1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4255	
12	MICHAEL TZUNG-HSUN YANG		
13	2555 Aurora Drive Yuba City, CA 95991	WITHDRAWAL OF ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 53881		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	On or about May 31, 2012, Complainant V	rirginia Herold ("Complainant"), in her official	
18	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
19	filed Accusation No. 4255 against Respondent Mi	chael Tzung-Hsun Yang (Pharmacist License	
20	No. RPH 53881).		
21	Complainant, exercising her discretionary authority pursuant to Title 16, California Code		
22	of Regulations, Section 1703, and acting on information submitted to her, and in the interest of		
23	justice, has determined that good cause exists to withdraw Accusation No. 4255 against		
24	Respondent Michael Tzung-Hsun Yang.		
25			
26	<i>III</i>		
27	/// ·		
28	<i>///</i>		
	1	,	

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant hereby withdraws Accusation No. 4255, filed on or about	
2	May 31, 2012, against Respondent.	
3	DATED: 9/11/13 Juginia Verda	
4	VIRGINIA/HEROLD Executive Officer	
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6	State of California Complainant	
7		
8 9	·	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	,	
19		
20		
21		
22	· ·	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
		CALIFORNIA	
10		1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4255	
12	MICHAEL TZUNG-HSUN YANG 2555 Aurora Drive		
13	Yuba City, CA 95991	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 53881		
15	Respondent.		
16		J	
17	Complainant alleges:	·	
18	PAI	RTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about August 26, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License		
22	Number RPH 53881 to Michael Tzung-Hsun Yang (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was i		
23	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March		
24	31, 2012, unless renewed.		
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
1			

3

4

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

- 4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
- (1) Suspending judgment.
- (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
- (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
- (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
- 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under

Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- 6. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (l) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. On or about April 8, 2009, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Michael Yang* (Super. Ct. Colusa County, 2009, Case No. CR50135), Respondent pled nolo contendere to violation Vehicle Code section 23103, subdivision (a) (reckless driving), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crimes are that on or about November 28, 2008, an officer with the California Highway Patrol observed Respondent's vehicle driving at a speed of approximately 95-100 miles per hour, on a roadway that was posted with a maximum speed limit of 55 miles per hour. The weather conditions were foggy with visibility of approximately a half mile, and the roadway was wet. When contacted by the officer, Respondent stated that he knew he was driving fast but was late for work at the Walmart in Clearlake. Based upon the high rate of speed and the adverse weather conditions, the CHP officer arrested Respondent.

///

27 | ///

28 | ///

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Dangerous Drugs in a Manner Dangerous Oneself, Others, and the Public)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) in that he used dangerous drugs to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, or to any other person or to the public. The circumstances are as follows:
- 11. On or about March 29, 2009, California Highway Patrol Officer Domby responded to a call about a driver who was possible under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Officer Domby located Respondent's vehicle and observed that the vehicle was traveling approximately 65 miles per hour, and was almost completely on the shoulder of the road with both wheels. While tailing Respondent, Officer Domby observed the vehicle straddling the right painted edge line of the road for approximately 200 feet, and the officer then performed a traffic stop on Respondent's vehicle. During the traffic stop, Respondent was observed to be agitated and speaking rapidly. When asked if he was taking any medications or seeing a doctor for any reason, Respondent answered that he was not, and that he had not taken any medication prior to driving. Respondent performed a series of field sobriety tests in a manner that demonstrated impairment. Respondent then admitted that he had taken Sudafed prior to driving and gave the officer permission to look inside the vehicle for the Sudafed. In addition to locating Sudafed, Officer Domby located the following prescription medications: Promethazine, Hydorcodone, Tricor, Cyclobezap, Methocarbamal, Pantroprazole, Tixanidine, Lexapro, Budepropin, and Sumatripfan. Respondent was arrested for a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving a vehicle while under the influence of drugs, and was taken to the jail. At the jail, Officer Crutcher performed a drug recognition evaluation on him and collected a urine sample. Respondent admitted to having taken Norco, Wellbutrin and Lexapro that day. Based on the drug recognition evaluation, Officer Crutcher concluded that Respondent was under the combpined influence of a CNS stimulant and a CNS depressant, and unable to operate a motor vehicle safely. Respondent's urine sample was provided to the Department of Justice laboratory for drug analysis and came back positive for opiates.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

12. On or about April 21, 2004, Respondent was issued Citation No. CI 2003 26733 for violations of Business and Professions Code section 4073 (improper substitution of a generic drug) and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 (variation from prescription). Fines were imposed totally \$375.00.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53881, issued to Michael Tzung-Hsun Yang.;
- 2. Ordering Michael Tzung-Hsun Yang to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 5/31/12

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SA2012104805 10855525.doc