# 1 2 3 5 **BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4261 13 14 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 1819 West Houston Street 15 Fullerton, CA 92833 [Gov. Code, §11520] 16 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 17 112134 18 Respondent. 19 20 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 21 1. On or about June 1, 2012, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the 22 Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation 23 No. 4261 against Kelli Ann Wood ("Respondent") before the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation 24 attached as Exhibit A.) 25 2. On or about April 5, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy 26 Technician Registration No. TCH 112134, to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician 27 Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation 28

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 No. 4261 and would have expired on January 31, 2013, but was previously cancelled. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4300.1, does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- On or about June 13, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4261, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 1819 West Houston Street Fullerton, CA 92833. On or about June 18, 2012, a signed certified mail receipt for package #7196 9008 9111 3006 3967 under which the Accusation package was sent to Respondent, was received.
- Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4261.
  - California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 7.
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 8. Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4261, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4261, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,047.50 as of May 9, 2013.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Kelli Ann Wood has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 112134 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code Section 490 and 4301(l) for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician in that on or about June 22, 2011, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Kelli Ann Wood*, Superior Court of California, County of Orange, North Justice Center case number 11NR1159, Respondent was convicted by plea of guilty, to a felony violation of Penal Code section 273a(a)-Child Abuse.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(p) for conduct that would have warranted denial of a license based upon the conviction reference above.

25 || ///

26 | ///

27 || ///

///

28

Exhibit A

Accusation

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS 3 Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 1.0 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1.1 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4261 12 KELLI ANN WOOD 13 1819 West Houston Street Fullerton, CA 92833 ACCUSATION 14 Pharmacy Technician Registration 15 No. TCH 112134 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 PARTIES 20 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 21 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 22 On or about April 5, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician 23 Registration Number TCH 112134 to Kelli Ann Wood (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician 24 Registration will expire on January 31, 2013, unless renewed. 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 111

Accusation

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- Section 118, subdivision (b), of the e Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

# STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

7. Section 490 of the Gode provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

#### 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license of to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority;" and "registration."

#### 9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

 The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions. and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

1.19

· .						•				s	•			•			·
		•						: '	:	: 					• • •		:
į		10.	Title 16,	Califo	rnia (	ode (	of Reg	ulatio	ins, se	ection	ı 176	9, stat	es:	-			
2.		•	mens e	;		: :					·.·						•
3		11	(b) Whe	n consi	derin	g the	suspe	nsion	or rev	/ocati	ion o	a fac	ility	or a pe	rson	il.	
4	t. t	he bo	on the ard, in e	valuatii	ng the	reha	bilitat	ion of	such	strant perso	nas n an	been d his	convi prese	cted o nt elig	r a cr ibilit	me, y for	
5	]: '€ ]	7.	se will c			٠. : ،				 	· · ·,			•	••••		
.6			(1) Natur				the ac	t(s) o	r offer	nse(s)	);,				:		
7			2) Total	•						ė.				•	<del>.</del>	•	
8	; y	•	(3) The t			31		4	111,111	4 · · · · ·	. :::.		•	•		··	
9	<b>3</b>	estitu	(4) Whe tion or a	ther the	licer r san	isee h ctions	as coi lawf	nplie ally ir	d with npose	i all to d aga	erins inst t	of pa he lic	role, p ensec	orobat	ion,		
10	!	:	(5) Evid	ence, i	f any,	of re	habili	tation	subm	itted	by th	e lice	nsee.		· . ** <sub>*</sub>	1	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
11	. 1	il.	Title 16,	Califo	rnia C	ode o	of Reg	ulatio	ns, se	ction	177(	), stat	es:	•		# · · ·	·
12		: :•	For the	purpos	e of d	enial,	susp	nsio	i, or 10	evoca	tion (	of a p	erson	al or f	acilit	y	
13	I	Profes	pursuai sions Co	rde, a c	rime.	or act	shall	be co	nsider	ed st	ıbstar	itially	relat	ed to	he		
14	i	t evid	cations, ences pr	esent o	r pote	ential	unfitr	ess o	f a lice	ensce	oř re	gistra	int to	perfor	m th	<b>.</b>	
15			ons authorises.				se or	regist	ration	in a	manr	er co	nsiste	nt wit	h the		
16		•	· · ·		•		cos:	(RE	COVI	ERY	·	••		<i>::</i> ·		ir g	
17		12.	Section	125.3:o	f the	Code	states	, in p	ertine	nt pai	t, tha	t the	Board	l may	reque	st the	3
18	admin	istrati	ve law ji	idge to	direc	t a lic	entiat	e foui	nd to l	navé.	comn	nitted	a vio	lation	or vi	olatic	ns o
19	the lice	ensing	act to p	ay a su	im no	t to e	ceed	the re	asona	ible c	osts c	f the	inves	tigatio	n and	į.	
20	enforc	emen	t of the c	ase.			· ·		1 1	· ; <u>·</u> .	:						
21	117				٠.		<del></del>			Select Aus	•		٠٠.				
22	111									, we distribute		.:		;		•	
23	117				·· .			· . ! 	:.				•	1.	 	· · · ·	
24	117		•	.:			, ; , , .				:	::		. :			
25	111				•.	***.							•		•	••	
26	1.1.1															·	•
27	111			-	*:		:		•	; 	:						
28	141				•		: • .		• .	4 1		•				:	
<b>-</b>							:		<b>İ</b>	•	٠	•				 4.4	. :
	-	<u>-</u>	<del></del>		<del>, [</del>		<u> </u>	<del></del> ;	:	·.	: :		<del>: :-</del>	<u> </u>	····	Acci	usation

<u>...</u>

2.7

#### FIRST GAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (June 22, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Child Abuse on April 12, 2011)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivisions (1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 14. On or about June 22, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Kelli Ann Wood*; in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, North Justice Center, in case number 11NF 1159 F A, Respondent was convicted, on her plea of guilty, of violating Penal Code section 273a(a) (child abuse), a felony
- The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about April 12, 2011, Break Police Department officers responded to Anaheim Memorial Hospital in reference to a possible child abuse incident. Upon arrival, officers learned that Fullerton P.D. had earlier conducted a welfare check of baby G.C., an 8-month old female, at the residence of her father, C.C., who was sitting with the baby in the emergency room waiting room, along with the baby's aunt, S.W., waiting to have baby G.C. examined by emergency room doctors.
- officers made contact with S.W., the baby's aunt and Respondent's sister. S.W. told officers that she had been at the baby's father schouse when Respondent dropped the baby off earlier that day. S.W. told officers that the father noticed the baby had bruising to the left-eye, that he asked.

  Respondent how the baby's bruising happened, and that Respondent replied that she did not know and stated that the baby had not been eating well and that the baby had been "depressed" lately.

  S.W. told officers that when the father tried to obtain more information about the baby's injury, that Respondent was unable to give a reasonable answer and that Respondent appeared nervous and scared. When S.W. asked Respondent to take the baby to the hospital, Respondent refused and became angry with her, accusing S.W. of taking the father's side; and S.W. then asked the baby's father to get the police involved and to take the baby to a hospital. Once at the hospital,

4 5

6 7

8 .0

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

.22

23 24

25

.26

27

28

Respondent joined them and was detained by police interviewed, and transported to the Brea Police Department station and later booked in the county jail for child abuse.

On April 13, 2011, Brea P.D. detectives went to the Orange County Inmate Receiving Center for Women to interview Respondent. Detectives, after advising Respondent of her rights, told her they were there to speak about her baby daughter's injuries and how they occurred, Respondent told detectives that she had been dating A.A.C. for approximately one month and that he had become the primary care provider for the baby while Respondent was at work and estimated that her boyfriend was with her baby for 8-9 hours per day. Detectives asked Respondent if she knew how baby G.C. had sustained her injuries, and Respondent told detectives that she did not know, that every time she questioned her boyfriend about the baby's bruising. that he would tell her that he did not know and that the baby bruised easily. Respondent told officers that she believed her boylinend was capable of hurning the baby because of his temper. and that her boyfriend sometimes went into rages and banged his head or pounded his first against the wall.

18. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on four (4) years formal probation and ordered that Respondent serve 270 days in the Orange County Jail; with 71 actual days and 34 conduct days credit, for a total of 105 days credit for time served, and ordered that Respondent pay various fees and fines. The Court also ordered that Respondent provide a State DNA sample and fingerprints prints for the State DNA Database pursuant to Penal Code sections 296 and 296 1, not use unauthorized drugs, narcotics, or controlled substances, submit to drug or narcotic testing as directed by her Probation Officer, submit person, properly and residence to search and seizure, cooperate with probation officer in any plan for psychiatric, psychological and alcohol and/or drug treatment or counseling, seek training, schooling, or employment maintain residence as approved by the probation department, not associate with persons known to Respondent to be parolees, convicted felons, users or sellers of illegal drugs or otherwise disapproved by probation, and not own, use, or possess any type of dangerous or deadly weapon, including any firearm or aminumition. The Court further ordered that Respondent obey all laws, orders, rules and regulations of the Court, Jail, and Probation, to violate no law, disclose terms

and conditions of probation when asked by any law enforcement of probation officer, attend and complete Child Abuser's Treatment Probation, not violate terms of protective order, and comply with all terms of the protective order.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct - Committed Act Which Would Have Warranted Denial of License)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(p) of the Code in that he engaged in actions of conduct that would have watranted denial of a license, as fully set forth at paragraphs 13 and 18, above, which are incorporated here by reference.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmaey issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 112134. 1. issued to Kelli Ann Wood;
- Ordering Kelli Ann Wood to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper

DATED:

Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Somplainant

SD2012802168 70548530.docx