11507.6, and 11507.7, Notice from Respondent/Applicant, and Disciplinary Guidelines to Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 702 Mangrove #283 Chico, CA 95926. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference<sup>1</sup>.

- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. On a date unknown, Respondent appealed the denial of her application and requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed her that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for July 25, 2012. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
  - 7. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
  - 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
  - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Third Amended Statement of Issues was served on April 23, 2013, and is also attached in Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Krystina Nicole Wilson is hereby
3	denied.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on November 22, 2013.
9	It is so ORDERED ON October 23, 2013.
10 11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	By
15	By
16	Board Tresident
17	DOJ docket number:SA2012107027
18	default decision_soi_LIC.rtf
19	A441
20	Attachment:
21	Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No.4356
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

# Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 4356 and Third Amended Statement of Issues No. 4356

11	· ·		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	Janice K. Lachman		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4356		
12	Against:		
13	KRYSTINA NICOLE WILSON STATEMENT OF ISSUES		
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmacy Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson		
23	(Respondent). On or about September 12, 2011, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty		
24	of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application.		
25	The Board denied the application on April 27, 2012.		
26	JURISDICTION		
27	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),		
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		
	1		

. . . .

2.

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.3. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

- 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
  - 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

6. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

28

- 7. Cocaine is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(6) of the Health and Safety Code.
- 8. Ecstasy is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule I controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11054(d) of the Health and Safety Code.

## CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Committed Acts Which if Done by a Licentiate Would Constitute Cause for Discipline)

9. Applicant's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code section 480 subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that Applicant committed acts which if done by a licentiate constitute cause for discipline pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j).

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Krystina Nicole Wilson for a Pharmacy Technician;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10/9/12

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

SA2012107027 10948336.doc

- 11		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement	Case No. 4356
12	of Issues Against:	
13	KRYSTINA NICOLE WILSON	THIRD AMENDED STATEMENT OF
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant	ISSUES
11		l l
15	Respondent.	
16	Respondent.	. '
	Respondent.  Complainant alleges:	
16	Complainant alleges:	TIES
16 17	Complainant alleges:	TIES gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely
16 17 18	Complainant alleges:	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second 19, 2013.  Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February  2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmac	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second 19, 2013.  Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February  2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmac	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second 19, 2013.  Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer by Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges:  PAR  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February  2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmac (Respondent). On or about September 12, 2011	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second 19, 2013.  Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer by Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty inswers, and representations in the application.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges:  1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring in her official capacity as the Executive Officer Consumer Affairs. This Third Amended Statem Amended Statement of Issues, filed on February  2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmac (Respondent). On or about September 12, 2011 of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, a	gs this Third Amended Statement of Issues solely of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of ent of Issues replaces in its entirety Second 19, 2013.  Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer by Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty inswers, and representations in the application.

THIRD AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Ġ

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.3. Section 125.3
  of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to
  direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a
  sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a Board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, of the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
  - 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

> 17 18

15

16

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

111

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of the sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

**DRUGS** 

- 7. Cocaine is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(6) of the Health and Safety Code.
- 8. Ecstasy is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule I controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11054(d) of the Health and Safety Code.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Committed Acts Which if Done by a Licentiate Would Constitute Cause for Discipline)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code section 480 subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate constitute cause for discipline pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j). The circumstances are that on or about March 4, 2006, Respondent was in possession of controlled substances, cocaine and ecstasy, in violation of section 4060 of the Code.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4301 subdivision (l), and 480 subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about February 8, 2013, in case of *People v. Krystina Nicole Wilson*, (Super. Ct. Butte County, 2012, Case No. SCR91138), Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with

more than .08 percent blood alcohol), a misdemeanor. As part of the plea agreement, Respondent stipulated that she had a .14 blood alcohol level. The crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances of the crime are as follows:

11. On or about December 7, 2012, Respondent at approximately 1:20 a.m., Chico Police Officer Rodden was on patrol when he heard the sound of squealing tires and observed a silver sedan making a U-turn. The vehicle cut across two east bound lanes of traffic and began traveling west. Officer Rodden initiated a traffic stop. Respondent was identified as the driver and had three passengers with her. Officer Rodden observed that Respondent had bloodshot and watery eyes, and she performed a series of field sobriety tests in a manner that demonstrated impairment. Respondent registered a 144% blood alcohol content on the preliminary alcohol screening device.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Oneself, Others and the Public)

12. Applicant's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code section 480 subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that Applicant committed acts which if done by a licentiate constitute cause for discipline pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (h) (use of alcohol in a manner dangerous to oneself, others, and the public), as set forth in paragraphs 10 and 11, above.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Krystina Nicole Wilson for a Pharmacy Technician;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/4/13

VIRGIMIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

- 11	·		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	Janice K. Lachman		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement Case No. 4356		
12	of Issues Against:		
13	KRYSTINA NICOLE WILSON SECOND AMENDED STATEMENT OF		
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant ISSUES		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Statement of Issues		
20	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs. This Second Amended Statement of Issues replaces in its entirety First		
22	Amended Statement of Issues No. 4356, filed on December 7, 2012.		
23	2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
24	Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmacy Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson		
25	Transfer of the second		
26	(Respondent). On or about September 12, 2011, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application.		
27	The Board denied the application on April 27, 2012.		
28	///		
~0			

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.3. Section 125.3
  of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to
  direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a
  sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
  - 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

6. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

**DRUGS** 

- 7. Cocaine is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(6) of the Health and Safety Code.
- 8. Ecstasy is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule I controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11054(d) of the Health and Safety Code.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Committed Acts Which if Done by a Licentiate Would Constitute Cause for Discipline)

9. Applicant's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code section 480 subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that Applicant committed acts which if done by a licentiate constitute cause for discipline pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j). The circumstances are that on or about March 4, 2006, Respondent was in possession of controlled substances, cocaine and ecstasy, in violation of section 4060 of the Code.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Oneself, Others and the Public)

10. Applicant's application is subject to denial pursuant to Code section 480 subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that Applicant committed acts which if done by a licentiate constitute cause for discipline pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (h). The circumstances are that on or about December 7, 2012, Respondent used alcohol to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or

injurious to herself, or to any other person or to the public, as follows: 11. On or about December 7, 2012, at approximately 1:20 a.m., Chico Police Officer 2 3 Rodden was on patrol when he heard the sound of squealing tires and observed a silver sedan making a U-turn. The vehicle cut across two east bound lanes of traffic and began traveling west. 4 Officer Rodden heard the sedan's tires squealing during the U-turn, and initiated a traffic stop. 5 Respondent was identified as the driver and had three passengers with her. Officer Rodden asked Respondent to step out of the car and noticed that she had bloodshotand watery eyes. Officer 7 8 Rodden explained to Respondent the reason for the traffic stop and Respondent stated that she did not recall making an illegal U-turn or screeching her tires. Respondent stated that she had been at 9 a local bar, had one beer two hours earlier, and was driving home when the officer stopped her. 10 Respondent performed a series of field sobriety tests in a manner that demonstrated impairment, 11 and registered a .144 % blood alcohol content on the preliminary alcohol screening device. 12 13 **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 14 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 15 Denying the application of Krystina Nicole Wilson for a Pharmacy Technician; 16 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 17 18 19 20 Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs 21 State of California Complainant 22 23 SA2012107027 10948336.doc 24 25 26 27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFEA HRS	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement Case No. 4356	
12	of Issues Against:	
13	KRYSTINA NICOLE WILSON FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF	
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant ISSUES	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Statement of Issues solely	
20	in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs. This First Amended Statement of Issues replaces in its entirety Statement of	
22	Issues No. 4356, filed on October 9, 2012.	
23	2. On or about September 14, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
24	Affairs received an application for a/an Pharmacy Technician from Krystina Nicole Wilson	
25	(Respondent). On or about September 12, 2011, Krystina Nicole Wilson certified under penalty	
26	of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application.	
27	The Board denied the application on April 27, 2012.	
28	///	
	III	

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.3. Section 125.3
  of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to
  direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a
  sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - 4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:

(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question,

would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

6. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5,