. 1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4859	
13	DANIEL VAN LANDRUM	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	956 W. Elberon Avenue San Pedro, CA 90731		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	No. TCH 83665		
17	Respondent.		
18	FINDING	S OF FACT	
19	1. On or about October 17, 2014, Com	olainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
21	filed Accusation No. 4859 against Daniel Van La	andrum (Respondent) before the Board of	
22	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
23	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board	of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 83665 to Resp	ondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
25	expired on October 31, 2013, and has not been re	enewed.	
26	3. On or about October 28, 2014, Resp	ondent was served by Certified and First Class	
27	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4859, Stateme		
28	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Governm	ent Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and	
	DAN	IEL VAN LANDRUM DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER	

٠

1	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
2	section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of		
3	record was and is:		
4	953 W. Elberon Avenue		
5	<ul> <li>San Pedro, CA 90731.</li> <li>4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of</li> </ul>		
6	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section		
7	124.		
8	5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:		
.9			
10	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall		
11	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.		
12			
13	6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him		
14	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.		
15	4859.		
16	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:		
17 18	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to		
19	respondent.		
20	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds		
21	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the		
22	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as		
23	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on		
24	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4859, finds that		
25	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4859, are separately and severally, found to be true		
26	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.		
27	111		
28			
	2		
	DANIEL VAN LANDRUM DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER		

1	9.	Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
2	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation		
3	and Enforcement are \$4,370.00 as of May 12, 2015.		
4	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES		
5	· 1.	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Daniel Van Landrum has	
6	subjected	his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83665 to discipline.	
7	2.	The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
8	3.	The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician	
9	Registratio	on based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported	
10	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:		
11	a.	Business and Professions Code sections 490, 4300 and 4301(1) – Conviction of a	
12		Substantially Related Crime	
13		People v. Daniel Landrum (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2013, No.	
14		FVI1202505) September 1, 2013 conviction upon plea of nolo contendere of one	
15		felony count of violating Penal Code section 311.11(a) [possession of matter	
16		depicting minor engaging in sexual conduct];	
17	b.	Business and Professions Code sections 4300 and 4301(a) - Gross Immorality;	
18	c.	Business and Professions Code section 4301(f) – Acts Involving Moral Turpitude,	
19		Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption; and	
20	d.	Business and Professions Code section 4301(0) – Unprofessional Conduct/Violation	
21		of Licensing Chapter.	
22		ORDER	
23	IT E	S SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83665, heretofore	
24	issued to I	Respondent Daniel Van Landrum, is revoked.	
25	Purs	suant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
26	written mo	otion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
27	111		
28	111		
		.3	
	1	DANIEL VAN LANDRUM DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER	

.

vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.			
This Decision shall become effective on August 6, 2015.			
It is so ORDERED	July 7, 2015.		
			• •
			RD OF PHARMACY
		STAT	'E OF CALIFORNIA
			A-la contin -
			Agn cyowap
		By <sup>\.</sup>	$\bigcup$ / $\lor$
		Бу	AMARYLIS GUTIERREZ
			Board President
Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation			

## Exhibit A

Ì	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE TULLENERS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 157464 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2578 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4859
12	DANIEL VAN LANDRUMA C C U S A T I O N956 W. Elberon Avenue956 W
13	San Pedro, California 90731
14	Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83665
15	Respondent.
16	
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).
22	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration No. TCH 83665 to Daniel Van Landrum (Respondent). On July 25, 2013, in the
24	matter of The People of the State of California v. Daniel Landrum, (Super. Ct. San Bernardino
25	County, 2013, No. FVI1202505), Respondent was prohibited by order of the superior court from
26	engaging, either directly or indirectly, in any activity for which a pharmacy technician license
27	was required during the pendency of the criminal proceedings against him until further order of
28	the court. Respondent is currently prohibited from working as a pharmacy technician, but the
	1
	Accusation

Original Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 1 underlying charges brought herein, and expired on October 31, 2013. 2 JURISDICTION 3 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following 4 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated, 5 STATUTORY PROVISIONS 6 Section 490 states, in pertinent part: 4. 7 "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a 8 9 board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business 10 or profession for which the license was issued. 11 (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to 12 discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under 13 subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties 14 of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued. 15 A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a 16 (c) 🗆 17 conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or 18 the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is 19 made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the 20 provisions of Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code. ... " 21 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "felvery license issued may be suspended or 22 revoked." 23 б. Section 4300.1 states: 24 "The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by 25 operation of law or by order or decision of the board or court of law, the placement of a license on 26 a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of 27 jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary 28

2

1	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."	
2	7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
3	"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional	
4	conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.	
5	Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
6	(a) Gross immorality.	
7	• • • •	
8	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud deceit or	
9	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a license or otherwise, and	
10	whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.	
11	• • • •	
12	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and	
13	duties of a licensee under this chapter ,	
14		
15	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the	
16	violation of or conspiring to violate any provision of term of this chapter or of the applicable	
17	federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by	
18	the board or by any other state or federal agency."	
19	REGULATORY PROVISION	
20	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:	
21	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license	
22	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a	
23	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a	
24	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a	
25	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner	
26	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."	
27		
28		
	3	

.

COST RECOVERY 1 9. Section 125.3 provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to 2 direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a 3 sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 4 5 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime) 6 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, 10. 7 subdivision (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the 8 grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that, Respondent was convicted of a substantially related 9 crime, as follows: 10 On or about September 18, 2013, upon his plea of nolo contendere, Respondent was 11 a. convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 311.11(a) [possession of matter 12 depicting minor engaging in sexual conduct] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the 13 State of California v. Daniel Landrum (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2013, No. 14 FVI1202505). On October 30, 2013, the Court sentenced Respondent to serve 365 days in San 15 Bernardino County jail, to register as a sex offender under the Sex Offender Registration Act 16 pursuant to Penal Code sections 290 and 290.006, and 60 months supervised probation upon 17 release from jail, with fines and terms and conditions. 18 The circumstances underlying the convictions are that on or about April 25, 2012, b. 19 Respondent dropped a portable computer flash drive storage device inside of a Bass Pro Shop in 20 the City of Rancho Cucamonga, California. The flash drive was anonymously turned over to the 21 San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department (LASD). A sheriff's deputy opened the flash drive 22 to locate the owner of the property, and found child pornography videos saved as several files, as 23 well as personal documents in the name of Respondent. On May 15, 2012, a search warrant was 24 served for the residence where Respondent lived in a mobile home trailer at the time in the City 25of Wrightwood. Respondent's mother lived in another mobile home trailer at the same address. 26 LASD seized computers from both mobile homes during the execution of the search warrant. 27 Computer files containing child pornography videos were found on a laptop belonging to 28

4

Respondent. The pornography files were copied from the laptop by Respondent onto the flash 1 drive on, before, and after other personal document files created by Respondent. 2 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Gross Immorality) 4 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, 5 subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that, Respondent committed acts 6 constituting gross immorality by possessing matter depicting minors engaging in sexual conduct. 7 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in 8 paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though fully set forth herein. 9 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 10(Act(s) Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption) 11 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, 12 subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that, Respondent committed acts 13 involving moral turpitude or corruption by possessing matter depicting minors engaging in sexual . 14 conduct. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above 15 in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) and (b), as though fully set forth herein. 16 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 17 (Unprofessional Conduct/Violation of Licensing Chapter) 18 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), on 13. 19 the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that, Respondent was found to be in possession of 20 matter constituting child pornography, and has been ordered by a superior court judge of the State 21 of California to register as a sex offender under the Sex Offender Registration Act, pursuant to 22 Penal Code sections 290 and 290.006. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the 23 allegations set forth in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) and (b), as though fully set forth herein. 24 PRAYER 25 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 26 and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision: 27 Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83665 1. 28 5

issued to Daniel Van Landrum; 2, Ordering Daniel Van Landrum to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 10/17/14 DATED: VIRGINIA)HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant Accusation