1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	BEFORE TI BOARD OF PHA	RMACY
7	DEPARTMENT OF CONS STATE OF CALI	
8		·
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4065
10	DENICE MARIE HECTOR	OAH No. 2012010570
11	2643 Casey Ray Court Turlock, CA 95382	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
12	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 41071	[Gov. Code, §11520]
13	140, 1611 410/1	•
14	Respondent.	
15	respondent.	
16		
17	FINDINGS OF	FACT
18	1. On or about October 28, 2011, Complaina	nt Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Do	epartment of Consumer Affairs, filed
20	Accusation No. 4065 against Denice Marie Hector (Ro	espondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
21	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
22	2. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of	of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 41071 to Responden	nt. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	charges brought in Accusation No. 4065
25	and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed	
26	3. On or about December 8, 2011, Responde	·
27	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4065, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request	
28	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government C	Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and

11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 2643 Casey Ray Court, Turlock, CA 95382.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 18, 2011, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record and it informed her that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for August 7, 2012. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4065, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4065, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,530.00 as of July 30, 2012.

///

///

///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Denice Marie Hector has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 41071 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
 - a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(1), in that on or about October 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled, *United States of America v. Denice Marie Hector*, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:09 CR00104, Respondent was convicted upon a plea of guilty to violating Title 18, United States Code Section 101 (False Statements), a felony, which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties as a pharmacy technician. The circumstance are as follows:
 - 1. On or about March 11, 2009, Respondent, Respondent made a false, fraudulent, and fictitious statement and representation in connection with a DEA investigation of her husband and DEA's seizure in excess of \$700,000, in U.S. currency associated with her husband's drug trafficking. Respondent told agents she did not know what her safe deposit box contained, when in truth and in fact as she then knew, she had access to the safe deposit box and knew that it contained approximately \$199,832, in U.S. currency, some of which was delivered from sources unknown to her.
 - b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) by making false statements as described in sub-paragraph a above.

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 41071, heretofore	
3	issued to Respondent Denice Marie Hector, is revoked.	
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
8	This Decision shall become effective on November 30, 2012.	
9	It is so ORDERED ON October 31, 2012	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	Ru (. Wussi	
14	By STANLEY C. WEISSER	
15	Board President	
16	10934769.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2011101282	
17	Attachment:	
18	Exhibit A: Accusation	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PATRICK M. KENADY
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 050882
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5377
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4065
12	DENICE MARIE HECTOR
13	2643 Casey Ray Court
	1 tillook, 01 () 3 3 6 2
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 41071
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
18 19	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19 20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
19 20 21	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
19 20 21 22	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 41071 to Denice Marie Hector (Respondent). The Pharmacy
19 20 21 22 23	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 41071 to Denice Marie Hector (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
19 20 21 22 23 24	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 41071 to Denice Marie Hector (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. On or about January 22, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 41071 to Denice Marie Hector (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.

4 5

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

22

23 24

2.5

26

27

28

4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not

. 19

///

guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 7. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 8. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (CRIMINAL CONVICTION)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(l), in that on or about October 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled, *United States of America v. Denice Marie Hector*, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:09 CR00104, Respondent was convicted upon a plea of guilty to violating Title 18, United States Code Section 101 (False Statements), a felony, which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties as a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

10. On or about March 11, 2009, Respondent made a false, fraudulent, and fictitious statement and representation in connection with a DEA investigation of her husband and DEA's seizure in excess of \$700,000, in U.S. currency associated with her husband's drug trafficking. Respondent told agents she did not know what her safe deposit box contained, when in truth and in fact as she then knew, she had access to the safe deposit box and knew that it contained approximately \$199,832, in U.S. currency, some of which was derived from sources unknown to her.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(MORAL TURPITUDE, DISHONESTY, FRAUD, DECEIT OR CORRUPTION)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) by making false statements as described in paragraph 10 above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 41071, issued to Denice Marie Hector.;
- 2. Ordering Denice Marie Hector to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10/28/11

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SA2011101282 10751199.doc

SOUTH WILLIE