1	
2	
3	
4	
· 5	
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
7	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
9	DUSTIN MATTHEW LIKENS Case No. 3855
10	4630 Milano Way Oceanside, CA 92057
11	AMENDED DEFAULT DECISION ANDPharmacy Technician License No. TCHORDER
12	83670
13	[Gov. Code, §11520]
14	Respondent.
15	
16	FINDINGS OF FACT
17	1. On or about February 23, 2011, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
18	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
19	Accusation No. 3855 against Dustin Matthew Likens (Respondent) before the Board of
20	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
21	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
22	Technician License No. TCH 83670 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician License was in
23	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31,
24	2012, unless renewed.
25	3. On or about March 8, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
26	copies of the Accusation No. 3855, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
27	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at
28	
	1
	AMENDED DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

AMENDED DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Respondent's address, which was believed to be his address of record, at 5030 Gavilan Way, #46,
 Oceanside, CA 92057.

- 4. No documents were returned as undelivered following service of the Accusation at
   5030 Gavilan Way, #46, Oceanside, CA 92057. A return receipt signed by Respondent
   following service of the Accusation via certified mail was received.
- 6 5. On or about June 27, 2011, after discovering that Respondent's address of record had
  7 changed prior to March 8, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies
  8 of the Accusation No. 3855, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery,
  9 and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at

10 || Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100

11 and title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1704, is required to be reported and

12 maintained with the Board, which was and is 4630 Milano Way, Oceanside, CA 92057.

13
6. The Accusation served at 4630 Milano Way, Oceanside, CA 92057 was returned as
14
unclaimed but the Accusation served via First Class Mail has not been returned.

7. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
124.

18

19

20

8.

Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

22

21

9. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him

23 || of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.

24 || 3855.

25

26

27

28

10. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

1 11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3855, finds that
 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3855, are separately and severally, found to be true
 and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

8 12. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
9 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
10 and Enforcement is \$3,357.25 as of May 4, 2011.

11

### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Dustin Matthew Likens has
 subjected his Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83670 to discipline.

14

25

26

27

28

2.

The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the
evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:

a. Violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in
conjunction with section 4060, for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent unlawfully
possessed controlled substances, to wit, marijuana and Ecstasy on or about August 15, 2009
and/or August 16, 2009.

b. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for
unprofessional conduct in that Respondent unlawfully self-administered a controlled substance, to
wit, marijuana and Ecstasy to himself on or about August 15, 2009 and/or August 16, 2009.

	· · ·				
1	ORDER				
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83670, heretofore issued				
3	to Respondent Dustin Matthew Likens, is revoked.				
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a				
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within				
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may				
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.				
8	This Decision shall become effective on November 30, 2011.				
9	It is so ORDERED October 31, 2011.				
10	la C. Wussi				
11	A C. Euron				
12	STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT				
13	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
14	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation				
15	80499141.DOC/DOJ Matter ID:SD2010702691				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	4 AMENDED DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				

# Exhibit A

· Accusation No. 3855

1	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	James M. Ledakis
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC
. 4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3855
12 13	DUSTIN MATTHEW LIKENS 5030 Gavilan Way, #46
13	Oceanside, CA 92057 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 83670
15	Respondent.
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
22	License Number TCH 83670 to Dustin Matthew Likens (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
23	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
24	expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.
25	
26	111
27	) ///
28	111
	1
	Accusation

··· · · · -

.

· ·

	1
1	JURISDICTION
. 2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states:
6	<ul><li>(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.</li><li>(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,</li></ul>
7	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
8	<ul><li>(1) Suspending judgment.</li><li>(2) Placing him or her upon probation.</li></ul>
9	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
10	<ul><li>(4) Revoking his or her license.</li><li>(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board</li></ul>
11	in its discretion may deem proper.
12	(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the
13	Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by
· 14	the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
15	5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
16	surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a
17	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued
18	or reinstated.
19	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
20	6. Section 4022 of the Code states:
21	Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for
22	self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits
23	dispensing without prescription ""Rx only," or words of similar import.
24	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to
25	use or order use of the device. (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully
. 26	dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
28	
28	
	2 Accusatio

.

#### 7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency....

#### COST RECOVERY

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the

25 || administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

26 || the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and

27 || enforcement of the case.

28 || ///

1 DRUGS 2 10. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & 3 Professions Code section 4022. 4 11. Methylenedioxymethamphetamine, or Ecstasy, is a Schedule I controlled substance as 5 designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054 and is a dangerous drug pursuant to 6 Business & Professions Code section 4022. 7 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 8 9 (Unprofessional Conduct-Possession of Controlled Substance) 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions 10 (j) and (o), in conjunction with section 4060, for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent 11 12 unlawfully possessed controlled substances, to wit, marijuana and Ecstasy on or about August 15, 2009 and/or August 16, 2009. The circumstances are as follows: 13 In or about April 2009, K.F., the Pharmacy Manager of Wal-Mart store 10-5075, 14 13. noted there were missing prescription bottles of Norco, or hydrocodone, a controlled substance. 15 An investigation was initiated that prompted an immediate and unscheduled drug screening of all 16 of the store's employees on Monday, August 17, 2009. 17 Respondent was employed at the store as a Pharmacy Technician and was working at 18 14. the store on August 17, 2009. When Respondent was informed of the investigation and the drug 19 20 screening, Respondent advised K.F. that he did not want to submit to drug screening because he 21 had "smoked pot" over the weekend and that he chose to resign instead. 22 15. Respondent also admitted to D.M., the Health and Wellness Director of the store, that 23 Respondent had taken Ecstasy and smoked marijuana over the weekend and that he refused to 24 submit to the drug test. Respondent was terminated from his employment on August 17, 2009. 25 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 26 (Unprofessional Conduct - Unlawful Self-Administration of Controlled Substance) Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), 27 16. 28 for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent unlawfully self-administered a controlled 4

Accusation

substance, to wit, marijuana and Ecstasy to himself on or about August 15, 2009 and/or August 1 16, 2009, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 13-15 above, and incorporated herein as though set 2 forth in full. 3 4

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 6

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Number TCH 83670, issued to Dustin 7 Matthew Likens; 8

2. Ordering Dustin Matthew Likens to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs 9 of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code 10 section 125.3; and, 11

> 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

> > 5

DATED:

TRGIN HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant

SD2010702691 10655252.doc

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Accusation