1 2 3 4 5 6 BEFORE THE 8 BOARD OF PHARMACY 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 Case No. 4234 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 13 CATHERINE DREW CANTRELL DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 1081 W. Arrow Hwy, #42 14 Azusa, CA 91702 [Gov. Code, §11520] 15 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 116875 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 FINDINGS OF FACT 20 On or about September 20, 2012, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official 1. 21 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, 22 filed Accusation No. 4234 against Catherine Drew Cantrell (Respondent) before the Board of 23 Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 24 2. On or about October 31, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 25 Technician Registration No. TCH 116875 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 26 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4234 27 and will expire on August 31, 2013, unless renewed. 28

3. On or about October 3, 2012, Respondent was served, by Certified Mail and First Class Mail, copies of the Accusation No. 4234, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

1081 W. Arrow Hwy, #42 Azusa, CA 91702.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about October 26, 2012, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unable to Forward" and "No Forwarding Address." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4234.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4234, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4234, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,452.50 as of November 13, 2012.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Catherine Drew Cantrell has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 116875 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- (1) On or about July 25, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [burglary], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Catherine Cantrell* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. FWV1101768). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 120 days in jail, placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances

27

2.8

surrounding the conviction are that on or about July 13, 2011, San Bernardino Sheriff's Officers obtained a search warrant for a residence located in the City of Rosemead, California. After an investigation, two suspects were arrested on or about June 30, 2011 for being involved in a scam of using fraudulent barcodes/SKU labels to purchase merchandise from various Home Depot Department stores. On or about July 13, 2011, while conducting the search at the residence in Rosemead. Respondent was one of the female individuals found at the property. The officers ran Respondent's name through a database search through Home Depot Department stores and identified Respondent as an individual who had made several fraudulent returns to Home Depot Department stores from October 2010 through July 7, 2011. When questioned, the Respondent admitted to the officers that she was involved in the scam by returning various items to various Home Depot Department stores. Respondent further admitted to officers that she had returned items to Home Depot Department stores on (7) seven different occasions. Respondent's vehicle was searched at the premises as part of a search warrant, and officers recovered a plastic baggie containing methamphetamine. Respondent admitted to the officers that she was, "under the influence and had used methamphetamine the previous night." Respondent also admitted to the officers that she had purchased, "\$100 worth, but had used some the previous night." Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Penal Code section 459.

- b. Respondent is also subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent administered to herself alcohol and / or used a dangerous drug to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or others. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 3a, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, for violating provisions of the Health and Safety Code as follows:
- (1) Section 11170 for prescribing, administering and / or furnishing a controlled substance for herself.
 - (2) Section 11377, subdivision (a), by possessing Methamphetamine, a controlled

substance. 1 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in 2 paragraph 3a, inclusive, as though set forth fully. 3 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, 4 subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts 5 involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit and / or corruption. Complainant refers to, 6 and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 3a, inclusive, as 7 though set forth fully. 8 ORDER 9 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 116875, heretofore 10 issued to Respondent Catherine Drew Cantrell, is revoked. 11 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 12 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 13 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 14 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 15 This Decision shall become effective on January 4, 2013. 16 It is so ORDERED ON December 5, 2012 17 18 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 19 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 20 (. Wusi 21 22 23 **Board President** 24 25 51192040.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2012602083 26 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5. 6 7 8 9	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C	RE THE PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4234
12	CATHERINE DREW CANTRELL	
13	1081 W. Arrow Hwy, #42 Azusa, CA 91702	ACCUSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
15	1-1-6-8-7-5	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On or about October 31, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License No.	
23	TCH 116875 to Catherine Drew Cantrell (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was	
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
25	August 31, 2013, unless renewed.	
26	<i>IIII</i>	
27	<i>IIII</i>	
28		
]

Accusation

· 22

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 490 states:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 6. Section 4060 states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a

physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices,"

- 7. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e] very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United

Ò

15 16 17

14

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

2627

28

111

States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and (I)duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or yerdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . . "

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

DRUG STATUTES

- 10. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that "[n]o person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."
- 11. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states "[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact."
 - 12. Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

"Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possess (1) any controlled substance specified in subdivision (b) or (c), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, or specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison."

13. Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

"Except as authorized by law and as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) or Section 11375, or in Article 7 (commencing with Section 4211) of Chapter 9 of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code, every person who possesses any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, and which is not a narcotic drug, (2) specified in subdivision (d) of Section 11054, except paragraphs (13), (14), (15), and (20) of subdivision (d), (3) specified in paragraph (11) of subdivision (c) of Section 11056, (4) specified in paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, or (5) specified in subdivision (d), (e), or (f) of Section 11055, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or in the state prison."

17.

- COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE / DANGEROUS DRUG

15. Methamphetamine, a (CNS) central nervous system stimulant, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially-Related Crime)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about July 25, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [burglary], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Catherine Cantrell (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. FWV1101768). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 120 days in jail, placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about July 13, 2011, San Bernardino Sheriff's Officers obtained a search warrant for a residence located in the City of Rosemead, California. After an investigation, two suspects were arrested on or about June 30, 2011 for being involved in a scam of using fraudulent barcodes/SKU labels to purchase merchandise from various Home Depot Department stores. On or about July 13, 2011, while conducting the search at the residence in Rosemead, Respondent was one of the female individuals found at the property. The officers ran

Respondent's name through a database search through Home Depot Department stores and identified Respondent as an individual who had made several fraudulent returns to Home Depot Department stores from October 2010 through July 7, 2011. When questioned, the Respondent admitted to the officers that she was involved in the scam by returning various items to various Home Depot Department stores. Respondent further admitted to officers that she had returned items to Home Depot Department stores on (7) seven different occasions. Respondent's vehicle was searched at the premises as part of a search warrant, and officers recovered a plastic baggie containing methamphetamine. Respondent admitted to the officers that she was, "under the influence and had used methamphetamine the previous night." Respondent also admitted to the officers that she had purchased, "\$100 worth, but had used some the previous night." Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Penal Code section 459.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol and / or Drugs)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent administered to herself alcohol and / or used a dangerous drug to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or others. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violating Drug Statutes)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, for violating provisions of the Health and Safety Code as follows:
- a. Section 11170 for prescribing, administering and / or furnishing a controlled substance for herself.
- b. Section 11377, subdivision (a), by possessing Methamphetamine, a controlled substance.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit and / or corruption. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 18, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 116875, issued to 1. Catherine Drew Cantrell;
- Ordering Catherine Drew Cantrell to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3.

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2012602083 accusation.rtf 06/08/12-1C

28