BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4394

JOHN WILLIAM KELLEY

1392 Arthur Avenue Riverside, CA 92501

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 10443

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on May 8, 2013.

It is so ORDERED on April 8, 2013.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

G (. Wussi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1	Kamala D. Harris	•	
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607		
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2143		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
. 8		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4394	
13	JOHN WILLIAM KELLEY	OAH No. 2013010967	
14	4314 University Avenue #4 Riverside, CA 92501	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH		
16	10443		
17.	Respondent.		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this		
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
23	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of Califo	ornia, by Nicole R. Trama, Deputy Attorney	
24	General.		
25	2. John William Kelley (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and ha		
26	chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.		
27	3. On or about November 8, 1993, the I	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
28	Registration No. TCH 10443 to John William Kelley (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		

Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4394 and expired on December 31, 2012.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 4394 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 28, 2012. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 4394 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4394. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 4394, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 10443 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 10443, issued to Respondent John William Kelley, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 4394 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 5. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the Board, including, but not limited to certification by a nationally recognized body prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,057.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 7. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 4394 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 8. Respondent shall not apply for licensure or petition for reinstatement for three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order.

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the 2 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this 3 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to 4 be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. 5 6 7 8 Respondent 9 **ENDORSEMENT** 10 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted 11 for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 12 13 Dated: Respectfully submitted, 14 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 15 JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General 16 17 NICOLE R. TRAMA 18 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 19 20 21 SD2012704042 70685955.doc 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4394

	·	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA	
	Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 263607 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
. 5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	Case No. 4394	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
13	JOHN WILLIAM KELLEY	
14	1392 Arthur Avenue Riverside, CA 92501 A C C U S A T I O N	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
16	10443	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about November 8, 1993, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 10443 to John William Kelley (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein and will expire on December 31, 2012, unless renewed.	
27		
28.		
,	1	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

• • •

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 0. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(November 30, 2011 Criminal Conviction for DUI on August 30, 2011)

13. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On or about November 30, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. John William Kelley*, Riverside Superior Court, case number RIM1116157, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence, and Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or more, both misdemeanors. Respondent also admitted and the Court found true an enhancement under Vehicle Code section 23578, for having a blood content of .15 percent or more.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 30, 2011 at approximately 6:05 p.m. an officer from the Riverside Police Department was dispatched to a hit and run traffic collision in Riverside. The witness who reported the incident to police informed the officer that while she was traveling southbound on Magnolia Avenue, she witnessed two vehicles collide at the intersection of School Circle and Magnolia. After the collision, the witness observed one of the vehicles leave the scene. The witness followed that vehicle and pointed it out to the officer when the officer arrived. Respondent was identified as the driver of the vehicle that fled the scene.
- c. The officer observed that Respondent's vehicle sustained damage to its front end. The officer instructed Respondent, who was sitting in the driver's seat, to get out of the vehicle. The officer observed that Respondent had a difficult time walking and keeping his balance, that Respondent had an odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath, that Respondent's eyes were red and watery, and that his speech was slurred. Respondent admitted to the officer that he consumed two drinks. The officer administered Field Sobriety Tests, which Respondent failed. The officer then placed Respondent under arrest for driving under the influence. Respondent's blood alcohol content was .21 percent.
- d. As a result of the conviction Respondent was ordered to serve 36 months summary probation, required to serve 20 days in custody, ordered to pay all fines, fees and restitution, required to enroll and complete the MADD course, the Desert Drug Court Program, and the nine month First Offender DUI program.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcoholic Beverages in a Manner Dangerous & Injurious to Oneself & the Public)

14. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that on or about August 30, 2011, Respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to himself and to the public, as detailed in paragraph 13, above, and which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Convictions Involving the Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

- 15. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code in that on Respondent was convicted in two separate cases on charges involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted in 1987 on his plea of guilty for driving under the influence of alcohol. This conviction was disclosed on Respondent's application for licensure as a pharmacy technician.
- b. Respondent was convicted as of driving under the influence and driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or more, both misdemeanors, on November 30, 2011, as detailed in paragraph 13, above, which is incorporated here by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 16. Pursuant to title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1769(b)(2), to determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that before Respondent was licensed as a pharmacy technician, Respondent was convicted in 1991 on his plea of guilty for felony reckless driving. This conviction was disclosed on Respondent's application for licensure as a pharmacy technician.
- 17. Pursuant to title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1769(b)(2), to determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about December 18, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued a Letter of Admonishment to Respondent for the following conduct: On or about October 23, 2003, in criminal proceedings

1	entitled The People of the State of California v. John William Kelley, in Riverside Superior Court,	
2	case number RIM442875, Respondent pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section	
3	11377(a), possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine. The Court granted deferred	
4	entry of judgment pursuant to Penal Code section 1000, and the case was dismissed on April 29,	
5	2005.	
6	<u>PRAYER</u>	
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
8	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
9	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 10443,	
10	issued to John William Kelley;	
11.	2. Ordering John William Kelley to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of	
12	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
13	125.3;	
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
15		
16		
17	13 hells	
18	DATED: 12/14/12 VIRGINIA HEROLD	
19	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
21	Complainant	
22	SD2012704042	
23	70616247.doc	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		