1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS
10		CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4067
12	ERLANA MELISSETYAN	
13	288 W. Spruce Avenue	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Fresno, ČA 93650	
15 16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64669	[Gov. Code, §11520]
10	Respondent.	
18	FINDING	S OF FACT
19		mplainant Virginia Herold, in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	
21	filed Accusation No. 4067 against Erlana Meliss	
22	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
23	2. On or about August 22, 2005, the Bo	ard of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 64669 to Resp	ondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
25	was in full force and effect at all times relevant t	o the charges brought in Accusation No. 4067
26	and will expire on December 31, 2012, unless re-	newed.
27	3. On or about October 11, 2011, Respo	ondent was served by Certified and First Class
28	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4067, Stateme	ent to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
		1
[DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

	· · · ·
1	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and
2	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
3	section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:
4	288 W. Spruce Avenue, Fresno, California, 93650.
5	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
6	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
7	124.
8	5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
9	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
10	of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
11	may nevertheless grant a hearing.
12	6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of
13	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4067.
14	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
15	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
16	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
17	
18	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
19	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
20	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
21	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
22	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4067, finds that
23	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4067, are separately and severally, found to be true
24	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
25	9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
26	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
27	and Enforcement is \$1,190.00 as of November 14, 2011.
. 28	///
	. 2

1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Erlana Melissetyan has subjected
3	her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64669 to discipline.
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
5	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
6	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
7	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
8	a. Bus. & Prof. Code section 4301(f) – Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty,
9	Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption
10	b. Bus. & Prof. Code section 4301(g) – Knowingly Making or Signing a Document that
11	Falsely Represents the Existence of a State of Facts
12	ORDER
13	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64669, heretofore
14	issued to Respondent Erlana Melissetyan, is revoked.
15	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
16	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
17	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
. 18	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
19	This Decision shall become effective on March 9, 2012.
20	It is so ORDERED February 8, 2012.
21	la C. Wassi
22	STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
23	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
24	
25	default decision_LIC.rtf DOJ Matter ID:SA2011101281
26	Attachment:
27	Exhibit A: Accusation
28	
ł	3
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Exhibit A

Accusation

2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN R. DENVIR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197268 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
))	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF (RE THE PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4067
:	ERLANA MELISSETYAN	
	288 W. Spruce Avenue Fresno, CA 93650	ACCUSATION
]	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64669	
	Respondent.	
		J
	Complainant alleges:	
		<u>RTIES</u>
		gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity
1	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	
		oard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
	-	issetyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technicia
	Registration was in full force and effect at all tir	
	will expire on December 31, 2012, unless renew	ved.
	///	
' /	///	
		1

,	
1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
6	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
7 8	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
9	(1) Suspending judgment.
10	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
11	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
12	(4) Revoking his or her license.
13	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its
14	discretion may deem proper.
15	5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
16	
17 18	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
19	
20	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
21	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
22	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
23	represents the existence of nonexistence of a state of facts.
24	
25	6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license
26	shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period
27	within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
28	
	2
1	Accusation

COST RECOVERY

1

6

2 7. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
3 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
4 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
5 enforcement of the case.

BACKGROUND FACTS

8. On or about April 13, 2008, Respondent submitted a credit application to Fresno
Lexus, located in Fresno, California, to purchase a Lexus ES350. Respondent stated on the
application that she was the manager of the Ararat Café at 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno.
Respondent's credit application was approved and she received financing for the vehicle in the
amount of \$55,255.07.

9. On or about June 12, 2008, Respondent submitted a credit application to Michael's Toyota in Fresno, California, to purchase a Toyota Tundra. Respondent stated on the application that she was the manager of the Ararat Café at W. 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno. Respondent also submitted pay check stubs which showed that she worked at the Ararat Café Int Market located at 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno, making just under \$10,000 every two weeks. Respondent's credit application was approved and she received financing for the vehicle in the amount of \$48,871.35.

19 10. On or about June 30, 2008, Respondent submitted a credit application to Michael's
Toyota in Fresno, California, to purchase a Toyota Sequoia. Respondent stated on the application
that she was the manager of the Ararat Café at W. 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno. Respondent
also submitted pay check stubs which showed that she worked at the Ararat Café Int Market
located at 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno, making just under \$10,000 every two weeks.
Respondent's credit application was approved and she received financing for the Toyota Sequoia
in the amount of \$51,915.18.

26 11. An investigation by the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) revealed that the
27 Ararat café is not listed in the City or County of Fresno records, and that the business does not
28 exist at 545 W. Fedora Avenue in Fresno. The investigation revealed that 545 W. Fedora Avenue

3

/ an
ee
urt
า
set
n
nce
-

	11	
	1	PRAYER
	2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
	3	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
	4	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 64669,
	5	issued to Erlana Melissetyan.;
	6	2. Ordering Erlana Melissetyan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
	7	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
	8	125.3;
	9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
1	0	
1	1	
. 1	2	gbz/11 Disini No. D
1	3	DATED: // GINIA HEROLD
1	4	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
. 1	5	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
1	6	Complainant
1	7	SA2011101281 10712313.doc
1	8	10/12313.doc
. 1	9	
2	20	
2	21	
2	22	
2	23	
2	24	
	25	
	26	an an an Anna a An Anna an Anna a
	27	Sourcel-tents for en
2	28	
	-	5 Accusation