BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3957

CAROLYN J. KIM

6921 San Pedro Circle Buena Park, CA 90620 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 98945

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on November 17, 2011.

It is so ORDERED on October 18, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

- 1									
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS								
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 2672000								
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101								
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2634 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061								
7									
8	Attorneys for Complainant	,							
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY								
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11									
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3957							
13	CAROLYN J. KIM								
14		STIPULATED REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND ORDER							
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH								
16	98945								
17	Respondent.								
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties in this							
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:								
20	<u>P.A</u>	ARTIES							
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.								
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala								
23	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Linda K. Schneider, Supervising								
24	Deputy Attorney General.								
25	2. Carolyn J. Kim (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has								
26	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.								
27	3. On or about February 11, 2010, the B	oard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician							
28	Registration No. TCH 98945 to Carolyn J. Kim (Respondent). The license was in full force and								

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3957 and expired on May 31, 2011.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3957 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 6, 2011. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3957 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3957. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3957, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby offers Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 98945 for revocation for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the revocation of her license without further process.

28 || ///

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and revocation, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile and email copies of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order, including facsimile and email signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Revocation of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 98945, issued to Respondent Carolyn J. Kim, is revoked and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The revocation of Respondent's license and the acceptance of the revoked license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall relinquish her technician license to the Board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Respondent may not reapply or petition the Board for reinstatement of her revoked technician license for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. A condition of reinstatement shall be that Respondent is certified as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4202, subdivision (a)(4) and provides satisfactory proof of certification to the Board. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3957 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 5. As a condition precedent to reinstatement of her revoked technician license, Respondent shall reimburse the Board for its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$1,355.00. Said amount shall be paid in full prior to the reapplication or reinstatement of her revoked technician license, unless otherwise ordered by the Board.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 3957 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

1 2 3 4 Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. 5 6 DATED: 7 CAROLYN 8 Respondent 9 **ENDORSEMENT** 10 11 12 Dated: July 13, 2011 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 SD2011700504 80519507.docx 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my license. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the

XIM

The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General

ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3957

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
-	Attorney General of California								
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General								
3	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100								
4									
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266								
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105								
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant								
8									
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY								
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11									
12		Case No. 3957							
13	CAROLYN JOOHYUN KIM 6921 San Pedro Circle								
14	Buena Park, CA 90620	ACCUSATION							
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 98945								
16	Respondent.								
17									
18									
19	Complainant alleges:								
20	PAR	<u>PARTIES</u>							
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity								
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.								
23	2. On or about February 11, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician								
24	Registration Number TCH 89845 to Carolyn JooHyun Kim (Respondent). The Pharmacy								
25	Technician Registration was in full force and eff	ect at all times relevant to the charges brought							
26	herein and will expire on May 31, 2011, unless i	herein and will expire on May 31, 2011, unless renewed.							
27	11!								
28	///								
		1							

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

question.

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to

fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
- 11. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 12. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

28 | ///

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

14. Ecstasy, or 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine, also known as MDMA, is a Schedule I controlled substance, and a stimulant with halluccinogenic properties.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(August 25, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Sale of a Controlled Substance – Ecstasy – on April 28, 2010

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 16. On or about June 15, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Carolyn Joohyun Kim*, in the Orange County Superior Court, Newport Beach Facility, Case No. 10HF0692, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Health and Safety Code section 11378 (Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance Ecstasy), a felony.
- Orange County Jail, with 3 days credit for time served, violate no laws, pay various fees and fines, register pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11590, submit to DNA testing, use no unauthorized drugs. Respondent was also placed on probation for three years, ordered to cooperate with probation officer, not associate with persons known to use or sell illegal drugs or parolees and convicted felons, not own, use or possess any type of dangerous weapon, and to submit to search and seizure.

The circumstances surrounding Respondent's arrest are that on or about April 28, 2010, Laguna Police Department officers, working with Drug Enforcement Administration agents, and through a confidential informant, negotiated with Respondent the sale of approximately 2,000 Ecstasy pills for the amount of \$5,200. Once Respondent and the confidential informant finalized the transaction, officers moved in to arrest Respondent. Respondent was arrested and transported to the Orange County Jail for booking.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(i) of the Code in that she was violated statutes of this state regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as described in paragraphs 14 through 17, above and incorporated here by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 89845,
- Ordering Carolyn JooHyun Kim to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code

-3.	Taking such of	ther and further	actionas	deemed	necessary a	and proper	
	. 4		,)			/	

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

28

6