# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

Case No. 3921

SPECIALTY PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

DBA CAREQUEST PHARMACY;

FARMARZ GANJIAN, AKA FRED GANJIAN
6901 Canby #108

Reseda, CA 91335

Applicant for Pharmacy Permit

Respondent.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on November 17, 2011.

It is so ORDERED on October 18, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

	1		
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California  MARC D. GREENBAUM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 242920		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10		G 27 2001	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 3921	
12	SPECIALTY PHARMACEUTICALS,	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
13	INC., DBA CAREQUEST PHARMACY; FARMARZ GANJIAN, AKA FRED		
14	GANJIAN;		
15	6901 Canby #108 Reseda, CA 91335 Applicant for Pharmacy Pourit		
16	Applicant for Pharmacy Permit		
17	Respondents.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
23	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
24	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kimberley J. Baker-Guillemet, Deputy		
25	Attorney General.		
26	2. Respondent Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc., DBA CareQuest Pharmacy; Farmarz		
27	Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian (Respondent) is representing itself in this proceeding and has chosen		
28	not to exercise its right to be represented by counsel.		
ļ		1	

3. On or about April 4, 2011, the California State Board of Pharmacy received an amended application for an Applicant for Pharmacy Permit from Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dba CareQuest Pharmacy; Faramarz Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. Statement of Issues No. 3921 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of
Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July
18, 2011. A copy of Statement of Issues No. 3921 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated
herein by reference.

### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 3921. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues No. 3921.

9. Respondent agrees that its Pharmacy Permit is subject to denial and they agree to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy (Board). Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

27 | ///

28 || /

# 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc. DBA CareQuest Pharmacy; Farmarz Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian that a Pharmacy Permit will be issued and immediately revoked. The revocation will be stayed and the Respondent placed on three (3) years probation on the following terms and conditions.

#### **Obey All Laws** 1.

Respondent owner shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent owner shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves respondent's pharmacy permit or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 2. Report to the Board

Respondent owner shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent owner shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically

extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

### 3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent owner shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent owner shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 5. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent owner shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 6. Status of License

Respondent owner shall, at all times while on probation, maintain current licensure with the board. If respondent owner submits an application to the board, and the application is approved, for a change of location, change of permit or change of ownership, the board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the license, and the respondent shall remain on probation as determined by the board. Failure to maintain current licensure shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent owner's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent owner's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

### 7. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent owner discontinue business, respondent owner may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent owner shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent owner shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent owner shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent owner shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.

Respondent owner may not apply for any new licensure from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent owner shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board.

Respondent owner further stipulates that he or she shall reimburse the board for its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

### 8. Notice to Employees

Respondent owner shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all

employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both. If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent owner shall ensure that any employees hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent owner shall submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

### 9. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 10. Posted Notice of Probation

Respondent owner shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the entire period of probation.

Respondent owner shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient, customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 11. Violation of Probation

If a respondent owner has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent owner violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent owner notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

### 12. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

### 13. Notification of Licensure in Another State

Respondent pharmacy shall notify the board within three business days should it become licensed in another state. Failure to notify the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 14. Quarterly Profit and Loss Statement

Respondent pharmacy shall provide the board on a quarterly basis a profit and loss statement. Failure to provide the board with the profit and loss statement shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 15. Provision of Drug Wholesaler Contract or Agreement

Respondent pharmacy shall provide the board with copies of any contract or agreement made with a drug wholesaler. Failure to provide the board with copies of the contract shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 3

# 4 5

# 6 7

# 8

# 9 10

# 11

# 12

# 13

# 14

# 15

# 16

### 17

### 18

### 28

# Board Notification for Compounding Services and/or Medication for Skilled **Nursing Facility Contracts**

The board shall be advised before respondent pharmacy enters into a contract to provide compounding services or medications for a skilled nursing facility. Failure to notify the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### **Consultant Pharmacist** 17.

Respondent shall retain an independent consultant at respondent's own expense who shall be responsible for reviewing pharmacy operations on a monthly or quarterly basis, as determined by the board or its designee, for compliance by respondent with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy and for compliance by respondent with the obligations of a pharmacist-in-charge. The consultant pharmacist shall be familiar with closed door pharmacies, compounding and if possible, mail order. In addition, the consultant pharmacist must be licensed by and not on probation with the board and whose name shall be submitted to the board or its designee, for prior approval, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall not be a pharmacist-in-charge at more than one pharmacy or at any pharmacy of which he or she is not the sole owner. Failure to timely retain, seek approval of or ensure timely reporting by the consultant shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

///

///

///

///

///

### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Applicant for Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 1419 10, 2011

SPECIALTY PHARMACEY TICALS, INC. ASBA CAREQUEST PHARMACY; FARMARZ GANJIAN, AKA FRED GANJIAN

Respondent

### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: August 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2011600073 60652852.doc

26

27

### Exhibit A

First Amended Statement of Issues No. 3921

	•		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM		
-	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 242920 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		·	
	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 3921	
11	Against:		
12	SPECIALTY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., DBA CAREQUEST PHARMACY;		
13	FARAMARZ GANJIAN, AKA FRED	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	GANJIAN; 6901 Canby #108		
15	Reseda, CA 91335		
.	Applicant for Pharmacy Permit		
16	Respondents.		
17			
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy.		
23	2. On or about August 24, 2010, the California State Board of Pharmacy received an		
24	application for an Applicant for Pharmacy Permit from Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Faramarz		
25	Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian; Christopher Michael Hall (Respondents). On or about August 2,		
26	2010, Faramarz Ganjian certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,		
27	answers, and representations in the application. The California State Board of Pharmacy denied		
28	the application on October 26, 2010.		

- 3. On or about April 4, 2011, the California State Board of Pharmacy received an amended application for an Applicant for Pharmacy Permit from Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dba CareQuest Pharmacy, Faramarz Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian (Respondents). On or about March 28, 2011, Faramarz Ganjian certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The California State Board of Pharmacy denied the application on July 6, 2011.
- 4. On or about January 21, 2005, the California State Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56740 to respondent Faramarz Ganjian. Said license will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed.

### JURISDICTION

- 5. This Statement of Issues is brought before the California Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states that the Board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.
  - 7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The

board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter.

### 8. Section 480 of the Code states:

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

(3)

- (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- 9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

17<sub>.</sub>

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 10. Respondents' application is subject to denial under section 4301, subdivision (n) in that on or about March 8, 1993, Respondent Ganjian was convicted by plea of guilty for violating Title 18 U.S.C. section 371 [conspiracy to commit wire fraud and receive and distribute adulterated and misbranded prescription drugs] in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Faramarz Ganjian* (United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 1993, Case No. 92 Cr. 943).
- a. The circumstances of the crime were that respondent Ganjian, who was a licensed pharmacist, participated in a prescription drug diversion scheme by purchasing non-controlled prescription drugs from an illegal or improper source. Respondent Ganjian then resold and/or dispensed the drugs to unsuspecting consumers.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Discipline in Other States)

- 11. Respondents' application is subject to denial under section 4301, subdivision (l) in that disciplinary action was taken against respondent Ganjian in other states as follows:
- a. On or about December 18, 1992, in a proceeding entitled *In the Matter of the Disciplinary Proceeding Against FARAMARZ GANJIAN (Pharmacist)*, Case No. 13494, before the New York State Education Department, Office of Professional Discipline, State Board of Pharmacy, respondent Ganjian surrendered his license to practice pharmacy in the State of New York and paid a fine of two thousand five hundred dollars and no cents (\$2,500.00). Respondent Ganjian agreed to surrender his license and admitted that he committed acts of unprofessional conduct and gross negligence by holding for sale and offering for sale misbranded drugs and repacked drugs and purchasing drugs outside the proper channels of distribution of prescription-required drugs. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

On or about July 20, 1998, in Case No. 98-15-20, respondent Ganjian's license to practice pharmacy in the State of New York was reinstated and he was placed on five (5) years probation with terms and conditions.

b. On or about March 7, 1994, in a proceeding entitled *In the Matter of Faramarz Ganjian*, Pharmacy File No. 93-6, Docket No. 94-16, before the State of Connecticut, Commission of Pharmacy, respondent Ganjian surrendered his Connecticut pharmacist license based on the disciplinary action and surrender of his pharmacist license in the State of New York. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 8 and 9, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

On or about November 3, 1998, in Pharmacy File No. 98-48, Docket No. 98-600, respondent Ganjian's license to practice pharmacy in the State of Connecticut was reinstated and he was placed on five (5) years probation with terms and conditions.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit with

Intent to Substantially Benefit Himself or Substantially Injure Another)

12. Respondents' application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a), subparagraph (2) in that respondent Ganjian committed acts which involved dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts If Done By Licentiate Constitute Grounds for Suspension or Revocation)

13. Respondents' application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a), subparagraph (3) in that respondent Ganjian committed acts that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

• ///

### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about October 13, 1999, a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against Faramarz Ganjian before the California State Board of Pharmacy, Case No. 2227, was filed against respondent Faramarz Ganjian. Discipline was imposed for the acts discussed in paragraphs 8 and 9 above. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 8 and 9, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

Pursuant to a stipulated settlement, respondent Ganjian's application for pharmacist licensure examination was granted. Upon successful completion of the California pharmacist licensure examination and all other licensing requirements, a license was issued to respondent Ganjian. The license was immediately revoked, the order of revocation stayed and respondent Ganjian was placed on probation for three (3) years, from January 21, 2005, to January 20, 2008, on terms and conditions. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California State Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Denying the application of Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dba CareQuest Pharmacy; Faramarz Ganjian, aka Fred Ganjian for an Applicant for Pharmacy Permit;

2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

TRGINIA K. HEROLD Executive Officer

California State Board of Pharmacy State of California

Complainant

LA2011600073 60615069.doc

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

7

8.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25