BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4777

BRITTANY NICOLE ANTOGNAZZI

13320 Avenida La Vista Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83750

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is

hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on July 11, 2014.

It is so ORDERED on July 8, 2014.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

la C. Wussi

By

STAN C. WEISSER Board President

·	, ,		
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1299 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8.	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4777	
12	BRITTANY NICOLE ANTOGNAZZI 13320 Avenida La Vista	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC	
13	Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240	REPROVAL	
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83750	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
15	Respondent.		
16	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public		
17	interest and the responsibilities of the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs		
18	the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public		
19	Reproval to be submitted to the Board for approva	l as the final disposition of the Accusation.	
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant), Execu	tive Officer, Board of Pharmacy, brought this	
22	action solely in her official capacity and is represented herein by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney		
23	General of the State of California, by Joshua A. Room, Supervising Deputy Attorney General.		
24	2. Brittany Nicole Antognazzi (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding		
25	and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.		
26	3. On or about May 20, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
27	License Number TCH 83750 to Respondent. The License was in full force and effect at all times		
28	relevant to the charges in Accusation No. 4777 and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless renewed.		
	1		
		STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Case No. 4777)	

t

..

1

11 X-417-1 - 1

•			
1	JURISDICTION		
2	4. Accusation No. 4777 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
3	Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other		
4	statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 4, 2014.		
5	Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation		
6	No. 4777 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.		
7	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS		
8	5. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in		
9	Accusation No. 4777. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the effects of this		
10	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.		
11	6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a		
12	hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at		
13	her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to		
14	present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to		
15	compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration		
16	and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California		
17	Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.		
18	7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and		
19	every right set forth above.		
20	CULPABILITY		
21	8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4777, if		
22	proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacy Technician		
23	License. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation, Respondent gives up her right to contest		
24	that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.		
25	MITIGATION		
26	9. Respondent represents that the events alleged in the Accusation resulted from some		
27	difficulties in her family, and do not indicate a propensity for criminality or violence on her part.		
28			
	2		
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Case No. 4777)		

Section 11

CONTINGENCY

10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent 2 understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate 3 directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation 4 by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not 5 withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers 6 and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the 7 Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, 8 except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the 9 Board shall not be disgualified from further action by having considered this matter. 10

11 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
 12 copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including
 13 Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and
 14 effect as the originals.

15 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by
the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment
of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements,

understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated
Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified,
supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative
of each of the parties.

13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
Disciplinary Order:

25

1

26 || ///

111

27 || ///

28 ///

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83750, issued to
3	Brittany Nicole Antognazzi (Respondent), shall, by way of letter from the Board's Executive
4	Officer, be publicly reproved. The letter of reproval shall be in substantially the same form as the
5	letter attached as exhibit B to this stipulation.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall pay \$2,050.00 to the Board for its costs 7 associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter. Respondent shall be permitted 8 to pay these costs within one (1) year of the effective date of this decision by way of a payment 9 plan approved by the Board or its designee. If Respondent fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, 10 that shall constitute a cause for discipline against Respondent for unprofessional conduct pursuant 11 to Business and Professions Code section 4301. Moreover, Respondent shall not be allowed to 12 renew her Pharmacy Technician License until any overdue costs are paid in full.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I
enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily,

18 knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board.

Respondent

19

DATED:

///

111

111

///

///

111

111

13

14

1

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

6/2/2014 Dated:

SF2013405522 40967843.doc Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General

JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Case No. 4777)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4777

	,		
•		. •	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1299 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10]	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4777	
12	BRITTANY NICOLE ANTOGNAZZI 18 Virginia Gardens		
13	Berkeley, CA 94702	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83750		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES		
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On or about May 20, 2009, the Board	of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
21	License Number TCH 83750 to Brittany Nicole Antognazzi (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
22	Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein		
23	and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless renewed.		
24			
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
ĺ	1		
		Accusation	

. . .

-

.

•

ŗ

Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both 1 4. the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances 2 Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.]. 3 5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be 4 suspended or revoked. 5 6. Section 4300.1 of the Code provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or 6 suspension of a Board-issued license, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the 7 8 voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the 9 10 licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license. 11 12 STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS 7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action 13 against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but 14 not be limited to, any of the following: 15 (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties 16 of a licensee under this chapter. 17 Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or 8. 18 revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related 19 to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license. 20 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states: 21 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license 22 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 23 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 24 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a 25 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner 26consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare." 27 111 28

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6 11. On or about March 2, 2013, Beaumont (California) Police Department officer(s) were
7 called to respond to a domestic disturbance at a residence in Desert Hot Springs, California, and
8 were told by members of Respondent's family that Respondent had gotten into argument(s) with
9 one or more members of the family. Respondent's family further reported that Respondent told
10 her boyfriend, who was present and known to own a handgun, to shoot Respondent's brother, and
11 that Respondent said she and her boyfriend would come back and kill everybody in the family.
12 Both Respondent's brother and her mother signed citizen's arrest forms against Respondent.

Respondent was arrested and subsequently criminally charged for this conduct (see 12. 13 below). On or about April 21, 2013, Desert Hot Springs (California) Police Department officer(s) 14 were called to a residence in that city to respond to a report of vandalism. They discovered that 15 the tire(s) on Respondent's brother's car had been slashed, which Respondent's brother attributed 16 to Respondent. Respondent's brother said that the previous night his sister (Respondent) had said 17 he had better drop the pending charges, or "watch what happens," and had also said specifically 18 his car would be damaged/Respondent would slash his tires if he did not drop the charges. He 19 also produced a letter that he said Respondent had given him to send to the District Attorney, 20 written as if Respondent's brother were the author, saying that Respondent's brother forgave her 21 and did not want the District Attorney to pursue the charges. Respondent's brother's version of 22 23 events was corroborated by his girlfriend, who was present when Respondent made the threats, and she said that Respondent admitted to having slashed her brother's tire(s). 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

13. Respondent was arrested and subsequently charged for this conduct (see below).

- 26
- 27 || ///

111

|||

28

· · · · ·	
,	
	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	2 (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))
	14. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(1) and/or section 490 of the
2	Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of
:	5 substantially related crime(s), in that on or about May 2, 2013, in the criminal case <i>People v</i> .
(6 Brittany Antognazzi, Case No. INM1302030 in Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent
,	7 was convicted of violating Penal Code section 422 (Criminal Threats of Death or Great Bodily
	Injury), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows:
(a. On or about March 2, 2013, based on the incident(s) described in paragraph 11
10	above, Respondent was placed under arrest on charges including a suspected violation of Penal
1	Code section 422 (Criminal Threats of Death or Great Bodily Injury).
12	b. On or about March 6, 2013, Respondent was charged by criminal complaint in
1:	Case No. INM1302030 with violating Penal Code section 422 (Criminal Threats of Death or
14	4 Great Bodily Injury), a misdemeanor.
1:	c. On or about May 2, 2013, Respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of
10	6 violating Penal Code section 422 (Criminal Threats of Death or Great Bodily Injury), a
1	7 misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to a period of summary probation of three (3) years, on
1:	8 terms and conditions including 28 days in county jail, search conditions, an order not to annoy,
1	9 harass, threaten, or disturb the peace of her brother, and fines and fees.
20	
2	1 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2:	2 (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))
2:	15. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(1) and/or section 490 of the
24	4 Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of
2.	substantially related crime(s), in that on or about May 2, 2013, in the criminal case <i>People v</i> .
2	6 Brittany Antognazzi, Case No. INF1301020 in Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent was
2	7 convicted of violating Penal Code section 136.1, subdivision (a)(2) (Attempting to Prevent or
2	8 Dissuade Witness from Testifying), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows:
	4

....

a. On or about April 21, 2013, based on the incident(s) described in paragraph 12 1 above, Respondent was placed under arrest on charges including a suspected violation of Penal 2 3 Code section 136.1, subdivision (a)(2) (Attempting to Prevent/Dissuade Witness from Testifying). b. On or about April 25, 2013, Respondent was charged by criminal complaint in 4 Case No. INF1301020 with violating Penal Code section 136.1, subdivision (a)(2) (Attempting to 5 Prevent/Dissuade Witness from Testifying), a felony. 6 On or about May 2, 2013, the charge was amended to a misdemeanor and then 7 c. Respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of violating Penal Code section 136.1, subdivision 8 (a)(2) (Attempting to Prevent/Dissuade Witness from Testifying), a misdemeanor. Respondent 9 was sentenced to a period of summary probation of thirty-six (36) months, on terms and 10conditions including 28 days in county jail, 200 hours of community service, search conditions, 11 12 counseling/rehabilitation/treatment as ordered by probation, anger management counseling, an order not to annoy, harass, threaten, or disturb the peace of her brother, and fines and fees. 13 14 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 15 16 (Unprofessional Conduct) 16. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that, as 17 described in paragraph(s) 11 to 15 above, Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct. 18 19 20 21 <u>PRAYER</u> 22 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 23 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 24 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 83750, issued to 1. 25 Brittany Nicole Antognazzi (Respondent); 26 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and 27 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; 28 5

Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper. 3, 10/21/13 DATED: VIRGINIA IEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SF2013405522 40763256.doc

Exhibit B

Letter of Public Reproval in Case No. 4777

California State Board of Pharmacy 1625 N. Market Blvd, N219, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: (916) 574-7900 Fax: (916) 574-8618 www.pharmacy.ca.gov

July 8, 2014

Brittany Nicole Antognazzi 13320 Avenida La Vista Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

Re: LETTER OF PUBLIC REPROVAL In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Brittany Nicole Antognazzi, Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83750

Dear Ms. Antognazzi:

On October 21, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, filed an Accusation against your Pharmacy Technician License. The Accusation alleged that you engaged in unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (I), and/or section 490, based on your conviction(s) of substantially related crime(s): (1) a conviction for violation of Penal Code section 422 (Criminal Threats of Death or Great Bodily Injury), a misdemeanor, entered on or about May 2, 2013; and (2) a conviction for violation of Penal Code section 136.1, subdivision (a)(2) (Attempting to Prevent or Dissuade Witness from Testifying), a misdeameanor, also entered on or about May 2, 2013. Both convictions resulted from your interactions with your brother and other members of your family in or about March and April 2013.

You have represented that the incidents in question resulted from some difficulty in your family, and should not be taken to indicate a propensity to criminality or violence. It is not possible to know the truth of your explanation, but in light of your otherwise clear tenure as a pharmacy technician, the Board has decided that the charges warrant a public reproval. This letter acknowledges and memorializes your prior convictions as a basis for license discipline.

Accordingly, in resolution of this matter under the authority provided under Business and Professions Code section 495, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs issues this letter of public reproval.

Sincerely,

Oirginia Herod

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs