KB saw 5 prescribers and went to 11 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to c. $\overline{7}$ April 8, 2013, including in Carpentaria, Hollywood, Lompoc, Santa Barbara and Solvang. He 8 lived in Santa Inez and traveled approximately 31.99 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. 9 Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 29.10 miles from Patient VA's 10 home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions. Review 11 of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 12 dispensed. He received most pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain 13 specialist. Patient KB was dispensed 595 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg in one month in 14 Prescriptions 788268, 788632 and 789490. Patient KB, for example, was dispensed Oxycodone 15 30 mg at both Respondent L M Caldwell- State Street and at Respondent L M Caldwell- Pueblo 16 Street on June 18, 2010, October 5, 2010, November 2, 2010 and November 29, 2010. Patient 17 KB was placed on Suboxone, used for the treatment of narcotic addiction, prior to going to LM 18 Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street; 19

Patient LD saw 4 prescribers and went to 2 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April d. 208, 2013, including in Carpentaria, Hollywood, Lompoc, Santa Barbara and Solvang. Patient LD 21 lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 22 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He received most pain 23 medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. While going to Respondent 24 LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Patient LD mainly saw Dr. Diaz but saw two prescribers 25 after Dr. Diaz. Several questionable prescriptions were filled including: Prescription No. 26 773360(HC/AP) and 773361 (HC/ibuprofen) which were both dispensed on September 21, 2010 27 and both had hydrocodone; Prescription Nos. 789181 (HC/ Ibuprofen), 789182 28

(Oxycodone/Ibuprofen) and 789180 (Oxycodone) were all dispensed on August 23, 2011 and
 contained the same drugs; and Prescription Nos. 790459, 790460 and 790458 had dates that were
 not written in the prescriber's handwriting; Prescription No. 792432 (Lorazepam) was for a large
 quantity of 300 pills and Respondent dispensed 120 pills and did not verify with the prescribers;

e. Patient TF saw 1 prescriber, Dr. Diaz, and went to 8 pharmacies January 1, 2009 to
April 8, 2013, including in Lompoc, Goleta, San Luis Obispo, Santa Maria and Orcutt. He lived
in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions Review of CURES showed therapy
duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed;

f. Patient JH saw 4 prescribers and went to 12 pharmacies from February 13, 2009 to 9 April 8, 2013. He saw prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc and Temecula and went to 10 pharmacies in Santa Maria, Santa Barbara, Temecula, Buelton, and Lompoc. He lived in Santa 11 Maria and traveled approximately 61.53 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. 12 Respondent LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 58.68 miles from Patient JH's home 13 and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient JH paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of 14 CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. 15 He received only pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. He did 16 not have significant pain history one month prior to February 2009 and had a history of Anxiety 8 17 months prior to August 2009 and before seeing Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-18 State Street should have questioned the following prescriptions dispensed to Patient JH on 19 November 25, 2011: Prescription Nos. 793748 (Morphine Sulfate 30 mg), 793749 (Methadone 10 20mg),793750 (HC/AP 10/325 mg), 793751 (Oxycodone 30 mg), 793756 (Hydromorphone 8 mg), 21793757 (Alprazolam 2 mg). Records also show that the quantity and therapy duplication 22 combination was reduced from November 30, 2009 to September 22, 2010, during the period that 23 JH did not go to Dr. Diaz. He again began to receive large quantities and therapy duplication 24combinations when he went back to Dr. Diaz on September 30, 2010. 25

g. Patient MM saw 19 prescribers and went to 20 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to
April 8, 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Stanford, Encinitas, Santa
Maria, Solvang, San Luis Obispo and San Francisco and went to pharmacies in Santa Barbara,

Lompoc, Orcutt, San Luis Obispo, Pismo Beach, Buelton, and Santa Maria. He lived in Lompoc 1 and traveled approximately 56.30 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. Respondent 2 LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53.69 miles from Patient MM's home and 1.85 3 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient MM paid cash and paid through insurance for his 4 prescriptions. For example, he paid \$2,585.80 for Oxycontin 60 mg (Prescription No. 319145). 5 Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 6 dispensed. MM received numerous prescriptions for Oxycontin prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or 7 around the same time and went to different pharmacies to get dispensed, including LM Caldwell 8 Pharmacist- Pueblo Street; 9

h. Patient SM saw 7 prescribers and went to 11 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to 10 April 8, 2013, including L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street. He lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash 11 for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of 12 opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Respondent L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed 13 questionable prescriptions for Oxycodone in which instructions for use seemed too high 14 (including receiving 16-24 tablets per day), including Prescription Nos. 782797, 777041, 789979 15 16 and 786575. Patient SM was placed on Suboxone, used for the treatment of narcotic addition, after no longer seeing Dr. Diaz. SM received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz. 17 despite him not being a pain specialist; 18

i. Patient SS saw 2 prescribers and went to 4 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 19 8, 2013. He lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions when insurance did not 20 cover the cost. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 21and tranquilizers dispensed. He showed no significant pain or anxiety history prior to 22 11/23/2010. L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed the following questionable prescriptions: 23 Prescription Nos. 780807 and 783547 for Fentanyl patches above the recommended dosing 24 interval of 72 hours. The pharmacy dispensed it for every 48 hours; Prescription Nos. 79027, 25 26 790597, 782251, and 782250 in which the patient received Diazepam 10 mg and Alprazolam 2 mg at the same time. Patient SS received most pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not 27 being a pain specialist; 28

į. Patient JS saw 4 prescribers and went to 4 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 1 8, 2013. He lived in Lompoc and traveled approximately 55.98 miles to Santa Barbara to see 2 prescriber Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53.37 miles from 3 Patient JH's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient JS had the same address as 4 Patient NS. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and 5 tranquilizers dispensed. Prior to going to Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, 6 Patient JS went to multiple pharmacies for Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. There was no significant pain 7 history 6 months prior to June 18, 2009 and Dr. Diaz. Patient JS received only pain and anxiety 8 9 medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist;

k. Patient NS saw 3 prescribers and went to 5 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 10 8, 2013. He lived in Lompoc and traveled approximately 55.98 miles to Santa Barbara to see 11 prescriber Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53.37 miles from 12 Patient NS's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient NS had the same address as 13 Patient JS. Patient NS paid cash for his prescriptions when the cost was not covered by insurance. 14 Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 15 16 dispensed. Prior to going to Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Patient JS went to multiple pharmacies for Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. While going to L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State 17 Street, he continued to use other pharmacies. Patient NS received only pain and anxiety 18 medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist; 19

1. Patient VS saw 3 prescribers and went to 5 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 208, 2013, including Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. He lived in Lompoc a and 21 traveled approximately 55.47 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-22 State Street was approximately 52.86 miles from Patient VS's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. 23 Diaz's office. Patient VS paid cash for his prescriptions when the cost was not covered by 24 insurance. Patient VS paid over \$200.00 for Oxycodone several times. Review of CURES 25 26 showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient VS went to multiple pharmacies for Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. Respondent L M Caldwell - State 27Street dispensed the following questionable prescriptions: Hydromorphone 8 mg and 28

Hydromorphone 4 mg were dispensed on January 1, 2011, February 2, 2011, March 2, 2011, March 30, 2011 and April 27, 2011. Oxycodone 30 mg and Oxycodone 5 mg was dispensed on April 27, 2011. The different strength of the prescriptions should have been red flags. Patient 3 VS received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist; 5

1

2

4

Patient CW saw 2 prescribers and went to 2 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April m. 6 8, 2013. Patient CW lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash when the cost was not covered by 7 insurance. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and 8 tranquilizers dispensed. Respondent L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed questionable 9 prescriptions, including the following: Amphetamine 30 mg and Amphetamine 20 mg dispensed 10 at same time in Prescription Nos. 772453, 772454, 773785, 773783, 775368, 775363, 776678, 11 776679, 780924, 780923, 779437, 779438, 771122 and 771123 and Suboxone was prescribed by 12 Dr. Diaz for pain on numerous occasions. Patient CW received mostly pain, and anxiety 13 medications prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. 14

52. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell did not 15 know the diagnosis for patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, 16 and CW, and knew that Dr. Diaz was a family practitioner and not a pain management physician. 17 Also, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain records 18 or files on drug therapy for these patients. 19

When reviewing the records for patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, 53. 20SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, and CW, it was noted that nine out of these fifteen patients lived outside Dr. 21 Diaz's and Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street's normal trading area . Due to the 22 number of readily accessible pharmacies throughout California, the common trading area is 23 considered to be 5 miles. The range of distance travelled for the selected patients was between 24 3.7 miles for the shortest to 122.06 for the longest. The average distance traveled by the patient 25 was 59.18 miles and the total distance these patients travelled to obtain controlled substances was 26 excessive. Four of the fifteen patients' home addresses were not recognized by Mapquest. Two 27 patients had the same address, NS and JS. 28

54. Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street dispensed a total of 11,817
 controlled substances prescriptions from January 1, 2011 to December 5, 2012 and 1,492 were
 prescribed by Dr. Diaz. 31.64 % (407 out of 1,492) of Dr. Diaz' patients paid cash, including
 when the medication was not covered by their insurance or to get early refills. Some patients had
 insurance/Medicaid, however, were willing to pay a large sum of cash for controlled substances
 which were not covered by the plans, including those on Medicaid.

55. There was excessive furnishing of controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz. The 7 dispensing ratio of prescriptions by Dr. Diaz by Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State 8 Street and Respondent Caldwell was greatly unbalanced when compared to other neighboring 9 pharmacies, including the following three pharmacies: Federal Drugs PHY37078 (located 1.92 10 miles from L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street), Rite-Aid #5785 PHY 42255 (located 1,65 11 miles from L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street), and CVS#9392 PHY 494473 (located .41 12 miles from L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street). Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State 13 Street filled tens of thousands more controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz when compared 14 to neighboring pharmacies for the time period specified of January 1, 2011 through December 5, 15 16 2012. The CURES data for the Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and three surrounding pharmacies, for example, was as follows: 17

| 18 | Pharmacy | Total controlled | Total Dr. Diaz's | Total quantity | % of total | | |
|----|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 19 | | substances dispensed | RX from 1/1/2011-12/5/ | for Dr. Diaz's RX from | controlled substance RX | | |
| 20 | | between 1/1/2011- | 2012 | 1/1/2011- 12/5/2012 | dispensed for Dr. Diaz | | |
| 21 | | 12/5/2012 | | 12/0/2012 | DI, DIAZ | | |
| 22 | Respondent LM | 11, 817 | 1,492 | 195,041 | 12.62% | | |
| 22 | Caldwell | | | | | | |
| 23 | Pharmacist – | | | | | | |
| | State Street | | | | | | |
| 24 | Federal Drugs | 18, 282 | 0 | 0 | 0% | | |
| | PHY 37078 | | | | | | |
| 25 | (1.92 miles from | | | | | | |
| ~ | LM Caldwell) | | | | | | |
| 26 | Rite-Aid #5785 | 3,584 | 0 | 0 | 0% | | |
| 27 | PHY 42255 | | | | | | |
| | (.065 miles from | | | | | | |
| 28 | LM Caldwell | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 22 | | | | | | |

Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell)

| 1 2 3 | Pharmacist CVS # 9392 PHY 49473 (.41 miles from LM Caldwell) | 13,365 | 44 | 6,599 | .33% | | | |
|-------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4 | Pattern of Early Refills and Duplicate Medications | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 56. Between January 1, 2010 and December 5, 2012, Respondent LM Caldwell- State | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Street and Respondent Caldwell engaged in a pattern of early refills, including for patients KB, | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CD, LD, TF, JH, AM, SM, NS, VS, and CW, including, for example, 23 days early for patient | | | | | | | |
| 8 | LD (prescription Nos. 764100 & 764468), 29 days early for patient AM (prescription Nos. | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 791702 & 793219), 21 days early for patient SM (prescription Nos. 786128 & 786573), and 14 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | days early for patient CW (prescription Nos. 782792 & 782792). | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 57. Also, the patient profile from 2010 to 2012 for patient SS, 4 for example, showed | | | | | | | |
| 12 | numerous therapy duplicate medications prescribed by Dr. Diaz and dispensed by L M Caldwell | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Pharmacists- State | Street and Resp | ondent Caldwell ⁵ | . The profile showed | the following: | | | |
| 14 | a. On Janu | uary 18, 2011, v | when L M Caldwe | ell Pharmacists-State | Street started dispensing | | | |
| 15 | Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr to Patient SS (Prescription No. 778213), the pharmacists should have | | | | | | | |
| 16 | questioned the high doses of Fentanyl and whether Patient SS was previously on Fentanyl 100 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | mcg/hr prior to getting his prescription from L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street; | | | | | | | |
| 18 | b. Patient SS was prescribed Methadone 3 tablets every twelve (12) hours on July 19, | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 2011 and on August 17, 2011 (Prescription Nos. 787609 & 788989) and each month thereafter, | | | | | | | |
| 20 | his dose was increased, four (4) tablets every twelve (12) hours on September 22, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | (Prescription No. 790594), and five (5) tablets every 12 hours on October 27, 2011 (Prescription | | | | | | | |
| 22 | No. 792268); | | | | | | | |
| 23 | /// | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | · | · | | | | | | |
| 26 | ⁴ Patient SS | died in May 201 | 2 allegedly as a 1 | esult of a drug overde | ose. | | | |
| 27 28 | ⁵ No prescriptions were dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street or Respondent Caldwell for Patient SS from January 10, 2010 to December 30, 2010. | | | | | | | |
| | 23 | | | | | | | |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against I M Caldwell | | | | | | | |

c. On March 15, 2011, ten (10) patches of Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr were dispensed, each
 for a thirty (30) day supply (Prescription No. 780807). Seven days later, on March 22, 2011,
 another 10 patches of Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr were prescribed and entered as a file only as "FO"
 (Prescription No. 782067);

d. On March 22, 2011, Prescription No. 784841 for Morphine Sulfate 10 mg/5ml
solution was written with no quantity written on the prescription, but the quantity box of "151 &
over" was marked and 360 mls were dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street and
Respondent Caldwell. This prescription was incomplete and the prescriber, Dr. Diaz, should have
been contacted and the quantity documented after clarification from the prescriber;

e. On May 20, 2011, Patient SS was prescribed three different narcotic pain
medications: Hydromorphone 8 mg one tablet daily (Prescription No. 784840) with Fentanyl 100
mcg/hour patch every forty-eight (48) hours (Prescription No. 784839) and Morphine Sulfate 10
mg, 5ml every two (2) to four (4) hours (Prescription No. 784841). Prescription No. 784839 was
dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell, for Fentanyl 100
mcg/hour with directions to apply every forty-eight (48) hours. However, the manufacturer's
direction was to change the patch every seventy-two (72) hours;

f. On July 18, 2011, Prescription No. 787610 for Morphine 20 mg/ml solution was
written for 400 mls, but 360 mls was dispensed. This was a variation from the quantity
prescribed;

20

Exceeding the Day Supply For Controlled Substance Refills

58. The patient profile from 2010 to 2012 for patient SS, also showed that the day supply
was exceeded for controlled substance refills, for example, as follows:

a. A review of SS patient profile revealed that alprazolam and diazepam, classified as
benzodiazepines were also dispensed by LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent
Caldwell from December 2010 to September 2011. Prescription No. 782251 for Alprazolam, a
Schedule IV controlled substance, was originally dispensed on March 25, 2011 for a 30 day
supply. Prescription No. 782251 was then refilled five times, each for a 30 day supply, on April
22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011 by Respondent L M

Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. A total of 150-day supply was dispensed, exceeding a 120-day supply as required by Health and Safety code section 11200;

b. Prescription No. 782250 for Diazepam, a schedule IV controlled substance, was
originally dispensed on March 25, 2011 then refilled five times, each for a 30 day supply, on
April 22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011 by Respondent
L M Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. A total of 150-day supply was dispensed,
exceeding a 120-day supply as required by Health and Safety code section 11200.

<u>Patient JJ</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 59. On September 12, 2013, the Board received a report of settlement judgment or
10 arbitration award, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. 2012-112565, regarding Patient JJ,
11 from Liberty Insurance Underwriter, Inc. for Respondent Caldwell, without the admission of
12 guilt. Improper Management and dispensing of controlled substance resulting in addiction and
13 death was alleged in the civil suit. Patient JJ presented prescriptions from a medical doctor
14 which Respondent Caldwell dispensed. Patient JJ alleged that she became addicted to drugs
15 because Respondent Caldwell dispensed the prescriptions to her.

60. A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street's profile for Patient 16 JJ revealed that she was mostly dispensed controlled substances by Respondent Caldwell which 17 were prescribed by Dr. Diaz, who was not a pain specialist. A review of CURES revealed that 18 Patient JJ went to multiple doctors at the same time and had prescriptions dispensed at multiple 19 pharmacies during the same time period. Patient JJ received numerous refills and received above 20 the recommended dose of 400 mg per day of Acetaminophen. On certain months, Patient JJ 21 received over 600 tablets of Hydrocodone. If Respondent Caldwell would have checked 22 CURES, he would been able to determine JJ was going to several pharmacies and several doctors. 23 24 Respondent Caldwell knew that patient was getting drugs from Dr. Diaz, prior to being indicted, and then continued to dispense prescriptions from other doctors to this patient. 25

26 61. Patient JJ had a pattern of early refills on Oxycodone 30 mg, for the management of
27 moderate to severe pain, and Morphine Sulfate 30 mg, for the management of severe pain. Both
28 medications are for the immediate relief of pain. LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and

Respondent Caldwell failed to contact the prescriber to determine the logic of this combination.
 Also, Prescription Nos. 768630 and 768631 were dated July 1, 2010. LM Caldwell Pharmacist State Street and Respondent Caldwell received and dispensed them on June 11, 2010.

62. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, Patient JJ had 145 prescriptions for
controlled substances dispensed from various prescribers and pharmacies. 85 of the 145
prescriptions (58.96 %) were for cash.

63. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and 7 Respondent Caldwell failed to assume their corresponding responsibility when they failed to 8 9 appropriately scrutinize Patient JJ's drug therapy with readily available tools such as CURES reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents should have looked at the repetitive 10 prescribing pattern for highly abused controlled substances, the location of prescriber's practice in 11 relation to the location of JJ's residence, and Patient's payment methods. As a result, 12 Respondents dispensed controlled substances for Patient JJ who was habitually engaged in doctor 13 shopping and multiple pharmacy activity. Respondents should have questioned the legitimacy of 14 Prescriptions, including Prescription Nos. 758920, 767530, 767531, 768630, 768631, 758920 (for 15 1/18/2010, 3/19/2010, 2/18/2011, 2/18/2011), 782598 (for 4/1/2011, 5/17/2011), 803536, 803537, 16 803963,803965, 803966, 805071, 805072, 805074, 806756, 806757, 807683, 807684, 807699 17 and 807700. 18

Patient AM

64. On February 3, 2014, the Board received a report of settlement judgment or
arbitration award, Case No. 1414079, regarding Patient AM, from Chicago Insurance Company
for Respondent Caldwell- State Street, without the admission of guilt. Patient AM, presented a
prescriptions from a medical doctor which Respondent Caldwell dispensed. On November 25,
2011, Patient AM died from acute complications from narcotic abuse.

- 65. A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street's profile for Patient
 AM revealed that Patient AM received the following controlled substances, that were prescribed
 by Dr. Diaz, at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, and had a pattern of being dispensed early:
- 28

| RX | RX # | QTY | Day | Date | RX# | QTY | Day | Days |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| Dispensed | | | Supply | dispensed | | | Supply | Early |
| | | | | | | | | from Prior |
| | | | | | | | | RX |
| 10/24/11 | 792077 | 120 | 30 | 11/14/11 | 793124 | 120 | 30 | 9 days |
| 11/14/11 | 793104 | 150 | 19 | 11/15/11 | 793216 | 90 | 30 | 19 |
| 11/15/11 | 793105 | 150 | 19 | 11/15/11 | 793218 | 90 | 30 | 19 |
| 11/15/11 | 791702 | 120 | 30 · | 11/15/11 | 793219 | 60 | 20 | 29 |
| 66. T | The Board | could r | not find th | e exact patio | ent address | s on Maj | oquest in l | Solvang, |
| California. F | Patient AN | / travel | ed 35 56 i | miles from S | lolvana to | Santa B | arhara wh | ere Dr. Dia |
| | | | | | - | | | |
| ocated. Pat | ient AM l | ived ap | proximate | ely 70.09 mi | les away fi | rom Res | pondent I | LM Caldwe |
| Street. Pati | ent AM p | aid casł | n for his n | nedication a | nd Dr. Dia | z was th | e prescrib | er. Respon |
| id not have | access to | CIDES | during t | ha tima Dr. I | Diaz diana | nead to | AM co it s | vac not aca |
| | | | - | | - | | | |
| The pharmac | y did not | have a j | process to | validate the | e prescripti | ons. As | s long as t | he Dr. wrot |
| prescription, | the pharm | nacy dis | pensed it | • | | | | |
| 67. A | review | fDeen | ndent I I | M Caldwell | Dharmoois | t Duchl | Street'a | profile for I |
| | | - | | | | | | • |
| AM and CUI | RES recor | ds also | revealed | that Patient A | AM saw 4 | prescrib | pers and w | rent to 8 |
| oharmacies f | rom Janua | ry 1, 20 | 009 to Ap | oril 8, 2013. | Patient Al | M saw p | rescribers | in Santa Ba |
| Solvang, and | Shell Be | ach Pa | tient AM | received on | lv nain me | dication | form Dr | Diaz desni |
| - | | | | | iy puni nie | aroution | | Diaz, despi |
| ot being a p | ain specia | list. | | | | | , | |
| 68. F | Responder | tLM (| Caldwell | Pharmacist-S | State Stree | t and Re | spondent | Caldwell v |
| nave been ab | le to deter | ·mine tł | nere were | unusual pre | scrihing na | atterns fo | or Dr. Dia | z and that F |
| | | | | | | | | |
| AM was goir | ig to mult | ipie phi | irmacies. | while goin | g to Kespo | naent L | IVI Caldw | ell Pharma |
| State Street, I | Patient Al | M went | to multip | le pharmacio | es and rece | eived mu | ultiple pre | scriptions f |
| Tydrocodone | e 8 mg on | or arou | nd the sa | me time form | n Dr. Diaz | which A | AM dispe | nsed at diffe |
| harmacies. | - | | | | | | * | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| a. C | On Februa | ry 23, 2 | 010, he re | eceived Hyd | irocodone | (#60-5 | day supply | y) dispensed |
| Sansum Clini | ic, Prescri | ption N | lo. 22720 ⁴ | 72, and Hyd | rocodone (| (#200-11 | 7 day supp | oly) at The |
| Medicine Sho | onne Pres | crintion | No. 114 | 0240+ | | | | |
| viculonic on | ohhe Lieg | oription | . 1907 1144 | 5 ∠ 40, | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| 1 | b. On October 14, 2010, he received Hydrocodone (#60-4 day supply) dispensed at |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | Sansum Clinic, Prescription No. 2277704, and Hydrocodone (#260-21 day supply) at Respondent |
| 3 | LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 322231; |
| 4 | c. On January 5, 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#180-16 day supply) dispensed at |
| 5 | Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 324789, and on January 7, |
| 6 | 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#180-30 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, |
| 7 | Prescription No. 778577; |
| 8 | d. On November 11, 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#120-15 day supply) dispensed at |
| 9 | Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 609846. On November 14, |
| 10 | 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#150- 19 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, |
| 11 | Prescription No. 793104. On November 15, 2013, he received Hydrocodone (#90-30 day supply) |
| 12 | dispensed at LM Pharmacist State Street, Prescription No. 793216. |
| 13 | 69. While going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist –State Street, Patient AM went |
| 14 | to multiple pharmacies and received multiple prescriptions for Oxycodone 30 mg on or around |
| 15 | the same time from Dr. Diaz which Patient AM had dispensed at different pharmacies. For |
| 16 | example: |
| 17 | a. On July 21, 2010 he received Oxycodone (#60-15 day supply) dispensed at Sansum |
| 18 | Clinic Pharmacy, Prescription No. 2275679 and on July 26, 2010 he received Oxycodone (#60- |
| 19 | 15 day supply) dispensed at L M Caldwell Pharmacist - State Street, Prescription No. 770660; |
| 20 | b. On January 5, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#180-15 day supply) dispensed at |
| 21 | Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Prescription No. 324788, and on January 7, |
| | |
| 22 | 2011, he received Oxycodone (#180-15 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, |
| 22 23 | 2011, he received Oxycodone (#180-15 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No. 778578; |
| | |
| 23 | Prescription No. 778578; |
| 23 24 | Prescription No. 778578; c. On November 11, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#97-12 day supply) dispensed at |
| 23 24 25 | Prescription No. 778578; c. On November 11, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#97-12 day supply) dispensed at San Ysidro Pharmacy, Prescription No. 609848. On November 14, 2011, he received Oxycodone |
| 23 24 25 26 | Prescription No. 778578; c. On November 11, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#97-12 day supply) dispensed at San Ysidro Pharmacy, Prescription No. 609848. On November 14, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#150- 19 day supply) at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No. |
| 23 24 25 26 27 | Prescription No. 778578; c. On November 11, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#97-12 day supply) dispensed at San Ysidro Pharmacy, Prescription No. 609848. On November 14, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#150- 19 day supply) at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No. 793105. On November 15, 2013, he received Oxycodone (#90-30 day supply) dispensed at |

| 1 | RESPONDENT LM CALDWELL PHARMACIST-PUEBLO STREET AND | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | <u>RESPONDENT YAHYAVI</u> | | | | | |
| 3 | Records of Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs | | | | | |
| 4 | 70. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | | |
| 5 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyani could not account for an inventory overage of | | | | | |
| 6 | 53,811 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg. | | | | | |
| 7 | 71. On January 16, 2013, Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist - Pueblo Street and | | | | | |
| 8 | Respondent Yahyavi were unable to provide the original prescription documents for RX # | | | | | |
| 9 | 327435, 334405, 317892, 317893, 317894, 330297, 323526, 324203, 325803, 325881, 312027, | | | | | |
| 10 | 316180, 315861, 322717, 322718, 319209, 322715, 330610, 333178, 334336, 318220, 331648, | | | | | |
| 11 | 322460, 332461, 326892, 327949, 332102, and 336005. | | | | | |
| 12 | Furnishing and Purchasing of Dangerous Drugs or Devices Without Adequate | | | | | |
| 13 | Sales and Purchase Records | | | | | |
| 14 | 72. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | | |
| 15 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street purchased HC/AP 10/325 mg from Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | | |
| 16 | Pharmacist-State Street without adequate purchase records. | | | | | |
| 17 | Variation from Prescription Without Prior Consent of Prescriber | | | | | |
| 18 | 73. Review of prescriptions from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013 revealed that | | | | | |
| 19 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi deviated from the | | | | | |
| 20 | requirements of a prescription without the prior consent of the prescriber. Specifically, between | | | | | |
| 21 | January 1, 2010 and January 15, 2013, they dispensed the following prescriptions incorrectly: | | | | | |
| 22 | a. Prescription No. 321310, was for Oxycodone 30 mg 1-2 every 6 hour as needed for | | | | | |
| 23 | pain. Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily as needed for pain; | | | | | |
| 24 | b. Prescription No. 321312, was for Xanax mg 1-2 times daily for panic. Respondents | | | | | |
| 25 | dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily; | | | | | |
| 26 | c. Prescription No. 325038, was for 30 mg 1-2 HC/AP 7.5/750 mg. Prescriber wrote 1 | | | | | |
| 27 | tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain and Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet every 4-6 hours | | | | | |
| 28 | as needed for pain; | | | | | |
| | 29 | | | | | |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | | | | |

| [| | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | d. Prescription No. 331728, was for Dilaudid 8 mg, 1 every 6 hours #120. Respondents | | | | | | |
| 2 | dispensed Hydromorphone 8 mg, 1-2 tablets every 6 hours; | | | | | | |
| 3 | e. Prescription No. 332908, was for Methadone 10 mg 7 tablets every 12 hours #400. | | | | | | |
| 4 | Respondents dispensed it as 6 tablets every 12 hours; | | | | | | |
| 5 | f. Prescription No. 335645, was for Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 4-6 hour. | | | | | | |
| 6 | Respondents dispensed Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 6 hours. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Dispensing The Balance of Schedule II Prescriptions Beyond 72 hours | | | | | | |
| 8 | 74. Review of prescriptions, from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, revealed that | | | | | | |
| 9 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi partially filled | | | | | | |
| 10 | prescriptions for controlled substances listed in Schedule II and then dispensed the balance of the | | | | | | |
| 11 | prescription after the 72 hour period allowed for dispensing the balance of prescriptions. | | | | | | |
| 12 | Specifically between January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, Respondents dispensed Prescription | | | | | | |
| 13 | Nos. 329771, 331396, 332230, and 33265, then dispensed the balance of the prescriptions after 72 | | | | | | |
| 14 | hours. | | | | | | |
| 15 | Prescriptions Dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street | | | | | | |
| 16 | and Respondent Yahyayi | | | | | | |
| 17 | 75. Between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo | | | | | | |
| 18 | Street and Respondent Yahyavi dispensed at total of 11,215 controlled substance prescriptions of | | | | | | |
| 19 | which 1,418 prescriptions were written by Dr. Diaz. The prescriptions were dispensed without | | | | | | |
| 20 | regard to the following factors: | | | | | | |
| 21 | (1) Pattern of patients willing to drive long distance to obtain controlled substance | | | | | | |
| 22 | prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and to fill the prescriptions at L M Caldwell Pharmacists and other | | | | | | |
| 23 | pharmacies; | | | | | | |
| 24 | (2) Percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers and pattern of patients | | | | | | |
| 25 | willing to pay cash for highly expensive prescriptions when insurance did not cover; | | | | | | |
| 26 | (3) Same or similar prescribing patterns for multiple patients, including at least three | | | | | | |
| 27 | opiates and one to two tranquilizers; | | | | | | |
| | (4) Irregular pattern of early refills/ patient returning too frequently. | | | | | | |
| 28 | (+) megular patient of early renns, patient returning too nequently. | | | | | | |
| 28 | 30 Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | | | | | |

••

76. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi 1 2 failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such as CURES⁶ reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents did not have a process to validate 3 prescriptions. As a result, they repeatedly dispensed controlled substances early in certain 4 instances to patients who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and multiple pharmacy activity. 5 Questionable drug therapies were visible from Respondent L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street's own 6 7 records and showed the prescribing pattern of Dr. Diaz was repetitive and redundant with respect to the same controlled substances prescribed repeatedly for the majority of his patients. His 8 prescribing habits included numerous large quantities of opiates in combination with minor 9 tranquilizers. Patients received on average three to four pain medications with one to two anti-10 anxiety drugs. The patients included, but were not limited to GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, 11 ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS, and RS. Four of these patients were on Suboxone/Subtex, used for 12 treating opiate addiction, prior to, during and/or after treatment by Dr. Diaz. A review of CURES 13 and their own records would have been a red flag for Respondents. For example: 14

Patient GA went to 4 prescribers, in Goleta and Santa Barbara, and 3 pharmacies in a. 15 Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. Patient GA had no anxiety history prior to 16 April 21, 2011 and prior to seeing Dr. Diaz. However, Dr. Diaz started him with a high dose of 17 Alprazolam 2 mg. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions when insurance did not cover the 18 cost. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and 19 tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at 20 Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Most pain medication was prescribed by 21 Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. He received numerous prescriptions for HC/AP 22 10/325 mg and Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the same time he had them 23 dispensed at different pharmacies. In the month of August 2010, for example, Patient VA 24 received 960 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days and received 10,400 mg per day, well 25 above the recommended dose (of Acetaminophen) of 4,000 mg per day. In July of 2011, for 26 27⁶ Respondent Yahyavi advised the Board that he obtained access to CURES at the end of 2011. 28

example, Patient VA received 1,080 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days. Patient VA 1 received 13,000 mg per day. In January of 2011, for example, Patient VA received a 30 day 2 supply of Methadone 10 mg from one pharmacy and then received another 30 day supply from 3 another pharmacy, LM Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, ten days later on, January 25, 2011; 4 b. Patient RB went to 3 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 4 pharmacies, in Ojai and 5 Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. He lived in OakView and traveled 6 approximately 30.33 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell-7 Pueblo Street was approximately 33.17 miles from Patient RB's home and 2.88 miles from Dr. 8 9 Diaz's office. Patient RB paid cash for his prescriptions and paid over \$200.00 for Oxycodone and Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of 10 opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. Diaz while having prescriptions 11 dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Most pain medication was 12 prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. The following prescriptions 13 dispensed by LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street for Oxycodone were questionable: 14 Prescription Nos. 347843, 347918, and 338143 were written by Dentist Jeff Peppard; 15 Patient CB went to 4 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 11 pharmacies, in Ojai and c. 16 Santa Barbara, Port Hueneme, Sacramento and St. Louis Missouri from January 1, 2009 to April 17 9, 2013. He lived in Santa Barbara (although the exact address he listed could not be found 18 through mapquest) and paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 19 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. 20Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo 21 Street. Most pain and anxiety medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a 22 pain specialist. CB received multiple prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg and Alprazolam @mg 23 24 on or around the same time by Dr. Diaz which he had dispensed at different pharmacies, including for example: On March 26, 2010 Patient CB received HC/AP 10/325 #200 (25 day 25 supply) dispensed at Rite Aid #5782 (Prescription No. 676053) and on April 9, 2010 he received 26 HC/AP 10/325#240(30 day supply) dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo 27 Street (Prescription No. 316460). The prescriptions were refilled again at Ride Aid on April 29, 28 32

2010, May 29, 2010, June 14, 2010, July 10, 2010 and at Respondent L M Caldwell- Pueblo 1 Street on May 24, 2010 and July 15, 2010. Patient CB received 440 tablets of HC/AP in 30 days, 2 5200 mg per day of Acetaminophen, well above the recommended 4,000 mg dose per day. In 3 addition, September 27, 2010, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street received 2 4 different prescriptions for Oxycodone 30 mg form Dr. Diaz's office for Patient CB. After Dr. 5 Diaz was investigated, Patient CB did not get any prescriptions dispensed at L M Caldwell 6 Pharmacist-Pueblo Street nor did patient CB have any significant history of pain or anxiety drug 7 treatment. 8

d. Patient CC went to 22 prescribers and 13 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 9 2013. He went to prescribers in Bakersfield, Goleta, Isla Vista, Long Beach, Santa Barbara and 10 Santa Maria. He went to pharmacies in Goleta, Santa Barbara, Torrance and Wilmington. Prior 11 to and while going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Patient CC went to 12 numerous prescribers and pharmacies. He lived in Goleta (although the exact two addresses he 13 listed could not be found through mapquest) and paid cash for his prescriptions of HC/AP. 14 15 Carisoprodol, Oxycodone/AP and Hydromorphine. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. 16 Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. 17 Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. For 18 example, Patient CC received 5,200 mg of Acetaminophen, an amount above the recommended 19 dose of Acetaminophen of 4,000 mg in October and November of 2011 through the following 20prescriptions dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street: Prescription 21 22 No. 334473 for AP/Oxycodone 10/325 mg #240 (30 day supply) on October 20, 2011, Prescription No. 333957 for HC/AP 10/325 mg #240 (30 day supply) on October 31, 2011, 23 Prescription No. 335134 for AP/Oxycodone 10/325 mg #240 (30 day supply) on November 14, 24 25 2011, Prescription No. 333957 for AP/HC 10/325 mg #240 (30 day supply) on November 23, 2011. On August 2, 2010, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist – Pueblo Street dispensed 2 26 prescriptions for Alprazolam 2 mg, Prescription No. 318318 and 319040 on the same day. 27 Patient CC continued to have most of his prescriptions dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell 28

Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. The number of pain medications and quantities were reduced.

1

2

Patient JF went to 1 prescriber, Dr. Diaz in Santa Barbara, and 4 pharmacies, in Ojai, e. 3 Goleta, and Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. He lived Santa Barbara and 4 paid for his prescriptions through insurance. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication 5 based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient JF had no significant pain 6 history one year prior to January 20, 2010 and obtaining prescriptions from Dr. Diaz. However, 7 Dr. Diaz began his treatment with Oxycontin 80 mg, Morphine Sulfate 100 mg and Oxycodone 8 30 mg. Also, Patient JF did not have a history of anxiety nine months prior to obtaining 9 prescriptions from Dr. Diaz. However Dr. Diaz began treatment with Lorazepam 2 mg. Most 10 pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. JF was 11 prescribed the long acting opiates, Opana ER, Oxycontine, and MS Contin by Dr. Diaz at the 12 same time and were dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. These 13 long acting drugs are usually not prescribed together. Patient JF did not get any prescriptions 14 dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz; 15

f. Patient CG went to 10 prescribers and 5 pharmacies in Santa Barbara from January 1, 16 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to prescribers in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Carpentaria and 17 Sacramento. She lived in Carpentaria and traveled 10.63 miles to get to Dr. Diaz's Office in 18 Santa Barbara and Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street was located 13.63 miles 19 away from Patient CG's home. Patient CG paid for her prescriptions through insurance. Review 20 of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 21 dispensed. Patient CG mostly went to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street while 22 going to Dr. Diaz. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a 23 pain specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street dispensed prescriptions in 24 November 2009 through February 2010 above the 4,000 mg recommended dose of 25 Acetaminophen. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street also dispensed numerous 26 prescriptions for Suboxone, used for treatment of opioid addiction, from Dr. Diaz while 27 prescribing other narcotics. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street also dispensed 28

Prescription Nos. 312135, 312136, 333177, 333178, 335385, 33586 for the long action opiates,
 Opana ER and Oxycontine. Patient CG continued to get most pain and anxiety prescriptions
 dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz, but the quantity
 and therapy duplication was reduced by other prescribers. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription Nos. 319209, 319172, 319173 which were telephoned by the
 prescriber's office but did not note the name of the agent of the prescriber nor the pharmacist who
 transcribed it;

g. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription Nos.
337054, 337055 and 337056 with no prescriber signature and date to Patient IJ on January 3,
2012;

h. Patient ML went to 2 prescribers and 3 pharmacies, in Ojai, Goleta, and Santa 11 Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She lived in Santa Barbara (same address as 12 Patient IJ and Patient GJ) and paid cash for her prescriptions when not covered by insurance. 13 Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 14 dispensed. While going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, she mainly went 15 to Dr. Diaz. Patient ML received various HC/AP drugs prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the 16 same time which she had dispensed at multiple pharmacies, including Respondent L M Caldwell 17 Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. ML Received 5,166 mg per day of Acetaminophen, for example in 18 19 September of 2009, an amount over the recommended dose of Acetaminophen of 4,000 mg per day. She received 7,100 mg per day of Acetaminophen in November, 2010 from Respondent L 20 M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and January 2011. Patient ML only had one pain 21 prescription dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. A 22 review of Patient ML's Profile revealed she received mostly pain medication from Dr. Diaz, who 23 was not a pain specialist; 24

i. Patient KM went to 4 prescribers in Santa Barbara and Lompoc and 13 pharmacies
from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa
Maria, Orcutt and San Luis Obispo. She lived in Lompoc (same address as Patient MM) and
traveled 55. 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles from Respondent L M Caldwell

Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Patient KM paid cash for her prescriptions and paid over \$350.00 for 1 Oxycodone and Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the 2 number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. She received only pain and anxiety medication 3 from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. On January 12, 2011, Patient KM 4 received Oxycodone #180 and January 19, 2011 received Oxycodone #60. On February 11, 2011 5 he received #180 and on February 15, 2011, he received #60. KM should have had enough 6 tablets and the unusual dosage changes should have been questioned by Respondent L M 7 Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient KM did not get any pain or anxiety prescriptions 8 dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz; 9

Patient MM went to 17 prescribers and 20 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 10 i. 8, 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Lodi, Encinitas, San Luis Obispo, 11 Santa Maria, Solvang and Stanford and went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa 12 Maria, Orcutt, Buellton, San Luis Obispo and Pismo Beach. Prior to going to Respondent L M 13 Caldwell – Pueblo Street, she went to multiple pharmacies and prescribers. She lived in Lompoc 14 (same address as Patient KM) and traveled 55. 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles 15 from Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Patient KM paid cash when early 16 refills were obtained and/or when medication was not covered by insurance. Patient KM paid 17 \$327.00 for Oxycodone and \$1,585.00 for Oxycontin. Review of CURES showed therapy 18 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. She received only pain 19 and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient MM 20received multiple Oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions on or around the same time from Dr. Diaz 21 which she had dispensed at multiple pharmacies. She also received multiple Oxycontin 80 mg 22 prescriptions on or around the same time from Dr. Diaz which she had dispensed at multiple 23 pharmacies, including at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Patient MM also 24 received Suboxone, prior to and while going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo 25 Street. Patient MM did not get any pain or anxiety prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell 26Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. Patient MM received only pain and anxiety medication 27

from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient MM paid \$1,585.80 cash for Oxycontin 60 mg on July 4, 2010;

2

1

k. Patient SP went to 6 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 7 pharmacies from January 1, 3 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, and Goleta. She lived 4 in Santa Barbara and paid for her medication through insurance. Review of CURES showed 5 therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient SP 6 received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain 7 specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription No. 33143 8 for Oxycodone IR (1 Tablet, twice daily #60) for a 30 day supply on July 18, 2011 and then again 9 on July 28, 2011 (Prescription No. 33176, 1-3 tablets every 4-6 hours #240.) Patient SP also 10 received therapy duplication in the form of Diazepam and Alprazolam and HC/AP and 11 HC/Ibuprofen from Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient SP continued 12 to get one pain medication dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street 13 after Dr. Diaz. The number of pain drugs prescribed by other prescribers was reduced. Patient 14 SP was placed on Suboxone and did not have significant pain or anxiety after Dr. Diaz; 15 1. Patient VS went to 3 prescribers and 6 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, 16 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc and Goleta and went to pharmacies in 17 Lompoc, Santa Barbara, and Santa Maria. She lived in Lompoc (same address as Patient MM) 18 and traveled 55. 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles from Respondent L M 19 Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient VS paid cash for her prescriptions when insurance 20 did not cover the cost of medication. Patient VS paid over \$250.00 for Oxycodone and \$220.00 21 Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 22 and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient VS received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. 23 Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo 24 Street dispensed Prescription Nos. 33225, 033221, 33220, 33223 and 33222 with a written date 25 that was not in the prescriber's handwriting. Patient VS received Hydromorphone 4 mg and 8 mg 26 at or around the same time prescribed by Dr. Diaz which he had dispensed sometimes at the same 27 pharmacy, including Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient VS did not get 28

any pain or anxiety medication dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after September 14, 2011 and did not have any significant pain or anxiety history after Dr. Diaz was 2 3 investigated.

1

m. Patient MS went to 7 prescribers and 12 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 4 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Solvang, and Goleta and to pharmacies in 5 Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Oxnard, Santa Ynez Santa Maria and Goleta. She lived in Santa Barbara 6 and paid cash for her medication. She paid approximately \$350.00 for Hydromorphone, \$103 for 7 Methadone, \$130.00 for Alprazolam, \$218.00 for HC/AP, and \$200.00 for Oxycodone. Review 8 of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 9 dispensed. Patient MS went to multiple pharmacies and mainly went to Dr. Diaz. Patient MS 10 received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain 11 specialist. Patient MS received multiple prescriptions for AC/AP 10/325 mg from Dr. Diaz 12 which she dispensed at multiple pharmacies. She received 600-840 tablets of HC/AP within 30 13 days and received 7,800 mg per day to 9,750 mg per day of Acetaminophen. The practice of 14 Patient MS receiving multiple prescriptions dispensed at multiple pharmacies began in March of 15 2010 and continued monthly until November of 2011. Patient MS received multiple prescriptions 16 for Alprazolam 2 mg from Dr. Diaz which she dispensed at multiple pharmacies. MS received 17 240-360 tablets of Alprazolam within 30 days. Patient MS had a couple of pain prescriptions 18 dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz and the quantities 19 and therapy duplications prescribed by other prescribers were reduced; 20

Patient RS went to 2 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 6 pharmacies in Santa Barbara 21 n. and Goleta from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for 22 her medication. She paid approximately \$225.00 for Hydromorphone, \$175.00 for HC/AP, and 23 \$107 for Alprazolam. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of 24 opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient MS went to multiple pharmacies and mainly went to 25 Dr. Diaz. Patient MS received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him 26not being a pain specialist. Patient RS had no significant pain or anxiety history prior to going to 27Dr. Diaz. However, Dr. Diaz began by prescribing him Methadone 10 mg, Hydromorphone 8 mg, 28

HC/AP 10/325 mg and Alprazolam 2 mg. Patient RS received multiple prescriptions for HC/AP 1 10/325 mg from Dr. Diaz which he dispensed at multiple pharmacies. Patient RS received 480 2 tablets of HC/AP within 30 days and received 5,200 mg per day of Acetaminophen. The practice 3 of Patient RS getting multiple prescriptions dispensed at multiple pharmacies began in August of 4 2011 and continued monthly until December of 2011. Patient RS did not get any pain or anxiety 5 prescriptions dispensed at Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist – Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. 6 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription No. 336005 for 7 Buprenorphine, used for treatment of narcotic addiction on December 1, 2011, prescribed by Dr. 8 Diaz. 9

10 77. L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi did not know the
11 diagnosis for patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS, RS, and
12 knew that Dr. Diaz was a family practitioner and not a pain management physician. Also, L M
13 Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain records or files
14 on drug therapy for these patients, and failed to check data in CURES.

78. When reviewing the records for patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, KM, 15 MM, SP, VS, MS, and RS, it was noted that eight out of these fifteen patients lived outside Dr. 16 Diaz's trading area and five out of nine lived outside of Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-17 Pueblo Street normal trading area. The range of distance travelled for the selected patients was 18 between 6.97 miles for the shortest to 111.97 for the longest. The average distance traveled by 19 the patient was 35.26 miles and the total distance these patients travelled to obtain controlled 20substances was excessive. Five of the fifteen patient home addresses were not recognized by 21 Mapquest. In addition seven of the fifteen patients had the same address. Eight of the fifteen 22 patients reviewed lived outside of Dr. Diaz's normal trading area and five of fifteen lived outside 23 of L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street normal trading area. 24

79. Most of the patients paid cash, including when the medication was not covered
by their insurance or to get early refills. Some patients had insurance/Medicaid, however, were
willing to pay a large sum of cash for controlled substances which were not covered by the plans,
including those on Medicaid.

| 1 | 80. There was excessive furnishing of controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz. The | | | | | | | |
|----|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | dispensing ratio of prescriptions by Dr. Diaz by L M Caldwell Pharmacist -Pueblo Street and | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Respondent Yahyavi was greatly unbalanced when compared to other neighboring pharmacies, | | | | | | | |
| 4 | including the follow | ing three pharmacie | es: Federal Drugs PH | IY37078 (located 1 | .83 miles from L | | | |
| 5 | M Caldwell Pharma | cist-Pueblo Street), | Rite-Aid #5785 PH | Y 42255 (located 1 | .72 miles from L M | | | |
| 6 | Caldwell Pharmacis | t-Pueblo Street), and | d CVS#9392 PHY 4 | 94473 (located 1.4 | 6 miles from L M | | | |
| 7 | Caldwell Pharmacist | t-Pueblo Street). R | espondent L M Cald | dwell Pharmacist-P | ueblo Street filled | | | |
| 8 | tens of thousands me | ore controlled subst | ances prescribed by | Dr. Diaz when con | npared to | | | |
| 9 | neighboring pharma | | | | ^ | | | |
| 10 | 2012. The CURES | - | - | | | | | |
| 11 | pharmacies, for exar | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | Total controlled | Total Dr. Diaz's | Total quantity | % of total | | | |
| 12 | Pharmacy | substances | RX from | Total quantity for Dr. Diaz's | controlled | | | |
| 13 | | dispensed between | 1/1/2011-12/5/ 2012 | RX from 1/1/2011- | substance RX dispensed for | | | |
| 15 | | 1/1/2011- 12/5/2012 | | 12/5/2012 | Dr. Diaz | | | |
| 15 | Respondent LM | 11,215 | 1,418 | 215,186 | 12.64% | | | |
| | Caldwell Pharmacist – | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Pueblo Street Federal Drugs | 18, 282 | 0 | 0 | 0% | | | |
| 18 | PHY 37078 | 10, 202 | 0 | U | 076 | | | |
| 19 | (1.92 miles from LM Caldwell) | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Rite-Aid #5785 | 3,584 | 0 | 0 | 0% | | | |
| 21 | PHY 42255 (.065 miles from LM | | } | | | | | |
| 22 | Caldwell Pharmacist | | | | | | | |
| 23 | CVS # 9392 PHY 49473 | 13,365 | 44 | 6,599 | .33% | | | |
| 24 | (.41 miles from | | | | | | | |
| 25 | LM Caldwell) | | | | | | | |
| 26 | /// | | | | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 40 | | | | | |
| | | | Second Amended A | Accusation (Accusation | Against LM Caldwell) | | | |

1

Pattern of Early Refills and Duplicate Medications

Between January 1, 2010 and December 7, 2012, LM Caldwell-Pueblo Street 81. 2 engaged in a pattern of early refills, including for Patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, 3 4 KM, MM, SP, VS, MS and RS, including, for example, 22 days early for Patient RB (Prescription Nos. 335933 & 336232), 24 days early for Patient CB (Prescription Nos. 328602 & 328602) 25 5 days for Patient CC (Prescription Nos. 325881 & 326067), 16 days early for Patient CG 6 (Prescription Nos. 312824 & 312824), 25 days early for Patient GJ (Prescription Nos. 329632 & 7 329632), 18 days early for Patient IJ (Prescription Nos. 328627 & 328627) 27 days early for 8 Patient ML (Prescription Nos. 317889 & 31789), 29 days early for Patient MM (Prescription Nos. 9 326892 & 326705), and 16 days early for Patient MS (Prescription Nos. 331092 & 331728). 10

1

11

28

<u>Patient AM</u>

82. On December 10, 2013, the Board received a medical malpractice payment report, 12 Santa Barbara Superior Court, Case No. 1414079, from American Casualty Co. of Reading PA 13 for Respondent Yahyavi, without admission of negligence or liability. On February 3, 2014, the 14 15 Board received a report of settlement judgment or arbitration award, Case No. 1414079, from Chicago Insurance Company for Respondent Yahyavi, without the admission of guilt. 16 Prescribing of narcotic medication which led to death was alleged in the civil suit. The Board 17 confirmed that both settlement reports were regarding Patient AM and the insurance companies 18 split the costs of settlement. Patient AM, presented prescriptions from a medical doctor which 19 Respondent Yahyavi dispensed. On November 25, 2011, Patient AM died from acute 20complications from narcotic abuse. At the time of his death, Patient AM had multiple controlled 21 22 substances in his system. /// 23 24 III25 /// 26 27

83.

1

2

3

A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street's profile for

Patient AM revealed that Patient AM received the following controlled substances at Respondent

| vell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street: |
|---------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|

| RX Date | RX # | Drug | Prescriber |
|------------|--------|--|------------|
| 8/23/2010 | 320263 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 6 hours as needed for pain #240 | Dr. Diaz |
| | 230234 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain. #240 | |
| 9/20/2010 | 321036 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 4-6 hours as needed for pain #240 | Dr. Diaz |
| | | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 4-6 hours as needed for pain. #240 | |
| 10/14/2010 | 322230 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 2-4 hours #260 | Dr. Diaz |
| | 322231 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 2-4 hours #260 | |
| | 322232 | Methadone 10 mg 2 pills every 12 hours #120 | |
| 11/11/2010 | 323197 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 4-6 hours #260 | Dr. Diaz |
| · | 323198 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 4-6 hours #260 | |

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street's profile for 84. Patient AM and CURES records also revealed that Patient AM saw 4 prescribers and went to 8 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, 2013. Patient AM saw prescribers in Santa Barbara, Solvang, and Shell Beach. Patient AM received only pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient AM traveled over 70 miles from home in Solvang to obtain the prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and then to Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street to have the prescriptions dispensed. Patient AM paid cash for his medication.

42

85. Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi
 dispensed 9 prescriptions for AM. However, if they would have checked CURES data, they
 would have been able to determine there were unusual prescribing patterns for Dr. Diaz and that
 Patient AM was going to multiple pharmacies. Patient AM, for example, went to 2 separate
 pharmacies on the same day to get Oxycodone and Hydromorphone. Since Respondent Yahyavi
 knew Dr. Diaz as the "Candy Man," he should have questioned the legitimacy of his
 prescriptions.

86. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2014, Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-8 Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi, failed to exercise best professional judgment while 9 dispensing controlled substance prescriptions for Patient AM prescribed by Dr. Diaz. There were 10 significant, objective factors of irregularity in AM's prescriptions, including repetitive prescribing 11 patterns for highly abused controlled substances, the location of prescriber's practice in relation to 12 the location of AM's residence, and the patient's payment methods. Respondent Yahyayi also 13 failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such as 14 CURES reports and its own pharmacy records. The result of this negligence was the dispensing 15 of controlled substances for AM who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and multiple 16 pharmacy activity. Respondent Yahyavi should have questioned the legitimacy of the 17 prescriptions it and Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street dispensed to Patient 18 AM. 19

Patient ES

20

87. On May 4, 2015, the Board received a settlement payment report, Santa Barbara 21 Superior Court, Case No. 1439529, from Chicago Insurance Company for Respondent Yahyavi, 22 without admission of negligence or liability. On May 7, 2015, the Board received a report of 23 24 settlement judgment or arbitration award, Case No. 1439529, from American Casualty Co. of Reading for Respondent Yahyavi, without the admission of guilt. The Board confirmed that both 25 settlement reports were regarding Patient ES and the insurance companies split the costs of 26 settlement. Patient ES presented prescriptions from a medical doctor, Dr. Diaz, which 27Respondent Yahyavi dispensed. The civil complaint alleged that ES became addicted to 28

43

prescription medications and ultimately died resulting from negligent prescribing by Dr. Diaz and
 negligent dispensing by Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent
 Yahyavi. The complaint further alleged that the pharmacists failed to conduct an appropriate
 drug utilization review of patient prescription data in dispensing ES's prescriptions. The coroner
 determined ES's death was an "accidental death due to multiple drug ingestion."

88. A review of the PAR for Patient ES revealed that all but two of ES's prescriptions 6 filled in 2009 and 2010 were written by Dr. Diaz. The PAR for ES contained 32 entries for 7 controlled substance prescriptions filled in 2009 and 37 entries for controlled prescriptions filled 8 in 2010. Starting in July 15, 2009, ES received all but three of her prescriptions from LM 9 Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street. No documentation was found supporting verification of 10ES's prescriptions or regarding communication with Dr. Diaz regarding ES's prescriptions. 11 Further, the irregularities found in the prescriptions remained unresolved even if Dr. Diaz would 12 have been consulted. 13

89. The majority of the prescriptions ES received in 2010 were controlled substances. Of 14 70 prescriptions ES received in 2010, 55 prescriptions (78.57%) were written for controlled 15 substances and 15 were written for non-controlled substances. These prescriptions included pain 16 medications prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Of the 15 non-17 controlled substance prescriptions, 9 prescriptions were written for Carisoprodol 350 mg federally 18 classified as a controlled substance on January 11, 2012 due to its potential for abuse and 19 diversion. Accordingly, as of 2012, 64 out of 70 prescriptions were considered controlled 20substances (91.4%). ES received 11 different medications from LM Caldwell Pharmacists-21Pueblo Street in 2010. Indications for the medications ES received included attention deficit 22 disorder, muscle spasms, anxiety, diarrhea, pain, diabetes, asthma, and seizures or migraine 23 headaches. 24

25

26

90. ES receive very large daily dose of narcotic pain relievers. The following table includes prescriptions ES received in June 2010:

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

| Drug | Quantity | Days Supply | Mg per day | Morphine Equivalent Daily Dose |
|--|----------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Hydromorphone 8 mg | 180 | 30 | 48 mg | 192 mg |
| Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325mg | 180 | 25 | 72 mg (hydrocodone) | 72 mg |
| Methadone 10 mg | 90 | 30 | 30 mg | 240 mg |
| Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg | 150 | 30 | 37.5 mg (hydrocodone) | 37.5 mg |
| | | | | Total 541.5 mg |
| 91. ES received excessive quantities and doses of narcotic pain relievers. For example, ES received 600 tablets of narcotic pain relievers in June 2010, an average of over 19 tablets per day. If ES took these four medications concurrently and as directed, she would have received a daily dose of Morphine equivalent to approximately 541 mg. ES received potentially duplicative therapy including two strengths of the same medication, hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg and hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg. Between June 21, 2010 and August 23, 2010, ES received prescriptions for two different strengths of hydrocodone/acetaminophen combinations. Taken together, these two medications contained between 5,258 mg and 5,892 mg per day - more | | | | |
| than the recommended maximum daily dose of acetaminophen, 4 g (4,000 mg). ⁸ A patient receiving more than 4 g of acetaminophen per day represents a significant irregularity which | | | | |
| would warrant a pharmacist's conference with the prescriber to attempt to resolve the dosing | | | | |
| issue. The combination of a benzodiazepine (clonazepam) and methadone along with three other narcotic pain relievers (hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg, hydrocodone acetaminophen | | | | |
| ⁷ The Morphine Equivalent Dose of a medication can be considered the dose of Morphine which would achieve the same effect as a dose of the given medication. ⁸ The maximum daily dose of acetaminophen in 2010 was 4 g (4,000 mg) per day. In 2014, the recommended maximum daily dose was decreased to 3, 250 mg per day but doses up to 4,000 may still be used under provider supervision. | | | | |
| | | | | |

.

7.5/750 mg, and hydromorphone) was a significant irregularity in ES's profile. ES received
 prescriptions for methadone and clonazepam despite a potentially serious drug interaction
 between these two drugs in that clonazepam may increase the respiratory depressant effect of
 methadone. Dr. Diaz's prescriptions for ES, which included high dose narcotics and medications
 to treat anxiety and attention-deficit disorder, were inconsistent with his self-reported areas of
 practice on the public Breeze of general practice, geriatric medicine and pathology.

7

8

92. Also, because Respondent Yahyavi knew Dr. Diaz as the "Candy Man," as stated above, he should have questioned the legitimacy of his prescriptions.

Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi failed 93. 9 to exercise best professional judgment while dispensing controlled substance prescriptions for 10 Patient ES prescribed by Dr. Diaz. There were significant, objective factors of irregularity in ES's 11 12 prescriptions from Dr. Diaz that should have indicated to LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi that these prescriptions were not issued in the usual course of 13 professional treatment. These factors include: ES's dispensing history for 2010 containing 91.4% 14 controlled substances or Carisopodol, the receipt of more than 4 mg of acetaminophen per day. 15 the combination of a benzodiazephine (clonazepam) and methadone along with three other 16 17 narcotic pain relievers (hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg, hydrocodone acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg, and hydromorphone), and the repetitive prescribing patterns for highly abused 18 controlled substances. Respondent Yahyavi should have questioned the legitimacy of the 19 prescriptions it and Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street dispensed to Patient 20ES. 21

2.2

Conviction and Medical Board Disciplinary Action

94. On April 29, 2011, the Board received an arrest report from the California
Department of Justice for Pharmacy Technician DLM who had been arrested on allegations that
he stole Oxycontin from his employer Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and sold
the drugs to an undercover detective. In May of 2011, Pharmacy Technician DLM, following a
plea, was convicted of the sale of a controlled substance Oxycontin under Health and Safety Code
section 11352, subdivision (a).

95. On January 5, 2012, the Board received notification that Dr. Diaz was allegedly
 linked to a string of deaths involving prescriptions drugs and had been arrested for allegedly
 prescribing an excessive amount of painkillers to his patients. On May 13, 2014, the California
 Medical Board revoked Dr. Diaz's license as a general practitioner and his specialty in Geriatrics
 and Pathology for gross negligence in the care and treatment of a patient, prescribing excessive
 narcotic medications to patients, and failing to maintain adequate and accurate records.
 Board Inspections and Audits

8 96. On July 13, 2011, January 1, 2013, and January 15, 2013, the Board inspected
9 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. The Board also conducted audits of
10 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street from 2009 to January 2013.

97. On January 16, 2013, the Board inspected Respondent L M Caldwell PharmacistPueblo Street. During the inspection, Respondent Yahyavi admitted to the inspector that he
knew Dr. Diaz as the "Candy Man." The Board also conducted audits of Respondent L M
Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street from 2009 to January 2013.

98. On April 8, 2013, the Board issued a written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent
L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. The Board also issued a written
Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent
Yahyani.

99. On July 31, 2013, the Board issued a written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent
L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi.

21 100. On August 7, 2013, the Board issued another written Notice of Noncompliance to
22 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and Respondent Caldwell.

|||

///

///

///

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacy) |
| 3 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist -State Street) |
| 4 | 101. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street is subject to discipline under |
| 5 | section 4301, subsection (o) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section |
| 6 | 1714, subsection (b), for failure to maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that |
| 7 | drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The circumstances |
| 8 | are that between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- |
| 9 | State Street could not account for the loss of 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between |
| 10 | August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street could not |
| 11 | account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and the loss of 605 tablets of |
| 12 | Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set |
| 13 | forth above in paragraphs 44 through 46, as though set forth fully. |
| 14 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 15 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacist) |
| 16 | (Against Respondent Caldwell) |
| 17 | 102. Respondent Caldwell is subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of |
| 18 | the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d), for failure to |
| 19 | maintain the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control |
| 20 | against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices |
| 21 | and to ensure that possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled |
| 22 | substances are stored is restricted to pharmacists. The circumstances are that between November |
| 23 | 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent Caldwell could not account for the loss of 5,360 tablets of |
| 24 | Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, Respondent Caldwell could |
| 25 | not account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and the loss of 605 tablets of |
| 26 | Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set |
| 27 | forth above in paragraphs 44 through 46, as though set forth fully. |
| 28 | |
| | 48 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

| THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|---|
| (Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Dangerous Drugs) |
| (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell, and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 103. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi, are each and severally |
| subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, subdivision |
| (a) of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of sale, acquisition or disposition of dangerous |
| drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of |
| making. The circumstances are as follows: |
| a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could |
| not account for the records of acquisition and disposition and the current inventory. Between |
| November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and |
| Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage (disposition greater than |
| acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg and 165 tablets of Oxycodone SR 80 mg. |
| Between August 6, 2011 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State |
| Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage of 78,746 tablets of |
| HC/AP 10/325 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set |
| forth above in paragraphs 44 through 45, as though set forth fully. |
| b. Between January 5, 2010 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for prescription hardcopies |
| for Prescriptions Nos. 793824, 793825, 793826, 789177, 789188, 793189, 793190, 805552, |
| 782075, 792283, 793432, 793184, 791387, 797610, 787609, 790594, 790595, 790597, 795658, |
| 804361, 792346, 793090, 795652, 776675, 773787, 779441, 780927, 790980, 792044, 792920, |
| 792935 and 792928. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set |
| forth above in paragraphs 46, as though set forth fully. |
| |
| |
| 49 |
| |

,

| 1 | c. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell |
|----|--|
| 2 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi could not account for an inventory overage of |
| 3 | 53,811 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates |
| 4 | the allegations set forth above in paragraph 70, as though set forth fully. |
| 5 | c. On January 16, 2013, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent |
| 6 | Yahyavi were unable to provide the original prescription documents for RX # 327435, 334405, , |
| 7 | 317892, 317893, 317894, 330297, 323526, 324203, 325803, 325881, 312027, 316180, 315861, |
| 8 | 322717, 322718, 319209, 322715, 330610, 333178, 334336, 318220, 331648, 322460, 332461, |
| 9 | 326892, 327949, 332102, and 336005. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates |
| 10 | the allegations set forth above in paragraph 71, as though set forth fully. |
| 11 | FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 12 | (Failure to Provide Drug Sales and Purchase Records After Furnishing Dangerous Drugs) |
| 13 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 14 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 15 | 104. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 16 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi, are each and severally |
| 17 | subject to disciplinary action under section 4059, subdivision (b), of the Code, for furnishing a |
| 18 | dangerous drug or dangerous device to each other without sales and purchase records that |
| 19 | correctly give the date, names and addresses of the supplier and buyer, the drug or device and the |
| 20 | quantity. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 21 | a. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 22 | Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold HC/AP 10/325 mg to Respondent |
| 23 | Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records. Complainant refers to, and |
| 24 | by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 49, as though set forth |
| 25 | fully. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 50 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

۹.,

| 1 | b. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo |
|----|---|
| 2 | Street and Respondent Yahyavi purchased HC/AP 10/325 mg from Caldwell Pharmacist-State |
| 3 | Street without adequate purchase records. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, |
| 4 | incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 72, as though set forth fully. |
| 5 | FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 6 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Exercise Corresponding Responsibility) |
| 7 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 8 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 9 | 105. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 10 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi are each and severally |
| 11 | subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (d) and (j), of the Code, Health and |
| 12 | Safety code section 11153, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section |
| 13 | 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b), for excessive furnishing of controlled substances with an |
| 14 | established history of a high potential for abuse despite multiple cues of irregularity and |
| 15 | uncertainty related to patient and prescriber factors, and in failing to comply with their |
| 16 | corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances are dispensed for a legitimate |
| 17 | medical purpose: |
| 18 | a. Specifically, between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, Respondent L M |
| 19 | Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, and Respondent Caldwell dispensed 1,492 controlled |
| 20 | substance prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Diaz with disregard to the following factors: distance |
| 21 | from the pharmacy to Dr. Diaz's office, distance from the pharmacy to each patient's home, |
| 22 | percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers, pattern of patients willing to pay cash for |
| 23 | highly expensive prescriptions, and same or similar prescribing patterns for individual patients |
| 24 | from alleged pain specialists. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent |
| 25 | Caldwell failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such |
| 26 | as CURES reports and its own pharmacy records, including to Patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, |
| 27 | TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS and CW. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, LM |
| 28 | Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to exercise their corresponding |
| | 51 |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) |

responsibility with regard to Patient JJ. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 50 through 69 as though set forth fully.

Specifically, between January 1, 2011 and December 7, 2012, Respondent L M b. 3 Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi dispensed 1,418 controlled 4 substance prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Diaz with disregard to the following factors: distance 5 from the pharmacy to Dr. Diaz's office, distance from the pharmacy to each patient's home, 6 percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers, pattern of patients willing to pay cash for 7 highly expensive prescriptions, and same or similar prescribing patterns for individual patients 8 from alleged pain specialists. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and 9 Respondent Yahyavi failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily 10 available tools such as CURES reports and its own pharmacy records, including to Patients GA, 11 RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, IJ, ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS and RS. From January 1, 2010 to January 12 1, 2014, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi also failed to exercise 13 their corresponding responsibility with regard to Patient AM. From January 11, 2010 to October 14 8, 2010, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi failed to exercise their 15 corresponding responsibility with regard to Patient ES. Complainant refers to, and by this 16 reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 75 through 95, as though set 17 forth fully. 18

19

1

2

20

21

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dispensing Prescriptions Which

Contains Significant Error, Omission, Irregularity, Uncertainty, Ambiguity or Alteration) (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell) 22 106. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, and Respondent Caldwell are 23 each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, 24 and California Code of Regulations section 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b), for dispensing a 25prescription which contained a significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity, or 26 alteration, for failing to contact the prescriber to obtain information to validate the prescription, 27and/or for dispensing a controlled substance knowing or having the objective reason to know that 28

| l | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | the prescription was not issued for a legitimate purpose, even after conferring with the prescriber. | | |
| 2 | The circumstances are as follows: | | |
| 3 | a. On March 22, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and | | |
| 4 | Respondent Caldwell dispensed Prescription No. 784841 for Morphine Sulfate 10 mg/ml solution | | |
| 5 | that was written with no quantity on the prescription with the quantity box for "151 & over" | | |
| 6 | marked. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell | | |
| 7 | dispensed 360 mls of Morphine Sulfate solutions with no documentation on the prescription | | |
| 8 | indicating that the prescribing physician, Dr. Diaz, was contacted to clarify the quantity. | | |
| 9 | Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in | | |
| 10 | paragraph 57, subparagraph (d), as though set forth fully. | | |
| 11 | b. On May 20, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent | | |
| 12 | Caldwell dispensed Prescription No. 784839 for Fentanyl 100 mcg/hour with directions to apply | | |
| 13 | every 48 hours. The manufacturer's direction was to change the patch every 72 hours. | | |
| 14 | Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in | | |
| 15 | paragraph 57, subparagraph (e), as though set forth fully. | | |
| 16 | SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 17 | (Exceeding the Day Supply for Controlled Substance Refills) | | |
| 18 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell) | | |
| 19 | 107. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent Caldwell are | | |
| 20 | each and severally subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11200, | | |
| 21 | subdivision (b) for refilling a prescription for Schedule II or IV substance more than five times | | |
| 22 | and/or in an amount, for all refills of that prescription taken together, exceeding a 120-day supply. | | |
| 23 | The circumstances are as follows: | | |
| 24 | a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell | | |
| 25 | dispensed Prescription No. 782251 for Alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance, on | | |
| 26 | March 25, 2011 for a 30 day supply. They then refilled Prescription No. 782251 five times on | | |
| 27 | April 22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011, for a total of | | |
| 28 | five (5) refills for a total of a 150-day supply. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, | | |
| | 53 | | |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | |

| 1 | incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 58, subparagraph (a), as though set forth | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | fully. | |
| 3 | b. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell | |
| 4 | dispensed Prescription No. 782250 for Diazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance, on March | |
| 5 | 25, 2011 for a 30 day supply. They then refilled Prescription No. 782250 on April 22, 2011, Ma | |
| 6 | 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011, for a total of five (5) refills for a | |
| 7 | total of a 150-day supply. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the | |
| 8 | allegations set forth above in paragraph 58, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully. | |
| 9 | EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 10 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Variation from Prescription) | |
| 11 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist–Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi) | |
| 12 | 108. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi are | |
| 13 | each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (0), of the Code, | |
| 14 | and California Code of Regulations section 1716, when they deviated from the requirements of a | |
| 15 | prescription without the prior consent of the prescriber. Specifically, between January 1, 2010 | |
| 16 | and January 15, 2013, they dispensed the following prescriptions incorrectly: | |
| 17 | (1) Prescription No. 321310, was for Oxycodone 30 mg 1-2 every 6 hour as needed for | |
| 18 | pain. Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily as needed for pain; | |
| 19 | (2) Prescription No. 321312, was for Xanax mg 1-2 times daily for panic. Respondents | |
| 20 | dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily; | |
| 21 | (3) Prescription No. 325038, was for 30 mg 1-2 HC/AP 7.5/750 mg. Prescriber wrote 1 | |
| 22 | tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain and Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet every 4-6 hours | |
| 23 | as needed for pain; | |
| 24 | (4) Prescription No. 331728, was for Dilaudid 8 mg, 1 every 6 hours #120. Respondents | |
| 25 | dispensed Hydromorphone 8 mg, 1-2 tablets every 6 hours; | |
| 26 | (5) Prescription No. 332908, was for Methadone 10 mg 7 tablets every 12 hours #400. | |
| 27 | Respondents dispensed it as 6 tablets every 12 hours; | |
| 28 | | |
| | 54 | |
| ļ | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | |

| 1 | (6) Prescription No. 335645, was for Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 4-6 hour. | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Respondents dispensed Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 6 hours. | | | |
| 3 | Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in | | | |
| 4 | paragraph 73, subdivisions (a) through (f) as though set forth fully. | | | |
| 5 | NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
| 6 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Dispensing Balance of | | | |
| 7 | Schedule II Prescriptions Beyond 72 hours) | | | |
| 8 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi) | | | |
| 9 | 109. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi are | | | |
| 10 | each and severally liable to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, | | | |
| 11 | and California Code of Regulations section 1745, subdivision (d), as it related to Code of Federal | | | |
| 12 | Regulations 1306.13, subdivision (a) as follows: | | | |
| 13 | a. Review of prescriptions, from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, revealed that | | | |
| 14 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi partially filled | | | |
| 15 | prescriptions for controlled substances listed in Schedule II and then dispensed the balance of the | | | |
| 16 | prescription after the 72 hour period allowed for dispensing the balance of prescriptions. | | | |
| 17 | Specifically between January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, Respondents dispensed Prescription | | | |
| 18 | Nos. 329771, 331396, 332230, and 33265, then dispensed the balance of the prescriptions after 72 | | | |
| 19 | hours. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above | | | |
| 20 | in paragraph 74 as though set forth fully. | | | |
| 21 | OTHER MATTERS | | | |
| 22 | 110. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number | | | |
| 23 | PHY 30911 issued to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist, L M Caldwell | | | |
| 24 | Pharmacist shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, | | | |
| 25 | director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911 | | | |
| 26 | is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911 is reinstated if it is revoked. | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | 55 | | | |
| | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | | |

1 111. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number
 PHY 530911 issued to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist while Peter
 Caldwell has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any
 conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Peter Caldwell shall be prohibited from serving as
 a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for
 five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy
 Permit Number PHY 30911 is reinstated if it is revoked.

8 112. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number
9 PHY 30912 issued to L M Caldwell Pharmacist dba L M Caldwell Pharmacists, LM Caldwell
10 Pharmacist shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer,
11 director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912
12 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912 is reinstated if it is revoked.

13 113. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number
PHY 530912 issued to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist while Peter
Caldwell has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any
conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Peter Caldwell shall be prohibited from serving as
a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for
five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy
Permit Number PHY 30912 is reinstated if it is revoked.

20

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

114. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent L M 21 Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street, Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a 22 prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2006-32134 against Respondent 23L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, 24section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit A. That Citation is now final and is 25incorporated as if fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 262008, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2007-35415 against 27 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of 28

56

Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit B. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

115. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Yahyavi, Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2006-32988 against Respondent Yahyavi and ordered him to pay fines in the amount of \$ 250.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit C. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 2008, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2008-37974 against Respondent Yahyavi and ordered him to pay fines in the amount of \$750.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit D. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth.

13

12

116. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent L M. Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street, Complainant alleges that on or about July 23, 2013, in a prior 14 action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 49544 against Respondent L M. 15 Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 16 1716 and section 1711, subdivisions (d) and (e). A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit E. 17 That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 18

117. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Caldwell, 19 Complainant alleges that on or about July 23, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy 20issued Citation Number CI 2013 57599 against Respondent Caldwell for violating California 21 Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 and section 1711, subdivisions (d) and (e). A copy of 22 the citation is attached as Exhibit F. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set 23 forth herein. Respondent Caldwell, Complainant alleges that on or about February 29, 2012, in a 24 prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2010 48187 against Respondent 25Caldwell for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1732.5 and Business and 26 Professions Code 4231, subdivision (d) and 4301, subdivision (g). A copy of the citation is 27attached as Exhibit G. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 28

| 1 | PRAYER | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | | |
| 3 | and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: | | |
| 4 | 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911, issued to Peter | | |
| 5 | Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist; | | |
| 6 | 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912, issued to L M | | |
| 7 | Caldwell Pharmacist to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist; | | |
| 8 | 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 25356, issued to Peter Craig | | |
| 9 | Caldwell; | | |
| 10 | 4. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 30041, issued to Abdul | | |
| 11 | Yahyavi; | | |
| 12 | 5. Prohibiting LM Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30911) from serving as a manager, | | |
| 13 | administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if | | |
| 14 | Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number | | |
| 15 | PHY 30911 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 50434 issued to L M Caldwell Pharmacist | | |
| 16 | is revoked; | | |
| 17 | 6. Prohibiting Peter Caldwell from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, | | |
| 18 | officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number | | |
| 19 | PHY 30911 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911 is reinstated if | | |
| 20 | Pharmacy Permit Number 30911 issued to L M Caldwell Pharmacist is revoked; | | |
| 21 | 7. Prohibiting LM Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30912) from serving as a manager, | | |
| 22 | administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if | | |
| 23 | Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number | | |
| 24 | PHY 30912 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 30912 issued to L M Caldwell Pharmacist | | |
| 25 | is revoked; | | |
| 26 | /// | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 58 | | |
| ĺ | Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Caldwell) | | |

| 1 | 8. Prohibiting Peter Caldwell from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, me | mber, | |
|--|---|---------|--|
| . 2 | 2 officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number | | |
| 3 PHY 30912 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912 is re | | | |
| 4 | Pharmacy Permit Number 30912 issued to L M Caldwell Pharmacist is revoked; | | |
| 5 | 9. Ordering L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30911), L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY | | |
| 6 | 30912), Peter Craig Caldwell, and Abdul Yahyavi to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable | | |
| 7 | costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions | | |
| - 8 | Code section 125.3; | | |
| 9 | 10. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | | |
| 10 | DATED: 6/27/16 Unginia Kede | | |
| 11 | VIRGINIA HEROLD | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | LA2013509955 52075888_3.docx | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| . 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 59 Second Amended Accusation (Accusation Against LM Ca | Idually | |
| | II Second America Accusation (Accusation Against LM Ca | adwon) | |

| 1 2 3 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 | | | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | | | |
| -6 | Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | | | |
| 7 | E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| 8 | | PF THF | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | | |
| | | CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against: | Case No. 4867 | | |
| 12 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing | | | |
| 13 | business as L M CALDWELL | FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION | | |
| 14 | PHARMACIST 1509 State St. | | | |
| 15 | Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30911 | • | | |
| 16 | • . | | | |
| 17 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL doing business as L M CALDWELL | | | |
| 18 | PHARMACIST | | | |
| 19 | 235 West Pueblo St. Santa Barbara, CA 93105 | | | |
| 20 | Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30912 | | | |
| 21 | PETER CRAIG CALDWELL 1509 State St. | | | |
| 22 | Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Pharmacist License No. RPH 25356 | | | |
| 23 | ABDUL YAHYAVI | | | |
| 24 | 1624 La Coronilla Drive. | | | |
| 25 | Santa Barbara, CA 93109 Pharmacist License No. RPH 30041 | | | |
| 26 | Respondent. | | | |
| 27 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | 1 | | |
| | | First Amended Accusation | | |

Complainant alleges:

1

2

3

4

5

PARTIES

 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 6 Number PHY 30911 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 7 1509 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street). 8 The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 9 herein and will expire on December 1, 2015, unless renewed. Peter C. Caldwell has been the 10 individual licensed owner of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 13, 1984. Peter 11 C. Caldwell has been the Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since 12 13 December 13, 1984.

On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit
 Number PHY 30912 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 235
 West Pueblo Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo
 Street). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
 brought herein and will expire on December 1, 2015, unless renewed. Abdul Yahyavi was the
 Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent Pueblo Street Pharmacy from December 1, 1984 to October
 1, 2014. Catherine Young Nance became the Pharmacist in Charge on October 1, 2014.

4. On or about January 9, 1968, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number
 25356 to Peter Craig Caldwell (Respondent Caldwell). The Pharmacist License was in full force
 and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2016,
 unless renewed.

5. On or about December 10, 1975, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number
30041 to Abdul Yahyavi (Respondent Yahyavi). The Pharmacist License was in full force and
effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless
renewed.

First Amended Accusation

| 1 | JURISDICTION |
|----|---|
| 2 | 6. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), |
| 3 | Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section |
| 4 | references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 5 | 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/ |
| 6 | surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to |
| 7 | proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, |
| 8 | restored, reissued or reinstated. |
| 9 | 8. Section 4300 of the Code states: |
| 10 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. |
| 11 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose |
| 12 | default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: |
| 13 | (1) Suspending judgment. |
| 14 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. |
| 15 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding on |
| 16 | year. |
| 17 | (4) Revoking his or her license. |
| 18 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter |
| 21 | 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, |
| 22 | except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure." |
| 23 | |
| 24 | 9. Section 4300.1 of the Code states: |
| 25 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement |
| 26 | of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any |
| 27 | investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render |
| 28 | a decision suspending or revoking the license. |
| | 3 |

| 1 | STATUTORY AUTHORITY |
|----------|--|
| 2 | 10. Section 4301 of the Code states: |
| 3 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of |
| 4 | unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is |
| 5 | not limited to, any of the following: |
| 6 | (a) Gross immorality.(b) Incompetence. |
| 7 | (c) Gross negligence. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. |
| 10 | (e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be |
| 11 | considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances |
| 12 | furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the customer (including size and frequency of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer |
| 13 | distributes its product. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting |
| 18 | the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including |
| 19 | regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | 11. Section 4022 of the Code states |
| 22 | Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use |
| 23 | in humans or animals, and includes the following: |
| 24 | (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. |
| 25 | (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to |
| 26 27 | sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device. |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |
| | First Amended Accusation |

| | 5 First Amended Accusat |
|----------|--|
| 28 | |
| 27 | section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate. |
| 26 | (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this |
| 25 | or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section. |
| 24 | (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge |
| 23 | drugs or dangerous devices. |
| 22 | Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous |
| 21 | establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the |
| 20 | manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or |
| 18 19 | drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every |
| 17 | (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous |
| 16 | 13. Section 4081 of the Code states: |
| 15 | authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter. |
| 14 | subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises. (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's |
| 13 | (e)(1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) he licensed provides that the records described in |
| 12 | maintained electronically. |
| 11 | premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records |
| 9 10 | pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed |
| 8 9 | (d) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the |
| 7 | (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making. |
| 6 | of those records or other documentation shall be retained on the licensed premises. |
| 5 | (b) The licensee may remove the original records or documentation from the licensed premises on a temporary basis for license-related purposes. However, a duplicate set |
| 4 | drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form. |
| 3 | (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any antity ligensed by the beard shall be retained an |
| 2 | 12. Section 4059 of the Code states: |
| 1 | (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. |
| | |

| 1 | 14. Section 4105 of the Code states: |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 3 | (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form. |
| 4 5 | (b) The licensee may remove the original records or documentation from the licensed premises on a temporary basis for license-related purposes. However, a duplicate set of those records or other documentation shall be retained on the licensed premises. |
| 6 | (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making. |
| 7 8 9 10 | (d) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records maintained electronically. |
| 11 12- | (e) (1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises. |
| 13 14 | (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | (f) When requested by an authorized officer of the law or by an authorized representative of the board, the owner, corporate officer, or manager of an entity licensed by the board shall provide the board with the requested records within three business days of the time the request was made. The entity may request in writing an extension of this timeframe for a period not to exceed 14 calendar days from the date the records were requested. A request for an extension of time is subject to the approval of the board. An extension shall be deemed approved if the board fails to deny the extension request within two business days of the time the extension request was made directly to the board. |
| 20 | 15. Section 4333 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that all prescriptions filled by a |
| 21 | pharmacy and all other records required by Section 4081 shall be maintained on the premises and |
| 22 | available for inspection by authorized officers of the law for a period of at least three years. In |
| 23 | cases where the pharmacy discontinues business, these records shall be maintained in a |
| 24 | board-licensed facility for at least three years. |
| 25 | |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 6 |
| ł | First Amended Accusation |

| 1 | 16. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part: |
|----------|--|
| 2 | (a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate |
| 3 | medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of |
| 4 | controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as |
| 5 | authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional |
| 6 | treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of |
| 7 | professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her |
| 8 | comfortable by maintaining customary use. |
| 9 | (b) Any person who knowingly violates this section shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison or in the county jail not exceeding one year, or by a fine not exceeding twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000), or by both a fine and |
| 10 | imprisonment. |
| 11 | (c) No provision of the amendments to this section enacted during the second year of the 1981-82 Regular Session shall be construed as expanding the scope of practice of |
| 12 | a pharmacist. |
| 13 | 17. Health and Safety Code section 11200 states in pertinent part: |
| 14 | (a) No person shall dispense or refill a controlled substance prescription more than six months after the date thereof. |
| 15 | (b) No prescription for a Schedule III or IV substance may be refilled more than five |
| 16 17 | times and in an amount, for all refills of that prescription taken together, exceeding a 120-day supply. |
| 18 | (c) No prescription for a Schedule II substance may be refilled. |
| 19 | STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY |
| 20 | 18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711, states: |
| 21 | |
| 22 | (a) Each pharmacy shall establish or participate in an established quality assurance program which documents and assesses medication errors to determine cause and an appropriate response as part of a mission to improve the quality of pharmacy service |
| 23 | and prevent errors. |
| 24 | ••• |
| 25 | (d) Each pharmacy shall use the findings of its quality assurance program to develop pharmacy systems and workflow processes designed to prevent medication errors. An |
| 26 | investigation of each medication error shall commence as soon as is reasonably possible, but no later than 2 business days from the date the medication error is |
| 27 | discovered. All medication errors discovered shall be subject to a quality assurance review. |
| 28 | |
| | |
| li | First Amended Accusation |

| 1 | (e) The primary purpose of the quality assurance review shall be to advance error prevention by analyzing, individually and collectively, investigative and other pertinent data collected in response to a medication error to assess the cause and any | |
|--------|--|---|
| 2 3 | contributing factors such as system or process failures. A record of the quality assurance review shall be immediately retrievable in the pharmacy. The record shall contain at least the following: | |
| 4 | 1. the date, location, and participants in the quality assurance review; | |
| 5 | 2. the pertinent data and other information relating to the medication error(s) | |
| 6 | reviewed and documentation of any patient contact required by subdivision (c); | |
| 7 | 3. the findings and determinations generated by the quality assurance review; and, | |
| 8 | 4. recommend changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes, if any. The pharmacy shall inform pharmacy personnel of changes to pharmacy policy, | |
| 9 | procedure, systems, or processes made as a result of recommendations generated in the quality assurance program. | |
| -10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, states: | |
| 13 | ••• | |
| 14 | (b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, | |
| 15 | and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy. | |
| 16 | ···· | |
| 17 | (d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the | |
| 18 | prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. | |
| 19 | Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. | |
| 20 | • • • | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | 20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, states: | |
| 23 | Pharmacists shall not deviate from the requirements of a prescription except upon the prior consent of the prescriber or to select the drug product in accordance with | |
| 24 | Section 4073 of the Business and Professions Code. Nothing in this regulation is intended to prohibit a pharmacist from exercising commonly-accepted pharmaceutical | |
| 25 | practice in the compounding or dispensing of a prescription. | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 8 | |
| | First Amended Accusation | n |

21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1745, states:

(b) A "partially filled" prescription is a prescription from which only a portion of the amount for which the prescription is written is filled at any one time; provided that regardless of how many times the prescription is partially filled, the total amount dispensed shall not exceed that written on the face of the prescription.

(d) A pharmacist may partially fill a prescription for a controlled substance listed in Schedule II, if the pharmacist is unable to supply the full quantity ordered by the prescriber. The pharmacist shall make a notation of the quantity supplied on the face of the written prescription. The remaining portion of the prescription may be filled within 72 hours of the first partial filling. If the remaining portion is not filled within the 72-hour period, the pharmacist shall notify the prescriber. The pharmacist may not supply the drug after 72 hour period has expired without a new prescription.

22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states:

(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.

(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

FEDÉRAL REGULATORY AUTHORITY

23. 21 Code of Federal Regulations, part 1306, section 13.06.13 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The partial filling of a prescription for a controlled substance listed in Schedule II is permissible if the pharmacist is unable to supply the full quantity called for in a written or emergency oral prescription and he makes a notation of the quantity supplied on the face of the written prescription, written record of the emergency oral prescription, or in the electronic prescription record. The remaining portion of the prescription may be filled within 72 hours of the first partial filling; however, if the remaining portion is not or cannot be filled within the 72-hour period, the pharmacist shall notify the prescribing individual practitioner. No further quantity may be supplied beyond 72 hours without a new prescription.

9

25 ||

///

|||

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. . .

26 27

24. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

6

1

2

3

4

5

25. Acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated in Health and 7 Safety Code section 11056(e)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 8

9

of the Code.

26. Alprazolam, sold under the brand name Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance 10 under Health and Safety Code section 11057 and a dangerous drug under Business and 11 Professions Code Section 4022. Alprazolam is used to treat anxiety disorders and panic disorder. 12 Alprazolam is in a class of medications called benzodiazepines. Alprazolam comes as a tablet, An 13 extended-release tablet, and an orally disintegrating tablet. The tablet and orally disintegrating 14 table usually are taken two to four times a day. The extended-release tablet is taken once daily, 15 usually in the morning. Alprazolam may heighten the euphoric effect resulting from the use of an 16 17 Oxycodone.

18

28

27. Diazepam, a generic for the brand name Valium, a Benzodiazepam derivative, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(9) 19 and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code. 20

Dilaudid is a trade name for Hydromorphone, an Opium derivative, which is 28. 21 classified as a Schedule II Controlled Substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 22 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and 23 Professions Code section 4022. 24

29. Fentanyl is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code 25 section 11055(c)(8) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 26 4022. 27

10

30. Hydrocodone is in Schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act. Lortab, Norco and Vicodin, brand/trade names of preparations containing hydrocodone in combination with other non-narcotic medicinal ingredients, are in Schedule III pursuant to Health and safety Code section 11056(e)(4), and are categorized as dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022.

31. Morphine Sulfate, the narcotic substance is a preparation of Morphine, the principal alkaloid of Opium. It is classified as a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivisions (b)(1)(L) and (b)(2). It is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

32. Norco is the brand name for the combination narcotic, Hydrocodone and
Acetaminophen, and is a Schedule III¹ controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
section 11056(e) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions
Code section 4022

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

33. Opana ER is an opioid and schedule II controlled substance.

34. Opiates are types of narcotic drugs that act as depressants in the central nervous
system. They come from opium, which can be produced naturally form poppy plants or derived
form semi-synthetic alkaloids. Some of the most common opiates include morphine, codeine,
heroin, hydrocodone and oxyodone. Opiates are pain killers and can produce drowsiness, nausea,
constipation and slow breathing.

35. Oxycontin, a brand name formation of oxycodone hydrochloride and/or Oxycodone
SR, is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to
morphine. OxyContin is for use in opioid tolerant patients only. It is a Schedule II controlled
substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and a dangerous
drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

24

///

- 25
- 26

¹ Effective October 6, 2014, the Drug Enforcement Administration rescheduled
 Hydrocodone combination products from schedule III to schedule II of the Controlled Substances
 Act. (See 21 CFR Part 1308 § 1308.12; 21 U.S.C. 812 (c))

36. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Oxycodone is a narcotic analgesic used for moderate to severe pain and it has a high potential for abuse.

37. Suboxone, the brand name of buprenorphine and naloxone, is classified as a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058(d), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is used for the treatment of opiate addiction.

38. Tranquilizers are central nervous system depressant drugs classified as sedativehypnotics and are classified into two main categories: minor tranquilizers (anxiolytic, or antianxiety agents) and major tranquilizers (neuroleptics) drugs used to treat sever mental illnesses.
Minor tranquilizers may include Valium (diazepam), Librium/Novopoxide (chlordiazepoxide),
Halcion (triazolam), ProSom (estazolam), Xanax and Ativan.

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

FACTS

RESPONDENTS

39. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-Pueblo Street (collectively Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists) are pharmacies
operating in the Santa Barbara area.

40. Respondent Caldwell is the Pharmacist in Charge at Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent Yahyani was the Pharmacist in Charge at Respondent L
M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street up to October 1, 2014.

22 41. Pharmacy Technician DLM² was employed at Respondent Caldwell Pharmacist-State
23 Street in 2011.

24 || ///

////

111

- 25
- 26

 $\frac{27}{28} = \frac{1}{28} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000}$

1 2

3

LM CALDWELL PHARMACIST-STATE STREET AND RESPONDENT CALDWELL

Records of Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs

4 42. Drugs acquired by Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacist were stored at Respondent
5 L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street. Drugs were sent to Respondent L M Caldwell
6 Pharmacist-Pueblo Street as needed. Drug recordkeeping included a transfer document which
7 showed the bottles sent to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Also, the records
8 for Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street were located at Respondent L M
9 Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street.

43. Between November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage
(disposition greater than acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen (HC/AP)
10/325 mg and 165 tablets of Oxycodone SR 80 mg. Between August 6, 2011 and January 15,
2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not
account for an inventory overage of 78,746 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg.

44. Between January 5, 2010 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist -State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for prescription hardcopies
for Prescriptions Nos. 793824, 793825, 793826, 789177, 789188, 793189, 793190, 805552,
782075, 792283, 793432, 793184, 791387, 797610, 787609, 790594, 790595, 790597, 795658,
804361, 792346, 793090, 795652, 776675, 773787, 779441, 780927, 790980, 792044, 792920,
792935 and 792928.

22

Operational Standards and Security

45. Respondent Caldwell was responsible for the security and record keeping at
Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists. Between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011,
Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account
for the loss of 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15,
2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not

28

account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and for the loss of 605 tablets of 1 2 Oxycodone 30 mg. 46. Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain 3 an effective control of the security of the prescription department against theft or loss of 4 controlled substances/ dangerous drugs. 5 Furnishing and Purchasing of Dangerous Drugs or Devices Without Adequate 6 Sales and Purchase Records 7 47. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell 8 Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold HC/AP 10/325 mg to Respondent L M 9 Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records. 10 Prescriptions Dispensed by L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and 11 **Respondent** Caldwell 12 48. Between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State 13 Street and Respondent Caldwell, dispensed a total of 11,817 controlled substance prescriptions of 14 which 1,492 were prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Gabriel Diaz, a family practice prescriber. 15 The prescriptions were dispensed without regard to the following factors: 16 Pattern of patients willing to drive long distance to obtain controlled substance (1)17 18 prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and to fill the prescriptions at L M Caldwell Pharmacists and other pharmacies; 19 (2) Percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers and pattern of patients 20 willing to pay cash for highly expensive prescriptions when insurance did not cover; 21 Same or similar prescribing patterns for multiple patients, including at least three 22 (3) opiates and one to two tranquilizers; 23 (4) Irregular pattern of early refills/ patient returning too frequently. 24 49. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed 25 26 in their corresponding responsibility to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily 27 28 14

available tools such as CURES³ reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents did not 1 have a process to validate prescriptions. As a result, they repeatedly dispensed controlled 2 substances early in certain instances to patients who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and 3 multiple pharmacy activity. Questionable drug therapies were visible from Respondent L M 4 Caldwell-State Street's own records and showed the prescribing pattern of Dr. Diaz was repetitive 5 and redundant with respect to the same controlled substances prescribed repeatedly for the 6 majority of his patients. His prescribing habits included numerous large quantities of opiates in 7 combination with minor tranquilizers. Patients received on average three to four pain 8 medications with one to two anti-anxiety drugs. The patients included, but were not limited to, 9 VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, and CW. A review of CURES 10 and their own records would have been a red flag for Respondents. For example: 11

Patient VA went to 4 prescribers and 18 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, a. 12 2013, including in Santa Maria, Arleta, Santa Barbara and Ventura. He lived in Oxnard and 13 traveled approximately 37.34 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-14 State Street was approximately 39.67 miles from Patient VA's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. 15 Diaz's office. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 16 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. 17 Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street. Most pain 18 medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. He received 19 numerous prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg and Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or 20around the same time he had them dispensed at different pharmacies. In the month of August 21 2010, for example, Patient VA received 960 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days. He 22 received 10,400 mg per day, well above the recommended dose of (Acetaminophen) per day of 23

- 24
- 25 26

27

28

³ CURES is an acronym for "California Utilization Review and Evaluation System." It contains over 100 million entries of controlled substance drugs that were dispensed in California. Pharmacists and prescribers can register with the Department of Justice to obtain access to the CURES data through the California Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). Patient Activity Reports (PARs) are provided and reflect all controlled substances dispensed to an individual. CURES herein refers to CURES in general and PARs. Pharmacies are required to report to the California Department of Justice every schedule II, II and IV drug prescription under Health and Safety Code section 1165, subdivision (d).

4,000 mg per day. In July of 2011, for example, Patient VA received 1,080 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days. Patient VA received 13,000 mg per day. In January of 2011, for example, Patient VA received a 30 day supply of Methadone 10 mg from one pharmacy and then received another 30 day supply from another pharmacy, LM Pharmacist-State Street, ten days later on, January 25, 2011;

b. Patient BA only saw one prescriber, Dr. Diaz, and went to 12 pharmacies from 6 7 January 1, 2009 to April 8, 2013. He lived in Ventura and traveled approximately 31.53 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 33.86 8 9 miles from Patient BA's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient BA paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 10 and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient BA received numerous prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg 11 and Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the same time he had them dispensed at 12 13 different pharmacies. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. In March of 2010, for example, Patient BA received 1200 tablets of HC/AP 14 15 10/325 within 30 days. He received 13,000 mg per day of Acetaminophen, well above the recommended dose of 4,000 mg per day. In February of 2011, for example, Patient BA received 16 17 720 tablets of HC/AP 10/325. He received 7800 mg per day of Acetaminophen;

¢. Patient KB saw 5 prescribers and went to 11 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to 18 April 8, 2013, including in Carpentaria, Hollywood, Lompoc, Santa Barbara and Solvang. He 19 20 lived in Santa Inez and traveled approximately 31.99 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 29.10 miles from Patient VA's home and 21 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions, Review of CURES 22 showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He 23 received most pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient KB 24 was dispensed 595 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg in one month in Prescriptions 788268, 788632 25 and 789490. Patient KB, for example, was dispensed Oxycodone 30 mg at both Respondent L M 26 Caldwell- State Street and at Respondent L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street on June 18, 2010, October 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

5, 2010, November 2, 2010 and November 29, 2010. Patient KB was placed on Suboxone, used for the treatment of narcotic addiction, prior to going to LM Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street;

1

2

d. Patient LD saw 4 prescribers and went to 2 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 3 8, 2013, including in Carpentaria, Hollywood, Lompoc, Santa Barbara and Solvang. Patient LD 4 lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 5 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He received most pain 6 medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. While going to LM Caldwell 7 Pharmacist-State Street, Patient LD mainly saw Dr. Diaz but saw two prescribers after Dr. Diaz. 8 Several questionable prescriptions were filled including: Prescription No. 773360(HC/AP) and 9 773361 (HC/ibuprofen) which were both dispensed on September 21, 2010 and both had 10 hydrocodone; Prescription Nos. 789181 (HC/ Ibupfofen), 789182 (Oxycodone/Ibupfofen) and 11 12 789180 (Oxycodone) were all dispensed on August 23, 2011 and contained the same drugs; and Prescription Nos. 790459, 790460 and 790458 had dates that were not written in the prescriber's 13 handwriting; Prescription No. 792432 (Lorazepam) was for a large quantity of 300 pills and 14 Respondent dispensed 120 pills and did not verify with the prescribers; 15

e. Patient TF saw 1 prescriber, Dr. Diaz, and went to 8 pharmacies January 1, 2009 to
April 8, 2013, including in Lompoc, Goleta, San Luis Obispo, Santa Maria and Orcutt. He lived
in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions Review of CURES showed therapy
duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed;

f. Patient JH saw 4 prescribers and went to 12 pharmacies from February 13, 2009 to 20 April 8, 2013. He saw prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc and Temecula and went to 21 pharmacies in Santa Maria, Santa Barbara, Temecula, Buelton, and Lompoc. He lived in Santa 22 Maria and traveled approximately 61.53 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz, LM 23 Caldwell-State Street was approximately 58.68 miles from Patient JH's home and 1.85 miles 24 25 from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient JH paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed 26 therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He received only pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. He did not have 27 significant pain history one month prior to February 2009 and had a history of Anxiety 8 months 28

prior to August 2009 and before seeing Dr. Diaz. Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State 1 Street should have questioned the following prescriptions dispensed to Patient JH on November 2 25, 2011: Prescription Nos. 793748 (Morphine Sulfate 30 mg), 793749 (Methadone 10 3 mg),793750 (HC/AP 10/325 mg), 793751 (Oxycodone 30 mg), 793756 (Hydromorphone 8 mg), 4 793757 (Alprazolam 2 mg). Records also show that the quantity and therapy duplication 5 combination was reduced from November 30, 2009 to September 22, 2010, during the period that 6 JH did not go to Dr. Diaz. He again began to receive large quantities and therapy duplication 7 combinations when he went back to Dr. Diaz on September 30, 2010. 8

Patient MM saw 19 prescribers and went to 20 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to 9 g. April 8, 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Stanford, Encinitas, Santa 10 Maria, Solvang, San Luis Obispo and San Francisco and went to pharmacies in Santa Barbara, 11 Lompoc, Orcutt, San Luis Obispo, Pismo Beach, Buelton, and Santa Maria. He lived in Lompoc 12 and traveled approximately 56.30 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM 13 Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53.69 miles from Patient MM's home and 1.85 miles 14 from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient MM paid cash and paid through insurance for his prescriptions. 15 For example, he paid \$2,585.80 for Oxycontin 60 mg (Prescription No. 319145). Review of 16 CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. 17 MM received numerous prescriptions for Oxycontin prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the 18 same time and went to different pharmacies to get dispensed, including LM Caldwell Pharmacist-19 Pueblo Street; 20

h. Patient SM saw 7 prescribers and went to 11 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to
April 8, 2013, including L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street. He lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash
for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of
oplates and tranquilizers dispensed. L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed questionable
prescriptions for Oxycodone in which instructions for use seemed too high (including receiving
16-24 tablets per day), including Prescription Nos. 782797, 777041, 789979 and 786575. Patient
SM was placed on Suboxone, used for the treatment of narcotic addition, after no longer seeing

Dr. Diaz. SM received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist;

1

2

Patient SS saw 2 prescribers and went to 4 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April i. 3 8, 2013. He lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for his prescriptions when insurance did not 4 cover the cost. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 5 and tranquilizers dispensed. He showed no significant pain or anxiety history prior to 6 11/23/2010. L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed the following questionable prescriptions: 7 Prescription Nos. 780807 and 783547 for Fentanyl patches above the recommended dosing 8 interval of 72 hours. The pharmacy dispensed it for every 48 hours; Prescription Nos. 79027, Q 790597, 782251, and 782250 in which the patient received Diazepam 10 mg and Alprazolam 2 10 mg at the same time. Patient SS received most pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not 11 being a pain specialist; 12

Patient JS saw 4 prescribers and went to 4 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April j. 13 8, 2013. He lived in Lompoc and traveled approximately 55.98 miles to Santa Barbara to see 14 prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53,37 miles from Patient JH's 15 home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient JS had the same address as Patient NS. 16 Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 17 dispensed. Prior to going to LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Patient JS went to multiple 18 pharmacies for Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. There was no significant pain history 6 months prior to 19 June 18, 2009 and Dr. Diaz, Patient JS received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, 20despite him not being a pain specialist; 21

k. Patient NS saw 3 prescribers and went to 5 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April
8, 2013. He lived in Lompoc and traveled approximately 55.98 miles to Santa Barbara to see
prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-State Street was approximately 53.37 miles from Patient NS's
home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. Patient NS had the same address as Patient JS.
Patient NS paid cash for his prescriptions when the cost was not covered by insurance. Review of
CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed.
Prior to going to LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Patient JS went to multiple pharmacies for

19

Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. While going to L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, he continued to use other pharmacies. Patient NS received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist;

. 1. Patient VS saw 3 prescribers and went to 5 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 4 8, 2013, including LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. He lived in Lompoc a and traveled 5 approximately 55.47 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-State Street 6 was approximately 52.86 miles from Patient VS's home and 1.85 miles from Dr. Diaz's office. 7 Patient VS paid cash for his prescriptions when the cost was not covered by insurance. Patient 8 VS paid over \$200.00 for Oxycodone several times. Review of CURES showed therapy 9 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient VS went to 10 multiple pharmacies for Dr. Diaz's prescriptions. L M Caldwell - State Street dispensed the 11 following questionable prescriptions: Hydromorphone 8 mg and Hydromorphone 4 mg were 12 dispensed on January 1, 2011, February 2, 2011, March 2, 2011, March 30, 2011 and April 27, 13 2011. Oxycodone 30 mg and Oxycodone 5 mg was dispensed on April 27, 2011. The different 14 strength of the prescriptions should have been red flags. Patient VS received only pain and 15 anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist; 16

m. Patient CW saw 2 prescribers and went to 2 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 17 8, 2013. Patient CW lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash when the cost was not covered by 1.8 insurance. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and 19 tranquilizers dispensed. Respondent L M Caldwell- State Street dispensed questionable 20prescriptions, including the following: Amphetamine 30 mg and Amphetamine 20 mg dispensed 21 at same time in Prescription Nos. 772453, 772454, 773785, 773783, 775368, 775363, 776678, 22 776679, 780924, 780923, 779437, 779438, 771122 and 771123 and Suboxone was prescribed by 23 Dr. Diaz for pain on numerous occasions, Patient CW received mostly pain, and anxiety 24 medications prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. 25 111 26

27 ///

1

2

3

28 ///

50. L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell did not know the diagnosis for patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, and CW, and knew that Dr. Diaz was a family practitioner and not a pain management physician. Also, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain records or files on drug therapy for these patients.

1

2

3

4

5

51. When reviewing the records for patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, MM, AM, 6 SM, SS, JS, NS, VS, and CW, it was noted that nine out of these fifteen patients lived outside Dr. 7 Diaz's and LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street's normal trading area. Due to the number of 8 readily accessible pharmacies throughout California, the common trading area is considered to be 9 5 miles. The range of distance travelled for the selected patients was between 3.7 miles for the 10 shortest to 122.06 for the longest. The average distance traveled by the patient was 59.18 miles 11 and the total distance these patients travelled to obtain controlled substances was excessive. Four 12 of the fifteen patients' home addresses were not recognized by Mapquest. Two patients had the 13 same address, NS and JS. 14

15 52. Respondent LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street dispensed a total of 11,817
16 controlled substances prescriptions from January 1, 2011 to December 5, 2012 and 1,492 were
17 prescribed by Dr. Diaz. 31.64 % (407 out of 1,492) of Dr. Diaz' patients paid cash, including
18 when the medication was not covered by their insurance or to get early refills. Some patients had
19 insurance/Medicaid, however, were willing to pay a large sum of cash for controlled substances
20 which were not covered by the plans, including those on Medicaid.

53. There was excessive furnishing of controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz. The 21 dispensing ratio of prescriptions by Dr. Diaz by L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and 22Respondent Caldwell was greatly unbalanced when compared to other neighboring pharmacies, 23 including the following three pharmacies: Federal Drugs PHY37078 (located 1.92 miles from L 24 M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street), Rite-Aid #5785 PHY 42255 (located 1.65 miles from L M 25 Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street), and CVS#9392 PHY 494473 (located .41 miles from L M 26 Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street). L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street filled tens of 27 thousands more controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz when compared to neighboring 28

pharmacies for the time period specified of January 1, 2011 through December 5, 2012. The
 CURES data for the L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and three surrounding pharmacies,
 for example, was as follows:

| Pharmacy | Total controlled | Total Dr. Diaz's | Total quantity | % of total |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| • | substances | RX from | for Dr. Diaz's | controlled |
| | dispensed | 1/1/2011-12/5/ | RX from | substance RX |
| | between | 2012 | 1/1/2011- | dispensed for |
| | 1/1/2011- | | 12/5/2012 | Dr. Diaz |
| | 12/5/2012 | | | |
| Respondent LM | 11,817 | 1,492 | 195,041 | 12.62% |
| Caldwell | | | | |
| Pharmacist – | | | | |
| State Street | | | , | |
| Federal Drugs | 18, 282 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| PHY 37078 | | | | |
| (1.92 miles from | | | | |
| LM Caldwell) | | _ | | |
| Rite-Aid #5785 | 3,584 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| PHY 42255 | | | | |
| (.065 miles from | | | ΄. | |
| LM Caldwell | | | | |
| Pharmacist | | | | |
| CVS # 9392 | 13,365 | 44 | 6,599 | .33% |
| PHY 49473 | | | | |
| (.41 miles from | | | | |
| LM Caldwell) | | | | |

Pattern of Early Refills and Duplicate Medications

54. Between January 1, 2010 and December 5, 2012, LM Caldwell- State Street engaged
in a pattern of early refills, including for patients KB, CD, LD, TF, JH, AM, SM, NS, VS, and
CW, including, for example, 23 days early for patient LD (prescription Nos. 764100 & 764468),
29 days early for patient AM (prescription Nos. 791702 & 793219), 21 days early for patient SM
(prescription Nos. 786128 & 786573), and 14 days early for patient CW (prescription Nos.
782792 & 782792).

Also, the patient profile from 2010 to 2012 for patient SS,⁴ for example, showed 55. numerous therapy duplicate medications prescribed by Dr. Diaz and dispensed by L M Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street and Respondent Caldwell⁵. The profile showed the following:

On January 18, 2011, when L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street started dispensing a. 4 Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr to Patient SS (Prescription No. 778213), the pharmacists should have questioned the high doses of Fentanyl and whether Patient SS was previously on Fentanyl 100 6 mcg/hr prior to getting his prescription from L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street; 7

Patient SS was prescribed Methadone 3 tablets every twelve (12) hours on July 19, b. 8 2011 and on August 17, 2011 (Prescription Nos. 787609 & 788989) and each month thereafter, 9 his dose was increased, four (4) tablets every twelve (12) hours on September 22, 2011 10 (Prescription No. 790594), and five (5) tablets every 12 hours on October 27, 2011 (Prescription 11 No. 792268); 12

On March 15, 2011, ten (10) patches of Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr were dispensed, each c. 13 for a thirty (30) day supply (Prescription No. 780807). Seven days later, on March 22, 2011, 14 another 10 patches of Fentanyl 100 mcg/hr were prescribed and entered as a file only as "FO" 15 (Prescription No. 782067); 16

On March 22, 2011, Prescription No. 784841 for Morphine Sulfate 10 mg/5ml d. 17 solution was written with no quantity written on the prescription, but the quantity box of "151 & 18 over" was marked and 360 mls were dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street and 19 Respondent Caldwell. This prescription was incomplete and the prescriber, Dr. Diaz, should have 20been contacted and the quantity documented after clarification from the prescriber; 21

On May 20, 2011, Patient SS was prescribed three different narcotic pain 22 e. medications: Hydromorphone 8 mg one tablet daily (Prescription No. 784840) with Fentanyl 100 23 mcg/hour patch every forty-eight (48) hours (Prescription No. 784839) and Morphine Sulfate 10 24

25 26

27

28

1

2

3

5

⁴ Patient SS died in May 2012 allegedly as a result of a drug overdose.

⁵ No prescriptions were dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street or Respondent Caldwell for Patient SS from January 10, 2010 to December 30, 2010.

mg, 5ml every two (2) to four (4) hours (Prescription No. 784841). Prescription No. 784839 was
dispensed by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell, for Fentanyl 100
mcg/hour with directions to apply every forty-eight (48) hours. However, the manufacturer's
direction was to change the patch every seventy-two (72) hours;

f. On July 18, 2011, Prescription No. 787610 for Morphine 20 mg/ml solution was
written for 400 mls, but 360 mls was dispensed. This was a variation from the quantity
prescribed;

8

23

Exceeding the Day Supply For Controlled Substance Refills

9 56. The patient profile from 2010 to 2012 for patient SS, also showed that the day supply
10 was exceeded for controlled substance refills, for example, as follows:

a. A review of SS patient profile revealed that alprazolam and diazepam, classified as
benzodiazepines were also dispensed from December 2010 to September 2011. Prescription No.
782251 for Alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance, was originally dispensed on March
25, 2011 for a 30 day supply. Prescription No. 782251 was then refilled five times, each for a 30
day supply, on April 22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011
by Respondent L M Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. A total of 150-day supply
was dispensed, exceeding a 120-day supply as required by Health and Safety code section 11200;

b. Prescription No. 782250 for Diazepam, a schedule IV controlled substance, was
originally dispensed on March 25, 2011 then refilled five times, each for a 30 day supply, on
April 22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011 by Respondent
L M Caldwell-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. A total of 150-day supply was dispensed,
exceeding a 120-day supply as required by Health and Safety code section 11200.

Patient JJ

57. On September 12, 2013, the Board received a report of settlement judgment or
arbitration award, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. 2012-112565, regarding Patient JJ,
from Liberty Insurance Underwriter, Inc. for Respondent Caldwell, without the admission of
guilt. Improper Management and dispensing of controlled substance resulting in addiction and
death was alleged in the civil suit. Patient JJ presented prescriptions from a medical doctor

24

which Respondent Caldwell dispensed. Patient JJ alleged that she became addicted to drugs because Respondent Caldwell dispensed the prescriptions to her.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1

A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street's profile for Patient 58. JJ revealed that she was mostly dispensed controlled substances by Respondent Caldwell which were prescribed by Dr. Diaz, who was not a pain specialist. A review of CURES revealed that Patient JJ went to multiple doctors at the same time and had prescriptions dispensed at multiple pharmacies during the same time period. Patient JJ received numerous refills and received above the recommended dose of 400 mg per day of Acetaminophen. On certain months, Patient JJ received over 600 tablets of Hydrocodone. If Respondent Caldwell would have checked 9 CURES, he would been able to determine JJ was going to several pharmacies and several doctors. Respondent Caldwell knew that patient was getting drugs from Dr. Diaz, prior to being indicted, and then continued to dispense prescriptions from other doctors to this patient. 12

59. Patient JJ had a pattern of early refills on Oxycodone 30 mg, for the management of 13 moderate to severe pain, and Morphine Sulfate 30 mg, for the management of severe pain. Both 14 medications are for the immediate relief of pain. LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and 15 Respondent Caldwell failed to contact the prescriber to determine the logic of this combination. 16 17 Also, Prescription Nos. 768630 and 768631 were dated July 1, 2010. LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell received and dispensed them on June 11, 2010. 18

60. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, Patient JJ had 145 prescriptions for 19 controlled substances dispensed from various prescribers and pharmacies. 85 of the 145 20 prescriptions (58.96 %) were for cash. 21

61. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and 22 Respondent Caldwell failed to assume their corresponding responsibility when they failed to 23 appropriately scrutinize Patient JJ's drug therapy with readily available tools such as CURES 24 reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents should have looked at the repetitive 25 prescribing pattern for highly abused controlled substances, the location of prescriber's practice in 26 relation to the location of JJ's residence, and Patient's payment methods. As a result, 27 Respondents dispensed controlled substances for Patient JJ who was habitually engaged in doctor 28

25

shopping and multiple pharmacy activity. Respondents should have questioned the legitimacy of Prescriptions, including Prescription Nos. 758920, 767530, 767531, 768630, 768631, 758920 (for 1/18/2010, 3/19/2010, 2/18/2011, 2/18/2011), 782598 (for 4/1/2011, 5/17/2011), 803536, 803537. 803963,803965, 803966, 805071, 805072, 805074, 806756, 806757, 807683, 807684, 807699 and 807700.

Patient AM

62. On February 3, 2014, the Board received a report of settlement judgment or 7 arbitration award, Case No. 1414079, regarding Patient AM, from Chicago Insurance Company 8 for Respondent Caldwell- State Street, without the admission of guilt. Patient AM, presented a 9 prescriptions from a medical doctor which Respondent Caldwell dispensed. On November 25, 10 2011, Patient AM died from acute complications from narcotic abuse.

63. A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street's profile for Patient 12 AM revealed that Patient AM received the following controlled substances, that were prescribed 13 by Dr. Diaz, at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, and had a pattern of being dispensed early: 14 15

| .~ | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--------|-----|--------|-----------|--------|-----|--------|--------|
| 17 | RX | RX # | QTY | Day | Date | RX# | QTY | Day | Days |
| 16 | Dispensed | | | Supply | dispensed | | | Supply | Early |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | from |
| • • | | | | | | | | | Prior |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | RX |
| | 10/24/11 | 792077 | 120 | 30 | 11/14/11 | 793124 | 120 | 30 | 9 days |
| 19 | 11/14/11 | 793104 | 150 | 19 | 11/15/11 | 793216 | 90 | 30 | 19 |
| 20 | 11/15/11 | 793105 | 150 | 19 | 11/15/11 | 793218 | 90 | 30 | 19 |
| 20 | 11/15/11 | 791702 | 120 | 30 | 11/15/11 | 793219 | 60 | 20 | 29 |
| 20 | 11/15/11 | 791702 | 120 | 30 | 11/15/11 | 793219 | 60 | 20 | 29 |

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

64. The Board could not find the exact patient address on Mapquest in Solvang, 22 California. Patient AM traveled 35.56 miles from Solvang to Santa Barbara where Dr. Diaz was 23 located. Patient AM lived approximately 70.09 miles away from Respondent LM Caldwell-State 24 Street. Patient AM paid cash for his medication and Dr. Diaz was the prescriber. Respondents 25 did not have access to CURES during the time Dr. Diaz dispensed to AM so it was not accessed. 26 The pharmacy did not have a process to validate the prescriptions. As long as the Dr. wrote the 27 prescription, the pharmacy dispensed it.

65. A review of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street's profile for Patient
 AM and CURES records also revealed that Patient AM saw 4 prescribers and went to 8
 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, 2013. Patient AM saw prescribers in Santa Barbara,
 Solvang, and Shell Beach. Patient AM received only pain medication form Dr. Diaz, despite him
 not being a pain specialist.

66. LM Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell would have been 6 able to determine there were unusual prescribing patterns for Dr. Diaz and that Patient AM was 7 going to multiple pharmacies. While going to L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Patient AM 8 went to multiple pharmacies and received multiple prescriptions for Hydrocodone 8 mg on or 9 around the same time form Dr. Diaz which AM dispensed at different pharmacies. For example: 10 On February 23, 2010, he received Hydrocodone (#60-5 day supply) dispensed at a. 11 Sansum Clinic, Prescription No. 2272072, and Hydrocodone (#200-17 day supply) at The 12 Medicine Shoppe Prescription No. 1142240; 13

b. On October 14, 2010, he received Hydrocodone (#60-4 day supply) dispensed at
Sansum Clinic, Prescription No. 2277704, and Hydrocodone (#260-21 day supply) at LM
Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 322231;

c. On January 5, 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#180-16 day supply) dispensed LM
Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 324789, and on January 7, 2011, he received
Hydrocodone (#180-30 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No.
778577;

d. On November 11, 2011, he received Hydrocodone (#120-15 day supply) dispensed
LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Prescription No. 609846. On November 14, 2011, he
received Hydrocodone (#150- 19 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street,
Prescription No. 793104. On November 15, 2013, he received Hydrocodone (#90-30 day supply)
dispensed at LM Pharmacist – State Street, Prescription No. 793216.

67. While going to L M Caldwell Pharmacist –State Street, Patient AM went to multiple
pharmacies and received multiple prescriptions for Oxycodone 30 mg on or around the same time
from Dr. Diaz which Patient AM had dispensed at different pharmacies. For example:

| 1 | a. On July 21, 2010 he received Oxycodone (#60-15 day supply) dispensed at Sansum | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Clinic Pharmacy, Prescription No. 2275679 and on July 26, 2010 he received Oxycodone (#60- | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 15 day supply) dispensed at L M Caldwell Pharmacist - State Street, Prescription No. 770660; | | | | | | | |
| 4 | b. On January 5, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#180-15 day supply) dispensed at LM | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Prescription No. 324788, and on January 7, 2011, he received | | | | | | | |
| 6 - | Oxycodone (#180-15 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 778578; | | | | | | | |
| 8 | c. On November 11, 2011, he received Oxycodone (#97-12 day supply) dispensed at | | | | | | | |
| 9 | San Ysidro Pharmacy, Prescription No. 609848. On November 14, 2011, he received Oxycodone | | | | | | | |
| 10 | (#150-19 day supply) at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street, Prescription No. 793105. On | | | | | | | |
| 11 | November 15, 2013, he received Oxycodone (#90-30 day supply) dispensed at LM Pharmacist - | | | | | | | |
| 12 | State Street, Prescription No. 793218. | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | /// | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | 28 First Amended Accusation | | | | | | | |

LM CALDWELL PHARMACIST-PUEBLO STREET AND RESPONDENT YAHYAVI

Records of Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs

68. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyani could not account for an inventory overage of
53,811 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg.

69. On January 16, 2013, LM Caldwell Pharmacist - Pueblo Street and Respondent
Yahyavi were unable to provide the original prescription documents for RX # 327435, 334405, 317892, 317893, 317894, 330297, 323526, 324203, 325803, 325881, 312027, 316180, 315861, 322717, 322718, 319209, 322715, 330610, 333178, 334336, 318220, 331648, 322460, 332461, 326892, 327949, 332102, and 336005.

12

13

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Furnishing and Purchasing of Dangerous Drugs or Devices Without Adequate Sales and Purchase Records

14 70. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell
15 Pharmacist-Pueblo Street purchased HC/AP 10/325 mg from Respondent L M Caldwell
16 Pharmacist-State Street without adequate purchase records.

Variation from Prescription Without Prior Consent of Prescriber

18 71. Review of prescriptions from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013 revealed that
19 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi deviated from the
20 requirements of a prescription without the prior consent of the prescriber. Specifically, between
21 January 1, 2010 and January 15, 2013, they dispensed the following prescriptions incorrectly:

a. Prescription No. 321310, was for Oxycodone 30 mg 1-2 every 6 hour as needed for
pain. Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily as needed for pain;

b. Prescription No. 321312, was for Xanax mg 1-2 times daily for panic. Respondents
dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily;

c. Prescription No. 325038, was for 30 mg 1-2 HC/AP 7.5/750 mg. Prescriber wrote 1
tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain and Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet every 4-6 hours
as needed for pain;

| 1 | d. Prescription No. 331728, was for Dilaudid 8 mg, 1 every 6 hours #120. Respondents | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | dispensed Hydromorphone 8 mg, 1-2 tablets every 6 hours; | | | | | | | |
| 3 | e. Prescription No. 332908, was for Methadone 10 mg 7 tablets every 12 hours #400. | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Respondents dispensed it as 6 tablets every 12 hours; | | | | | | | |
| 5 | f. Prescription No. 335645, was for Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 4-6 hour. | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Respondents dispensed Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 6 hours. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Dispensing The Balance of Schedule II Prescriptions Beyond 72 hours | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 72. Review of prescriptions, from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, revealed that | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi partially filled | | | | | | | |
| 10 | prescriptions for controlled substances listed in Schedule II and then dispensed the balance of the | | | | | | | |
| 11 | prescription after the 72 hour period allowed for dispensing the balance of prescriptions. | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Specifically between January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, Respondents dispensed Prescription | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Nos. 329771, 331396, 332230, and 33265, then dispensed the balance of the prescriptions after 72 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | hours. | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Prescriptions Dispensed by L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Respondent Yahyavi | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 73. Between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Street and Respondent Yahyavi dispensed at total of 11,215 controlled substance prescriptions of | | | | | | | |
| 19 | which 1,418 prescriptions were written by Dr. Diaz. The prescriptions were dispensed without | | | | | | | |
| 20 | regard to the following factors: | | | | | | | |
| 21 | (1) Pattern of patients willing to drive long distance to obtain controlled substance | | | | | | | |
| 22 | prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and to fill the prescriptions at L M Caldwell Pharmacists and other | | | | | | | |
| 23 | pharmacies; | | | | | | | |
| 24 | (2) Percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers and pattern of patients | | | | | | | |
| 25 | willing to pay cash for highly expensive prescriptions when insurance did not cover; | | | | | | | |
| 26 | (3) Same or similar prescribing patterns for multiple patients, including at least three | | | | | | | |
| 27 | opiates and one to two tranquilizers; | | | | | | | |
| 28 | (4) Irregular pattern of early refills/ patient returning too frequently. | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| l | First Amended Accusation | | | | | | | |

74, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi 1 failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such as 2 CURES⁶ reports and its own pharmacy records. Respondents did not have a process to validate 3 prescriptions. As a result, they repeatedly dispensed controlled substances early in certain 4 instances to patients who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and multiple pharmacy activity. 5 Questionable drug therapies were visible from Respondent L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street's own 6 records and showed the prescribing pattern of Dr. Diaz was repetitive and redundant with respect 7 to the same controlled substances prescribed repeatedly for the majority of his patients. His 8 prescribing habits included numerous large quantities of opiates in combination with minor 9 tranquilizers. Patients received on average three to four pain medications with one to two anti-10 anxiety drugs. The patients included, but were not limited to GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, 11 ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS, and RS. Four of these patients were on Suboxone/Subtex, used for 12 treating opiate addiction, prior to, during and/or after treatment by Dr. Diaz. A review of CURES 13 and their own records would have been a red flag for Respondents. For example: 14

Patient GA went to 4 prescribers, in Goleta and Santa Barbara, and 3 pharmacies in 15 a. Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. Patient GA had no anxiety history prior to 16 April 21, 2011 and prior to seeing Dr. Diaz. However, Dr. Diaz started him with a high dose of 17 Alprazolam 2 mg. Patient VA paid cash for his prescriptions when insurance did not cover the 18 cost. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and 19 tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at LM 20Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite 21 him not being a pain specialist. He received numerous prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg and 22 23 Methadone prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the same time he had them dispensed at different pharmacies. In the month of August 2010, for example, Patient VA received 960 tablets of 24 HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days and received 10,400 mg per day, well above the recommended 25 dose (of Acetaminophen) of 4,000 mg per day. In July of 2011, for example, Patient VA 26

27 28

⁶ Respondent Yahyavi advised the Board that he obtained access to CURES at the end of 2011.

received 1,080 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg within 30 days. Patient VA received 13,000 mg per
 day. In January of 2011, for example, Patient VA received a 30 day supply of Methadone 10 mg
 from one pharmacy and then received another 30 day supply from another pharmacy, LM
 Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, ten days later on, January 25, 2011;

b. Patient RB went to 3 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 4 pharmacies, in Ojai and 5 Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. He lived in OakView and traveled 6 approximately 30.33 miles to Santa Barbara to see prescriber Dr. Diaz. LM Caldwell-Pueblo 7 Street was approximately 33.17 miles from Patient RB's home and 2.88 miles from Dr. Diaz's 8 office. Patient RB paid cash for his prescriptions and paid over \$200.00 for Oxycodone and 9 Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 10 and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at 11 LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, 12 despite him not being a pain specialist. The following prescriptions dispensed by LM Caldwell 13 14 Pharmacists-Pueblo Street for Oxycodone were questionable: Prescription Nos. 347843, 347918, and 338143 were written by Dentist Jeff Peppard; 15

Patient CB went to 4 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 11 pharmacies, in Ojai and c. 16 17 Santa Barbara, Port Hueneme, Sacramento and St. Louis Missouri from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. He lived in Santa Barbara (although the exact address he listed could not be found 18 through mapquest) and paid cash for his prescriptions. Review of CURES showed therapy 19 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. 20 Diaz while having prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Most pain 21 and anxiety medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. CB 22 received multiple prescriptions for HC/AP 10/325 mg and Alprazolam @mg on or around the 23 same time by Dr. Diaz which he had dispensed at different pharmacies, including for example; 24 On March 26, 2010 Patient CB received HC/AP 10/325 #200 (25 day supply) dispensed at Rite 25 Aid #5782 (Prescription No. 676053) and on April 9, 2010 he received HC/AP 10/325#240(30 26 day supply) dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street (Prescription No. 316460). The 27 prescriptions were refilled again at Ride Aid on April 29, 2010, May 29, 2010, June 14, 2010, 28

32

July 10, 2010 and at L M Caldwell- Pueblo Street on May 24, 2010 and July 15, 2010. Patient
 CB received 440 tablets of HC/AP in 30 days, 5200 mg per day of Acetaminophen, well above
 the recommended 4,000 mg dose per day. In addition, September 27, 2010, L M Caldwell
 Pharmacists- Pueblo Street received 2 different prescriptions for Oxycodone 30 mg form Dr.
 Diaz's office for Patient CB. After Dr. Diaz was investigated, Patient CB did not get any
 prescriptions dispensed at L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street nor did patient CB have any
 significant history of pain or anxiety drug treatment.

d. Patient CC went to 22 prescribers and 13 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 8 2013. He went to prescribers in Bakersfield, Goleta, Isla Vista, Long Beach, Santa Barbara and 9 Santa Maria. He went to pharmacies in Goleta, Santa Barbara, Torrance and Wilmington. Prior 10 to and while going to L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Patient CC went to numerous 11 prescribers and pharmacies. He lived in Goleta (although the exact two addresses he listed could 12 not be found through mapquest) and paid cash for his prescriptions of HC/AP, Carisoprodol, 13 Oxycodone/AP and Hydromorphine. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on 14 the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. He mainly went to Dr. Diaz while having $15 \cdot$ prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Most pain medication was 16 17 prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. For example, Patient CC received 5,200 mg of Acetaminophen, an amount above the recommended dose of Acetaminophen of 18 4,000 mg in October and November of 2011 through the following prescriptions dispensed at L 19 M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street: Prescription No. 334473 for AP/Oxycodone 10/325 mg 20#240 (30 day supply) on October 20, 2011, Prescription No. 333957 for HC/AP 10/325 mg #240 21 (30 day supply) on October 31, 2011, Prescription No. 335134 for AP/Oxycodone 10/325 mg 22 #240 (30 day supply) on November 14, 2011, Prescription No. 333957 for AP/HC 10/325 mg 23 #240 (30 day supply) on November 23, 2011. On August 2, 2010, L M Caldwell Pharmacist – 24 Pueblo Street dispensed 2 prescriptions for Alprazolam 2 mg, Prescription No. 318318 and 25 319040 on the same day. Patient CC continued to have most of his prescriptions dispensed at L 26 M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. The number of pain medications and 27 quantities were reduced. 28

Patient JF went to 1 prescriber, Dr. Diaz in Santa Barbara, and 4 pharmacies, in Ojai, 1 e. 2 Goleta, and Santa Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. He lived Santa Barbara and paid for his prescriptions through insurance. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication 3 based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient JF had no significant pain 4 history one year prior to January 20, 2010 and obtaining prescriptions from Dr. Diaz. However, 5 Dr. Diaz began his treatment with Oxycontin 80 mg, Morphine Sulfate 100 mg and Oxycodone 6 30 mg. Also, Patient JF did not have a history of anxiety nine months prior to obtaining 7 prescriptions from Dr. Diaz. However Dr. Diaz began treatment with Lorazepam 2 mg. Most 8 pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. JF was Ø prescribed the long acting opiates, Opana ER, Oxycontine, and MS Contin by Dr. Diaz at the 10 same time and were dispensed by L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. These long acting 11 drugs are usually not prescribed together. Patient JF did not get any prescriptions dispensed at 12 LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz; 13

f. Patient CG went to 10 prescribers and 5 pharmacies in Santa Barbara from January 1, 14 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to prescribers in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Carpentaria and 15 Sacramento. She lived in Carpentaria and traveled 10.63 miles to get to Dr. Diaz's Office in 16 17 Santa Barbara and Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street was located 13.63 miles away from Patient CG's home. Patient CG paid for her prescriptions through insurance. Review 18 of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 19 dispensed. Patient CG mostly went to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street while 20 going to Dr. Diaz. Most pain medication was prescribed by Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a 21 pain specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street dispensed prescriptions in 22 November 2009 through February 2010 above the 4,000 mg recommended dose of 23 Acetaminophen. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street also dispensed numerous 24 prescriptions for Suboxone, used for treatment of opioid addiction, from Dr. Diaz while 25 prescribing other narcotics. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street also dispensed 26 Prescription Nos. 312135, 312136, 333177, 333178, 335385, 33586 for the long action opiates, 27 Opana ER and Oxycontine. Patient CG continued to get most pain and anxiety prescriptions 28

dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz, but the quantity and therapy duplication was reduced by other prescribers. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription Nos. 319209, 319172, 319173 which were telephoned by the prescriber's office but did not note the name of the agent of the prescriber nor the pharmacist who transcribed it;

1

2

3

4

5

g. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription Nos.
337054, 337055 and 337056 with no prescriber signature and date to Patient IJ on January 3,
2012;

h. Patient ML went to 2 prescribers and 3 pharmacies, in Ojai, Goleta, and Santa 9 Barbara from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She lived in Santa Barbara (same address as 10 Patient IJ and Patient GJ) and paid cash for her prescriptions when not covered by insurance. 11 Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 12 dispensed. While going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, she mainly went 13 to Dr. Diaz, Patient ML received various HC/AP drugs prescribed by Dr. Diaz on or around the 14 same time which she had dispensed at multiple pharmacies, including Respondent L M Caldwell 15 Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. ML Received 5,166 mg per day of Acetaminophen, for example in 16 September of 2009, an amount over the recommended dose of Acetaminophen of 4,000 mg per 17 day. She received 7,100 mg per day of Acetaminophen in November, 2010 from Respondent L 18 M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and January 2011. Patient ML only had one pain 19 prescription dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz, A 20review of Patient ML's Profile revealed she received mostly pain medication from Dr. Diaz, who 21 was not a pain specialist; 22

i. Patient KM went to 4 prescribers in Santa Barbara and Lompoc and 13 pharmacies
from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa
Maria, Orcutt and San Luis Obispo. She lived in Lompoc (same address as Patient MM) and
traveled 55. 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles from Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient KM paid cash for her prescriptions and paid over \$350.00 for
Oxycodone and Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the

number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. She received only pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. On January 12, 2011, Patient KM received Oxycodone #180 and January 19, 2011 received Oxycodone #60. On February 11, 2011 he received #180 and on February 15, 2011, he received #60. KM should have had enough tablets and the unusual dosage changes should have been questioned by Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient KM did not get any pain or anxiety prescriptions 6 dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz; 7

Patient MM went to 17 prescribers and 20 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April i. 8 8, 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc, Lodi, Encinitas, San Luis Obisbo, 9 Santa Maria, Solvang and Stanford and went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa 10 Maria, Orcutt, Buellton, San Luis Obispo and Pismo Beach. Prior to going to Respondent L M 11 Caldwell – Pueblo Street, she went to multiple pharmacies and prescribers. She lived in Lompoc 12 (same address as Patient KM) and traveled 55. 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles 13 from Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Patient KM paid cash when early 14 refills were obtained and/or when medication was not covered by insurance. Patient KM paid 15 \$327.00 for Oxycodone and \$1,585.00 for Oxycontin. Review of CURES showed therapy 16 duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. She received only pain 17 and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient MM 18 received multiple Oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions on or around the same time from Dr. Diaz 19 which she had dispensed at multiple pharmacies. She also received multiple Oxycontin 80 mg 2.0 prescriptions on or around the same time from Dr. Diaz which she had dispensed at multiple 21 pharmacies, including at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. Patient MM also 22 received Suboxone, prior to and while going to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo 23 Street. Patient MM did not get any pain or anxiety prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell 24 Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. Patient MM received only pain and anxiety medication 25 from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient MM paid \$1,585.80 cash for 26 Oxycontin 60 mg on July 4, 2010; 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

k. Patient SP went to 6 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 7 pharmacies from January 1, 1 2009 to April 9, 2013. She went to pharmacies in Lompoc, Santa Barbara, and Goleta. She lived 2 in Santa Barbara and paid for her medication through insurance. Review of CURES showed 3 therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient SP 4 received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain 5 specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription No. 33143 6 for Oxycodone IR (1 Tablet, twice daily #60) for a 30 day supply on July 18, 2011 and then again 7 on July 28, 2011 (Prescription No. 33176, 1-3 tablets every 4-6 hours #240.) Patient SP also 8 received therapy duplication in the form of Diazepam and Alprazolam and HC/AP and 9 HC/Ibuprofen from Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient SP continued 10 to get one pain medication dispensed at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street 11 after Dr. Diaz. The number of pain drugs prescribed by other prescribers was reduced. Patient 12 SP was placed on Suboxone and did not have significant pain or anxiety after Dr. Diaz; 13

Patient VS went to 3 prescribers and 6 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 8, 1. 14 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Lompoc and Goleta and went to pharmacies in 15 Lompoc, Santa Barbara, and Santa Maria. She lived in Lompoc (same address as Patient MM) 16 and traveled 55, 81 miles to Dr. Diaz's office and lived 53.28 miles from Respondent L M 17 Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient VS paid cash for her prescriptions when insurance 18 did not cover the cost of medication. Patient VS paid over \$250.00 for Oxycodone and \$220.00 19 Hydromorphone. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates 20 and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient VS received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. 21 Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo 22 Street dispensed Prescription Nos. 33225, 033221, 33220, 33223 and 33222 with a written date 23 that was not in the prescriber's handwriting. Patient VS received Hydromorphone 4 mg and 8 mg 24 at or around the same time prescribed by Dr. Diaz which he had dispensed sometimes at the same 25 pharmacy, including Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. Patient VS did not get 26 any pain or anxiety medication dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street after 27

28

September 14, 2011 and did not have any significant pain or anxiety history after Dr. Diaz was investigated.

1

2

Patient MS went to 7 prescribers and 12 pharmacies from January 1, 2009 to April 9, m. 3 2013. She went to prescribers in Santa Barbara, Solvang, and Goleta and to pharmacies in 4 Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Oxnard, Santa Ynez Santa Maria and Goleta. She lived in Santa Barbara 5 and paid cash for her medication. She paid approximately \$350.00 for Hydromorphone, \$103 for 6 Methadone, \$130.00 for Alprazolam, \$218.00 for HC/AP, and \$200.00 for Oxycodone. Review 7 of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of opiates and tranquilizers 8 dispensed. Patient MS went to multiple pharmacies and mainly went to Dr. Diaz. Patient MS 9 received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain 10 specialist. Patient MS received multiple prescriptions for AC/AP 10/325 mg from Dr. Diaz 11 which she dispensed at multiple pharmacies. She received 600-840 tablets of HC/AP within 30 12 days and received 7,800 mg per day to 9,750 mg per day of Acetaminophen. The practice of 13 Patient MS receiving multiple prescriptions dispensed at multiple pharmacies began in March of 14 2010 and continued monthly until November of 2011. Patient MS received multiple prescriptions 15 for Alprazolam 2 mg from Dr. Diaz which she dispensed at multiple pharmacies. MS received 16 17 240-360 tablets of Alprazolam within 30 days. Patient MS had a couple of pain prescriptions dispensed at L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz and the quantities and therapy 18 duplications prescribed by other prescribers were reduced; 19

Patient RS went to 2 prescribers in Santa Barbara and 6 pharmacies in Santa Barbara 20 n, and Goleta from January 1, 2009 to April 9, 2013. She lived in Santa Barbara and paid cash for 21 her medication. She paid approximately \$225.00 for Hydromorphone, \$175.00 for HC/AP, and 22 \$107 for Alprazolam. Review of CURES showed therapy duplication based on the number of 23 opiates and tranquilizers dispensed. Patient MS went to multiple pharmacies and mainly went to 24 Dr. Diaz. Patient MS received mostly pain and anxiety medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him 25 not being a pain specialist. Patient RS had no significant pain or anxiety history prior to going to 26 Dr. Diaz. However, Dr. Diaz began by prescribing him Methadone 10 mg, Hydromorphone 8 mg, 27 HC/AP 10/325 mg and Alprazolam 2 mg. Patient RS received multiple prescriptions for HC/AP 28

38

10/325 mg from Dr. Diaz which he dispensed at multiple pharmacies. Patient RS received 480 tablets of HC/AP within 30 days and received 5,200 mg per day of Acetaminophen. The practice of Patient RS getting multiple prescriptions dispensed at multiple pharmacies began in August of 2011 and continued monthly until December of 2011. Patient RS did not get any pain or anxiety prescriptions dispensed at LM Caldwell Pharmacist – Pueblo Street after Dr. Diaz. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street dispensed Prescription No. 336005 for Buprenorphine, used for treatment of narcotic addiction on December 1, 2011, prescribed by Dr. Diaz.

8 75. L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi did not know the
9 diagnosis for patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS, RS, and
10 knew that Dr. Diaz was a family practitioner and not a pain management physician. Also, L M
11 Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to maintain records or files
12 on drug therapy for these patients, and failed to check data in CURES.

76. When reviewing the records for patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, KM, 13 MM, SP, VS, MS, and RS, it was noted that eight out of these fifteen patients lived outside Dr. 14 Diaz's trading area and five out of nine lived outside of LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street 15 normal trading area. The range of distance travelled for the selected patients was between 6.97 16 miles for the shortest to 111.97 for the longest. The average distance traveled by the patient was 17 35.26 miles and the total distance these patients travelled to obtain controlled substances was 18 excessive. Five of the fifteen patient home addresses were not recognized by Mapquest. In 19 addition seven of the fifteen patients had the same address. Eight of the fifteen patients reviewed 20lived outside of Dr. Diaz's normal trading area and five of fifteen lived outside of L M Caldwell 21 Pharmacist-Pueblo Street normal trading area. 22

77. Most of the patients paid cash, including when the medication was not covered
by their insurance or to get early refills. Some patients had insurance/Medicaid, however, were
willing to pay a large sum of cash for controlled substances which were not covered by the plans,
including those on Medicaid.

27

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

28

78. There was excessive furnishing of controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz. The 1 dispensing ratio of prescriptions by Dr. Diaz by L M Caldwell Pharmacist -Pueblo Street and 2 Respondent Yahyavi was greatly unbalanced when compared to other neighboring pharmacies. 3 including the following three pharmacies: Federal Drugs PHY37078 (located 1.83 miles from L 4 M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street), Rite-Aid #5785 PHY 42255 (located 1.72 miles from L M 5 Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street), and CVS#9392 PHY 494473 (located 1.46 miles from L M 6 Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street). L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street filled tens of 7 thousands more controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Diaz when compared to neighboring 8 pharmacies for the time period specified of January 1, 2011 through December 5, 2012. The 9 CURES data for the L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and three surrounding pharmacies, 10 for example, was as follows: 11

| Pharmacy | Total controlled substances | Total Dr. Diaz's RX from | Total quantity for Dr. Diaz's | % of total controlled |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | dispensed | 1/1/2011-12/5/ | RX from | substance RX |
| | between | 2012 | 1/1/2011- | dispensed for |
| | 1/1/2011- | | 12/5/2012 | Dr. Diaz |
| | 12/5/2012 | | | |
| Respondent LM | 11,215 | 1,418 | 215,186 | 12.64% |
| Caldwell | | | | |
| Pharmacist – | | | | |
| Pueblo Street | | · . | | |
| Federal Drugs | 18, 282 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| PHY 37078 | | | | 5 |
| (1.92 miles from | | | | |
| LM Caldwell) | 0 80 A | | | |
| Rite-Aid #5785 | 3,584 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| PHY 42255 (.065 | | | | |
| miles from LM | | | 1 | |
| Caldwell Pharmacist | | | | |
| CVS # 9392 PHY | 13,365 | 44 | 6,599 | .33% |
| 49473 | 13,303 | -4-4 | 0,399 | .5570 |
| (.41 miles from | | | | |
| LM Caldwell) | | | | |
| | · | | 1 | |
| /// | | | | |
| /// | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 40 | | |

First Amended Accusation

l

1

11

Pattern of Early Refills and Duplicate Medications

Between January 1, 2010 and December 7, 2012, LM Caldwell-Pueblo Street 2 79. engaged in a pattern of early refills, including for Patients GA, RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, GJ, IJ, ML, 3 KM, MM, SP, VS, MS and RS, including, for example, 22 days early for Patient RB (Prescription 4 Nos. 335933 & 336232), 24 days early for Patient CB (Prescription Nos. 328602 & 328602) 25 5 days for Patient CC (Prescription Nos. 325881 & 326067), 16 days early for Patient CG 6 7 (Prescription Nos. 312824 & 312824), 25 days early for Patient GJ (Prescription Nos. 329632 & 329632), 18 days early for Patient IJ (Prescription Nos, 328627 & 328627) 27 days early for 8 Patient ML (Prescription Nos. 317889 & 31789), 29 days early for Patient MM (Prescription Nos. 9 326892 & 326705), and 16 days early for Patient MS (Prescription Nos. 331092 & 331728). 10

<u>Patient AM</u>

80. On December 10, 2013, the Board received a medical malpractice payment report, 12 13 Santa Barbara Superior Court, Case No. 1414079, from American Casualty Co. of Reading PA for Respondent Yahyavi, without admission of negligence or liability. On February 3, 2014, the 14 Board received a report of settlement judgment or arbitration award, Case No. 1414079, from 15 Chicago Insurance Company for Respondent Yahyavi, without the admission of guilt. 16 Prescribing of narcotic medication which led to death was alleged in the civil suit. The Board 17 confirmed that both settlement reports were regarding Patient AM and the insurance companies 18 split the costs of settlement. Patient AM, presented prescriptions from a medical doctor which 19 Respondent Yahyavi dispensed. On November 25, 2011, Patient AM died from acute 20 complications from narcotic abuse. At the time of his death, Patient AM had multiple controlled 21 substances in his system. 22 111 23 /// 24 111 25

26

27

28

| | 81. A rev | iew of Respo | ondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pu | eblo Street's profile for |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|
| | Patient AM revea | led that Patio | ent AM received the following contro | lled substances at LM |
| , | Caldwell Pharmac | sists-Pueblo | Street: | |
| ₄ ∥ | RX Date | RX # | Drug | Prescriber |
| 5 | 8/23/2010 | 320263 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 6 hours as needed for pain #240 | Dr. Diaz |
| , | | 230234 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain. #240 | |
| ; | 9/20/2010 | 321036 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 4-6 hours as needed for pain #240 | Dr. Diaz |
| | | | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 4-6 hours as needed for pain. #240 | |
| | 10/14/2010 | 322230 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 2-4 hours #260 | Dr. Diaz |
| | | 322231 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 2-4 hours #260 | |
| | | 322232 | Methadone 10 mg 2 pills every 12 hours #120 | |
| | | | | D |
| | 11/11/2010 | 323197 | Hydromorphone 8 mg 2 tablets every 4-6 hours #260 | Dr. Diaz |
| | | 323198 | Oxycodone 30 mg 2 tablet every 4-6 hours #260 | |
| | | | | |
| | 82. A rev | iew of Respo | ondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pu | ueblo Street's profile for |
| | Patient AM and C | URES recor | rds also revealed that Patient AM saw | 4 prescribers and went to |
| ŀ | pharmacies from . | January 1, 20 | 009 to April 8, 2013. Patient AM sav | v prescribers in Santa Bar |
| ł | Column and Cha | Deach De | tient AM received only pain medicati | ion from Dr. Diez despit |

Solvang, and Shell Beach. Patient AM received only pain medication from Dr. Diaz, despite him not being a pain specialist. Patient AM traveled over 70 miles from home in Solvang to obtain

25

26

27

28

the prescriptions from Dr. Diaz and then to LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street to have the prescriptions dispensed. Patient AM paid cash for his medication.

42

83. LM Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi dispensed 9 prescriptions for AM. However, if they would have checked CURES data, they would have been able to determine there was unusual prescribing patterns for Dr. Diaz and that Patient AM was going to multiple pharmacies. Patient AM, for example, went to 2 separate pharmacies on the same day to get Oxycodone and Hydromorphone. Since Respondent Yahyavi knew Dr. Diaz as the "Candy Man," he should have questioned the legitimacy of his prescriptions.

7 84. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2014, Respondent Yahyavi, failed to exercise best professional judgment while dispensing controlled substance prescriptions for Patient AM 8 prescribed by Dr. Diaz. Looking at the totality of the factors such as repetitive prescribing 9 patterns for highly abused controlled substances, the location of prescriber's practice in relation to 10the location of AM's residence, and patient's payment methods. Respondent Yahyavi also failed 11 to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such as CURES 12 13 reports and its own pharmacy records. The result of this negligence was the dispensing of controlled substances for AM who habitually engaged in doctor shopping and multiple pharmacy 14 activity. Respondent Yahyavi should have questioned the legitimacy of the prescriptions it and 15 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street dispensed to Patient AM. 16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

Conviction and Medical Board Disciplinary Action

85. On April 29, 2011, the Board received an arrest report from the California
Department of Justice for Pharmacy Technician DLM who had been arrested on allegations that
he stole Oxycontin from his employer Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and sold
the drugs to an undercover detective. In May of 2011, Pharmacy Technician DLM, following a
plea, was convicted of the sale of a controlled substance Oxycontin under Health and Safety Code
section 11352, subdivision (a).

86. On January 5, 2012, the Board received notification that Dr. Diaz was allegedly
linked to a string of deaths involving prescriptions drugs and had been arrested for allegedly
prescribing an excessive amount of painkillers to his patients. On May 13, 2014, the California
Medical Board revoked Dr. Diaz's license as a general practitioner and his specialty in Geriatrics

28

and Pathology for gross negligence in the care and treatment of a patient, prescribing excessive narcotic medications to patients, and failing to maintain adequate and accurate records.

Board Inspections and Audits

87. On July 13, 2011, January 1, 2013, and January 15, 2013, the Board inspected Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. The Board also conducted audits of Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street from 2009 to January 2013.

88. On January 16, 2013, the Board inspected Respondent L M Caldwell PharmacistPueblo Street. During the inspection, Respondent Yahyavi admitted to the inspector that he
knew Dr. Diaz as the "Candy Man." The Board also conducted audits of Respondent L M
Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street from 2009 to January 2013.

89. On April 8, 2013, the Board issued a written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent
L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. The Board also issued a written
Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent
Yahyani.

90. On July 31, 2013, the Board issued a written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent
 L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi.

91. On August 7, 2013, the Board issued another written Notice of Noncompliance to
Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and Respondent Caldwell.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

20

21

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacy) (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist -State Street)

92. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street is subject to discipline under
section 4301, subsection (o) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section
1714, subsection (b), for failure to maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that
drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The circumstances
are that between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell PharmacistState Street could not account for the loss of 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between
August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street could not

account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and the loss of 605 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 45 through 46, as though set forth fully.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacist) (Against Respondent Caldwell)

93. Respondent Caldwell is subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of 7 the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d), for failure to 8 maintain the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control 9 against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices 10 and to ensure that possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled 11 12 substances are stored is restricted to pharmacists. The circumstances are that between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent Caldwell could not account for the loss of 5,360 tablets of 13 Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, Respondent Caldwell could 14 not account for the loss of 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and the loss of 605 tablets of 15 Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set 16 forth above in paragraphs 45 through 46, as though set forth fully. 17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Dangerous Drugs) (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell, and Respondent Yahyavi)

94. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi, are each and severally
subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, subdivision
(a) of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of sale, acquisition or disposition of dangerous
drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of
making. The circumstances are as follows:

Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could a. 1 not account for the records of acquisition and disposition and the current inventory. Between 2 November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and 3 Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage (disposition greater than 4 acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg and 165 tablets of Oxycodone SR 80 mg. 5 Between August 6, 2011 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State 6 Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overage of 78,746 tablets of 7 HC/AP 10/325 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set 8 forth above in paragraphs 42 through 43, as though set forth fully. 0 Between January 5, 2010 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell b. 10

Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for prescription hardcopies
for Prescriptions Nos. 793824, 793825, 793826, 789177, 789188, 793189, 793190, 805552,
782075, 792283, 793432, 793184, 791387, 797610, 787609, 790594, 790595, 790597, 795658,
804361, 792346, 793090, 795652, 776675, 773787, 779441, 780927, 790980, 792044, 792920,
792935 and 792928. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set
forth above in paragraphs 44, as though set forth fully.

c. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell
Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi could not account for an inventory overage of
53,811 tablets of HC/AP 10/325 mg. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates
the allegations set forth above in paragraph 68, as though set forth fully.

c. On January 16, 2013, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent
Yahyavi were unable to provide the original prescription documents for RX # 327435, 334405, ,
317892, 317893, 317894, 330297, 323526, 324203, 325803, 325881, 312027, 316180, 315861,
322717, 322718, 319209, 322715, 330610, 333178, 334336, 318220, 331648, 322460, 332461,
326892, 327949, 332102, and 336005. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates
the allegations set forth above in paragraph 69, as though set forth fully.
///

28 ///

| 1 | FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|----|--|
| 2 | (Failure to Provide Drug Sales and Purchase Records After Furnishing Dangerous Drugs) |
| 3 | (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- |
| 4 | Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 5 | 95. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 6 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi, are each and severally |
| 7 | subject to disciplinary action under section 4059, subdivision (b), of the Code, for furnishing a |
| 8 | dangerous drug or dangerous device to each other without sales and purchase records that |
| 9 | correctly give the date, names and addresses of the supplier and buyer, the drug or device and the |
| 10 | quantity. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 11 | a. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 12 | Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold HC/AP 10/325 mg to Respondent |
| 13 | Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records. Complainant refers to, and |
| 14 | by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 47, as though set forth |
| 15 | fully. |
| 16 | b. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo |
| 17 | Street and Respondent Yahyavi purchased HC/AP 10/325 mg from Caldwell Pharmacist-State |
| 18 | Street without adequate purchase records. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, |
| 19 | incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 70, as though set forth fully. |
| 20 | FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 21 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Exercise Corresponding Responsibility) |
| 22 | (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- |
| 23 | Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 24 | 96. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell |
| 25 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyavi are each and severally |
| 26 | subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (d) and (j), of the Code, Health and |
| 27 | Safety code section 11153, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section |
| 28 | 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b), for excessive furnishing of controlled substances with an |
| | . 47 |
| I | First Amended Accusation |

established history of a high potential for abuse despite multiple cues of irregularity and uncertainty related to patient and prescriber factors, and in failing to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances are dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose:

1

2

3

4

Specifically, between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, Respondent L M 5 a. Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, and Respondent Caldwell dispensed 1,492 controlled 6 substance prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Diaz with disregard to the following factors: distance 7 from the pharmacy to Dr. Diaz's office, distance from the pharmacy to each patient's home, 8 percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers, pattern of patients willing to pay cash for 9 highly expensive prescriptions, and same or similar prescribing patterns for individual patients 10 from alleged pain specialists. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent 11 Caldwell failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily available tools such 12 as CURES reports and its own pharmacy records, including to Patients VA, BA, KB, CD, LD, 13 TF, JH, MM, AM, SM, SS, JS, NS, VS and CW. From January 1, 2010 to January 1, 2013, LM 14 Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell failed to exercise their corresponding 15 responsibility with regard to Patient JJ. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates 16 the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 48 through 66 as though set forth fully. 17

Specifically, between January 1, 2011 and December 7, 2012, Respondent L M b. 18 Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi dispensed 1,418 controlled 19 substance prescriptions written by Dr. Julio Diaz with disregard to the following factors: distance 20 from the pharmacy to Dr. Diaz's office, distance from the pharmacy to each patient's home, 21 percentage of cash patients specific to listed prescribers, pattern of patients willing to pay cash for 22 highly expensive prescriptions, and same or similar prescribing patterns for individual patients 23 from alleged pain specialists. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and 24 Respondent Yahyavi failed to appropriately scrutinize patients' drug therapy with readily 25 available tools such as CURES reports and its own pharmacy records, including to Patients GA, 26 RB, CB, CC, JF, CG, IJ, ML, KM, MM, SP, VS, MS and RS. From January 1, 2010 to January 27 1, 2014, LM Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi failed to exercise their 28

corresponding responsibility with regard to Patient AM. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 73 through 84, as though set forth fully.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Dispensing Prescriptions Which Contains Significant Error, Omission, Irregularity, Uncertainty, Ambiguity or Alteration) (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell)

97. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, and Respondent Caldwell are 8 each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, 9 and California Code of Regulations section 1761, subdivisions (a) and (b), for dispensing a 10 prescription which contained a significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity, or 11 alteration, for failing to contact the prescriber to obtain information to validate the prescription, 12 and/or for dispensing a controlled substance knowing or having the objective reason to know that 13 the prescription was not issued for a legitimate purpose, even after conferring with the prescriber. 14 The circumstances are as follows: 15

On March 22, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and 16 a. Respondent Caldwell dispensed Prescription No. 784841 for Morphine Sulfate 10 mg/ml solution 17 that was written with no quantity on the prescription with the quantity box for "151 & over" 18 marked. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell 19 dispensed 360 mls of Morphine Sulfate solutions with no documentation on the prescription 20 indicating that the prescribing physician, Dr. Diaz, was contacted to clarify the quantity. 21 Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in 22 paragraph 55, subparagraph (d), as though set forth fully. 23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

b. On May 20, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent
Caldwell dispensed Prescription No. 784839 for Fentanyl 100 mcg/hour with directions to apply
every 48 hours. The manufacturer's direction was to change the patch every 72 hours.
Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in
paragraph 55, subparagraph (e), as though set forth fully.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Exceeding the Day Supply for Controlled Substance Refills)

(Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell)

98. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street, and Respondent Caldwell are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11200, subdivision (b) for refilling a prescription for Schedule II or IV substance more than five times and/or in an amount, for all refills of that prescription taken together, exceeding a 120-day supply. The circumstances are as follows:

a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell
dispensed Prescription No. 782251 for Alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance, on
March 25, 2011 for a 30 day supply. They then refilled Prescription No. 782251 five times on
April 22, 2011, May 18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011, for a total of
five (5) refills for a total of a 150-day supply. Complainant refers to, and by this reference,
incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 56, subparagraph (a), as though set forth
fully.

b. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell
dispensed Prescription No. 782250 for Diazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance, on March
25, 2011 for a 30 day supply. They then refilled Prescription No. 782250 on April 22, 2011, May
18, 2011, June 16, 2011, July 18, 2011 and August 17, 2011, for a total of five (5) refills for a
total of a 150-day supply. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the
allegations set forth above in paragraph 56, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Unprofessional Conduct: Variation from Prescription) (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist–Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi)

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

99. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations section 1716, when they deviated from the requirements of a

50

| 1 | |
|-----|---|
| | |
| 1 | prescription without the prior consent of the prescriber. Specifically, between January 1, 2010 |
| 2 · | and January 15, 2013, they dispensed the following prescriptions incorrectly: |
| 3 | (1) Prescription No. 321310, was for Oxycodone 30 mg 1-2 every 6 hour as needed for |
| 4 | pain. Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily as needed for pain; |
| 5 | (2) Prescription No. 321312, was for Xanax mg 1-2 times daily for panic. Respondents |
| 6 | dispensed it as 1 tablet four times daily; |
| 7 | (3) Prescription No. 325038, was for 30 mg 1-2 HC/AP 7.5/750 mg. Prescriber wrote 1 |
| 8 | tablet every 6 hours as needed for pain and Respondents dispensed it as 1 tablet every 4-6 hours |
| 9 | as needed for pain; |
| 10 | (4) Prescription No. 331728, was for Dilaudid 8 mg, 1 every 6 hours #120. Respondents |
| 11 | dispensed Hydromorphone 8 mg, 1-2 tablets every 6 hours; |
| 12 | (5) Prescription No. 332908, was for Methadone 10 mg 7 tablets every 12 hours #400. |
| 13 | Respondents dispensed it as 6 tablets every 12 hours; |
| 14 | (6) Prescription No. 335645, was for Oxycodone IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 4-6 hour. |
| 15 | Respondents dispensed Oxycodoñe IR 30 mg 1 tablet every 6 hours. |
| 16 | Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in |
| 17 | paragraph 71, subdivisions (a) through (f) as though set forth fully. |
| 18 | NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 19 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Dispensing Balance of |
| 20 | Schedule II Prescriptions Beyond 72 hours) |
| 21 | (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi) |
| 22 | 100. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street, and Respondent Yahyavi are |
| 23 | each and severally liable to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, |
| 24 | and California Code of Regulations section 1745, subdivision (d), as it related to Code of Federal |
| 25 | Regulations 1306.13, subdivision (a) as follows: |
| 26 | a. Review of prescriptions, from January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, revealed that |
| 27 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyavi partially filled |
| 28 | prescriptions for controlled substances listed in Schedule II and then dispensed the balance of the |
| | 51 |
| | First Amended Accusation |

prescription after the 72 hour period allowed for dispensing the balance of prescriptions. Specifically between January 1, 2010 to January 15, 2013, Respondents dispensed Prescription Nos. 329771, 331396, 332230, and 33265, then dispensed the balance of the prescriptions after 72 hours. Complainant refers to, and by this reference, incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 72 as though set forth fully.

6

1

2

3

4

5

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

101. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent L M 7 Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street, Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a 8 prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2006-32134 against Respondent 9 L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, 10 section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit A. That Citation is now final and is 11 incorporated as if fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 12 2008, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2007-35415 against 13 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of 14 Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit B. That Citation 15 is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth. 16

102. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Yahyavi, 17 Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy 18 issued Citation Number CI 2006-32988 against Respondent Yahyavi and ordered him to pay fines 19 in the amount of \$ 250.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A 20copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit C. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if 21 fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 2008, in a prior action, 22 the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2008-37974 against Respondent Yahyavi and 23 ordered him to pay fines in the amount of \$750.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, 24 title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit D. That Citation is now final 25and is incorporated as if fully set forth. 26

27

111

28

103. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent L M. Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street, Complainant alleges that on or about July 23, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 49544 against Respondent L M. Caldwell Pharmacists- State Street for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 and section 1711, subdivisions (d) and (e). A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit E. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

104. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Caldwell, 7 Complainant alleges that on or about July 23, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy 8 issued Citation Number CI 2013 57599 against Respondent Caldwell for violating California 9 Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 and section 1711, subdivisions (d) and (e). A copy of 10the citation is attached as Exhibit F. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set 11 forth herein. Respondent Caldwell, Complainant alleges that on or about February 29, 2012, in a 12 prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2010 48187 against Respondent 13 Caldwell for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1732.5 and Business and 14 Professions Code 4231, subdivision (d) and 4301, subdivision (g). A copy of the citation is 15 attached as Exhibit G. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth herein. 16

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911, issued to Peter
 Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist;

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912, issued to Peter
 Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist;

3. Revoking or suspending Pharmadist License Number 25356, issued to Peter Craig
Caldwell;

26
4. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 30041, issued to Abdul
27
27
28
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
<

28

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

| Į | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | 6. Ordering L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30911), L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY | |
| 2 | 30912), Peter Craig Caldwell, and Abdul Yahyavi to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable | 9 |
| 3 | costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions | |
| 4 | Code section 125.3; | |
| 5 | 7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | DATED: 8/13/15 Virginia Herold | <u> </u> |
| 8 | Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy | |
| 9 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California | |
| 10 | Complainant | |
| 11 | LA2013509955 | |
| 12 | 51758367_2.docx | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 10 9 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 1 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | • |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| . | 54 First Amended Accusat | <u> </u> |

| KAMALA D. HARRIS | fornia | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Attorney General of Cali GREGORY J. SALUTE | | |
| Supervising Deputy Atto CRISTINA FELIX | • | |
| Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 | 1 | |
| 300 So. Spring Street, S Los Angeles, CA 9001 | Suite 1702 | |
| Telephone: (213) 897-2 Facsimile: (213) 897-2 | 2455 | |
| E-mail: Cristina.Felix@ Attorneys for Complaina | doj.ca.gov | |
| | BEFORE T | |
|] | BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CONS | SUMER AFFAIRS |
| | STATE OF CALI | FORNIA |
| In the Matter of the Accu | sation Against: Cas | e No. 4867 |
| PETER CRAIG CALD | WELL doing | |
| business as L M CALD PHARMACIST | WELL | CUSATION |
| 1509 State St. | | |
| Santa Barbara, CA 931 Pharmacy Permit No. P | | |
| PETER CRAIG CALD | WELL doing | |
| business as L M CALD | | |
| PHARMACIST 235 West Pueblo St. | | |
| Santa Barbara, CA 931 | | |
| Pharmacy Permit No. P | | |
| PETER CRAIG CALD 1509 State St. | WELL | |
| Santa Barbara, CA 931 | | |
| Pharmacist License No. | ы КГП 40000 | |
| ABDUL YAHYAVI 1624 La Coronilla Drive | e. | |
| Santa Barbara, CA 931 | 109 | |
| Pharmacist License No. | | |
| | Respondent. | |
| /// | | |
| | | |
| | | |

ŝ.

1 2

3

4

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 5 Number PHY 30911 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 6 1509 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street). 7 The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 8 herein and will expire on December 1, 2013, unless renewed. Peter C. Caldwell has been the 9 individual licensed owner of Respondent State Street Pharmacy since December 13, 1984. Peter 10C. Caldwell has been the Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent Pueblo Street Pharmacy since 11 December 1, 1984. 12

13

3. On or about December 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912 to Peter Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist located at 235 14 West Pueblo Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93105 (Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo 15 Street). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges 16 brought herein and will expire on December 1, 2013, unless renewed. Abdul Yahyavi has been 17 18 the Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent Pueblo Street Pharmacy since December 1, 1984.

19 4. On or about January 6, 1968, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 25356 to Peter Craig Caldwell (Respondent Caldwell). The Pharmacist License was in full force 20and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2015, 21 unless renewed. 22

5. On or about December 10, 1975, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 2330041 to Abdul Yahyavi (Respondent Yahyavi). The Pharmacist License was in full force and 24 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless 25 renewed. 26

27

///

| 1 | JURISDICTION |
|----|--|
| 2 | 6. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of |
| 3 | Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the |
| 4 | Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 5 | 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the |
| 6 | suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the |
| 7 | Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period |
| 8 | within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. |
| 9 | 8. Section 4300 of the Code states: |
| 10 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. |
| 11 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose |
| 12 | default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: |
| 13 | (1) Suspending judgment. |
| 14 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. |
| 15 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding on |
| 16 | year. |
| 17 | (4) Revoking his or her license. |
| 18 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper. |
| 19 | m as discretion may deem proper. |
| 20 | (a) The proceedings under this exticle shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter |
| 21 | (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, |
| 22 | except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure." |
| 23 | 9. Section 4300.1 of the Code states: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement |
| 26 | of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any |
| 27 | investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license. |
| 28 | a accurate performente or recording ine mentoer |
| | 3 |
| | Accusati |

| 1 | STATUTORY AUTHORITY |
|---|--|
| 2 | 10. Section 3640.7 of the Code states: |
| 3 | Notwithstanding the requirements of Section 3640.5 or any other provision of this chapter, a naturopathic doctor may independently prescribe and |
| 4 | administer the following: |
| 5 | (a) Epinephrine to treat anaphylaxis. |
| 6 | (b) Natural and synthetic hormones. |
| 7 | (c) Vitamins, minerals, amino acids, glutathione, botanicals and their |
| 8 | extracts, homeopathic medicines, electrolytes, sugars, and diluents that may be administered utilizing routes of administration, pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 3640, only when such substances are chemically identical to those for sale without a |
| 9 | prescription. |
| 0 | 11. Section 4301 of the Code states: |
| 1 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or |
| 2 | misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: |
| 4 | (a) Gross immorality. |
| 5 | (b) Incompetence. |
| 6 | (c) Gross negligence. |
| 7 | (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. |
| 8 | (e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of |
| 9 | subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly |
|) | excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the customer (including size and frequency |
| | of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer distributes its product. |
| 2 | (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or |
| 3 | corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not. |
| ŀ | (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely |
| ; | represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts. |
| ; | ••• |
| 7 | (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. |
| 3 | ···· |
| | 4 |

| | | 5 |
|----------|--|---|
| 28 | | |
| 20 27 | | |
| 23 26 | | |
| 24 25 | | (3) Access to the information described in paragraph (2) is secure from unauthorized access and use." |
| 23 | | advice. (2) A constant to the information described in performance (2) is secure from |
| 22 | | (2) The pharmacist has access to prescription, patient profile, or other relevant medical information for purposes of patient and clinical consultation and |
| 21 | | health care professional or to a patient. |
| 20 | | (1) The clinical advice or information or patient consultation is provided to a |
| 19 | | prescription, pursuant to Section 4052, and otherwise provide clinical advice or information or patient consultation if all of the following conditions are met: |
| 18 | | prescriber unless he or she is a pharmacist under this chapter. (b) Notwithstanding any other law, a pharmacist may authorize the initiation of a |
| 17 | | manufacture, compound, furnish, sell, or dispense any dangerous drug or dangerous device, or to dispense or compound any prescription pursuant to Section 4040 of a prescriber unless he or she is a pharmaciet under this chapter. |
| 16 | | (a) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, it is unlawful for any person to |
| 15 | | 13. Section 4051 of the Code states: |
| 13 | | (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. |
| 12 | | use of the device. |
| 11 12 | | (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order |
| 10 | | prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. |
| 9 | | (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without |
| 8 | | Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: |
| 7 | | 12. Section 4022 of the Code states |
| 6 | | |
| .5 | | (q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board. |
| 4 | | (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. |
| 3 | | agency. |
| 1 2 | | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory |
| | | |

| 27 28 | (7) To another pharmacy under common control. | |
|----------|---|----|
| 26 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 24 | (5) A patient or to another pharmacy pursuant to a prescription or as otherwise authorized by law. | |
| 23 | quantity sufficient to alleviate the temporary shortage. | |
| 22 | dangerous drug that could result in the denial of health care. A pharmacy furnishing dangerous drugs pursuant to this paragraph may only furnish a | |
| 20 | (4) Another pharmacy or wholesaler to alleviate a temporary shortage of a | |
| 20 | | |
| 18 19 | (a) A pharmacy may furnish dangerous drugs only to the following: | |
| 17 | 16. Code section 4126.5, subdivision (a), provides: | |
| 16 | knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate. | |
| 15 | (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge had no | |
| 14 | this section. | |
| 13 | food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in | |
| 12 | (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary | |
| 11 | Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices. | , |
| 9 10 | establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of | |
| 8 | manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or | l, |
| 7 | inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every | |
| 6 | (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to | |
| 5 | 15. Section 4081 of the Code states: | |
| 4 | 4076. | |
| 3 | prescription except in a container correctly labeled with the information required by Section | on |
| 2 | subdivisions (b) and (c), of this section, no person shall dispense any dangerous drug upon | 1 |
| 1 | 14. Section 4077 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that except as provided in | |

| 1 | 17. Section 4328 of the Code states: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, any person who permits the |
| 3 | compounding or dispensing of prescriptions, or the furnishing of dangerous drugs in his or her pharmacy, except by a pharmacist, is guilty of a misdemeanor. |
| 4 | 18. Section 4333 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that all prescriptions filled by a |
| 5 | pharmacy and all other records required by Section 4081 shall be maintained on the premises and |
| 6 | available for inspection by authorized officers of the law for a period of at least three years. In |
| 7 | cases where the pharmacy discontinues business, these records shall be maintained in a |
| 8 | board-licensed facility for at least three years. |
| 9 | 19. Section 4059 of the Code states: |
| 10 | (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous |
| 11 | drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form. |
| 12 | (b) The licensee may remove the original records or documentation from the licensed |
| 13 | premises on a temporary basis for license-related purposes. However, a duplicate set of those records or other documentation shall be retained on the licensed premises. |
| 14 | (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | (d) Any records that are maintained electronically shall be maintained so that the pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacist on duty if the pharmacist-in-charge is not on |
| 17 | duty, or, in the case of a veterinary food-animal drug retailer or wholesaler, the designated representative on duty, shall, at all times during which the licensed |
| 18 | premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records |
| 19 | maintained electronically. |
| 20 | (e)(1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in |
| 21 | subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises. |
| 22 | (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter. |
| 23 | STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY |
| 24 | 20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, states: |
| 25 | |
| 26 | (a) All pharmacies (except hospital inpatient pharmacies as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4029 which solely or predominantly furnish drugs to |
| 27 | inpatients of the hospital) shall contain an area which is suitable for confidential patient counseling. |
| 28 | |
| | 7 |
| | Accusation |

1.2

| 1 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1 2 | (b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy. |
| 3 | (c) The pharmacy and fixtures and equipment shall be maintained in a clean and orderly condition. The pharmacy shall be dry, well-ventilated, free from rodents and insects, and properly lighted. The pharmacy shall be equipped with a sink with hot and cold running water for pharmaceutical purposes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. (e) The pharmacy owner, the building owner or manager, or a family member of a pharmacist owner (but not more than one of the aforementioned) may possess a key to the pharmacy that is maintained in a tamper evident container for the purpose of 1) delivering the key to a pharmacist or 2) providing access in case of emergency. An emergency would include fire, flood or earthquake. The signature of the pharmacist-in-charge shall be present in such a way that the pharmacist may readily determine whether the key has been removed from the container. |
| 12 13 14 15 | (f) The board shall require an applicant for a licensed premise or for renewal of that license to certify that it meets the requirements of this section at the time of licensure or renewal. (g) A pharmacy shall maintain a readily accessible restroom. The restroom shall contain a toilet and washbasin supplied with running water. |
| 16 | <u>COSTS</u> |
| 17 18 | 21. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the |
| 19 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of |
| 20 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and |
| 20 | enforcement of the case. |
| | DRUGS |
| 22 23 | 22. Oxycontin, a brand name formation of oxycodone hydrochloride and/or Oxycodone |
| 23 24 | SR, is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to |
| 25 | morphine. OxyContin is for use in opioid tolerant patients only. It is a Schedule II controlled |
| | substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and a dangerous |
| 26 27 | drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. |
| 28 | |
| | 8 |
| | Accusation |

| 1 | 23. Dilaudid is a trade name for Hydromorphone, an Opium derivative, which is | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 2 classified as a Schedule II Controlled Substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section | | | |
| 3 | 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and | | | |
| 4 | Professions Code section 4022. | | | |
| 5 | 24. Hydrocodone is in Schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act. Lortab, Norco and | | | |
| 6 | 5 Vicodin, brand/trade names of preparations containing hydrocodone in combination with othe | | | |
| 7 | non-narcotic medicinal ingredients, are in Schedule III pursuant to Health and safety Code section | | | |
| 8 | 11056(e)(4), and are categorized as dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022. | | | |
| 9 | <u>FACTS</u> | | | |
| 10 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 11 | Pharmacist-State Street, Respondent Caldwell, and Respondent Yahyavi. | | | |
| 12 | 25. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 13 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street (collectively Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists) are pharmacies | | | |
| 14 | operating in the Santa Barbara area. | | | |
| 15 | 26. Respondent Caldwell is the Pharmacists in Charge at Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 16 | Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Yahyani is the Pharmacists in Charge at Respondent L | | | |
| 17 | M Caldwell Pharmacist- Pueblo Street. | | | |
| 18 | 27. Pharmacy Technician DLM ¹ was employed at Respondent Caldwell Pharmacists- | | | |
| 19 | State Street. | | | |
| 20 | Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs | | | |
| 21 | 28. Drugs acquired by Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists were stored at | | | |
| 22 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street. Drugs were sent to Respondent L M | | | |
| 23 | Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street as needed. Drug recordkeeping included a transfer document | | | |
| . 24 | which showed the bottles sent to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street. However, | | | |
| 25 | the documentation did not include whether the drugs were initially received at Respondent L M | | | |
| 26 | Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street and then sent to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | ¹ Initials are used to protect confidentiality. Identities will be revealed during discovery. | | | |
| | 9 | | | |
| | Accusation | | | |

Ŀ.

| 1 | Street before being transferred back. Also the records for Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmac | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pueblo Street were located at Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street. | | | |
| 3 | 29. Between November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 4 | 4 Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for an inventory overa | | | |
| 5 | (disposition greater than acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | | | |
| 6 | and 165 tablets of Oxycodone SR 80 mg. Between August 6, 2011 and January 15, 2013, | | | |
| 7 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street could not account for an inventory overage of | | | |
| 8 | 78,746 tablets of Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 mg. | | | |
| 9 | 30. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 10 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyani could not account for an inventory overage of | | | |
| 11 | 53,811 tablets of Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 mg. | | | |
| 12 | Operational Standards and Security | | | |
| 13 | 31. Respondent Caldwell was responsible for the security and record keeping at | | | |
| 14 | Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists. Between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, | | | |
| 15 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account | | | |
| 16 | for 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, | | | |
| 17 | Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street could not account for 8,800 tablets of | | | |
| 18 | Hydromorphone 8 mg and 605 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. | | | |
| 19 | 32. Respondents L M Caldwell Pharmacists failed to maintain an effective control on the | | | |
| 20 | security of the prescription department against theft or loss of controlled substance/ dangerous | | | |
| 21 | drugs. | | | |
| 22 | Furnishing of Dangerous Drugs or Devices | | | |
| 23 | 33. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 24 | Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 mg | | | |
| 25 | to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records. | | | |
| 26 | 34. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 27 | Pharmacist-Pueblo Street purchased Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen10/325 mg from Respondent L | | | |
| 28 | M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street without adequate purchase records. | | | |
| | 10 | | | |

£.

Arrest and Conviction

1

| 2 | 35. On April 29, 2011, the Board received an arrest report from the California | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | Department of Justice for Pharmacy Technician DLM who had been arrested on allegations that | | | | |
| 4 | he stole Oxycontin from his employer Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist and sold the drugs to | | | | |
| 5 | an undercover detective. In May of 2011, Pharmacy Technician DL M, following a plea, was | | | | |
| 6 | convicted of the sale of a controlled substance Oxycontin under Health and Safety Code section | | | | |
| 7 | 11352, subdivision (a). | | | | |
| 8 | Board Inspections and Audits | | | | |
| 9 | 36. On July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2013, the Board inspected Respondents Caldwell | | | | |
| 10 | Pharmacists. The Board also conducted audits of Respondents Caldwell Pharmacists for the | | | | |
| 11 | following time periods: November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011 and August 6, 2011 to January 15, | | | | |
| 12 | 2013. | | | | |
| 13 | 37. On April 8, 2013, the Board issued a written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent | | | | |
| 14 | L M Caldwell Pharmacists-State Street and Respondent Caldwell. The Board also issued a | | | | |
| 15 | written Notice of Noncompliance to Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street and | | | | |
| 16 | Respondent Yahyani. | | | | |
| 17 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 18 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacy) | | | | |
| 19 | (Against Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist -State Street) | | | | |
| 20 | 38. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist -State Street is subject to discipline under | | | | |
| 21 | section 4301, subsection (o) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section | | | | |
| 22 | 1714, subsection (b), for failure to maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that | | | | |
| 23 | drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The circumstances | | | | |
| 24 | are as follows: | | | | |
| 25 | a. Between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | |
| 26 | Pharmacist-State Street could not account for 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between | | | | |
| 27 | August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street could not | | | | |
| | | | | | |

28 account for 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and 605 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg.

| 1 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | (Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacist) | | | |
| 3 | (Against Respondent Caldwell) | | | |
| 4 | 39. Respondent Caldwell is subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of | | | |
| 5 | the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d), for failure to | | | |
| 6 | maintain the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control | | | |
| 7 | against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices | | | |
| 8 | and to ensure that possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled | | | |
| 9 | substances are stored is restricted to pharmacists. The circumstances are as follows: | | | |
| 10 | a. Between November 15, 2009 to July 13, 2011, Respondent Caldwell could not | | | |
| 11 | account for 5,360 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg. Between August 6, 2011 to January 15, 2013, | | | |
| 12 | Respondent Caldwell could not account for 8,800 tablets of Hydromorphone 8 mg and 605 tablets | | | |
| 13 | of Oxycodone 30 mg. | | | |
| 14 | THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
| 15 | (Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Dangerous Drugs) | | | |
| 16 | (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- | | | |
| 17 | Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell, and Respondent Yahyani) | | | |
| 18 | 40. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| | 40. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | |
| 19 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally | | | |
| 19 20 | | | | |
| | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally | | | |
| 20 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, | | | |
| 20 21 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times | | | |
| 20 21 22 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows: | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows: a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell could | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows: a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for the records of acquisition and disposition and the current inventory. Between | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows: a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for the records of acquisition and disposition and the current inventory. Between November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street could | | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows: a. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street and Respondent Caldwell could not account for the records of acquisition and disposition and the current inventory. Between November 15, 2009 and July 13, 2011, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street could not account for an inventory overage (disposition greater than acquisition) of 55,370 tablets of | | | |

ŝ

| 1 | August 6, 2011 and January 15, 2013, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street could | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | not account for an inventory overage of 78,746 tablets of Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 | | | | |
| 3 | mg. | | | | |
| 4 | b. Between December 18, 2010 and December 17, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | |
| 5 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street and Respondent Yahyani could not account for an inventory overage of | | | | |
| 6 | 53,811 tablets of Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 mg. | | | | |
| 7 | FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | | |
| 8 | (Failure to Provide Drugs Sales and Purchase Records After Furnishing Dangerous Drugs) | | | | |
| 9 | (Against L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- | | | | |
| 10 | Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani) | | | | |
| 11 | 41. Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacist- State Street, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | |
| 12 | Pharmacist- Pueblo Street, Respondent Caldwell and Respondent Yahyani, are each and severally | | | | |
| 13 | subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, | | | | |
| 14 | for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times | | | | |
| 15 | open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The | | | | |
| 16 | circumstances are as follows: | | | | |
| 17 | a. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, Respondent L M Caldwell | | | | |
| 18 | Pharmacist-State Street and Respondent Caldwell sold Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 mg | | | | |
| 19 | to Respondent Caldwell Pharmacists- Pueblo Street without adequate sales records. | | | | |
| 20 | b. Between July 23, 2010 and December 28, 2012, L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo | | | | |
| 21 | Street and Respondent Yahyani purchased Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen10/325 mg from | | | | |
| 22 | Caldwell Pharmacist-State Street without adequate purchase records. | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | /// | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | 13 | | | | |
| | Accusation | | | | |

à

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

42. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent L M 2 Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street, Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a 3 prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2006-32134 against Respondent 4 L M Caldwell Pharmacist-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, 5 section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit A. That Citation is now final and is 6 incorporated as if fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 7 2008, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2007-35415 against 8 Respondent L M Caldwell Pharmacists-Pueblo Street for violating California Code of 9 Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit B. That 10 11 Citation is now final and is incorporated as if fully set forth.

1.

1

43. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Yahyavi, 12 Complainant alleges that on or about February 27, 2007, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy 13 issued Citation Number CI 2006-32988 against Respondent Yahyavi and ordered him to pay fines 14 in the amount of \$ 250.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716. A 15 copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit C. That Citation is now final and is incorporated as if 16 fully set forth. Complainant further alleges that on or about November 14, 2008, in a prior action, 17 the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2008-37974 against Respondent Yahyavi and 18 ordered him to pay fines in the amount of \$750.00 for violating California Code of Regulations, 19 title 16, section 1716. A copy of the citation is attached as Exhibit D. That Citation is now 20final and is incorporated as if fully set forth. 21

22

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30911, issued to Peter
 Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist;

27 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 30912, issued to Peter
28 Caldwell to do business as L M Caldwell Pharmacist;

| · 1 | 3. | Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 25356, issued to Peter Craig | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | Caldwell; | | | |
| 3 | 4. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 30041, issued to Abdul | | | |
| 4 | Yahyavi; | | | |
| 5 | 6. | Ordering L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY 30911), L M Caldwell Pharmacist (PHY | | |
| 6 | 30912), Peter Craig Caldwell, and Abdul Yahyavi to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable | | | |
| 7 | costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions | | | |
| 8 | Code section 125.3; | | | |
| 9 | 7. | Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | | |
| 10 | DATED: | Ilalis () Xla Al | | |
| 11 | DATED: _ | VIRGINIA ARROLD Executive Officer | | |
| 12 | | Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs | | |
| 13 | | State of California Complainant | | |
| 14 | | Complainain | | |
| 15 | LA2013509 51362095_3. | | | |
| 16 | 01002020_0 | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 20 | | 15 | | |
| | | 13 Accusation | | |

.