BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

Case No. 3872

OAH No. 2011040488

LISA MARIE SCHILLING

Pharmacy Technician Applicant

Respondent.

WITHDRAWAL OF STATEMENT OF ISSUES

On or about January 27, 2011, Complainant Virginia K. Herold ("Complainant"), in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Statement of Issues No. 3872 against Respondent Lisa Marie Schilling.

WHEREFORE, Respondent, on or about October 21, 2011, withdrew her Request for Appeal and Request for Hearing, Statement of Issues No. 3872, filed on or about January 27, 2011, against Respondent is hereby withdrawn.

 $_{\rm DATED}$. 1/1/2

VIRGINIAK. HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

- 1					
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 137969				
4					
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101				
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266				
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 3872 Against:				
13	LISA MARIE SCHILLING AKA				
14	LISA MARIE BUSTAMANTE STATEMENT OF ISSUES 14391 WILLOW LANE				
15	Tustin, CA 92780				
16	Respondent.				
17	Complainant alleges:				
18	PARTIES				
19					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official				
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
22	2. On or about October 30, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Lisa Marie Schilling aka Lisa Marie Bustamante (Respondent). On or about October 6, 2009, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on August 10, 2010.				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.
 - (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
 - (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
 - (4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.
 - (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
 - (6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.
 - (7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.
- (d) The board may initiate disciplinary proceedings to revoke or suspend any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation. Upon satisfactory completion of probation, the board shall convert the probationary certificate to a regular certificate, free of conditions."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.

28

qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(b) Incompetence.

9

10 11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 10. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1769, states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant."

DRUGS

11. Methamphetamine is a schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(2), and is a dangerous drugs pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(April 11, 2008 Criminal Conviction - DUI & Hit & Run on January 26, 2008)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(2), 480(a)(1), 493, 4300(c), 4301(j), 4301(k), 4301(l), 4301(o), and 4301(p) in that on or about April 11, 2008, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 8WW01277, Respondent plead nolo contendere and the court found her guilty of driving while having a 0.08% or higher blood alcohol content in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B) and a hit and run with property damage in violation of Vehicle Code section 20002(A.) The circumstances of the crime are as follows:
- 13. On or about January 26, 2008, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department received a crime broadcast regarding a hit and run accident at approximately 2122 hours. Officer Aguilera located and detained Respondent as the driver of the hit and run vehicle that fled the scene of the traffic collision. Based on party and witness statements, Officer Aguilera determined that Respondent caused the collision by failing to stop for a red traffic signal in violation of Vehicle Code section 21453(A) and driving while being under the influence of alcohol in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(A). Respondent's blood test reflected that she had .20% blood alcohol concentration.
- 14. On or about April 11, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 36 months probation and ordered to pay fines and restitution and to complete a nine month first offender alcohol and drug education counseling program.

/	/	/
/	/	/
/	/	/
1	/	/

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(August 1, 2008 Criminal Conviction – Possession of Controlled Substance on November 13, 2006)

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(2), 480(a)(1), 493, 4060, 4300(c), 4301(j), 4301(k), 4301(l), 4301(o), and 4301(p) in that on or about August 1, 2008, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 7DY00126, Respondent was found in violation of her probation for the offense of possession of the controlled substance Methamphetamine on November 13, 2006. Respondent's probation was reinstated with additional terms added. The circumstances of the crime are as follows:
- 16. On or about November 13, 2006, at 1836, Downey Police responded to a report of possible intruders inside Respondent's house. Respondent told Officer Maher that there were people inside her house hiding under the sink and placing pigs feet and voodoo powder in the house. Respondent spoke very quickly and could not answer simple questions or complete a sentence. Respondent's father came to the residence and took Respondent's two daughters to his residence. Police searched Respondent's house and asked Respondent if she had any more of the drugs that she was taking. Respondent said "yes" and gave officers a plastic baggy containing a crystalline substance resembling Methamphetamine from her pants pocket. Officer Maher asked Respondent when she last used Methamphetamine. Respondent said "I snorted three lines today at three o'clock." Respondent was arrested and transported to the Downey Police Department for booking. Respondent told police that her friend gave her the Methamphetamine. Respondent said "I snorted a couple of lines yesterday at about six p.m. and today I snorted three lines at three o'clock." Police determined that the baggy Respondent gave them contained approximately .2 grams of Methamphetamine.
- 17. On or about January 4, 2007, a criminal complaint was filed in the proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 7DY00126, Respondent was charged with possession of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health

and Safety Code section 11377(A) and being under the influence of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(A). On or about February 1, 2007, Respondent pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(A).

- 18. On or about February 1, 2007, Respondent was placed on deferred entry of judgment for a period of 18 months pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.
- 19. Respondent violated her probation when she was arrested on January 26, 2008 and then convicted on April 11, 2008 of DUI and hit and run with property damage in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 8WW01277.
- 20. On or about August 1, 2008, Respondent admitted her probation violation and was convicted of possession of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(A) and being under the influence of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(A) on November 13, 2006, in the proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 7DY00126.
- 21. On or about August 1, 2008, Respondent's probation for 36 months was reinstated. Respondent was placed on probation for an additional year. Respondent was also ordered to serve 100 days in Los Angeles County jail. In lieu of county jail time, Respondent had the option of completing a 100 day live-in rehabilitation program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct - Failure to Disclose Conviction)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(1), 475(a)(3), 480(a)(2), 480(c), 4300(c), 4301(f), 4301(g), and 4301(p) for unprofessional conduct for failing to report her August 1, 2008 criminal conviction for possession of a controlled substance without a prescription and being under the influence of a controlled substance without a prescription on her application for registration as a pharmacy technician. On or about October 6, 2009, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury as to the truthfulness of all statements,

answers, and representations made in her application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration, including all supplementary statements. Question number 6 on Respondent's application asked "Have you ever been convicted of or pled no contest to a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States or any state laws or local ordinances? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set asked under Penal Code section 1203.4" Respondent answered "Yes."

Respondent provided some information regarding her "DUI case in January of 2008" Respondent did not give complete information regarding her April 11, 2008 DUI and hit and run accident conviction. Respondent failed to mention her August 1, 2008 conviction regarding her possession of a controlled substance.

FORTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit — Making False Statements on Application for Licensure)

23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(1), 475(a)(3), 480(a)(2), 480(c), 4300(c), 4301(f), 4301(g), and 4301(p) for acts involving dishonesty when she knowingly made a false statement of fact on her September 23, 2009 application for Vocational Nurse License by failing to mention her August 1, 2008 conviction regarding her possession of a controlled substance. On or about October 6, 2009, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury as to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations made in her application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration, including all supplementary statements. Question number 6 on Respondent's application asked "Have you ever been convicted of or pled no contest to a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States or any state laws or local ordinances? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set asked under Penal Code section 1203.4" Respondent answered "Yes." Respondent provided some information regarding her "DUI case in January of 2008" Respondent did not give complete information regarding her April 11, 2008 DUI and hit and run accident conviction. Respondent failed to mention her August 1, 2008 conviction regarding her possession of a controlled substance.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct – Acts if Done by Licentiate Grounds for Suspension or Revocation)

- 24. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(4), 480(a)(3)(A), 4060, 4300(c), 4301(j), 4301(k), and 4301(l) for unprofessional conduct for an act which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of her license when Respondent was criminally convicted of DUI and hit and run with property damage on April 11, 2008 in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 8WW01277.
- 25. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 475(a)(4), 480(a)(3)(A), 4060, 4300(c), 4301(j), 4301(k), and 4301(l) for unprofessional conduct for an act which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of her license when Respondent was criminally convicted of possession of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377(A) and being under the influence of a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(A) on or about August 1, 2008 in the proceeding entitled *People v. Schilling*, Superior Court Los Angeles County Case No. 7DY00126.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

 Denying the application of Lisa Marie Schilling aka Lisa Marie Bustamante for Pharmacy Technician Registration.

2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper

DATED: 1/27/11

VIROTNIA HEROLD

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2010703042 70410484.doc