BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In t	the	Matter	of the	Accusation	Against:
------	-----	--------	--------	------------	----------

Case No. 4258

SARA BLACK

235 7th Street Seal Beach, CA 90740

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 36567

Respondent,

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on November 26, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on October 25, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wusi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California James M. Ledakis Supervising Deputy Attorney General Diane De Kervor Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 174721 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant					
	BEFORE THE					
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4258					
12	SARA E. BLACK					
13	235 7th Street Seal Beach, CA 90740 STIPULATED SURRENDER OF					
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 36567 LICENSE AND ORDER					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this					
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:					
19	<u>PARTIES</u>					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.					
21	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala					
22	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Diane De Kervor, Deputy Attorney					
23	General.					
24	2. Sara E. Black (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has chosen					
25	not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.					
26	3. On or about February 21, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician					
27	License No. TCH 36567 to Sara E. Black (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was					
28						

in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4258 and will expire on November 30, 2012, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 4258 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 18, 2012.

Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 4258 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4258. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4258, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacy Technician License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline.

Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician License without further process.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the 2 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this 3 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to 4 be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy 5 6 7 Respondent 8 **ENDORSEMENT** 9 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted 10 for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 11 Respectfully submitted, Dated: 12 KAMALA D. HARRIS 13 Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS 14 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 15 16 17 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 18 19 20 SD2012802146 70605860.doc 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4258

	i !						
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS						
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS						
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101						
4							
5							
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	•					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061						
8	Attorneys for Complainant						
9	REFORI	THT 5					
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
11	STATE OF CA						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4258					
13	SARA E. BLACK						
14	235 7th Street	ACCUSATION					
15	Seal Beach, CA 90740						
16	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 36567						
17	Respondent.						
1							
18	Complainant alleges:						
19	PARTIES						
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official						
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
22	2. On or about February 21, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy						
23	Technician License Number TCH 36567 to Sara E. Black (Respondent). The Pharmacy						
24	Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein						
25	and will expire on November 30, 2012, unless renewed.						
26	///						
27	///						
28	<i>III</i>	•					
		•					

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code states that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction

///

is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

9. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

10. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

Section 4301 of the Code states: 11.

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

///

24

25

26

27

28

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

Health & Safety Code section 11173 states: 12.

No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

26

27

28

substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).

25

26

27

28

///

III

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 18, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Obtaining Controlled Substance By Fraud from February to October 22, 2010)

- 17. Respondent subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 18, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Sara Elizabeth Black*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case Number VA117394, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Health & Safety Code section 11173 subdivision (a), obtaining controlled substance by fraud, a felony. Respondent was also charged with violation of Penal Code (PC) section 487, subdivision (a), grand theft of property with a value over \$950.00, a felony, which was dismissed pursuant to a plea bargain.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about April 15, 2011, Respondent was sentenced to 180 days in Los Angeles County Jail with credit for one day served, which was suspended, and placed on three years formal probation. Respondent was also ordered to perform 120 hours of service with the California Department of Transportation, or another agency, and pay \$400.00 in fines, \$120.00 in fees, and \$8,300.00 in restitution.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about July 2010, at a pharmacy in Lakewood, California where Respondent was employed, the prescription drug monitoring report for the month showed a variance of 5,980 pills of hydrocodone 10/325. The Regional Loss Prevention Manager (RLPM) and the store's supervisor agreed on a hand counting system of weekly inventory and on the installation of multiple covert cameras. On or about October 5, 2010, an inventory showed that since July 2010, an additional 3,418 tablets of hydrocodone 10/325 were missing. A review of video surveillance showed Respondent removing hydrocodone bottles from the shelf while dispensing records showed no activity of dispensing at those times. On or about October 27, 2010, while the RLPM was watching real-time feeds from

the covert cameras, he witnessed Respondent making a selection of hydrocodone as shown in the monitors. The RLPM interviewed Respondent who admitted to stealing 12,844 pills of hydrocodone 10/325, that she sold them for a dollar a tablet, that she would take drugs no more than four times a month, that she would remove drugs in a Rx bag that she would be picking up to take home or in her pocket and no one would check her smock while she left the store, and that she caused a loss of \$8,300.00. An audit completed from the last physical inventory of August 26, 2009 to October 27, 2010 reflected a loss of 27, 582 tablets of hydrocodone 10/325.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, and Corruption)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that during the period of February to October, 2010, Respondent knowingly, voluntarily, and repeatedly obtained thousands of pills of hydrocodone 10/325, a controlled substance, by fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation, as detailed in paragraph 17, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Drug Laws)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that Respondent violated Health & Safety Code section 11173 subdivision (a), regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as detailed in paragraph 17, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of Pharmacy Laws)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent possessed hydrocodone 10/325 without a prescription in violation of Code section 4060, as detailed in paragraph 17, above, in violation of pharmacy law.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

///

1	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 36567,					
2	issued to Sara E. Black;					
3	2. Ordering Sara E. Black to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the					
4	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section					
5	125.3;					
6	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.					
7						
8						
9	DATED: 1/12/12 / VIRGINIA NEROLD					
10	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy					
11	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California					
12	SD2012802146 Complainant					
13	70546225.doc					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18 19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						