

California State Board of Pharmacy

1625 N. Market Blvd, N219, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: (916) 574-7900 Fax: (916) 574-8618 www.pharmacy.ca.gov BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

APPLICATION FOR VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF PHARMACIST / INTERN LICENSE

| PLEASE PRINT IN BLACK OR BLUE INK OR TYPE YOUR RESPONSES | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Name: TOSEPH D'ANGELO | Case No. | AC | 4614 | 2017 |
| Address of Record: | | | | 4 6 |
| 321 N- CITEUS AVE. | | | | JAN 23 |
| COVINA. (A 91723 | | | | ယ |
| TOTAL CA 1.123 | | | | |
| | | | | Ö |
| Pursuant to the terms and conditions of my probation with the Ca | alifomia Sta | rte Board | of Pharmac | у (Во <u>́а</u> јф) |
| in Case No. A 7019, I hereby request t | o surrendei | my licen | se, | |
| License No. CH 2288 The Board or i | its designee | shall hav | e the discre | etion |
| whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other active | on it deems | appropri | ate and reas | sonable. |
| Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, I will no | | | | |
| conditions of probation. I understand that this surrender constitu | | | | |
| become a part of my license history with the Board. | | - 01 GOOR | Jillo alla 311 | an |
| a part of the state of the stat | | | | |
| Upon the acceptance of the surrender, I shall relinquish my pock | cet and wall | license te | the Board | within |
| ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is ac | | | | |
| | | | - | |
| reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from th | | | | |
| further understand that I shall meet all requirements applicable to | | | | te the |
| application for that license is submitted to the Board, including a | ny outstand | ing costs. | • | |
| | | | | |
| PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT YOU ARE NOT RELIEVED OF TH PROBATION UNLESS THE BOARD NOTIFIES YOU THAT YOU | HE REQUIR | EMENTS | OF YOUR | |
| LICENSE HAS BEEN ACCEPTED. | טת תבעטב | 31 103 | OKKENDE | Y YOUR |
|) | 1 | | | |
| Mel Dangels, (ATORNEY DU FACT) | - | 23- | 17 | |
| Applicant's Signature | Date | | | |
| | / | / | . — | |
| U. Herry | / / /: | 23/ | 17 | |
| Executive Officer's Approval | Date | 7 | <u>-</u> | |

All items on this application are mandatory in accordance with your probationary order and the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines as authorized by Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1760, Failure to provide any of the requested information or providing unreadable information will result in the application being rejected as incomplete. The information provided on this form will be used to determine eligibility for surrender. The official responsible for information maintenance is the Executive Officer, telephone (916) 574-7900, 1625 N. Market Bivd., Suite N-219, Sacramento, CA 95834. The information you provide may also be disclosed in the following circumstances: (1) in response to a Public Records Act request; (2) to another government agency as required by state or federal law; or, (3) in response to a court or administrative order, a subpoeme, or a search warrant. Each individual has the right to review the files or records maintained on them by our agency, unless the records are identified as confidential information and exempted by Section 1798.40 of the Civil Code.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4614

GLESENER PHARMACY 321 n. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO ONLY

JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO 321 N. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. RPH 22883

ANTONY M. BRADLEY
321 N. Citrus street
Covina, CA 91723
Pharmacist License No. RPH 36740

and

DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN 22702 Eaglespur Road Diamond Bar, CA 91765 Pharmacist License No. RPH 40244

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is here by adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on December 9, 2014.

It is so ORDERED on December 3, 2014.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

& C. Wussi

By

STAN C. WEISSER, Board President

|] | , | • |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Kamala D. Harris | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | |
| | CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General | |
| 4 | State Bar No. 195663 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 | |
| 5 | Los Angeles, CA 90013 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | |
| 7 | E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov | |
| | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | | RE THE PHARMACY |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF O | CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | STATE OF | ALIFORNIA |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4614 |
| 12 | GLESENER PHARMACY | OAH No. 2013110777 |
| 13 | 321 N. Citrus Street | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND |
| 14 | Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665 | DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO ONLY |
| 15 | JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO | |
| 16 | 321 N. Citrus Street | |
| 17 | Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. 22883 | |
| 18 | ANTONY M. BRADLEY | |
| 10 | 321 N. Citrus Street | |
| 19 | Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. 36740 | |
| 20 | Fharmacist License No. 30/40 | |
| 21 | and | |
| 22 | DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN | |
| 23 | 22702 Eaglespur Road | |
| i | Diamond Bar, CA 91765 Pharmacist License No. 40244 | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Respondents. | |
| 26 | *** | |
| 27 | • | |
| 20 | | |

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cristina Felix, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent Joseph L. D'Angelo ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding by attorney Richard A. Moss, Esq., whose address is: Law Offices of Richard A. Moss, 255 South Marengo Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91101.
- 3. On or about April 8, 1963, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 22883 to Joseph L. D'Angelo. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2014, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 4614 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 18, 2013. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 4614 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4614. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- ///
- 27 | ///

- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits that at hearing Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4614, and that those charges and allegations are cause for discipline. Respondent hereby give up his right to contest those charges and allegations.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 22883 issued to Respondent Joseph L. D'Angelo is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a, conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
 for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 4614 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor,

pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 4614, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 4614 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he has read the decision in case number 4614 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, parttime, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board

nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$5,000.00 within one year of the effective date of this order. Respondent shall make payment by cashier check or money order payable to "Board of Pharmacy." Payment shall be mailed to Board of Pharmacy, 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N219, Sacramento, CA 95834-1924 and indicate the citation number for which payment is being made.

Respondent further agrees that he will be individually, and jointly and severally, liable with Respondents Antony M. Bradley and Glesener Pharmacy for payment in full of the board's costs of investigation and prosecution totaling \$15,000.00, with regard to Accusation No. 4614, within one year of the effective date of this order.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

12. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

13. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

14. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that

was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

16. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least forty eight (48) hours per year for the first two years of probation. Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, respondent shall submit documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Remedial Education

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to drug security, and maintaining accountability of pharmacy operations. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least twenty (20) hours, which shall be completed within two years at respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board,

is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If the respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

18. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, respondent shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the board. Upon and after the effective date of this decision, respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the board or its designee, either:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall have his
supervisor submit notification to the board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the
decision in case number 4614 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined
by the board or its designee. It shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that his
employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the
board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely
acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent changes employment, it shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board. Respondent shall have his new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the board in writing stating the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the decision in case number 4614 and is familiar with the level of

supervision as determined by the board. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until the board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, respondent shall notify the board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which he holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. No New Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not acquire any new ownership, legal or beneficial interest nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any additional business, firm, partnership, or corporation licensed by the board. If respondent currently owns or has any legal or beneficial interest in, or serves as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board, respondent may continue to serve in such capacity or hold

.17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

///

///

///

///

///

25

26

27

28

that interest, but only to the extent of that position or interest as of the effective date of this decision. Violation of this restriction shall be considered a violation of probation.

Consultant for Owner or Pharmacist-In-Charge

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist or serve as a consultant to any entity licensed by the board. Respondent may be a pharmacist-incharge. However, if during the period of probation respondent serves as a pharmacist-in-charge, respondent shall retain an independent consultant at his or her own expense who shall be responsible for reviewing pharmacy operations on a monthly basis for the first three (3) years of probation and quarterly for the last two (2) years of probation, for compliance by respondent with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy and for compliance by respondent with the obligations of a pharmacist-in-charge. The consultant shall be a pharmacist licensed by and not on probation with the board and whose name shall be submitted to the board or its designee, for prior approval, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall not be a pharmacist-in-charge at more than one pharmacy or at any pharmacy of which he or she is not the sole owner. Failure to timely retain, seek approval of, or ensure timely reporting by the consultant shall be considered a violation of probation.

Ethics Course 21.

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 2 discussed it with my attorney, Richard A. Moss, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it 3 will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 4 Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order 5 of the Board of Pharmacy. 6 7 8 9 Respondent 10 I have read and fully discussed with Joseph L. D'Angelo the terms and conditions and other 11 matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form 12 and content. 13 6/4/14 DATED: 14 Richard A. Moss, Esq. Attorney for Respondent 15 16 ENDORSEMENT 17 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 18 submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. 19 Respectfully submitted, Dated: 20 Kamala D. Harris 21 Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE 22 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 23 24 Cristina Felix Deputy Attorney General 25 Attorneys for Complainant 26 27 LA2013509380 51519758.docx 28 14 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO ONLY (Case No. 4614)

| 1 | ACCEPTANCE | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully | | |
| 3 | discussed it with my attorney, Richard A. Moss, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it | | |
| 4 | will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary | | |
| 5 | Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order | | |
| 6 | of the Board of Pharmacy. | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | DATED: | | |
| 9 | JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO Respondent | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | I have read and fully discussed with Joseph L. D'Angelo the terms and conditions and other | | |
| 12 | matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form | | |
| 13 | and content. | | |
| 14 | DATED: Richard A. Moss, Esq. | | |
| 15 | Attorney for Respondent | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | ENDORSEMENT | | |
| 18 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | | |
| 19 | submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. | | |
| 20 | Dated: 06 05 2014 Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 21 | Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California | | |
| 22 | GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | |
| 23 | MONT | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant | | |

LA2013509380 51519758.docx

28

26

27

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4614

| 1 | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Kamala D. Harris | |
| | Attorney General of California | |
| 2 ' | GREGORY J. SALUTE | • |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 | |
| | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2455 | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | | RE THE PHARMACY |
| | DEPARTMENT OF C | ONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 9 | STATE OF C | CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4614 |
| 12 | GLESENER PHARMACY | |
| 13 | 321 N. Citrus Street | ACCUSATION |
| İ | Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665 | |
| 14 | TOGETHER DIAMONT OF | |
| 15 | JOSEPH D'ANGELO 321 N. Citrus Street | |
| 16 | Covina, CA 91723 | |
| | Pharmacist No. 22883 | |
| 17 | ANTONY M. BRADLEY | |
| 18 | 321 N. Citrus Street | |
| 19 | Covina, CA 91723 | |
| ĺ | Pharmacist No. 36740 | |
| 20 | and | |
| 21 | TOTAL AND TAXALIBRATE | |
| 22 | DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN 22702 Eaglespur Road | |
| 23 | Diamond Bar, CA 91765 | |
| 24 | Pharmacist No. 40244 | |
| | Respondents. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | · | · |
| | | 1 |
| J | ' , | Ţ |

Complainant alleges:

<u>PARTIES</u>

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about July 9, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 45665 to Glesener Pharmacy. The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2014, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 8, 1963, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 22883 to Joseph D'Angelo (Respondent D'Angelo). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2014, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about October 19, 1981, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 36740 to Anthony M. Bradley (Respondent Bradley). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2015, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about August 21, 1986, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 40244 to Douglas Jay Austin (Respondent Austin). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12. Section 4051 of the Code states:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, it is unlawful for any person to manufacture, compound, furnish, sell, or dispense any dangerous drug or dangerous device, or to dispense or compound any prescription pursuant to Section 4040 of a prescriber unless he or she is a pharmacist under this chapter.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other law, a pharmacist may authorize the initiation of a prescription, pursuant to Section 4052, and otherwise provide clinical advice or information or patient consultation if all of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The clinical advice or information or patient consultation is provided to a health care professional or to a patient.
- (2) The pharmacist has access to prescription, patient profile, or other relevant medical information for purposes of patient and clinical consultation and advice.
- (3) Access to the information described in paragraph (2) is secure from unauthorized access and use."
- 13. Section 4077 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c), of this section, no person shall dispense any dangerous drug upon prescription except in a container correctly labeled with the information required by Section 4076.

14. Section 4081 of the Code states:

- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate.

premises are open for business, be able to produce a hard copy and electronic copy of all records of acquisition or disposition or other drug or dispensing-related records maintained electronically.

- (e)(1) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the board, may upon written request, grant to a licensee a waiver of the requirements that the records described in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c) be kept on the licensed premises.
- (2) A waiver granted pursuant to this subdivision shall not affect the board's authority under this section or any other provision of this chapter.

STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

- 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, states:
- (a) All pharmacies (except hospital inpatient pharmacies as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4029 which solely or predominantly furnish drugs to inpatients of the hospital) shall contain an area which is suitable for confidential patient counseling.
- (b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy.
- (c) The pharmacy and fixtures and equipment shall be maintained in a clean and orderly condition. The pharmacy shall be dry, well-ventilated, free from rodents and insects, and properly lighted. The pharmacy shall be equipped with a sink with hot and cold running water for pharmaceutical purposes.
- (d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist.
- (e) The pharmacy owner, the building owner or manager, or a family member of a pharmacist owner (but not more than one of the aforementioned) may possess a key to the pharmacy that is maintained in a tamper evident container for the purpose of 1) delivering the key to a pharmacist or 2) providing access in case of emergency. An emergency would include fire, flood or earthquake. The signature of the pharmacist-in-charge shall be present in such a way that the pharmacist may readily determine whether the key has been removed from the container.
- (f) The board shall require an applicant for a licensed premise or for renewal of that license to certify that it meets the requirements of this section at the time of licensure or renewal.
- (g) A pharmacy shall maintain a readily accessible restroom. The restroom shall contain a toilet and washbasin supplied with running water.

COSTS

23. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

24. Oxycontin, a brand name formation of oxycodone hydrochloride, is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to morphine. OxyContin is for use in opioid tolerant patients only. It is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTS

Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent D'Angelo,

- 25. Respondent Glesner Parmacy fills approximately 2200 prescriptions per week. In addition to filling outpatient prescriptions, the pharmacy contracts with approximately 45 board and care homes, dialysis centers and the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health. Medications filled for the board and care homes are delivered by employees of Glesener Pharmacy.
- 26. Respondent Bradley and Respondent D'Angelo are each 50% owners of Respondent Glesener Pharmacy. Usually four pharmacists work Monday through Friday and one on Saturday. Each pharmacist is assigned a designated area and task within the pharmacy.
- 27. Respondent Bradley oversaw the sales of durable medical equipment in the front end of the pharmacy and oversaw the overall operations of the pharmacy.
- 28. Respondent D'Angelo oversaw the paperwork, including drug purchase and delivery, and human resources.

|| ///

27 | ///

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Pharmacy Technicians JH, CR and XC

- 29. Pharmacist Technician JH¹ worked at Glesener Pharmacy from 2008 until she resigned on November 10, 2011. She worked in the dispensing area of the pharmacy where the Schedule II controlled drugs were kept.
- Pharmacist Technician CR began working at Glesener Pharmacy in 2001 and assisted with durable equipment. CR became a licensed technician in 2010. In or about March of 2011, she began working in the dispensing area of the pharmacy where the Schedule II controlled drugs were kept.
- 31. Pharmacist Technician XC worked for Glesener Pharmacy for approximately 14 years and was assigned to data entry. Pharmacy Technician TH also worked for Glesener Pharmacy in 2011.

Operational Standards and Security

Respondent Austin and the CSOS System Password

Respondent Austin worked as a pharmacist at Glesener Pharmacy from 2005 until November 14, 2011, when he was terminated as a result of missing medication from the pharmacy. Respondent Austin was responsible for ordering Schedule II controlled drugs. He ordered drugs under the Drug Enforcement Administration's (DEA) Controlled Substance Ordering System (CSOS) program² through the use of his CSOS password, also known as a private key. Respondent Austin also checked in and signed the delivery of the Schedule II controlled drugs. Respondent Austin was assigned to fill and dispense outpatient prescriptions

¹ Initials are used to protect the identity of individuals. Identities will be disclosed during

This system allows for secure electronic ordering of controlled substances through a protected CSOS password. A CSOS Certificate is a digital identity issued by the DEA's CSOS Certification Authority that allows for electronic ordering for Schedule I and II (as well as III-V) controlled substances. Each CSOS certificate is issued to only one individual person. This person, called a CSOS Subscriber, is an individual who enrolled in the CSOS program with the DEA and whose name appears in the digital certificate. A digital signature using a CSOS certificate is required when submitting an electronic order for controlled substances. Only the individual subscriber whose name appears in the certificate is authorized to perform this digital signature. While the paper DEA Form-222 ordering process is still allowed, CSOS is the only method for ordering Schedule I and II controlled substances electronically.

25·

Monday through Friday, 40 hours per week, with one hour lunch break. Respondent Austin maintained a personal monthly log that documented what Schedule II controlled drugs were dispensed. He maintained this record at home but it was not maintained accurately each month. However, he was aware that the pharmacy dispensed an average of 300 pills per month of Oxycontin 80 mg.

- 33. Respondent Austin's CSOS password was not secure and was located in a folder, with instructions how to order drugs, accessible to others in the pharmacy. Anyone could log into his account and electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs for the pharmacy. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin failed to maintain records for the CSOS electronic orders.
- 34. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin knowingly allowed pharmacy staff to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs, including Pharmacist Technicians XC and TH. From September 7, 2011 through September 23, 2011, Respondent Austin was on vacation and not at the pharmacy. Respondent Austin's password was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Pharmacist Technician XC placed drug orders in Respondent Austin's absence.

Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs

delivered to the pharmacy by AmerisourceBergen's delivery driver into the back room of the pharmacy. Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley or Respondent Austin would sign for the drug delivery and then the drugs were "stickered." Schedule II controlled drugs were placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing cards for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing

them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack.

36. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained Schedule II controlled drugs were kept in the locked drawer, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy.

Loss of Controlled Substances

- 37. In early October of 2011, Respondent D'Angelo was considering changing the wholesaler from whom they purchased drugs and, therefore, examined the recent Schedule II controlled drug purchases. He noticed an escalation in purchases of Schedule II controlled drugs and advised Respondent Bradley of same.
- 38. Respondent Bradley then noticed a larger amount of Oxycodone was ordered by the pharmacy. He held a staff meeting and reminded staff that they should not accept any prescriptions for Oxycodone and Oxycontin from customers outside the immediate area. He also instructed staff to check to see if the prescribing physician had any disciplinary actions prior to accepting the prescription.
- 39. On November 7, 2011, Respondent Austin approached Respondent Bradley and stated that he ordered three bottles of Oxycontin 80 mg on November 4, 1011 and two bottles were missing. There were no prescriptions for Oxycontin 80 mg dispensed. Respondent Bradley reviewed the Schedule II controlled drug delivery receipt and noticed Respondent Austin had failed to mention he had also ordered 4 bottles of Oxycodone 10 mg and 4 bottles of Oxycodone 30 mg.
- 40. A review of 8 months of purchase, usage and inventory showed a loss of Schedule II controlled drugs for a six month period beginning in May 1, 2011 to November 2011. An analysis of the Schedule II controlled drug invoices revealed the pharmacy was missing tablets of Oxycodone and Oxycontin.

15_.

20°

///

41. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin, while working at Glesener Pharmacy, was aware that the pharmacy was ordering excessive amounts of Oxycontin 80 mg tablets without reason. Further, while he was responsible for ordering the Schedule II controlled substances, 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for and he could not provide disposition records for that medication.

- 42. On November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin was terminated from his employment with Respondent Glesener Pharmacy.
- 43. On or about the November 15, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo, as partner of Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, filed a U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances. The report stated that Respondent Austin, using his CSOS password, purchased "all" Schedule II controlled drugs. The report stated that, Respondent Austin, when confronted by Respondent D'Angelo, admitted to purchasing several times more Oxycodone than was going to be dispensed. The report also stated that Respondent Austin's purchases included the following, for example, for Oxycontin 80 mg:

| <u>Month</u> | Usage | Purchased |
|----------------|-------|-----------|
| June 2011 | 300 | 800 |
| July 2011 | 300 | 1300 |
| August 2011 | 300 | 1600 |
| September 2011 | 300 | 1900 |
| November 2011 | 300 | 1600 |

| Type of Drugs Missing | Amount of Drug |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Oxycodone 30 mg tablets | 2806 tablets |
| Oxycontin 60 mg tablets | 2950 tablets |
| Oxycontin 80 mg tablets | 5766 tablets |

- 45. The report also stated that a theft report had been made with the Covina Police Department.
- 46. A further review of the purchase records of Amerisource Bergen, the dispensing records, and Respondent Glesener Pharmacy's annual inventory, indicates that the actual loss of controlled substance is as follows:

| Type of Drugs Missing | Amount of Drug |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Oxycodone 30 mg tablets | 7520 tablets |
| Oxycontin 60 mg tablets | 3450 tablets |
| Oxycontin 80 mg tablets | 7200 tablets |

- 47. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, Respondent D'Angelo, from May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, did not maintain disposition records for and could not account for these missing drugs.
- 48. On November 23, 2011, the Board received a copy of the report filed with the DEA by Respondent D'Angelo.
- 49. When questioned by a Board Inspector regarding why he ordered large amounts of three different strengths of Oxycodone days apart, Respondent Austin replied "I don't know." When asked why he ordered an average of 1300 tablets of Oxycotin a month, after he confirmed that the pharmacy dispensed an average of 300 Oxycontin 80 mg tablets per month, Respondent Austin again replied "I don't know."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security- Pharmacy

(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy)

- 50. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy is subject to discipline under section 4301, subsection (o) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subsection (b), for failure to maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy could not account for the following drugs: 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Schedule II controlled drugs were placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing card for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained Schedule II controlled drugs, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 35 through 36 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

27 | ///

28 | ///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security-Pharmacists

(Against Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin)

- 51. Respondent D' Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d), for failure to maintain the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices and to ensure that possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored is restricted to pharmacists. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley failed to maintain the security of the prescription department and allowed and/or were aware the pharmacy was ordering excessive amounts of Schedule II controlled drugs without reason. A total of 7,200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7,520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley failed to maintain the security of the prescription department and allowed Schedule II controlled drugs to be placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing card for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained all Schedule II controlled drugs, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the

day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 35 through 36 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

- c. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to order Schedule II controlled drugs electronically using a CSOS password/account assigned to Respondent Austin. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- d. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin knowingly left his CSOS password to electronically order Schedule II controlled rugs available for any staff to access. Using his encrypted password, 1,200 Oxycontin 80 mg tablets were ordered by someone other than himself between September 15, 2011 and September 23, 2011 and could not be accounted for. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- e. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011 while working at Respondent Glesener Pharmacy and Respondent Austin ordered an excessive amount of Oxycontin 80 mg tablets, although he was aware the pharmacy dispensed on average 300 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg per month, and could not explain why. 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Dangerous Drugs
(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley)

52. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows:

a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent D' Angelo, did not maintain disposition records for 7,200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7,520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 40 through 47 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain Records for CSOS Electronic Orders

Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley

and Respondent Austin)

- 53. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code and under Code of Federal Regulations section 1311.60, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct in that they violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when they failed to maintain records of CSOS electronic orders and any linked orders for two years. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin failed to maintain records of CSOS electronic orders as required by law. They knowingly allowed pharmacy staff to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. The password was located in a folder accessible by all staff and was used on at least September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

∦ ///

///

26 |

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Prevent Unauthorized Use of CSOS Password

(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and

Respondent Austin)

- 54. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and under Code of Federal Regulations section 1311.30, subdivision (c), for unprofessional conduct in that they violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when they failed to prevent unauthorized use of CSOS password for digitally signing orders. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley encouraged Respondent Austin to provide his CSOS password to the pharmacy staff so they could order Schedule II controlled drugs when he was not present. The private password assigned to Respondent Austin was located in a folder accessible by all staff and was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin failed to prevent the unauthorized use of his CSOS password. He willingly left his CSOS password in a folder for any staff to access in his absence and it was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by someone other than himself. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 || ///

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty

(Against Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin)

55. Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (p), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that they were dishonest and knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to represent Respondent Austin and use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs from a drug wholesaler. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Falsely Representing Facts (Against Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley)

56. Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (g), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that they knowingly made or signed a certificate or other document that falsely represented the existence of the fact that only Respondent Austin used his CSOS password to order all Schedule II controlled drugs, when they knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs from a drug wholesaler. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, and 43, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

| ///

3 || ///

4 || ///

5 || ///

6 || ///

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Report Loss, Theft or Compromise of Private Key or

Password to Certification Authority Within 24 Hours

(Against Respondent Austin)

57. Respondent Austin is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and under Code of Federal regulations 1311.30, subdivision (e), for unprofessional conduct in that he violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when he failed to report the loss, theft or compromise of his CSOS password within 24 hours of the loss, theft or compromise to the Drug Enforcement Administration's Certification Authority³. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin, while working at Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, knew his CSOS password had been used by staff other than himself, including on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011, and did not report the compromise to the Drug Enforcement Administration's Certification Authority. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License Number PHY 45665, issued to GLESENER PHARMACY;
 - 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number 22883, issued to JOSEPH D'ANGELO;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number 36740, issued to ANTONY M. BRADLEY;

25 || ///

As set forth above, the CSOS Certification Authority is operated by the DEA and issues CSOS Certificates for the electronic ordering of controlled substances.