BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3883

ARTURO VILLELA MENDOZA

2704 Union Street Rialto, CA 92376

and

4121 Cherokee Avenue Apt 5 San Diego, CA 92104

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 18, 2013.

It is so ORDERED on March 19, 2013.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wusi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2614					
7 8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3883				
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ARTURO MILTON MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO MILTON VILLELA; AKA ARTURO MILTON BELTRAN; AKA ARTURO VILLEA MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO VILLELA MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO V. MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO MENDOZA; AKA ARTURO VILLELA MENDOSA 4121 Cherokee Ave, Apt 5 San Diego CA 92104 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387	OAH No. 2012070810 STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER				
20	Respondent.					
21 22 23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this proceeding that the following matters are true:					
24	PARTIES					
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.					
26	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala					
27	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rita M. Lane, Deputy Attorney					
28	General.					

- 2. Respondent Arturo Milton Mendoza, also known as Arturo Milton Villela, Arturo Milton Belran, Arturo Villea Mendoza, Arturo Villela Mendoza, Arturo V. Mendoza, Arturo Mendoza, Ray Chavez, and Arturo Villela Mendosa (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about January 3, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3883 and will expire on April 30, 2014, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3883 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 1, 2012. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3883 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3883. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

///

///

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3883, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387, issued to Respondent Arturo Villela Mendoza, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- 1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacy Technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. If Respondent ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the Board prior to issuance of a new license. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3883 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$12,317.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 3883 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the 2 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration, 1 enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to 4 be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. Dated: Respondent ENDORSEMENT 10 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: Feb , 5, 2013 Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS 14 Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE 18 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 19 20 21 LA2011600217 70683286.doc 22 23 24 25

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

26

27

28

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3883

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LESLIE A. WALDEN					
	State Bar No. 196882					
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2540					
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
.	BEFORE THE					
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3883				
12	ARTURO MILTON MENDOZA	ACCUSATION				
1	a.k.a., ARTURO MILTON VILLELA a.k.a., ARTURO MILTON BELTRAN					
13	a.k.a., ARTURO VILLEA MENDOZA a.k.a., ARTURO VILLELA MENDOZA					
14	a.k.a., ARTURO V. MENDOZA					
15	a.k.a., ARTURO MENDOZA a.k.a., RAY CHAVEZ					
16	a.k.a., ARTURO VILLELA MENDOSA 2704 Union Street					
17	Rialto, CA 92376					
	Pharmacy Technician Registration					
18	No. TCH 49387					
19	Respondent.					
20	Considerated language					
21	Complainant alleges:					
22	<u>PARTIES</u>					
23	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity					
24	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
ļ	2. On or about January 3, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy					
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 49387 to Arturo Milton Mendoza, also known as Arturo Milton					
26	Villela, Arturo Milton Belran, Arturo Villea Mendoza, Arturo Villela Mendoza, Arturo V.					
27						
28	///					
\ \ \	}					

Mendoza, Arturo Mendoza, Ray Chavez, and Arturo Villela Mendosa (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2014, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

2.7

2.2

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order

to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

8. Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall use, or be under the influence of any controlled substance which is (1) specified in subdivision (b), (c), or (e), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), (21), (22), or (23) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (d) or in paragraph (3) of subdivision (e) of Section 11055, or (2) a narcotic drug classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, except when administered by or under the direction of a person licensed by the state to dispense, prescribe, or administer controlled substances."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

//// ////

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

11. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- a. "Ativan," is the brand name for Lorazepam, a Benzodiazepine derivative. It is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(16) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- b. "Heroin," is a semisynthetic drug derived from Morphine. It is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (c)(11) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- c. "Methamphetamine," is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

12. **DANGEROUS DRUG**

- a. "Benadryl," is the brand name for Diphenhydramine, an antihistamine used for treating allergic reactions and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- b. "Depakote," is the brand name for Valproic Acid and Divalproex, used for the treatment of convulsions, migraines, bipolar disorder and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- c. "Prozac," is the brand name for Fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent has been convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

- On or about May 20, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 646.9, subdivision (c)(2) [stalking with prior] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. Arturo Villela Mendoza (Super. Ct. San Diego County, 2009, No. SCD219184). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 270 days in San Diego County Jail and placed him on 3 years formal probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 14, 2009. Respondent made statements and threats to B. M. that caused her to be in fear for her safety and for the safety of her immediate family. On or about January 17, 2009, A San Diego detective interviewed Respondent while he was incarcerated and asked if he was taking any medications. Respondent stated, "I am taking Depacote to stay calm." When asked if he was taking anything else, Respondent stated, "Benadryl and Ativan 1.5 mg." When asked if he understood the questions, Respondent stated, "Yes, I understand you fine." When asked if he called B.M. and threatened to kill her and burn her house down, Respondent stated, "No, I don't even know where she lives." When asked if he wanted to hurt B.M., due to a phone message that he left her, Respondent stated, "No." When asked why he left B.M. the message, Respondent stated, "I don't know, I don't remember leaving her a message. I could have been blacking out, I was drinking at the time. Sometimes I black out when I drink."
- b. On or about March 18, 2008, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having a 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the *State of California vs. Arturo V. Mendoza* (Super. Ct. San Diego County, 2008, No. S217498). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 96 hours in San Diego County Jail and placed him on 5 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 5, 2008, Respondent drove a vehicle while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood, and collided with another vehicle resulting in property damage to another. While speaking to Respondent, the California Highway Patrol

2.4

Officer detected an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's person. He was observed to have red watery eyes and a thick slurred speech. While at the scene, Respondent submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) Test that resulted in a breath-alcohol content level of 0.260% on the first reading and 0.246% on the second reading.

c. On or about June 5, 2003, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (a) [lewd conduct] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Arturo V. Mendoza* (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2003, No. RIM435514). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 30 days in Riverside County Jail and placed him on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 24, 2003, the Riverside Police Department Officers observed Respondent masturbating in a public park urinal stall.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about February 5, 2008, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous our injurious to himself, another person, or the public, when he drove a vehicle, while having approximately 0.26% of alcohol in his blood. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subdivision (b), as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use/Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance and/or a Dangerous Drug)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (h) and (j), in that Respondent used and/or was under the influence of a controlled substance and/or a dangerous drug, as follows:
- a. On or about January 26, 2009, during an investigation of a male subject standing next to the river without pants, by the San Diego Police Department Officers, Respondent was contacted. While speaking to Respondent, he was observed to be naked from the waist down. He was holding 2 large rocks and indicated to the officers that he had nothing to loose. Respondent

refused to listen to the officers and indicated that he was going to kill himself and wanted to kill his wife and mother. Respondent indicated that he was "God" and "Thor." When asked if he was taking any medications, Respondent stated, "Prozac, but have never taken it." When asked if he had been using any street drugs in the past two weeks, Respondent stated, "Yes, Heroin and Meth." Respondent was detained with force, by two police officers, transported to County Mental Health, and placed on hold, in violation of Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 [mentally ill].

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, as follows:
- a. On or about February 25, 2009, Respondent failed to appear at the San Bernardino Court for arraignment, under Case No. SBHC2918AB, for violating one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 14601.2., subdivision (a) [driving while driver's license is suspended for driving under the influence] and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 40508, subdivision (a) [failure to appear]. As of April 20, 2012, Respondent is still a fugitive.
- b. On or about January 14, 2009, Respondent was arrested by the San Diego Police Department for violating Penal Code section 422 [threatening with intent to terrorize]. He was subsequently conviction of this crime on May 20, 2009. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subdivision (a), as though set forth fully.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact to Licensing Authority)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g), in that on or about July 14, 2003, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact to the Board by failing to disclose his June 5, 2003 conviction case against him on his initial application for licensure and conviction of Record. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

16 17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

2.7 28

allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subdivision (c), as though set forth fully. In addition, Respondent signed and declared under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing was true and correct on his application for licensure.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of More than One Misdemeanor or Felony Involving Drugs)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (k), in that respondent has been convicted of more than one misdemeanor or felony involving the use, consumption or self administration of a dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 13, subdivisions (a) & (b), 14, and 15 subdivision (a), as though set forth fully.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 18. In order to determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges the following:
- On or about December 14, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of a. one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 166, subdivision (A)(4) [disobeying a court order] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. Arturo Villela Mendoza (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. MVA030641). The Court sentenced respondent to serve 180 days in San Bernardino County Jail and ordered him to pay fees and restitution. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 5, 2000, Respondent willfully and knowingly disregarded a court order, to wit: Restraining Order.
- b. On or about July 21, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 646.9, subdivision (a) [stalking] in the criminal proceeding entitled the People of the State of California vs. Arturo Villela Mendoza (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. FSB026586). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 58 days in San Bernardino County Jail and placed him on 36 months formal probation, with terms and conditions. On or about December 14, 2000, Respondent was appeared (in custody) and admitted that he had violated the Court's probation order. The Court reinstated Respondent's

previous probation on the same terms and conditions, but, due to the probation violation, modified the previous order to add 365 days in San Bernardino County Jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 25, 2000, Respondent stalked the victim and reasonably caused her to be in fear for her safety and for the safety of her immediate family.

- c. On or about February 10, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs], one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having a 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 40508, subdivision (a) [failure to appear] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the *State of California vs. Arturo Mendoza* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. TSB103344). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 2 days in San Bernardino County Jail, ordered pronouncement of judgment withheld, and conditional and revocable release granted for a period of 36 months, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 22, 1999, Respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or drugs and while having driving while having a 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood. In addition, on or about January 27, 2000, Respondent failed to appear at the San Bernardino County Superior Court for arraignment.
- d. On or about December 21, 2000, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 853.7 [failure to appear on written promise] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Arturo Mendoza* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. 240619AM). The Court sentenced respondent to serve 10 days in San Bernardino County Jail and ordered him to pay fees. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 4, 2000, Respondent failed to appear on a written promise.
- e. On or about June 6, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f) [disorderly conduct: person under the influence of alcohol or drugs] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of*

the State of California vs. Arturo Mendoza (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. MVA027666). The Court sentenced respondent to serve 15 days in San Bernardino County Jail and ordered him to pay fees and restitution. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 16, 2000, Respondent was found to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs and unable to provide for his safety or the safety of others.

On or about March 6, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f) [disorderly conduct: person under the influence of alcohol or drugs] and one misdemeanor count of Penal Code section, 853.7 [failure to appear on written promise] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the* State of California vs. Arturo Mendoza (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2000, No. 604722AM). The Court ordered Respondent to pay fees and fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 6, 1999, Respondent was found to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs and unable to provide for his safety or the safety of others. On or about February 14, 2000, Respondent failed to appear on a written promise.

////

////

22

23

24

25

26 2.7

28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 49387, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	6	l	15

VIRGINIA NEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2011600217 60664078.docx jz/lh(09/23/2011-rev)