1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4770				
12	DEBRA KAYE SILVA				
13	9534 N. Third Street Delhi, California 91352 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
14					
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH [Gov. Code, §11520] 2475				
16	Respondent.				
17					
18					
19	FINDINGS OF FACT				
20	1. On or about February 19, 2014, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity				
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed				
22	Accusation No. 4770 against Debra Kaye Silva (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.				
23	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)				
24	2. On or about December 14, 1992, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy				
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 2475 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration				
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4770				
27.	and expired on January 31, 2014. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and				
28					
	1 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				

1	Professions Code sections 118(b) and 4300.1 does not deprive the Board of its authority to						
2	institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.						
3	3. On or about April 15, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail						
4	copies of Accusation No. 4770, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for						
5	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at						
6	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100,						
7	is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was						
8	and is:						
9	9534 N. Third Street Delhi, California 91352.						
10	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of						
11	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section						
12	124.						
13	5. The aforementioned Certified and First Class Mail documents were never returned by						
14	the U.S. Postal Service.						
15	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:						
16 17 18	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.						
19	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of						
20	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4770.						
21 22	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:						
23	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions						
24	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.						
25	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds						
26	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the						
27	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as						
28							
	2						
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER						

taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 1 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4770, finds that 2 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4770, are separately and severally, found to be true 3 and correct by clear and convincing evidence. 4 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and 5 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation 6 and Enforcement are \$4,004.00 as of May 13, 2014. 7 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 8 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Debra Kaye Silva has subjected 9 her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 2475 to discipline. 10 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 11 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician 12 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported 13 by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case: 14 Respondent violated Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 4301(1) in that a. 15 Respondent has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to her qualifications, 16 functions, and duties as a pharmacy technician. The facts and circumstances of this conviction 17 are as follows: 18 i. On or about June 21, 2013, in People of the State of California v. Debra Silva, 19 Superior Court of California, County of Merced, case no. CRL008935, Respondent pled nolo 20 contendere to: (1) a felony charge of embezzlement (a violation of Penal Code section 508); and 21 (2) a felony charge of grand theft (a violation of Penal Code section 487(b)(3)). The facts and 22circumstances of these convictions are as follows: 23 On or about September 12, 2012, during an internal investigation into Α. 24 missing hydrocodone pills at a Wal-Mart pharmacy in Los Banos, California, Respondent 25 admitted that since March 9, 2012, she had regularly stolen large amounts of hydrocodone pills 26 from the pharmacy. Wal-Mart's internal investigation included the use of closed circuit security 27cameras which revealed that: (1) on or about August 27, 2012, Respondent took 100 28 3

hydrocodone pills out of the container and placed them in her pocket, and Respondent took a 1 bottle of 500 hydrocodone pills and placed it in her apron before returning the empty bottles on 2 the shelf; and (2) on or about August 30, 2012, Respondent dumped several pills out of her hand 3 into her apron pocket, and took another 100 hydrocodone pills and placed them in her apron 4 pocket before disposing of the bottle. Wal-Mart's internal investigation also revealed that 5 according to their computer system's log of missing pills, Respondent had stolen 3,942 6 hydrocodone pills, at an in-store price of \$4.00 each, for a total value of \$15,768.00. Following 7 Wal-Mart's internal investigation, the Los Banos police department was contacted, and after 8 completing their own investigation, determined that Respondent stole 3,942 hydrocodone pills 9 and an unknown number of phentermine prescription pills while employed at Wal-Mart. 10 Respondent was arrested and subsequently charged with embezzlement and grand theft. 11

b. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code sections 475(a)(3) and 4301(f) in
that from on or about March 19 through September 12, 2012, Respondent committed acts
involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself. The facts
and circumstances are set forth in more particularity in paragraph 3(a)(i)(A) and as follows:

During a January 15, 2013 interview with a Board investigator, Respondent
 admitted to stealing approximately 200 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg and an
 unknown quantity of phentermine from Wal-Mart by pouring the loose pills from the stock
 container and into the pocket of her smock. Respondent admitted she did not have a valid
 prescription for phentermine. Respondent stated that all stolen drugs were for self-use.

c. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code sections 4060 and 4301(j), and
section 11350(a) of the California Health and Safety Code, in that Respondent violated section
4060 of the Business and Professions Code, and California Health and Safety Code sections
11350(a) and 11377(a), by illegally possessing a controlled substance. The facts and
circumstances are set forth in more particularity in paragraphs 3(a) and (b), and their subparts.
Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 4301(h), and title 21 of

26d.Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 4301(h), and title 21 of27the United States Code, section 829(a), in that Respondent unlawfully self-administered

28

4

controlled substances. The facts and circumstances are set forth in more particularity in paragraph 3(b) and as follows:

i. On or about September 12, 2012, after Wal-Mart's internal investigation 3 verified that Respondent had been stealing hydrocodone, Respondent authored and signed a 4 statement that she stole pain medication from Wal-Mart because she was desperate and could not 5 afford to have her pain medication prescriptions filled. Additionally, during a January 15, 2013 6 interview with a Board investigator, Respondent admitted that after stealing phentermine from 7 Wal-Mart, she would use one tablet and discard the remaining tablets in the toilet while at work. 8 Respondent further admitted that sometimes she needed more hydrocodone than what she was 9 prescribed. 10

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 2475, heretofore
 issued to Respondent Debra Kaye Silva, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
This Decision shall become effective on July 9, 2014.

It is so ORDERED June 9, 2014.

1

2

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11318187.DOC SA2013111942

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Jusi Bv

STAN C. WEISSER Board President

5

Exhibit A

	1	
•		
ъ. Т		· · · · ·
		·
1	KANGALA D. HADDIS	
- 1		
2	KENT D. HARRIS	
3	PHILLIP L. ARTHUR	•
	Deputy Attorney General	
4	1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032	
	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
/	E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov	
8		
0		
1	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4770
	DEBRA KAYE SILVA	
13		ACCUSATION
14		ACCOSATION
15		
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
	Complainant alleges:	
19	PAR	TIES
20	н	1
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity
1	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2 On or about December 14, 1992 the	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23 ·		
74	Registration Number TCH 2475 to Debra Kaye S	Silva (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
	Registration was in full force and effect at all tim	nes relevant to the charges brought herein and
25	will expire on January 31, 2014, unless renewed	
26.		
27	///	
	111	. [
28		· ·
		1 .
. I		Accusation
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339 13001 Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 9424-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFOI BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C O State Sacramento, CA 9424-2550 Telephone: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFOI BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF CONTRUE State Sacramento, CA 9424-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 322-8643 BEFOI BOARD OF DEBRA KAYE SILVA 9534 N. Third Street Dehli, California 91352 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 2475 Complainant alleges: 19

,

I	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
6	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked"
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
8	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license
10	on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board
11.	of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary
12	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."
13	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
14	6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
15	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related
16	to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was
17	issued.
18	7. Section 4022 of the Code states:
19	"'Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in
20	humans or animals, and includes the following:
21	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without
22	prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
23	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale
24	by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in
25	with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
26	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on
27	prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
28	
	2
ļ	Accusation

8. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052...."

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

10 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
11 conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
12 Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

13

14

15

16

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

17 || .

٥, . .

". . *.*

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
practice authorized by the license.

23

24

25

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

26 27

28

"(*l*) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13

3

(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 1 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 2 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 3 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred, 4 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 5 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or 6 dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 7 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 8 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 9 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 10 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 11 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 12 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 13 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 14 indictment. 15 н.... 16 (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the 17 violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable 18 federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by 19 the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency. . . ." 20HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE 21 California Health and Safety Code section 11056 states, in pertinent part: 10. 22 "(a) The controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule III. 23 ¥4 24 "(e) Narcotic drugs. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any 25

material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any of the following narcotic drugs, or their salts calculated as the free anhydrous base or alkaloid, in limited quantities as set forth below:

4

(4) Not more than 300 milligrams of dihydrocodeinone per 100 millilitersor not more than 15 milligrams per dosage unit, with one or more active nonnarcotic ingredients in recognized therapeutic amounts. Additionally, oral liquid preparations of dihydrocodeinone containing the above specified amounts may not contain as its nonnarcotic ingredients two or more antihistamines in combination with each other...."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

11. California Health and Safety Code section 11350 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses . . . (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III. IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code. . . ."

13

21

22

12. California Health and Safety Code section 11377 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) Except as authorized by law and as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) or Section
11375, or in Article 7 (commencing with Section 4211) of Chapter 9 of Division 2 of the
Business and Professions Code, every person who possesses any controlled substance which is (1)
classified in Schedule III, FV, or V, and which is not a narcotic drug . . . unless upon the
prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state,
shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or
pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code. . . ."

REGULATIONS

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

5

14. Title 21 of the United States Code, section 829 states, in pertinent part:

"(b) Schedule III and IV substances. Except when dispensed directly by a practitioner, other than a pharmacist, to an ultimate user, no controlled substance in schedule III or IV, which is a prescription drug as determined under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act [21 USCS §§ 301 et seq.], may be dispensed without a written or oral prescription in conformity with section 503(b) of that Act [21 USCS § 353(b)]"

COST RECOVERY

Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 15. administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

12

13

14

15

16

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to Qualifications, Functions, and Duties of Licensee)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(*l*) of the Code in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to her qualifications, functions, and duties as a pharmacy technician. The facts and circumstances of this conviction are as follows: 18

On or about June 21, 2013, in People of the State of California v. Debra Silva, 17. 19 Superior Court of California, County of Merced, case no. CRL008935, Respondent pled noio 20 contendere to: (1) a felony charge of embezzlement (a violation of Penal Code section 508); and 21 (2) a felony charge of grand theft (a violation of Penal Code section 487(b)(3)). The facts and 22 circumstances of these convictions are as follows: 23

On or about September 12, 2012, during an internal investigation into missing 24 a. hydrocodone pills at a Wal-Mart pharmacy in Los Banos, California, Respondent admitted that 25 since March 9, 2012, she had regularly stolen large amounts of hydrocodone pills from the 2Ġ pharmacy. Wal-Mart's internal investigation included the use of closed circuit security cameras 27 which revealed that: (1) on or about August 27; 2012, Respondent took 100 hydrocodone pills 28

6

·	
1	out of the container and placed them in her pocket, and Respondent took a bottle of 500
2	hydrocodone pills and placed it in her apron before returning the empty bottles on the shelf; and
· 3	(2) on or about August 30, 2012, Respondent dumped several pills out of her hand into her apron
4	pocket, and took another 100 hydrocodone pills and placed them in her apron pocket before
5	disposing of the bottle. Wal-Mart's internal investigation also revealed that according to their
б	computer system's log of missing pills, Respondent had stolen 3,942 hydrocodone pills, at an in-
7	store price of \$4.00 each, for a total value of \$15,768.00. Following Wal-Mart's internal
8	investigation, the Los Banos police department was contacted, and after completing their own
· 9	investigation, determined that Respondent stole 3,942 hydrocodone pills and an unknown number
10	of phentermine prescription pills while employed at Wal-Mart. Respondent was arrested and
11	subsequently charged with embezzlement and grand theft.
12	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Corrupt Acts)
14	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 475(a)(3) and 4301(f) of
. 15	the Code in that from on or about March 19 through September 12, 2012, Respondent committed
16	acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself. The
17	facts and circumstances are set forth in more particularity in paragraph 17(a) and as follows:
18	a. During a January 15, 2013 interview with a Board investigator, Respondent admitted
19	to stealing approximately 200 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg and an unknown
20	quantity of phentermine from Wal-Mart by pouring the loose pills from the stock container and
21	into the pocket of her smock. Respondent admitted she did not have a valid prescription for
. 22	phentermine. Respondent stated that all stolen drugs were for self-use.
23	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances)
25	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4060 and 4301(j) and (o)
26	of the Code, and section 11350(a) of the California Health and Safety Code, in that she violated
27	section 4060 of the Code, and California Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) and 11377(a)
28	

	Accusation
. 20	8
27	
26 27	
25 26	
•	///
23 24	125.3; and
22	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
21	2. Ordering Debra Kaye Silva to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
20	
20	issued to Debra Kaye Silva;
18	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 2475,
17	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
15	PRAYER
14 15	further admitted that sometimes she needed more hydrocodone than what she was prescribed.
	would use one tablet and discard the remaining tablets in the toilet while at work. Respondent
12	Board investigator, Respondent admitted that after stealing phentermine from Wal-Mart, she
11	pain medication prescriptions filled. Additionally, during a January 15, 2013 interview with a
	stole pain medication from Wal-Mart because she was desperate and could not afford to have her
10	Respondent had been stealing hydrocodone, Respondent authored and signed a statement that she
8 9	a. On or about September 12, 2012, after Wal-Mart's internal investigation verified that
. /	18(a) and as follows:
6 7	controlled substances. The facts and circumstances are set forth in more particularity in paragraph
5	title 21 of the United States Code, section 829(a), in that she unlawfully self-administered
4	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code, and
3	(Unlawful Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)
2	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
a .	particularity in paragraphs 17 and 18, and their subparts.

ı

.

447 13 (1998)

